Á

## SERMON

OF THE

Credibility of the Mysteries

OF THE

## CHRISTIAN RELIGION.

Preached before a

LEARNED AUDIENCE.

By THO. SMITH, Fellow of St. Mary Magdalen College in Oxon.

LONDON,

Printed by Tho. Roycroft, for Ric. Davis Bookseller in Oxford, 1675.

# Imprimatur,

C.Smith R.P.D. Episc. Lond. d Sept. 7h. Sacris Domest. 1674.

Nobilissimo Viro,

## D.ROBERTO BOYLE,

Veræ ac Solidæ Pietatis, Summæ eruditionis, Instauranda Sanioris Philosophia, samor philosophia Optime de literis tam Sacris quam Humanioribus merendi Famà longè celeberrimo, Magno ævi Exemplo & Ornamento :

phi Losophia

T. S.

Hanc Concionem (unà cum Appendice) coram Academicis Oxoniensibus, solenni S.Marci Evangelista Festo, In sacello Collegii B.Mariæ Magdalenæ Annus. Superiori anno habitam, In debitæ observantiæ Μνημόζονον, Lubens merito dedicat consecrátque.

#### ERRATA.

P.7. 1.10. tou. pix. 1.13/belief. p. 17.1.7. the ordin: 1.23. ingenious. p. 21. 1.24. for its read his. p. 26.1 No revealed, p. 28.1.15 when p. 34.1.14 belongs. p. 42. 1.5. for I, read me. p. 45.1. 12 the onely. p. 48. 1.24. The appendix refers to Page 47.



Α

### SERMON

Preached before a

#### Learned Audience.

ITIM. III. the former part of the 16. verse.

Without Controversie great is the Nigstery of Godliness.



OW much the Doctrine of Christianity tends to the improvement of Reason and Learning, how it has brought into the World a better and

more certain knowledg of God and of our felves, how it has advanced the common notices of nature, and has chased away with the clear evidences of its truth those thick shades

The Credibility of the Mysteries of error, that had darkned the understanding, and has removed all those prejudices, that

were taken up from sense and a very partial and deceirful observation of things, may be fully demonstrated by comparing the former estate of Mankind, before the coming of Christ in the flesh, with the present, wherever it is received in its truth and power. Men before were led by opinion and conjecture and fancy only, as to matters of Religion and the concerns of another World: They had fears upon them indeed of a divine justice, that would revenge the violation of the law of nature either here or hereafter; and a reflection upon the strange traverses and difficulties of life had taught them to expect another life after this: but their eyes were dim however, and they could not fee far into futurity; they could have no clear deductions of particular truths for want of a right knowledg of true and certain principles: hence it was, that they were so inconstant and wavering, and

knew not well where or what to fix on. Christ by his appearance and manifesting the Wire 1. 10. will of God to us, bath brought life and immortality to light through the Gospel, and children and persons of an ordinary reach and capacity may now eafily apprehend those things, that is, in reference to God and his attributes, the misery we are in by sin, the means of our recovery from this woful estate of life, the immortality of the Soul, and the like; which before those great Philosophers, notwithstanding all their vaunts and quests after learning, notwithstanding they set up Schools and were ambitious to give names to Sects, had but a very imperfect knowledg of.

But while these truths were received by those, that were willing to be taught, and to fubmit themselves to the dictates of reason, and convictions of miracles, which were added to give all possible satisfaction to the understanding, others, who were resolv'd before hand not to be convinced, who had rather remain in their ignorance and idolatry and their fins, then be converted to a new Religion, and reduced to such strictness of life, as that requires, from their debaucheries and brutish pleasures, who had rather fall down before a Statue or a Picture, because their Fathers had

done

done to before them, and because it was the established Religion of their Country, than acknowledg and adore a Crucified Saviour, reiect it upon the account of the Mysteries of Faith, without ever examining the weight of the arguments, that would have enforced them upon their belief: They could not in the mean while but acknowledg the happy and glorious change, that Christianity had wrought in the World, how much it exceeds and goes beyond all the morality of the wifest and best Lawgivers and Founders of Republicks, how it not only laies down rules for the right ordering of life, but furnishes its votaries with a power to practife them; not only shews us a way to walk in, but takes us by the hand and leads us in it: but the difficulties, it seems, that are to be met with in conceiving some of its mysteries, offended them. This was their pretence and their plea for their infidelity; they would have demonstration for every thing, they would be taught and convinced by Syllogism, their Pride and their Self-conceit and the opinion they had of their ownlearning would not permit them to believe.

They made their understanding the measure of all truth, and what did not fuit with those narrow and low principles they had taken up, was fcornfully rejected by them. The Fews, fales the Apostle, I Cor. 1.22, 23. require a Sign, and the Greeks seek after Wisdom; but we preach Christ crucified, unto the Jews a stumbling-block, and to the Greeks foolishness. But how irrational was the demand of both? for what greater fign could there be to the Jews, than the fulfilling of all the Prophesies in the person of Christ, even to the minute circumstances of his life and death, and those mighty miracles that shewed forth themselves in him? what greater wildom could the Philosophers pretend to or defire, than the wisdom of God in a mystery, as it is called, I Cor. 11.7. than those clear discoveries of the divine nature and the effential perfections of the Godhead, than the admirable contrivances of the redemption of mankind by the fufferings and death of Christ, the Son of God, than the ways and means of recovering the dignity of our nature, and of living here like men, and of living hereafter like Angels? Such a wisdom, as will not only gratific our earnest desires and pursuits

They

pursuits after knowledg, but will make us happy too for ever. Their weak and blear eyes could not endure fuch a great light that

(a) Thus Enfiling furns them up in general, it being the common argument of the Heathen I bilef. phers against he Christian religion -- A yougravious nies કે મિલ મહે પૂર્ય હે જરૂર છે (ત્રેય, તેર હે પૂછ 5 માંક 4 છે the shade. ave Estas ou puglation Tait of meson [0or un l'ev proceves Suna St Smodeigens בי שני לי עסו שווא אר על אלע אר אוני של בי דוו ביר פונים ביר nu ) [ ) Acultions and Heias, wist & won בוביסין לו שבוצי שני שביסיים און, דעני ב By mes's Xenualitiv, & aneire zagev ki eißa Carisa miseus. p.4. Parifiis, A.C.

(b) The words of Celfir, as we find them, in Origins tirf book against that Epicurean Philosopher, are these-Tivas unde Bunouloss Adoras in naußarde NOVEN ME I WY MISEURGE, XPHOX TWO, WH डिहें रव (e,डे NA में मां do sov, में में मांडाड़ वर्षे कांσεί σε, κ) φησίν άυνες λέγζη κακον ή έν το κότμο σωρία, αραθόν δ' ή μω ία. r.3. edit. Cimab. In this latter part he alludes to S. Pauls words, 1 Co. 3. 18. which he most horribly and maliciously perverts, as Origen thews p.12. He had before, out of his great Fhilosophical wariness. advised his readers not to take up opinions upon trust without following reafon and a rational guide, which he imputes to the Christians, and reckonsthem among the mi axonus mestovias un-Teapus as Tregilooxonois, &c. fuch as raffely believe juglers and pretenders to Legerdemain tricks, whose credulity and fimplicity they aluse to evil designs and intents. So in the third book, he most falfly accuses the whole body of Christians.

brake in upon them, and therefore they were desirous to retire into They could ein i 11 phice no Organ xugin in thio to not fully conceive and comprehend them, they feemed therefore foolish and impossible notions, that were owing wholly to an ungovern'd imagination. And hereupon (1) they proceed to calumniate the Christians as a company of well-meaning and honest and good-natur'd, but very fimple and over-credulous people, who took all things upon trust, without enquiring into their truth, and certainty; for fuch were flanderous accu**fations** (b) Celsus. (e) Lucian.

ULucian, and (d) Hierocles, and the rest of the learned enemies of the Christian Religion: They upbraided the Christians of their times, with whom they conversed, in their writings and in their discourses, that they received all αλόγω πίσει મે લેમ્દ્ર દીલંડ બ συγκαθαθήσα, with an irrational Faith and an basty affent, past without

and only admitting perfors word of under-Handing and of a bafe and fervile temper. (c) De morte Peregrini, Specking of the Chillians, whom he makes a comjuny of idiots, eafily cheated -- and mrds a-ReiBuc mictor To Tolonia mapa de Eduluci. (d) In Enfebins, in the confutation of his impious book (which he intitl'd oinanions) wherein le compared Arch loning of Tyans to our most bleffed Sur. our, where he objects to the Christians -- MEDOTHIA KI COX ECCAY -- lightness and eafinels of nature, p. 512. and calls them -- MUDES N. BEBENDAMMUSS -- fools and rufiicks. p.514. edit. Paris: in fine librorum de demonstratione Evangelica.

ณ์ร หน่าน เม่า ออรอง ฉัทรงอบงอาโฉง จริงอ์

Yo र कांड्सकः बेरीकिंग, Moves बेर्ग्गीवर में रचेड

ar Seg wood offs nanty and ining amay every wife man from the detrine of faith,

any examination, that they could bring no proof or demonstrative argument of what they held fo pertinaciously, that nothing was required to make a Christian a Believer, as they used to speak by way of Scorn, but ακριτών κ, α-Bao ang misis, an unjudicious and groundless. Faith; yes certainly, a good life and a fanctified understanding, and an humble opinion of a mans self. But these are but words, and men are not to be laughed and rallied out of their of Scorn faith and a well-grounded perswasion; there to ergo me mi keoring is nothing of argument in scorn and passion; - painmakenes they only shew the weakness of the cause, and

want of reason in those, who make use of them.

But now after so many myriads of Converts to the Christian Faith, after the attestation and confent of fo many ages, who have examined severely the principles, on which it is founded, who would expect that any one should dare now to question the truth of it again, that men who have been baptized into it, should abjure and renounce it, should no longer acknowledg Christ their Saviour, should deny him to be God, or that he had any commiffion from Heaven to institute a new Religion. should act over the part of the Fers, and arraign the Son of God as an impostor, and side with the Heathen Philosophers against Christianity. as a doctrine not to be endured and embraced, and make use of their very arguments for the defence of their infidelity.? But we know whence the malice and the infidelity of these Theists proceed; they have abandoned themfor the had a felves to a wicked life, they are immerfed in the a new responsential pleasures, which they make the only ir make the only with a convinced, that Chrimyclos charles stianity, which is a Dollrine according to Godliof the Christian Religion.

nels is not confishent with such practices, which yet even nature and right reason utterly condemn.

The Mysteries of Faith do not so much trouble these men, as the severity of its commands. These they cannot away with, their lusts help them to arguments against the other, and they content themselves with little pieces of Sophistry, and think to vindicate the ill course of life, they have taken up, this way. Natural conscience and an ordinary reflexion upon the works of nature will not permit them, it may be, to deny a God, though they live, as though there were none: They will acknowledg him, it may be too in a good humour, the Creatour of the World, but not the Judg and Governour of it; they look upon themselves, as only born to gratifie their sensual appetite: They declare equally, for a liberty of living and thinking as they please. They will have no restraint laid upon their understanding, or their lives. Christianity is too strict, and therefore too difficult for them; They may have the wit perchance, but not the morality of the Philosophers, whose very lives notwithstanding

Theilta.

es of 400 whitm, whom withstanding will condemn them as much as the Christian doctrine. Their evil education and cultome and prepolledion, those great hinderances of truth, made their refuling Chriflianity the less inexcusable upon the account of its mysterics, while they acknowledged the rules and institutions of it to be according to the highest reason, and the exaltation of the humane nature, while these men pretend its mysteries to be therefore incredible, because the rules of it, which thwart their lusts fo much, are so severe. Little or no good I know is to be done upon these men by perswafion or argument, of which they are scarce capable, who turn all things into Burlesque and ridicule: They it feems are too witty (for fo they call their boldness and want of judgment) either to understand or embrace the principles of Christianity; but their ill lives shew, that were they as clear as the principles of Geometry, so long as a strict and holy life is as necessary and essential to the being of a Christian, as a right and found faith, they would except and cavil at them, and at last reject them; and if the Gospelbe bid, be esteemed after

of the Christian Religion.

after so many clear and undoubted revelations, after such evident proofs and convictions, an obscure and incredible doctrine, it is bid to them that are lost, or rather, ev tois diad to them that are lost; it is only so to such desperate and obstinate wretches, whom reason it self cannot satisfie, in whom the God of this world bath blinded the minds of them which believe not, least the light of the glorious gospel of Christ, who is the image of God, should shine unto them. 2 Co: iv. 3,4.

But these are wild and extravagant perfons, of debauched understandings and lives, and only to be consuted by the severity of laws; and of the two the Christian religion has suffered more by the secret underminings of Hereticks, than by their bold attaques. These are the more dangerous enemies, who deny the truths and mysteries of it, upon a pretence of wariness and caution, and go soberly about to destroy it. But all their objections, how plausible soever, must at last resolve into obstinacy and pride: They fancy things must be, and are, as they would have them, or essentiations.

they cannot be at all: They vainly suppose themselves able to search into the depths of all divine and humane knowledg, and being once prepoffessed with this conceit, they grow peevish and angry because the Christian Religion proposes things to their belief, which they cannot grasp, and are too big for their understanding; and rather than forego this beloved Principle, they will destroy the Fundamentals of Christianity, and to apply that of

\* In Apologetico cap.5. where he mentions an old decree of the Ron an Senate, Ne qui Deks ab Imperatore confectaretur, nifi a Senatu prebatus; and hereupon he tells us, that the Emperor Tiberius moved by the report of those [mighty] works, which declared the truth of our Savieurs Divinity, he received out of Pa-I fiine detulit ad Senatum cum prærogativa fiffragii fu sthough the Senate were not

\* Tertullian to them, nisi bomini Deus placuerit, Deus non erit, homo jam Deo propitius esse debebit : Christ shall not be God, nor fatisfie the divine disposed to admit him into the number. justice for the fins of man-

kind, because this seems incongruous to them; it is a difficulty, that doth puzzle their understanding; it is above the strength of their fancy; their reason, they say, tells them, this cannot be; allowing of no such thing as faith, which is the great duty of the Gospel, and forgetting, that Christianity is, as it is undoubtedly, the great mystery of Godliness.

Thus under a pretence of clearing the truth

of the Christian Religion.

of Religion, and making it the more easily intelligible, to Turks and Jews, they result it in the true notion of it, and corrupt and destroy it; to whom fully agrees that character, which St. Paul gave of the followers of Simon Magus, 2 Tim. iii. 8. Καθεφθαςμένοι + νέν, αδόκιμοι weel # πίςιν, men of corrupt minds, and reprobate concerning the faith; fuch whose understandings are wholly vitiated and perverted, not with standing the great and fierce claims they laid to knowledg, as if they were the only men, that understood the will and mind of God; fuch who reject the establish'd truths of the Gospel, who have no regard to the heavenly doctrine of the Evangelists and Apostles, the truth of which they fealed and confirmed with their blood; but do μεθοδεύειν Ια λόγια το Κυείν πεός Ιας ίδίας Imounias, to use the words of St. \* Polycarp

in his Epistle to the Philippians, that is, by their frau- ordering is is as of the vitas of the pians of the p dulent devices model the oracles of God according to their own fancies and lusts; who set up a new Religion, which the

א יום מי עובשים לוניון דעו אליץום דצ שוצ avasa Civ pinte ni Civ iD, 810 ocoloток Ф Bi та Edlara: ex editione Reverendifimi Utlerii Armachani, p 20. This perchance more particularly respects Marcion the heretick ; for by that I me he called him to his face: as we read in Irenaus 3.11b. adv.barefes, eap. 3.

Catholick Church of Christ never knew or was

The Credibility of the Mysteries

acquainted with, and endeavour to destroy the faith of Christianity, and think in the mean while they have reason on their side for so doing: and how far by their arts and subtilties and plaufible infinuations, by this their flight and cunning craftiness, whereby they lie in wait to deceive (for it is nothing else, however blancht over and disguised with shews of sober reason) they have prevail'd upon this Age, is too fad to confider: to that now it chiefly concerns us to fecure the ground-work, the principles of the do-Etrine of Christ, and to oppose this growing evil, to watch and stand fast in the faith, and quit our selves like men, and not to be like children, carried away with every blast and wind of dostrine, and especially of the vain doctrine of Socious, as it will appear, when the varnish and false colours are washt of but to be establisht in the truth of the holy Gospel, as the Church hath taught us to pray in the Collect of this anniversary of St. Mark.

To evince therefore the unreasonableness of their pretentions, I shall endeavour in the following discourse to make out these two particulars.

1. That the great mysteries of Religion can-

not, and ought not to be any way prejudicial to the truth of it.

2. That the Christian Religion requires 2 x not required us to believe these mysteries, upon such a ground. grounds, as we cannot reject, without doing violence to our faculties, and confequently, that the rejecting and disbelieving them must be unreasonable.

1. The great mysteries of Religion can-, 1 for what not and ought not to be any way prejudicial to its truth.

They who find fault with Christianity for proposing such great mysteries to our beliefs, and would have all things so plain and obvious, that they should command and force affent, should first trie their reason in solving the difficulties of nature; and if notwithstanding all their labour and toil, after the most accurate refearches into the nature of fenfible beings, of things that we daily see and handle, of things that feem to lie level with our understanding, and are no way disproportionable to it, they cannot pretend to a perfect knowledg of them, if the ordinary operations of nature be so abstruse, and unintelligible, and these depths are

not

The great mysterics of

1 a rue

not to be fathomed, if her secrets are beyond the discovery of the most piercing judgment and reason; Religion with greater reason must be allowed to have its mysteries; there being fuch a vast disproportion between things relating to God and his nature, and the things of the world. The contemplation of nature is c irious and useful; it is a part of the service and worship we owe to God the Creatour, to admire his wisdom and power in the beautiful frame and order of things, which is best done by enquiring into their natures and properties, into their powers and operations and qualities, by examining the curious contexture and the fitness and usefulness of their parts, and there is nothing in the whole universe, but deserves to be confidered, and very much conduces to this end.

This is the business of *Philosophy*, and what contemplative minds labour in the search of, to discover and make out how things were at first made, and are still continued in their being, and to find out their peculiar virtues, whereby they produce such a variety of effects, and how they may be altered or improved for

of the Christian Religion.

the farther use and benefit of mankind. Nothing of which can be effected, at least but very imperfectly, and in a way scarce tolerable, by acquiescing in general observations, derived from weak and flight notices, without descending to severe trials and experiments, or by relying upon the principles of ordinary Philosophy, that are confessedly unintelligible, and which instead of explaining nature, do but perplex and confound the understanding, and which have nothing to maintain and keep up their credit, but the authority of a name and the immoderate love of antiquity. But whatever bypothesis we fix upon, they who have the To deepest infight into nature will be forced to confess, they see but a little way, and all that they can pretend to is but conjecture and probability, that when they may feem to arrive at some satisfaction in the order and connexion of things, it is very possible and likely, that things may be made and exert their causalities otherwise, than they suppose, be their fancy never so ingentious, and their reason never so profound and strong (for who will be so prefumptuous, as to limit either the wisdom or power

pahera ists.

XX

power of God, that he can do no more, or

must do what they fancy?) that there are thou-

fands of things, that they cannot give any fatisfactory account of, and that the more they feek to comprehend the reason of things, the more they are at a loss, the more they are dif-

farisfied, and the effect of their study is nothing but disorder and trouble of mind.

Now if we are convinced of the weakness and infufficiency of our reason in our ordinary speculations, if it fails us when we attempt to give an account of our selves, and the operation of our minds, and when we have to do with plain matters of fense, how unfit and un. able must it be to comprehend and make out things, that stand at that infinite distance from it, to which it bears no proportion? They may as well pretend that all these great difficulties and perplexities, we meet with in the

conceptions of things, should be taken away,

that all men ought to be born compleat Philofophers, and be inspir'd with the perfect know-

ledg of things, which they cannot attain to af-

of the Christian Religion.

ceive, and that the truth and possibility of things should not derive from the will and pleasure of God, and from that Idea he has in his divine understanding, but only take their measures, and be judged by those narrow con-

ceptions, we borrow from sense. Men are not to be disputed out of the belief of their lenses,

that there is no fuch thing as motion, or con- ? tinuity of parts in extended matter, because of the great difficulties, that attend the concepti- andons of on of them, and things are daily produced and

by degrees arrive at the perfection of their being, and perform actions suitable to their respective natures, though Philosophers disagree in

their opinions, and are diffatisfied one with another, and cannot tell how or in what manner they do all this.

2. Thus Nature has its Mysteries; and who will undertake to explain Secondly, the Mysteries of Providence, and account for all those extraordinary events, which have hapned in all ages of

the world; O the depth of the riches both of the Sugmente wisdom and power of God! how unsearchable are bis Judgments, and his wayes past finding out a group de 1971, not event Rom. xi. 33. It is presumption to enquire too of y

bufily

ter several years, spent in labour and study, that

nothing should exist, but what we can conceive,

bufily into the ends and reasons of God's proceedings with men, as well as impiety to find fault with them. This should satisfie us, that God, who is of infinite perfection, neither does nor can do any thing, that is unjust; that he governs the World by an infinite wisdom, that he permits men to act according to the liberty of their will; and that they stand accountable to him for the actions of their lives; and that they are but his instruments to bring about his eternal purposes and decrees; and that nothing comes to pass without his ordering or foresight; and that all those cross dispensations are for wife ends, best known to himself. Why things are thus, for instance, why the Jews were selected by him to be his peculiar people; why the coming of the Messias in the slesh was deferred fo long; why fo many Heathen Nations lie yet unconverted, and the like, must be referred wholly to his divine will and pleasure, which is guided by rules of eternal rectitude and wisdom. Let it abundantly content us in all changes and chances of this mortal life, in all those distinguishing acts of Providence, that are every where vifible between Nation and

and Nation, or between man and man in respect of the outward conditions and states of being, that God will have it so. God is wonder- 2009 ful in his doings with the children of men. These things call for our admiration: They are fecrets not to be enquired into; which way to-nere kie o no 2 rs. ever we look, whether up to heaven, or down work. upon the earth and sea, and observe what is done in each, or whether we turn our eyes inward, we shall find our selves surrounded with wonders, too great for our knowledg, and enough to baffle and confound our curiofity, and to convince us, that there is as well an infinite distance between God and us, in respect of wildom, as of power. Now would these men have the state of things altered and changed, and the world new modelled, and new laws given to mankind, and a new nature too, and all things reduced to an easier order, and regulated by their fancies, that so nothing may be above their capacity and understanding? What is this, but the effect of a foolish pride, that is discontented and troubled, that so many things are out of his reach and power, and that will fearce be brought to acknowledgethat God can.

can do, more than they fancy or comprehend?

3. Besides, those who object against the instituted religion of our Saviour, the greatness of its

the principles of natural religion. That there is an infinite being, in whose Idea is essentially in-

cluded all possible perfection, is the voice and dictate of nature, right reason, and conscience,

hature, right dictate of nature, right reason, and conscience, constitution and evidenced by the constant and uninterruption of the universe, ted order and course and frame of the universe,

and by the universal consent of mankind, who have rites and ceremonies of religion, their Priests and their Sacrifices, to whom they offer up prayers and oblations, to whom they appeal for instice when injured, and to whom

peal for justice when injured, and to whom they slie for refuge and succour, when they are distressed and in danger, as it were by instinct, and without any deliberation. But

notwithstanding this evidence and clearness and demonstration of the existence of a God, they will not pretend to understand fully the

nature of the Godbead. That God is infinite in effence and power, and that all things owe their being to his will, they must be forced to confess, or else deny his being, and fancy an

infinite

of the Christian Religion.

infinite feries of causes, infinite periods of motions, and an infinite succession of generations, which is absurd and contradictious and impossible, though they have only a negative notion of infinity. Our understandings cannot reach so far, as to have a compleat and comprehensive notion of it; and when we cannot reach so the safety accounts concerning the

not give fatisfactory accounts concerning the affections of a natural body, as motion, place, time; much less can it be expected, that we should do this concerning eternity, immensity,

a thing does not any way hinder the truth and possibility of its existence. However the most scrupulous and inquisitive may be fatisfied, that there are such attributes, and that conse-

quently upon a reflection, not only on the na.

ture of God, but on the scant measures of know-

or the other necessary and essential attributes

of God: so that the difficulty of conceiving -

ledg in creatures, it is necessary, they should be above our reach and comprehension. As we may discover much in a curious piece of art, or wonder of nature, as the Load-stone, or any Electrical body: we may find out some virtue in a plant, or mineral, or peculiar sort

(in) er/-an), ng But here, they fay, that the understanding The know flows neither does nor can admit of any thing incre-cant with fundible; and we say so too. God doth not, the moved fle

and consequently the Christian Religion, which is the doctrine and revelation of God, does not propose any thing to us, as the object of our faith, that is really impossible in it self, and involves in it a perfect and manifest contradiction; and nothing less can or ought to be judged incredible. But when they pretend, that no proposition ought or can be believed show my farther, than it may be cleared up to the understanding by the evidence of natural reafon, or of the things themselves contained in it, we reject it as an unjust and unreasonable demand, which will fully appear by shewing the falseness of both parts of the supposi-

> tion. It is utterly false, that nothing is credible, but what can be proved and made out by reason.

of the Christian Religion.

reason. There are indeed several degrees of several Le credibility, according to which the mind does weakling admit some things with a greater ease and freeness than others. But however be the matter proposed never so unlikely or unusual, if the authority be just and good, it must not therefore be pronounced incredible, because perchance it is not fully agreeable to the present state of affairs and practice of the World, or because I have some little prejudice against it. For as in a matter of fact, where there are fufficient proofs given of a Relators both honesty A and knowledg, when I have all the affurance - home in the World, that fuch a matter is capable of, and that he could not miftake in understanding it, and that his words and thoughts do not in the least disagree, when I can object nothing but a groundless surmise, that possibly, and for ought I know, it may be otherwise, this will challenge my affent, and be a sufficient warrant to me to believe ir, whether I have a clear Idea of it or no; for this unlikeliness and seeming repugnancy of it, may arise from my being ignorant of several circumstances, the knowledg of which would render it probable and eafie:

In ever 16 se

. so is it in matter of Dostrine; whatsoever is proposed by God, becomes thereby immediately credible, and my affent is rational and just, though the thing be above my apprehen-

fion; and this I must ascribe to the greatness

of the object, and the imperfections of my reafon, which neither is nor can pretend to be an arbiter and judge in fuch matters, which are too bigh for it: fo that before a man can fafely pro-

nounce a doctrine, that is revealed, incredible, and reject it as such, he must question the power and veracity of God, and maintain, that nothing is possible, but what we can comprehend; and thus under a pretence of caution, betray the greatest immodesty in the world, when he himself believes several other things, upon the bare testimony of men, which neither his wit

nor curiofity, nor his reason can ever be able

fatisfactorily to make out and demonstrate. 2. It is equally falle, that no Proposition ought to be believed, but what may be cleared up to the understanding by the evidence of the things themselves. The falseness of which asfertion I shall fully evince in these three parti-

culars; by shewing

1. That

of the Christian Religion.

1. That it destroyes the nature of the contrary of the it. Faith. 2. It takes away the bleffedness and re- 25t annoximit.

was dableness annext to it.

3. It reflects on the Wisdom and Sove- 3 11 reflects, on good, raignty of God, who may, if it pleases him, who will sover a government. propose such things to us, and command us 1. It destroyes the nature of Faith. To the an many of nature to believe them.

believe in general, in the proper notion of it, 7 is to affent to things upon the discovery and attestation of others, which are not evident and apparent of themselves; that is, when I have no demonstrative or sensible knowledg of things, I admit and judge them to be true, not because I either saw them, and can assure my felf of them by any of my other fenses, or because they are so evident to my reason, that I must needs embrace them, as a principle or conclution in Philosophy, but because I have received them from another, who informs me and gives me this account of them, for whose fake I affent to them as real and certain. By which it is distinguished from science, which it is distinguished from science. is grounded upon the evidence and clearness of the first the

28

the apprehension of the respective propofitions or objects, when things are fo plain that they do necessitate our assent, as that the opposite members of a true and perfect contradiction cannot belong to the same thing at the same time, that equals added to equals make equals, that in a triangle, three angles are always equal to two right angles, and the like. And the like affurance and certainty of knowledg is gained, when we draw conclusions according to rule and the laws of method from first principles, which are affented to, affoon as they are proposed, and the terms understood; whense there is an immediate dependance and connexion of things, and one thing naturally follows another; Then we are faid to know a thing, when we can run it up to its first principles, can trace its original and cause, and understand its effects and operations.

This distinction being so just and natural, to call for evidence and demonstration in things proposed to be believed, is to confound different assents of the mind, to turn Religion into

into Science, to destroy the truth of History, and Tradition, and Revelation, and to fall into Scepticism, and doubt whether any thing be certain, but what we fee and can prove and represent by a Scheme, and at last question whether our Sense, and what we call our Reason do not deceive us, or else, which is the effect of a greater phrenfie, run our felves into this groß absurdity, that we are as wife as God, and that he can do no more, than what our gross fancies will have him.

That then some of the grand articles of Religion are not so clear, as Propositions in. Metaphysicks or Theorems in Geometry, or indeed are not clear at all, cannot be objected against their credibility. They are in themfelves as certain and as infallible; nay more certain and more infallible, if infallibility may be supposed to admit of degrees: but in reason, it cannot be expected, our. knowledg of them should be as explicit and as clear; Supernatural Truths are not, cannot be determined or judged of by proofs, derived from nature or fense; they have pro-

per

3 F

per proofs of their own, as'all other arts and sciences have.

To judge of these things therefore by our narrow conceptions, is a most false and unwarrantable way of procedure; and indeed it cannot seem strange, that so much Error and Blaspheny and all that direful train of Herefies, in matters relating to God and Religion, which have so much disturb'd the peace of Christendome, should spring from this one absurd and corrupt principle. Hence it was alfo, that (a) Orpheus, and the other Greek Poets have dref-

(a) See the excellent discourse of Flut about this Subject, toward the latter end of his tecond book de Kepublica, p.377. Ege. tomisceundi ex editione Ser- sed up their Gods in the ha-

bit and figure of men, and cloathed them with all the infirmities and paffions incident to humane nature, and hereby made way for all the debaucheries and superstitions, that lust could possibly suggest, or a troubled fancy invent. They made use of no other faculty to judg of God, but a gross ima-

(b) In his Epistle to Herodotus, as it is extant in Diegenes Laertius \_\_ is outtonen weahaleian ni perli-Ses में हेरू को में रवंशमाड मकायाद कियात, के भे मे अरण्डांक में कृष्टिक में कलक मितान की नमाराov Town vive Sau. edit. Londinensis

gination; (b) Epicurus upon this very flight pretence excluded God from having any thing to do in the ordering and because he fancied, this Philosophy, To particion & at Buglor, & TS ours monthala & Xd, ere and a touglov. ere aze aze ob Anie aze Sabie a ame Sejan . ch . could not be done without anxiety and trouble, like adjust 38 man 78 rollery, p. 30c. and laid down therefore in the first world, becker he all place by his great admirer and follower the 1 ye consecut he all thereting in the hearinging of the law of the second of the s the due management of a Lucretius in the beginning of his philoso- Look Land phical Poem, to make the better way fore the working of his philosophical Poem, to make the better way fore the working which we have the working the working which we have the working the working which we have the working which we work the working which we would be the working which we work the working which we would be the work of the wor great charge or employthe Atheifm, which was to follow, that is, ment, which takes up ones to exclude God, with a fairer pretence, from having any thing to do, either with whole time, and requires the framing or governing of the world, and to deny a providence: that cenfure, contrivance and study and which Cotta in Tully mentions to have bin past upon him by several, being exforefight to keep things in an aftly true - Video nonnullis videri Epicurum ne in offenfionem Athenienfium caequal poise, to prevent dis-1. de Nat. Dearum, Speaking of this very orders, to apply remedies Abeifical aphorifm. to the least inconveniences, that otherwise might quickly grow and improve into a mifchief, and to secure all by an equal distribution of rewards and punishments; forgetting that God's power is infinite and inexhaustible; that his eyes reach from one end of the world to the other, and see into the very essences of things; that all things are at his absolute disposal and command; that trouble only arises either from fear of fuccess, or when we are overwhelm'd

with business, or our strength is not propor-

tionable or any way sufficient to sustain so

great a weight. Aetius presently rejects the eter-

nali

The Credibility of the Mysteries per proofs of their own, as all other arts and sciences have.

To judge of these things therefore by our narrow conceptions, is a most false and unwarrantable way of procedure; and indeed it cannot feem strange, that so much Error and Blaspheny and all that direful train of Heresies, in matters relating to God and Religion, which have so much disturb'd the peace of Christendome, should spring from this one abfurd and corrupt principle. Hence it was alfo, that (a) Orpheus, and the

(2) See the excellent discourse of other Greek Poets have dref-Fluo about this fubiect, toward the latter end of his second book de Kepublica, p.377. Goc. tomi secondi ex editione ser- sed up their Gods in the hater end of his fecond book de Republica, bit and figure of men, and

cloathed them with all the infirmities and pafsions incident to humane nature, and hereby made way for all the debaucheries and superstitions, that lust could possibly suggest, or a troubled fancy invent. They made use of no other faculty to judg of God, but a gross ima-

(b) In his Epistle to Herodotus, as it is extant in Diegenes Laertins -i outonin washalem i deeli-JES में ठेड़ yai में त्रवंशम्ह मकावड़ 167 मा, कं भे वे अपने में कि में किए में कला की निमानांον ταυτα γένε αι. edit. Londinensis

gination; (b) Epicurus upon this very flight pretence excluded God from having any thing to do in the ordering

and

and governing of the world, p.285. — This he establish as one of his wife growing the wife of the world wife of the standard of the world of the wor because he fancied, could not be done without anxiety and trouble, like the due management of a great charge or employment, which takes up ones whole time, and requires contrivance and study and forelight to keep things in an equal poise, to prevent disorders, to apply remedies Asheiftical aphorism. to the least inconveniences, that otherwise might quickly grow and improve into a mis-

this Philotophy, To pared for x) at taglor, 575 ase ere de your ere zalen omitzelan en to க்கியுள் 30 எவ் சல் சல்சால் , p. 30c. and laid down therefore in the first world, becker place by his great admirer and follower that the second his philad Paem to make the barrer with the second his philad Paem to make the second his philad Paem phical Poem, to make the better way fore to to fice. the Atheifm, which was to follow, that is, to exclude God, with a fairer pretence, from having any thing to do, either with the framing or governing of the world, and to deny a providence: that censure, which Cotta in Tully mentions to have bin past upon him by several, being exally true -Video nonnullis videri Epi. curum ne in offenfionem Athenienfium caderet, verbis reliquiffe Deos, re fuffuliffe. lit. 1. de Nat. Dearum, Speaking of this very

chief, and to secure all by an equal distribution of rewards and punishments; forgetting that God's power is infinite and inexhaustible; that his eyes reach from one end of the world to the other, and see into the very essences of things; that all things are at his absolute disposal and command; that trouble only arises either from fear of fuccels, or when we are overwhelm'd with business, or our strength is not proportionable or any way sufficient to sustain so great a weight. Aetius presently rejects the eter-

nal generation of the Son of God, because this does not in all things agree with natural generations; and because it cannot be so with men, he impioufly and dogmatically concludes, it is an' impossible notion, and thinks he has reason

gue eft LXXVI.

for his blasphemy and peremptoriness, by laying down seven and forty arguments for it, as they are numbred and confuted by \* Epiphanius in his Panarium. The same gross fancies have the Mahometans of this article of faith to this day, who deride the Christians, by asking impious questions concerning it, and even in their Devotion renounce it with a great deal of earnestness, with a far be it from thee, what the Christians impute to thee; as if man were the measure and standard of all things, even of God himself, who made him, and who is of infinite perfection, beyond the utmost reach of fancy. or conception. His actions and understanding must needs as much transcend ours, as does his essence. His ways are not as our ways, nor his thoughts as our thoughts. Isa. lv. 8.

2. This Hypothesis of theirs, that nothing is or ought to be believ'd but what is cleared up to the understanding by the evidence of the things of the Christian Religion.

things themselves, does wholly take away the 2 day way Blessedness and Rewardableness annext to Faith.

One necessary condition to make any action capable of reward or commendation is, that it flow from a principle of liberty; and herein man, who is endowed with reason, the only true foundation of it has the preeminence above all other creatures, that act only by instinct, or the force of appetite, or by necessity of \* Nature; He becomes hereby as it were \* Principio-Lord of himself, and can act or not act, according as he is guided by counsel and rational xxxviii. motives, or meerly as it pleafeth him; and according either to the right or ill use of this liberty, he is to be judg'd, whether he has deserved well or no. That Chrystals shoot out into curious and exactly regular figures, that the flakes of Snow are Hexagonal, and ten thousand other Rarities of Nature, are not to the commendation of the things themselves: They thew admirably the wisdom of the first contriver of them: the Artist, not the Pendulum, is praised, though it measures time so exactly, and performs all its various motions without any interruption or inequality, because this neces-

farily

34

farily arises from a due proportion of weights and wheels, and from a just adaptation of the feveral parts of it; 'tis the perfection of a man, that he acts freely, and confequently that he is virtuous out of choice, notwithstanding all the allurements and inclinations of fense. And the like is to be faid of the feveral affents of the mind; if the truths of Religion were in themfelves so clear and evident, that we could not but affent, whether we would or no, if they could be prov'd by arguments, deriv'd from fense or nature, where then would be the bleffedness of Faith our Saviour speaks of, which belong to those, who have not seen, and yet have believed? when we have a clear and distinct perception of a thing, then we know it; and he must be very stupid and very pertinacious, that ill not submit to the truth, and evidence, and conviction of a demonstration. How ridiculous would it be to raise a dispute, and heap up arguments against clear evidence, and pretend diffatisfaction in the midst of so great

certainty, as science affords? If there were no difficulty in the notions, where were that Obe-

dience of Faith, the Apostle St. Paul mentions?

where

where would be our fubmission and humility? for a trial of which I am perswaded, that many Mysteries are now proposed by God, which hereafter as a reward of our Faith shall be more clearly made out to us, and that this shall be one principal part of the glory that shall attend the bleffed in the other world, when we shall be divested of those circumstances, that now hinder the exertions of Reason, when our understandings shall be enlightned, and our capacities enlarged, and our thoughts heightened and exalted; not that it is possible for the most refined and raised intellect ever to attain to a full and comprehensive knowledg of them (for the Angels, those glorious spirits, who attend the throne, and are continually in the prefence of God, humbly vail their faces and adore) but that what we now know by Faith and Revelation only, we shall have a somewhat clearer infight into, and be as fully and fatisfactorily convinced of, as for instance, that there is a Trinity of Persons in one undivided Essence, as if we understood the manner of their several subfiftences.

3. It reflects upon the Wildom and Power vy &

ha le in

of God, who may, if he please, propose these things to us and command us to believe them. For that God may do this, who can question? or deny, that we are as much obliged to give up our judgments and understandings, as our wills, to his will, to affent to any speculation or truth of doctrine revealed by him, as to any mode of instituted worship commanded by him, or any precept of Morality; and that I am not to object and throw in my little conjectures and probabilities, because it is not altogether, or in the least, evident to my reason, when the nature of the thing renders it impossible that it should, or if it did not, yet his command should be enough to force my affent? now to fancy, that nothing is or ought to be credible, but what can be made out and cleared up to the understanding by the evidence of the things themselves, destroyes this suppofition, which has its certainty from, and is supported by, several of the divine attributes. The Wisdom and Power of God are both infinite. and therefore he knows more, and can do more, than what we possibly can conceive: otherwise we must equal our little knowledg, which we chiefly

chiefly derive from the images and representations of things in our minds, and which every contemptible insect and vegetable is too big for, with his; and upon the same account, we must fancy our power equal too: which is the effect of an irrational pride and madness, like that of the Apostate Angels, and by consequence, throw off our dependence upon him, and deny to yield obedience to his laws, because they do as much cross our vitious and corrupt inclinations, as the Mysteries of our Faith do our narrow conceptions and fentiments. An infinite understanding only can fully comprehend an infinite perfection; such a proportion between the faculty and the object being altogether necessary: for if it could be comprehended by a finite intellect, it would immediately cease to be infinite. How insufferable then is such an insolence! How vain and foolish are such imaginations! and every high thing, as the Apostle speaks, extravagant fancies and conceits, that get into the brain, that exalt themselves against the knowledg of God, which ought to be captivated and made subject upon the highest Reason in the World to the obedience revelations

ence and doctrine of Christ: which will appear by descending to the

good, that the Christian Religion requires us to believe its Mysteries upon such grounds, as we cannot reject without doing violence to our fa-

culties, and consequently, that the rejecting

referved or fecret meaning or equivocation, or

con-

and disbelieving them must be unreasonable.

There grounds are chiefly these two.

Lethantus si cive we disort. That we believe and admit the divine

Revelations.

our understandings and all the powers of our minds to the Will of God.

I. That we believe and admit divine Retyvelations; because God is of infinite veracity,
what and to deceive is repugnant to the holiness of
his Nature; there is an utter impossibility in it.
Now if we repose so much trust and considence
in a friend, because we have tried him, and
know that he is a man of great integrity, and
that he abhors the very thought of deceiving
any one with the least salsehood, and speaks
exactly according to his knowledg without any

of the Christian Religion.

concealing part of the proposition in his mind that it may be otherwise understood than he intends it; much more with all the readiness of fubmission of mind imaginable are we to receive, whatever comes from God, without the least demur, or doubt, or contradiction. This an infinite and eternal rectitude does justly challenge from us; for God may affoon deny his being, as falfifie his word; fo that whoever goes about to question or disbelieve any 2,000 thing that God has revealed, will run himself follow upon one of these two gross and absurd impieties, either doubt whether God himself has an exact and perfect knowledg of those things, he has propos'd to our belief, or whether he has been just and true to deliver what he knows. It is a most rational conclusion of St. John 1 Epist.v.10. he that believeth not God, has made bim a lyar. No difficulty then can or ought to deter me from the belief of a thing, if God has once revealed it; nor can the mind of manpossibly defire a greater satisfaction than this.

2. That we yield obedience and submit our blan understandings and all the powers of our property of minds to the will of God, for

.

1. That there are thousands of things de 1 There are 10009 facto above our knowledg and conception cannot be deemed by any, without the highest immodesty, an unjust postulatum.

The Credibility of the Mysteries

2. That all or at least most of our knowledg deriving from lense, the more things are freed and abstracted from the entanglements of gross matter, the more difficult is the conception; because they fall less under the examination of our fenles, from which we receive fo great prejudices in our infancy and childhood, which make that deep impression on our fancies, that

they are not eafily to be removed. aw 3. God by virtue of his absolute dominion and foveraignty may command us to affent to things above our reach, and conception, and knowledg. Faith is not to choose its Objection more than a mans will can prescribe and set to him a Law, because its whole and only power confifts in the liberty of obeying or not obeying of a Law prescrib'd by a superiour Power. Whatfoever Doctrine therefore is delivered and revealed by God, becomes immediately credible, by reason of the authority, that does accompany it, and enforce it upon

The Articles of Faith carry along with them sufficient motives of Credibility, but then these motives must not be fetched from the nature of the things themselves, as if they were to be so evident, that our Reason might fully discover their connexion and dependance, but from without; that is, my Faith is rightly grounded, and an obligation lies upon me to believe, what is proposed by God, if it be evidenced to be, by just and rational proofs: and if the authority be certain and infallible: God therefore declaring his Will, and confirming the Revelations he has made of it by his divine Power, this latter is a fufficient proof and a just and rational ground of my Belief; for how abfurd would it be for any one, because he cannot comprehend and make out a thing fully, which in the nature of it, and by reason of our weakness and incapacity, is incomprehenfible, and which he ought to acknowledg to be such, unless he will presume to measure Eternity and grasp Infinity with a span, therefore to doubt of fo plain a truth, as this is, that the divine Power cannot be made use of to confirm any Proposition, but what is exactly true and cer-

tain?

Javiour-

tain? fo that this is not to forego our Reason, asthe Socinians plead, for nothing is more agreeable to the principles of right Reason, but to act according to it; and therefore to fay that we Believe Tknow not what, if they mean, that the objects of our Faith cannot be proved to exist with the same kinds of proofs, as what is prefented to our fenfes, or as a propriety may be demonstrated of the subject of a speculative Science, this cannot be any prejudice at all to our belief because in all Faith, whether Humane or Divine, there cannot be the same clearness and evidence, but that there are such Objects of our Faith we are as certainly affured, as if we had a particular demonstration of each.

Now that the Mysteries of Christianity are confirm'd by fuch an authority, and therefore are to be believed by us, and consequently that the Christian Religion requires our affent to no more, than what is apparent to be God's Will, we have this affurance, that they were attefted and made good by the miracles of our Saviour; by these he proved his Commission to be deriv'd from Heaven. This was the belief of the Tens in general, both Learned and Unlearned, Nicodemus

was fully convinced of the truth and evidence of it, 70h.iii. 2. Rabbi, we know, that thou art a Teacher come from God, for no man can do those miracles, that thou doft, except God be with him. In the case of the blind man, who was restored to his fight, the doubt was rational, How can a man, that is a sinner do such miracles? Joh. ix. 16. If this man were not of God, he could do nothing, v. 33. for that is, he could not do fuch things, as are above the power of a meer Man, which we see her we have her him do. It was nothing but a most unjust prejudice to our Saviours Person, and to the mean. ness of his Birth and Parentage, arising from a false principle concerning the temporal Kingdom of the Messias, through a misunderstanding of the Prophesies, that made them, against their Belief and Conscience, reject the authority of fo many evident and often repeated miracles; and though they would not acknowledg him for their Messas, that came in a way of humility and meekness, so opposite to their humours and expectations, who thought of nothing, but triumphs and revenge; yet they are forced to acknowledg, that the Messias could not

do greater; and lastly our blessed Saviour appeals

4.3

to miracles, as to his credentials, as being a most rational motive to work faith in the minds of the most scrupulous; if ye believe not me, believe the works that I do. This then is a sufficient confirmation of our Saviours mission, and of the doctrine He and the Apostles delivered from him, and preach'd through the several parts of the World, which they travelled, and after put in writing for the benefit and greater fatisfaction of all fucceeding Generations. Nor are we now at this great distance of time to call for new figns from Heaven, or to defire a farther confirmation of what hath been received fo univerfally for fo many fuccessions of Ages. The holy Scriptures are the authentick Registers of the Doctrine and Revelations of God, and that I may add this by the way, were they but of humane authority, they deserved not to be drolled upon, but to be treated with an equal, if not a greater, respect, than Polybius, or Livy, not only upon the account of their Antiquity. but for those excellent remarks they contain, and the Theists of our Age may as well doubt, whether there were fuch a man as Cyrus and Alexander, as Moses and Joshua, and question whether

whether Cicero wrote those Orations, and the other excellent Books, that go under his name, or Virgil those admired Poems, as whether St. Mathew or St. John, who were the known Disciples of Christ, and conversed daily with him for above three years together, wrote those Gospels, which contain the History and AEIs of his Life and Death.

Upon these evidences our affent is raised. which make it rational and just; our Faith is resolv'd into the testimony of God, which is only the rule of it, we believe nothing, but what our Saviour and his Apostles taught, for which we have the authority of their words, and what the whole number of Christian People embraced and received, as the just and true meaning Now because we cannot reconcile these express and clear Revelations of the Gospel, laid down in plain expressions, as that Christ is the son of God, was in the beginning with God, before the world was made, God manifested in the stesh, God blessed for ever, and that he and the father are one (not to descend to the other Articles, which are laid down as clearly) with our narrow conceptions of things, is most irrationally.

nally to conclude against God in favour of our felves, meerly for this only reason, because we cannot tell or understand, how it can or should be, when he hath told us expresly it is so. Hereupon they heap up strange and absurd interpretations of Scripture, and which are impossible to be true; they deny to words their proper, and natural and genuine fignifications; they fancy nothing but improprieties and ambiguities of expression; and admit of absurd notions for all their high vaunts and pretences to reason, which destroy the very design and institution of Christianity. Thus our most blessed Saviour, the only begotten fon of God must be only fo ny χάριν, or ny προκοπην κι αρείην βίε, God only by grace and favour, and for the holiness and

(a) The 3 xers or were of the highest excellence of his life, as (a) Ebion, ה מאחשהים, אן צפור פון עון DES XT הפי-ROWHY RE XT GUNGAGAR avagagis & ara-Dev weds auldy marrieuns. Epiphanius in herefi Ecionaorus, q x efi XXX.fedt. XVIII, ex edit. Peturn. Perifis 1622.

(b) Epiphanius in hærefi A innerum, que eft LXIX. fed. XVIII. p.741. (c) Gregorius Abulpharagius, in hifto-

Dynagicarum, Arabice, p. 129, edit.

Oxon. 1663. Euzebins in Annalibus Alexnorth only andrina Arabice, edit. Oxon. parte prihame but of cymis p.397. & 441.

Lential attributes of only the name 1.

Samosatenus used to blaspheme of old, or Deus Fa-Etus, a Created God only, fuch by Designation and Office, as our modern Socinians impioufly distinguish, when, not only the name, but the essential Attributes of the

and (b) Arius, and (c) Paulus

the Godbead are ascribed to him. Thus the Do-Etrine of the Ever bleffed Trinity, which is clearly

contain'd in the form of (i) Baptisin, as-might fully be made good against the exceptions and cavils of Wol. fogenius, and in St. 70b. v.7. (a (e) Verse written by the same hand that wrote all the rest of the Epifle, as it is most evident from the verses in conjunction with it, which & filio sociareiur, sient seripium oft, nei would be altogether defective and imperfect without it, however it be omitted in the Alexandrine Manuscript, rather by chance (for that is not the

(d) This argument drawn from the Form of Baptism, is generally made ute of by all the antient Fathers, against the blatchemy of Sabellins, Arms, and the reft of the Hereticks, who had departed from the true faith, effablisht at firft, to follow phanfies and inventions of their own. But referving these numerous citations for another work, I thall content my feif at present to fay with the Author of the Breviarium fidei adversus Arianos, who lived above 1200 years fince, put out by the most learned Sirmondus, to whom the world is fo much obliged, for his publishing several writings of the antients, out of MSS. Qui [Spiritus funtius] fi Dens non effet, non in baptismo in uno nomine Leitatis patri regulam baptifici pofuit ipfo Dominus : Ite, inquit, baptizate omnes gentes in nomine Patris dy Filii dy Spiritus Sazeli. Qued folum testimonium deberet haveticis full cere ad credulitatem inseparabele Trinitatiesquia nec iffe audent aliter baptizare, ne regulani Domini corrumpere videartur. Et uti unum nomen dicitur, ibi & mejor & miner exe-

(e) Of this fee the Appendix.

only omission in that Copy) than design, as if it had favoured the Herefie of the Antitrinitarians;) this Doctrine of the Trinity, I say, must be exploded, because they cannot satisfie their bold curiofity, as why the emanation of the. Deity stops at three Hypostafes, that is, why the Divine Essence is not communicated to more. than Three Persons, and how it can be Commu-

nicated

micated, and yet altogether remain Undivided,

# Turnet as four following of and the like. That this Article was explicitly
believed in the very beginnings of Christianity.

believed in the very beginnings of Christianity, may, to omit at present other wayes of proofs, be evinced hence, that the Heathens of those times used to upbraid the Christians with the belief of so unlikely a Dostrine. Thus Critian in the Dialogue Philopatris (which if not Lucians, was written however in Trajan's time, whose victories and successes in the East, and particularly in the taking of Ctesiphon and Babylon and other places from the Persians, and in repressing the incursions of the Scythians, as happing just at that time, are there mentioned) when Triephon had expressed the belief and sense of the Christians about this Article, by ad-

juring ὑψιμέδον Ια Θεὸν μέγαν ἀμβροτον, ἐρανίωνα ὑιὸν πατρὸς, πνεῦμα ἐκπορευόμενον, ἐν ἐκ τριῶν, κὰ ἐξ ἐνὸς Ἱρία; ταῦτα νόμιζε Ζῆνα κὰ ἡγε Θεὸν, makes a mock at it, and replies with a great deal of impudent raillery, ἀριθμέ-

ειν μη διδάσπεις, η όςκος η 'Αςιθμετική, η γας άςιθμετικής, ως Νικόμακο ο Γεςάσηνο, εκ δισα Ιι λέγεις εν Ιςία, Ιςία εν; μη Ιην πετρακίην

φης την Πυθαγός ; ή Ιην όγδο άδα η τςιακάδα.

of the Christian Religion.

So far is that from having the least truth in it, which the Enemies and Opposers of this Dostrine affirm, without the least shew of Reason and Authority, that it derives wholly from Pythagoras and Plato, and was learned in their Schools, and afterwards dreft up by the Fathers, who were admirers of that Philosophy, and not heard of till the Third or Fourth Century. So that upon the whole it will appear, that the Christian Religion has just and fure evidences, and therefore to fancy, which is the only thing they can alledge in behalf of their Unbelief, that nothing is or can be believed, but what ought to be fully comprehended by the Understanding, is so foolish, so unjust, so unreasonable a thing, that nothing but intolerable Pride and Obstinacy can possibly suggest such a Thought, and consequently that before any one can become an Arrian or a Socinian, he must fore-· go his Reason, and forget that God is of infinite Persection, and forget too, that he himself is a Man.

To draw towards a Period. Christianity being a Great Mystery, and necessarily such:

1 1, It

n

Jacrife 192

It is but a natural inference, that all our

enquiries into the Articles of it be fober and franta not where the modelt; that we expect not a comprehensive knowledg of them; that we be not roo bufie and curious in our Searches into the Secrets of God; that being conscious to our selves of the defects, and shallowness, and weakness of our Reason in lesser matters; how imperfect and untrue oftentimes our collections are of fenfible beings, to which our faculties may feem proportionate; and to what errors and delusions we are subject, by taking up false notions, by fancy and prejudice; we learn to be wife unto fobriety, and not to think of our selves, above what we ought to think. It was nothing at first, but an overbold curiofity. not content with Revelation, and with just proofs of it, that raised in the mind thoughts of Disbelief; but it stopt not here; it soon improved into a proud conceit of mastering all the difficulties of Religion by the strength of Reason; and to this we may justly impute

the original and growth of all those Heresies

and Blasphemies, that have been vented from

the very first Preaching of the Gospel to this

day.

of the Christian Religion. day. It is a vain thing to think to do this; 'tis a passing beyond the bounds which God and our own Nature hath fet us; a piece of Sacrilegious rashness, as Salvian justly words it, in his third Book De Gubernatione Dei, speaking of the various dispensations of Providence: Hoc ipsum genus quali Sacrilega teme- Lahrien; sales lega te

ritatis est, si plus scire cupias quam sinaris: The grant and start and star so neither to be proved by the Principles of shares Mathematicks or Natural Philosophy. It is as great folly to attempt it, as to expect it, both arifing from a wantonness of Wit, which quickly loofes it felf in a Labyrinth of wild Opinions, and pleafing it felf with new Notions and Ideas, is more and more perplext and entangled, and is scarce ever reducible

What ill success the Schoolmen have had in their attempts this way upon the Articles of Religion, Christendome has long fince had fad experience of; these men guilty of the other extream would fcarce acknowledg any thing of Mystery in it; all things seemed so clear to them, as if they had had a particu-

to a right and fober temper.

lar

· lar Revelation; they have thrown open the Vail, that covers the Ark; they define boldly, and obtrude their Conjectures for Oracles. St. Paul and St. John shall be explained and proved by the Writings of Plato and Ariftotle; thus prostituting the Majesty of the Sacred Scriptures, and corrupting the Simplicity of the Christian Religion by their niceties and fubtilities of Distinctions, and exposing it the more to the Cavils of Hereticks, who obferving the falseness of their Principles, and the weakness and incompetency of their Proofs, are more encouraged to reject the truth of it. Hereby too a Contentious and Disputative Theology has been introduced in the Schools; and unnecessary and bold questions started, impossible to be resolved with any fatisfaction, which perplex and confound the Understanding, and are so far from Building us up in our Holy Faith, and from explaining the Doctrine of it, that it has scarce suffered by any one thing more. Some things we may understand, but we see more to admire, which with all our art and fubtility we can never attain to. It is enough, that the Chri-

Stian

stian Religion doth perswade us by Rational Arguments to the acknowledgment of its Doarine, that it laies down sufficient grounds of the certainty and necessity of our Belief, that it gives us all the affurance we can, with any modesty, pretend to, and all the proofs the nature of the things, proposed to our belief, are capable of and will bear. 'Tis Faith in Christ, that He is the Son of God and the Saviour of the World, that denominates us Christians; to deny this, how excellent a Person soever we make him for Meekness and Holiness of Life, is to renounce Christianity, and in effect to turn Mahometans; for they acknowledg Christ to have been a Great Prophet, to have been born of a Virgin, to have been assumed into Satis fit pro universis ra- An thor when, ye best Heaven, and the like. tionibus Author Deus; as the same Salvian has sate it. This is that, that is equivalent to ten" thousand Demonstrations: this will level all those objections, that are raised against the Mysteries of Christianity; that will filence all the Sopbistry of Corrupt Reason, and cut off all those Arguments, which prefumptuous Men are wont to make: and certainly if we rightly

con-

consider it, the Mysteries of Christianity, as they are proposed in the Scriptures, are by Christia mon reason of the great difficulties, that attend e were the the conception of them, so far from being in-

are morecredible, that they ought thereby to become by hamore credible; that is, they are more worthy of the infinite Majesty and perfection of God, by how much they are above the reach of our Faculties.

> 2. Let us remember that Christianity is a Myflery of Godliness, and consequently that the Great Musteries of it ought to have an influence upon our Lives and Practices. the one hand, to fay, that these Great Articles of our Faith are nice Speculations, and the explicit Belief of them, as they are proposed, not necessary, and to question that Sense of them, in which they have always been received by the Catholick Church, is to undermine the Fundamentals of Christianity; So on the other side, it takes off very much from the obligation to

That God should send his Son into the world

Obedience, and dulls those affections, which

a reflexion on these Great Mysteries must needs

cause in the mind.

of the Christian Religion.

world to discover this Mystery to us in Perfon, and in order to our Redemption, was the Effect of an Infinite Wisdom, and of an Infinite Love; that God should be Manifested in the Flesh for our sakes, and submit himself to the weaknesses, and imperfections, and contumelies of the humane nature; that the Second Person of the Trinity, Co-essential and Co-eternal with the Father, should condescend to assume flesh, and therein to suffer; a reflection on this cannot but fill us with admiration and One great part of the Worlhip we Advise to the God confifts in our administration owe to God confifts in our admiring his infinite Perfections; all our Praises and Thanksgivings are but the outward fignifications of this, and faint expressions of our thoughts, which loofe themselves in the contemplation of them. Now these Mysteries afford us eternal matter for our admiration. Besides, what greater obligation to Obedience can there posfible be, than the Revelation of this Mystery, upon which our Salvation is founded? A Holy and Religious Life then is the best evidence 'of our belief of these Articles of Christianity beyond all subtility of Disputation. This ef-

pecially

The Credibility of the Mysteries,&c.

pecially concerns us, who are dignified with the Holy Priesthood, who are Ministers of Christ, and Stewards of the Mysteries of God. This shews, that we do more than barely affent to the truth of them, when they produce in us all, both Priests and Lay, these effects, for which they were principally discovered; that so living in obedience to the will of God revealed to us by his Son, whom he fent out of his own Bosom, and in all holy conversation and godliness, we may at last be admitted to the fight and fruition of his glorious Godhead, to fing Praises and Hallelujah's to the bleffed Trinity for ever and ever, Amen.

Ap-

# Appendix. Isolar si

T must be confessed, that this 37 Verse is not to be met with in feveral Old MSS. as particularly in the mentioned Alex-mgs andrine, now in the Kings Li-

brary at St. Jame's, brought out of Egypt by Cyrillus Lucari, when he removed from the See of Alexandria to the Patriarchate of Constantinople, who was strangled by the Turks in the year 1638, and fent to K. Charles I. though not fo antient, I believe, as is pretended, as if it had been wrote by the hand of Thecla, an Egyptian Woman of an honourable Extraction, and a Martyr for the Christian Faith, condemned to the Amphitheatre under Dioclesian, as Eusebius relates in the Supplement to the Eight Book of his Ecclefiastical History, which is found in several Copies, if it be his (cap. 3.) before the first Council of Nice, which is barely faid and conjectured; and I suppose, that it may be proved, that the Vatican exemplar is the more Genuine 10hn5.7

ine of the two, and comes nigher the Original. It is omitted also in an ancient Manuscript in the Archives of our Colledg Library, containing the New Testament entire (except the Apocalyps) with the Pfalter and several Hymns collected out of the Old Testament, the words er In yn being also wanting in the eight verse, and in several others. Upon this the Enemies of this Do-Etrine triumph and boldly pretend, that it was net minimum on achonisinferted by the Catholicks: Thus to mention only one for all, Socinus himself in his Commentary on these words—Satis constat illa esse Adulterina, o ab hominibus, qui suum dogma de trino & uno Deo quacung; ratione defendere & propagare volebant, in hunc locum infareta. But let the appeal lye to any indifferent Person, which is most likely, that those, who professed their belief of this Doctrine, which was grounded too upon several other Texts of Scripture, and was derived down to them from the first Ages of

the Church, and which they contended for with

fo much earnestness, should without any ne-

ceffity dare commit, such a Forgerie, which

could not but be taken notice of by their watchful Enemies, or that this should be done

by the Opposers of this Dolirine, who were ar - This is noted raigned in general, by all the Catholick Writers, ty to my or of 19 who had to do with them, as falfifiers of the do 2 in facred Records, and were fo much concern'd to do it in defence of their private tenets and fancies, and especially to raze this Text, with which they were to oppreffed, out of feveral Copies, from which by Transcripts it might easily be propagated into others: And confequently it is not to be admired, that feveral of the Fathers, no not Athanasius himself, nor Cyril of Alexandria, nor St. Hilary, who defended with fo much learning the truth of this great Mystery, did not make use of this Testimony, they

lighting upon some of these Transcripts; which is to be faid also for St. Austin, in his Book 3. 64. Chap. 22. against Maximinus an Arian Bishop, for St. Leo in his Epistle to Flavian Bishop of Constantinople, against the Heresie of Eutyches, Ep. 10. Cap. 5. for Eucherius de questionibus N. Man 6. ex Es Testamenti, and for Oecumenius in his Commen- Pattle 1542

same reason holds for the omission of it in the grain Syriack, Arabick, and Ethiopick Translations, the two former of which, as they are now extant, as lating for 18 fame

tary on this Epistle, and several others. The

is most probable, were made long fince the times of Arius, notwithstanding the pretentions of some to a far greater Antiquity, the last is confessedly of a later Date. The scarcity of Copies in those days, and the malitious industry and cunning of the Hereticks render the conje-Eture fufficiently probable, if no Copy were to be found with this Verse entire, and that we had only the authority of some of A:e Antients, who cite it as authentick, as having met with it in their Books. The Divines of Lovain in collating the N.T. with a great number of Latin Copies, found it only wanting in five. R. Stephanus in his Edition of the N.T. had the use of fifteen or fixteen old Greek MSS. above half of which retain'd it. So the Edition of the N.7. at Complutum compared with antient MSS.printed in the beginning of the Restauration of Polite Literature in Christendome, at the expences of the great Cardinal Ximenes, only with this variation, ότι τεας લેσιοι μαςτυς છે ν ες έν Ιώ εςανῷ, ὁ Πατης, ὁ ΛόγΦ, κὶ Ίὸ άγιον Πνεῦμα ; κὶ ὁι leas es lò en elo1. Thus Erasmus confesses he met with a Manuscript in England, which he calls by the name of Codex Britanicus, which had

the whole feventh Verse, as we now read it, and the eight Verse, the latter part thus altered,  $\hat{x_1}$ leas dow οι μαρτυρθνίες έν Ιη γη, Πνευμα, "Υδως, Αιμα είς Ιην μας Ιυρίαν Ιων ανθεώπων. I shall lay no stress upon two Writings, which pals under the name of Athanasius, where this Verse is cited, because it is not to be met with in those larger works of his, which are acknowledged genuine, the one is an account of a difputation, according to the title, had with Arius in the Council of Nice; but the title is faulty, as and appears from the Discourse it self; nor was Arius the Person disputed with there, but one of his followers; and the reason of the mistake of well for the title may be afcrib'd to an ignorant Libra-Am rius, putting down Arius for Arianus, and the Dialogue not real, but supposed, as was usual amongst the Fathers, introducing the Hereticks pleading their Cause, and the Orthodox resuting their Cavils and defending the Truth. And if this may pass for likely, there can be no great reason to suspect the Authenticalness of it, the (a) words are, πρὸς δὲ τέτοις πᾶσιν Ἰωάννης (δ) 11.1. F. Farific. φάσκα κίοι τρᾶς τὸ ἔν ἀσι. The other is in a Book extant only in Latine (b) lib. 1. de unità (c) Tom. 2 P Deitate

Atrana 62

Deitate Trinitatis ad Theophilum, dicente Joanne Evangelistà in Epistolà sua, tres sunt, qui testimonind many you spaum dicunt in Coolo, Pater, & Verbum, & Spiritus.

But this piece, I confess, is very justly rejected as none of his, though perchance wrote not many years after his time. St. Cyprian, who suffered Martyrdome about the year of Ch. 258. Galienus and his Son Valerianus being then Emperours, about fixty years before the calling of the Council of Nice, in his book de unitate Ecclesia Catholica, cites this Text expresly, as found in the Copies of his time: \_\_Dicit Dominus, Ego & Pater unum sumus, & iterum de Patre & Filio & Spiritu Sancto, o bi Tres unum sunt. It is not any way material to the defign and purpole of this Scholion to inquire, in what sense St. Cyprian understood these words, but only to vindicate the antiquity of the Copies, that retained this reading, though it might easily be proved that it was a thing usual with the Fathers, as no one can be ignorant, who has turn'd over their Writings, to interpret places of Scriptures sometimes, not according to their primary intent, but by way of accomodation. Which testimony is so clear and convincing that Sandius in

his

Appendix.

his Appendix quastionum Paradoxarum,uses all his art and skill to avoid the force of it, by pretending, that feveral things have been changed, added taken away, and some other way varied in the Epiftle, as appears by the observation of Posfevinus, who took the pains to compare the printed Copies with four MSS. and the acknowledgment of others, Perkins, James, and Rivet: from which premiles he concludes very boldly upon a meer possibility, that this place was never cited by that bleffed Martyr, but put in by some body else; Quam facile itaq; etiam bic locus interseri potuit ab his, qui non exhorruerunt sacras literas corrumpere propter metum Hereticorum. But first this is barely said without the least proof, and without the authority of any MS. Secondly neither Pamelius nor Rigaltius, nor any other, as I know of, who put forth St. Cyprian, make mention of any various reading in this place, all agreeing in it. Now that this Epistle is St. Cyprians is undoubted: St Cyprian himself referring to it, and that the reading is the same now, as it was in the old Copies written above eleven hundred and forty years ago, appears from Fulgentius, who not only cites this seventh verse in his

Seriprure

his book de fide Catholica adversus Pintam Episcopum Arianum, in his testimonies de Trinitate, and in his book de Trinitate ad Felicem Notarium, c.4. which thus begins, En habes in brevi alium effe Patrem, alium Filium, alium Spiritum fanctum, alium & alium in persona, non aliud & aliud in natura; & idcirco ego, inquit, o pater unum sumus; unum, ad naturam referre nos docens, sumus, ad personas: similiter to illud. Tressunt, inquit qui teslimonium dicunt in Cælo, Pater, Verbum, & Spiritus, & hi tres unum sunt. Audiat Sabellius, sumus! audiat tres : & credat effe tres personas, to non sacrilego corde blasphemet, dicendo, ipsum sibi esse Patremzipsum sibi Filium,ipsum sibi Spiritum sanctum, tanquam modo quodam seipsum gignat, aut modo quodam a seipso ipse procedat, cum hoc etiam in naturis creatis minime inveniri possit,ut aliquid seipsum gignere valeat. Audiat scilicet & Arius unum, o non differentis filium dicat effe natura, cum

(2) p. 591 ex Editione Treophili Rimaudi, natura diversa unum dici ne50c. Je'n, Fairfili 1671, printed with St.
Lee, Marimin Tournoulis, and four
others which inche up the hopeas tracking
large.

book contra objectiones Arianorum, in his answer to the tenth or last objection. His words are these, In Patre & Filio, & Spiritu sancto unitatem

lub-

substantiæ accipimus, personas confundere non audemus; beatus enim Johannes Apostolus testatur, dicens, tres sunt, qui testimonium perhibent in Cælo, Pater, Verbum, to Spiritus, o bi tres unum sunt. Quod etiam beatissimus Martyr Cyprianus in Epistolà de unitat: Ecclesiæ consitetur, dicens, qui pacem Christi & concordiam rumpit, adversus Christum facit: qui alibi præter Ecclesiam colligit, Christi Ecclesiam spargit. Atq;ut unam Ecclesiam unius Dei esse monstraret, bac confestim testimonia de Scriptur à inseruit, dicit Dominus, Ego & Pater unum sumus, & iterum, de Patre & Filio & Spiritu sancto scriptum est, & bi tres unum sunt. If it be said, that St. Cyprian cited only the latter part of the 8.v. where the vulgar Latine has those very words, & bi tres unum sunt (b) lib.1.p.16. that thus Facundus, Episcopus Hermianensis, in the mondi Parisis time of Justinian, to whom he dedicates his book, which he wrote pro desensione trium capitulorum Concilii Chalcedonensis, seems to understand it, without taking any notice of the 7.v. citing this place of St. Cyprian, though by a lapse of his memory he saies it is to be found in Epistola sive libro, quem de Trinitate scripsit: I reply first in general that \[in] might eafily be left out by the oscitancy of the Librarii, not to fay, razed out by the Hereticks; the Syriack Interpreter reading in his Greek Copy, what we find in ours.

Appendix.

ours, as to the latter part, ich or tgells elle lo ev elou, and accordingly translating it so, tres funt testes, Spiritus & Aqua & Sanguis, & hi tres in uno funt -Bechad, and so the Arabick Interpreter & in uno, only the Æthiopick conforming to the present reading of the vulgar Latine. But what will they fay to the Alexandrine MS. which they so much adore, which has the same reading, ότι Ιζείς ἐισιοι μας Ιυεθντες, τὸ πνεῦμα, κὶ τὸ ὕδως, κὶ τὸ αιμα, κὶ ὁι Ιρείς έις τὸ ἔν ἐισι; which are the words also of our MS. fo in the Copies, which Oecumenius followed Hilgeig έισιν οι μαςτυς έντες, τὸ πνεῦμα, κὶ τὸ ὕδως, κὶ τὸ αιμα, κί οι Ιρείς έις τὸ έν έισιν. St. Hierom's translation leaves out in the 8.v. o hitres unum or in unum sunt, and so the Greek of Arias Montanus, and the Complutensian Edition; in the Margin of which later it is noted, that Aquinas in the exposition of the second decretal de summa Trinitate against Abbot Foachim, who perverfely interpreting the end of the 7.v. of the unity of will and consent, alledges the end of the 8.v. for his authority and justification, had made this observation, sed hoc in veris exemplaribus non habetur, sed dicitur esse appositum ab Hereticis Arianis ad pervertendum intellectum sanum auctoritatis præmissæ de unitate essentiæ trium personarum, I suppose the great respect

respect Aquinas had for the vulgar Latin, made him rather suspect the whole to be added, than that it was ill translated, which he would easily have acknowledged, had he confulted any Gr.MS. But this kind of learning they were not acquainted with in that Age of Scholaftical ignorance and barbaroufness. Secondly, as they take it for granted, that this was the reading of the vulgar Latine at that time, so they more vainly and weakly suppose, that St. Cyprian made use of the same vulgar Latine edition, the contrary of which appears in feveral of his citations, and it is more likely, that he might translate so literally the latter part of the 7.v. and not at all regard the 8.v. or the vulgar translation, and so it appears from the testimony of Fulgentius, cited above, that he understood it.

Afterward when several, out of an evil design to overthrow the Myslery of the most blessed and adorable Trinity, omitted in their translations of the Scriptures into the Latin Tongue this Verse (a liberty which, it seems, every Pretender almost made use of, and it may well be suspected, that an Arian then, as a Socinian now, in his translation would be overfavourable to his own opinions, by leaving out and putting in what might make for them, and accor-

K 2 dingly

find it in fefiles. The Stile fil.

dingly interpreting what was retained to their best (a) This Pre-advantage; (a) St. Hierome in his preface to the Caed in an old nonical Epifles, vindicates the antient reading, and M. A with the laies open the baseness and persidiousness of these Gloß, and men. I shall here put down the whole Preface; Non veral Mss ita ordo est apud Græcos, qui integre sapiunt, & fidem Bodleym and rectam fellantur Epistolarum septem, quæ Canonicæ nunhage Library cupantur, sicut in Latinis codicibus invenitur: Quod quia Cubolick Esti Petrus primus est in numero Apostolorum, prima sunt eis exactly St. tiam ejus Epistolæ, in ordine cæterarum; sed sicut Evanquestionless gelistas dudum ad veritatis lineam correximus, ita has knowledg'd proprio ordini Deo juvante reddidimus. Est enim prima as such, both by Erasmus earum una Jacobi, dua Petri, to tres Johannis, & Juda and Socious, however o una. Qua si sicut ab eis digesta sunt, ita quoq; ab Interrasmus in his pretibus fideliter in Latinum verterentur eloquium,nec Hierom's ambiguitatem legentibus facerent, nec sermonum sese varietas impugnaret, illo precipue loco, ubi de unitate Trinitatis in prima Johannis Epistola positum legimus, in quà etiam ab infidelibus translatoribus, multum erratum esse à fidei veritate comperimus; tria tantummodo vocabula,hoc est,aquæ,sanguinis,& spiritus in ipså suâ editione ponentibus, & Patris verbiq; ac spiritus testimonium omittentibus, in quo maxime & fides Catholica roboratur, & patris ac filii ac spiritus sancti una divinitatis substantia comprobatur. In cateris vero Epistolis, quantum à nostra aliorum differt editio; Lectoris prudentia derelinquo. Sed tu Virgo Christi, Euftochium, dum à me impensius Scriptura veritatem inquiris, meam quodammodo senectutem invidorum dentibus corradendam exponis, qui me falsarium corruptoremq; sacrarum Scripturarum pronunciant. Sed ego in tali opere nec emulorum meorum invidentiam pertimesco nec sancta Scriptura veritatem poscentibus denegabo. Erasinus and Socinus are fo urged with this testimony of St. Hierome, that they are forced to make use of very pitiful and dis-ingenuous arguments to invalidate it. Socinus had faid before \_\_fortaffe ante Hieronymum vix ullus invenietur, qui testimonium istud boc in loco plane agnoverit, the fallity of which conjecture, however so warily laid down, has been disproved; hereby craftily concealing the citation out of St. Cyprian, he very boldly accuses St. Hierome of Forgery, who having got a Copy or Copies, in which this verse was added, adversus fidem aliorum omnium exemplarium, tam Latinorum,quam Græcorum,le&ionem particulæ istius tanquam germanam defendere & promovere capit, conquerens publice eam culpa & fraude hereticorum abrasam à vulgatis codicibus fuisse. But St Hierome has sufficiently confuted the falleness and boldness of this Cavil. He was used to this kind of language, as if

he had corrupted the Scriptures, but he was no way moved by it; though this accusation of those of his own time perchance may not so much be referr'd to this place, as to his translation in general, and may proceed not fo much from heretical malice and pravity, as envy of several of his contemporaries, who were orthodox in the faith, but were no friends to his new translation. He charges the omission upon these unfaithful Translators (questionless Sabelli ans and Arians) and upbraids them with it as a thing manifelt and notorious, and eafily demonftrable; and certainly he would not have made himfelf to obnoxious, unless he had grounded his confidence upon the authority of several Greek Copies: with what little pretence of reason therefore Erasmus and Socinus fancy St. Hierome to have changed the publick and common reading, let any indifferent person judge. But supposing that the Copies of those times varied, which Erasmus grants (and therefore St. Hierome is most fallely and unjustly accufed by Socious to have been the author of this interpolation) He enquires, quonam argumento docet utrum sit rectius, utrum ve scriptum sit ab Apostolo, prafertim cum quod reprehendit, tum haberet publicus usus Ecclesia? To this it may be answered, 1. that some vitiavitiated and defective Copies, ought not to preju-  ${\mathscr G}$ dice the authority of entire and better Copies, whether Latin or Greek. 2. that St. Hierome had reason to prefer and vindicate that reading, which gives fuch an evident proof of this great Article of the Christian Religion, agreeable to the doctrine of the Catholick Church, derived down to them by an universal Tradition, and acknowledged as such, by all, excepting a few, whom either discontent, or pride and conceitedness of their own parts, and a love of innovation and of being the author of a Sect, had drawn into the contrary herecical opinion. Besides, his words are so clear, that one might justly wonder, that Erasmus should pretend any difficulty or perplex sense in them, as he does in his, non satis video, quid sibi velit hoc loco Hieronymus; but that we have too just cause to suspect, how that great Scholar was biast and perverted in his judgment, concerning those great mysteries of Faith; though he is so wary and cunning, as not to discover himself too openly. He indeed is forced to confess the nature of the Father, Son, and Holy Ghost to be simple and undivided, and the effence the same, though he is peremptory, that it cannot be proved from this Text, constat bic agi de fide testimonii, non de substantia personarum,

in loco ; quad de gl fa ifta inter linear is, ugnosci:.

(a) de illa fonarum, herein followed by (\*) Beza, and with a great (4014, )ut mihi deal of ceremony confesses it to be pious to submit our understanding to the judgment of the Church, as foon as the shall declare herself (as cerquin wocant, tainly the has done in this in her publick Creeds, to the great shame and conviction of Hereticks, who reject her authority) yet still for all this demurenels, he pleads for a liberty of interpreting Scripture, as if the truth were not yet wholly reveal'd, and the Church might err in her declarations, nec interim nefas est citra contentionem scrutari verum, ut Deus aliis alia patefecit (which is also the pretence of Socinus and his followers:) and accordingly he interprets feveral places of Scripture in favour of Arius and the other Hereticks, and particularly this, cum totus locus sit obscurus, non potest admodum valere ad revincendos Hareticos (the same pretence beingmade use of for all places, though never so plain) and endeavours to elude the force of that famous place in 17im. 3.16. by expunging the word Osos, as much as in him lies, that is, by pretending it was added by the Arian Hereticks. So that we need the less value the cenfure he passes upon S. Hierome in this matter, where nothing but pure zeal for the truths of God could make him so concern'd and fervent\_Ille sape numero violentus est parumą; pudens, sape varius, parumą; sibi constans.

Idacius Clarus a Spanish Bishop, who died about the year 388, at what time the elder Theodofius and Valentinian were Emperours, cites both verses, though as to their order transposed, and with a little alteration, in his book against Varimadus an Arian Deacon, responsione 3. \_\_Item ipse (i.e. Johannes E. \_ Tom. 4. Biblion assessment vangelista, whose Gospel he had just before cited) ad Pattern, Paris Parthos, tres sunt, inquit, qui testimonium perhibent in terra, Aqua, Sanguis, & Caro, & tres in nobis sunt: & tres sunt, qui testimonium perhibent in cælo, Pater, Verbum & Spiritus, o hi tres unum sunt; which very citation is made use of, as being borrowed hence, by the author of the collections of the decretal Epiftles, which beyond all doubt are proved to be counterfeit(a) and supposititious, in the 1 Epistle of Hyginus, (a) Confute Eand by this is to be corrected, Item ipfe ad Parthos, Population of the Confidence o tres sunt, qui testimonium perhibent in terram, Aqua, Sanguis & Caro; tres in nobis sunt, qui testimonium per- Chr. 1628, rae. bibent in cœlo, Pater, Verbum, & Spiritus, & bi tres unum sunt. There is like variety of reading in both verses in several old Copies, some leaving out er la ษ่อนงผิ and อีกิก รที่ร ทุทิร or ย่งใก็ ทุทิ, others retaining them: For this [in terrâ] Socious confesses to be found

found in quibusdam emendatis exemplaribus, though that we may gain nothing by this confession, he tells us immediately after, it is not extant in emendationibus. It might eafily be foreseen, that if either had been left, and particularly this latter; the one would have infer'd the other justly and necessarily, and therefore it cannot feem strange, if the first corrupters of this Scripture, to make all sure, and to render their false and perfidious dealing the more unfuspected, omitted both; so too in that antient MS. Grotius made use of though he gives us no proof of its antiquity in that place, and suppose it were written a thousand years since, we are not to be swayed by it, as if it were authentick, or reas elow or αγολω, μαςτυς ενίες το Πνευμα, κό το ύδως κό το αιμα, and

no more, who thereupon conjectures these words & or teels ev elou, with the former verse to have been added by the Arians to prove the father, son and holy gbost, to be one in consent only, but afterwards removed and altered by the Catholicks, and added to

the former verse, which is faid without any the least proof either from reason or antiquity, and has nothing to maintain the fancy, but the great name of the Author of it.

411m p. 381.

That which \* Sandius and several others allege

in the first place, that eo omisso meliorem esse verbo- Lan rum connexionem, the connexion is far better, if the y.y. 7 v. were omitted, and that therefore it ought to be so and was antiently omitted; if the supposition world were true, is not only vain and frivolous, but very, bold and immodest to ty the spirit of God to such? a way of writing, as pleases their humours and fancies best, and savours most of humane artifice, and by the same argument they may reject not only verses, but whole chapters in the N.T. for the meanness and inaccuracy of the stile, and the feeming carelefness of the method, which is not always conformable to the rules of the Gr.eloquence. 2. Indignum est summo Deo esse testem, inio cor am quo 2 00

judice testis foret? is a groundless and bold cavil; for this witnessing is nothing else but the declaration of with mean God to mankind by evident figns and tokens concerning our Saviours being the true Meffias, and of the his being born in the flesh, and that he came from his

him. This God has attested and sufficiently made known to the World, and in this sense the Word often occurs in the Scriptures, without the least indignity offered to the Divine Nature. The only pretence he has for his fancy is a base and unworthy comparison he conceives in his mind between Gcds

being

being a witness, and mans being a witness in our Courts of Judicature, forgetting the genuine and caffe fense of the word, as I have above expressed it. 2. That it is highly probable that this verse was inferred by a Sabellian, the contrary whereof is most true, 4. That in several MSS, and Editions of modern languages, there is a transposition of these two verses. The same before was acknowledged to be found in some Greek copies, which no way proves the pretended interpolation, but only that antient copies do not all agree. 5. That this v. does very highly favour the Arians, but this is fuch a strain of fancy, that he may as well allege the first words of the Book of Genesis, to prove Aristotles opinion of the eternity of the World. If men out of a prejudicate opinion, against the doctrine of the Cathelick Church, allow themselves to interpret Scripture according to their own fancies, it cannot feem strange to any, that they should go about to prove and justifie their blasphemies from the plainest texts of Scripture, that in the judgment of all fober persons, who are free from those prejudices, do most evidently refute them.

FINIS