A BRIEF

DECLARATION

AND.

VINDICATION

OF THE

DOCTRINE

OF THE

TRINITTI:

AS ALSO, OF THE

PERSON AND SATISFACTION OF CHRIST.

Accommodated to the capacity and use of such as may be in danger to be seduced; and the establishment of the truth.

-- D-C-4

JOHN V. 39. SEARCH THE SCRIPTURES.

BY THE REV. JOHNOWEN, D. D. D.

THE EIGHTH EDITION

GLASGOW

PRINTED BY NAPIER AND KHULE,
TOR R. HUTCHISON, SALTMARKET, AND J. STE

TO THE READER.

CHRISTIAN READER,

HIS small treatise hath no other design but thy good, and establishment in the truth: and therefore, as laying aside that consideration alone, I could desirously have been excused from the labour of those hours which were spent in its composure: so in the work itself, I admitted of no one thought, but how the things treated of in it, might, and ought to be managed unto thy spiritual benefit and advantage. Other designs most men have in writing what is to be exposed to public view, and lawfully may have so; in this I have nothing but merely thy good. I have neither been particularly provoked, nor opposed by the adversaries of the truth here pleaded for; nor have any need, from any self-respect, to publish such a small plain discourse as this is: love alone to the A 2

truth, and the welfare of thy foul, have given efficacy to their importunity, who pressed me to this small service.

The matters here treated of are on all hands confessed to be of the greatest moment; such as the eternal welfare of the fouls of men is immediately and directly concerned in. This all those who believe the sacred truths here proposed and explained do unanimously protess and contend for; nor is it denied by those by whom they are opposed. There is no need therefore to give thee any special reasons to evince thy concernment in these things, nor the greatness of that concernment, thereby to induce thee unto their serious consideration. It were well indeed that these great, sacred, and mysterious truths, might, without contention or controversies about them, be left unto the faith of believers, as proposed in the scripture, with that explanation of them, which, in the ordinary ministry and dispenfation of the gospel, is necessary and required.

Certainly these tremendous mysteries are not by us willingly to be exposed, or prostituted to the cavils of every perverse querist and

and disputer; those συζητηται τε αίωνος τέτέ; whose pretended wisdom, indeed ignorance, darkness, and folly, God hath designed to destroy in them and by them. For my part, I can assure thee, reader, I have no mind to contend and dispute about these things, which I humbly adore and believe as they are revealed. It is the importunity of adversaries, in their attempts to draw and seduce the souls of men from the truth and simplicity of the gospel, in these great fundamentals of it, that alone can justify any to debate upon, or erastically to handle these awful mysteries. This renders it our duty, and that indispensably, inasmuch as we are required to scontend ear-"nestly for the faith once delivered unto the 'saints.' But yet also when this necessity is imposed on us, we are by no means discharged from that humble reverence of mind, wherewith we ought always to be conversant about them; nor from that regard unto the way and manner of their revelation in the scripture, which may preserve us from all unnecessary intermixture of litigious or exotick phrases and expressions, in their assertion and declaration. I know our adversaries would, upon the matter, decry any thing peculiarly' mysterious

mysterious in these things; although they are frequently and emphatically in the scriptures affirmed so to be. But whilst they deny the mysteries of the things themselves, which are fuch as every way become the glorious being and wisdom of God, they are forced to assign fuch an enigmatical sense unto the words, expressions, and propositions wherein they are revealed and declared in the scripture, as to turn almost the whole gospel into an allegory; wherein nothing is properly expressed, but in some kind of allusion unto what is so elsewhere; which irrational way of proceeding, leaving nothing certain in what is or may be expressed by word or writing, is covered over with a pretence of right reason, which utterly refuseth to be so employed. These things the reader will find afterwards made manifest, so far as the nature of this brief discourse will bear. And I shall only desire these sew things of him that intends its perusal. First, That he would not look on the subject here treated of, as the matter of an ordinary controversy in religion.

Præmia; lectoris de vita animæque salute Certatur.
They

They are things which immediately and directly, in themselves, concern the eternal salvation of the souls of men; and their constderation ought always to be attended with a due sense of their weight and importance, Secondly, Let him bring with him a due rever rence of the majesty, and infinite, incomprehensible nature of God; as that which is not to be prostituted to the captious and sophistical scanning of men of corrupt minds, but to be humbly adored, according to the revelation that he hath made of himself. Thirdly, That he be willing to submit his four and conscience to the plain and obvious sense of scripture propositions and testimonies, without seeking out evaligns and pretences for unbelief. These requests I cannot but judge equal, and fear not the success, where they are sincerely complied withal-

I have only to add; that in handling the doctrine of the fatisfaction of Christ, I have proceeded on that principle, which, as it is fully confirmed in the scripture, so it hath constantly been maintained and adhered unto by the most of those, who with judgment and success have managed those controversies against

against the Socinians. And this is, that the essential holiness of God, with his justice or righteousness, as the supreme governor of all, did indispensibly require that sin should not absolutely go unpunished; and that it should do so, stands in a repugnancy to those holy properties of his nature. This, I say, hath been always constantly maintained by far the greatest number of them, who have thoroughly understood the controversy in this matter, and have fuccessfully engaged in it. And as their arguments for their affertion are plainly unanswerable; so the neglect of abiding by it, is causelessly to forego one of the most fundamental and invincible principles in our cause. He who first laboured in the defence of the doctrine of the satisfaction of Christ, after Socinus had formed his imaginations about the falvation that he wrought, and began to difpute about it, was Covetus, a learned man, who laid the foundation of his whole disputation in the justice of God, necessarily requiring, and indispensibly, the punishment of sin. And indeed, the state of the controversy, as it is laid down by Socinus in his book, de Jesu Christo servatore, which is an answer to this Covetus, is genuine, and that which ought not

not to be receded from, as having the direct ground of all the controversial writings on that subject, which have since been published in Europe. And it is in these words laid down by Socinus himself. "Communis et " orthodoxa (ut asseris) sententia est, Jesum "Christum ideo servatorem nostrum esse, "quia divinæ justiciæ per quam peccatores "damnari merebamur, pro peccatis nostris " plene satisfecerit; quæ satisfactio per sidem "imputatur nobis ex dono Dei credentibus." This he ascribes to Covet. The common and orthodox judgment is, that Jesus Christeis therefore our Saviour, because he hath satisfied the justice of God, by which we, being sinners, deserved to be condemned for all our sins. In opposition whereunto, he thus ekpresseth his own opinion. " Ego vero cen-" seo, et orthodoxam sententiam esse arbitror, " lesum Christum ideo servatorem nostrum " esse, quia salutis æternæ viam nobis annunciaverit, confirmaverit, et in sua ipsius per-"sona, cum vitæ exemplo, tum ex mortuis "resurgendo, manifeste ostenderit, vitamque " æternam nobis ei sidem habentibus ipse da-"turus sit. Divinæ autem justitiæ, per quam peccatores damnari meremur, pro peccatis " nostris

"tisfaceret, opus fuisse arbitror," I judge and suppose it to be the orthodox opinion, that Jesus Christ is therefore our Saviour, because he hath declared unto us the way of eternal salvation, and confirmed it in his own person; manifestly shewing it, both by the example of his life, and by rising from the dead; and in that he will give eternal life unto us believing in him. And I affirm, that he neither made satisfaction to the justice of God, whereby we deserved to be damned for our sins; nor was there any need that he should so do.

This is the true state of the question; and the principal subtilty of Crellius, the great defender of this part of the doctrine of Socinus, in his book, of the causes of the death of Christ, and the defence of this book, de Jesu Christo servatore, consists in speaking almost the same words with those whom he doth oppose, but still intending the same things with Socinus himself. This opinion, as was said of Socinus, Covetus opposed, and everted, on the principle before-mentioned.

The

The same truth was confirmed also by Zarnovitius, who first wrote against Socinus, his book; as also by Otto Casmannus, who engaged in the same work; and by Abraham Salinarias. Upon the same foundation do proceed Paræus, Piscator, Lubbertus, Lucius, Camero, Voetius, Amiraldus, Placœus, Rivetus, Walæus, Thysius, Altingius, Maresius, Essenius, Arnoldus, Turretinus, Baxter, with many others. The Lutherans who have managed these controversies, as Tarnovius, Meisuerus, Calovius, Stegmannus, Martinius, Franzius, with all others of their way, have constantly maintained the same great fundamental principle of this doctrine of the satisfaction of Christ; and it hath well and solidly been of late afferted among ourselves, on the same foundation. And as many of these authors do expressly blame some of the schoolmen, as Aquinas, Durandus, Biel, Tataretus, for granting a possibility of pardon without satisfaction, as opening a way to the Socinian error in this matter; so also they fear not to affirm, that the foregoing of this principle of God's vindictive justice indispenfibly requiring the punishment of sin, doth not

only weaken the cause of the truth, but indeed leave it indefensible. However, I suppose men ought to be wary how they censure the authors mentioned, as such who expose the cause they undertook to defend to contempt; for greater, more able, and learned defenders, this truth hath not as yet found, nor doth stand in need of.

J. Owen.

PREFACE.

HE disciples of our Lord Jesus Christ, having made that great confession of him, in distinction and opposition unto them who accounted him only as a prophet, 'thou art Christ the Son of the living "God," Matth. xvi. 14, 15, 16. he doth on the occasion thereof, give out unto them the great character of the church's stability and continuance, 'Upon this rock I will 'build my church, and the gates of hell 's shall not prevail against it,' ver. 18. He is himself the rock upon which his church is built; as God is called the Rock of his people, on the account of his eternal power and immutability, Deut. xxxii. 4, 18, 31. Pfal. xviii. 2, 46. and himself the spiritual Rock, which gave out supplies of mercy and affiftance to the people in the wilderness, I Cor. x. 4.

 ${f B}$

The relation of the professing church unto this Rock, consists in the faith of this confession, 'that he is Christ the Son of the billioning God.' This our Lord Jesus Christ ! hath promised to secure against all attempts; yet so as plainly to declare, that there should be great and severe opposition made thereunto. For whereas the prevalency of the gates of hell in an enmity unto this confession is denied, a great and vigorous attempt to prevail therein is no less certainly foretold; neither hath it otherwise fallen out. In all ages, from the first solemn foundation of the church of the New Testament, it hath one way or bother been fiercely attempted by the gates of hell. For some time after the resurrection of Christ from the dead, the principal endeavours of Satan, and men acting under him, or acted by him, were pointed against the very foundation of the church, as laid in the expression before-mentioned. Almost all the errors and heresies, wherewith for three or four centuries of years it was perplexed, were principally against the person of Christ himself, and consequently the nature and being of the holy and blefsed Trinity. But being disappointed in his design herein, through the watchful care of the Lord Christ over his promise; in the following

following ages Satan turned his craft and violence against fundry parts of the superstructure, and by the assistance of the Papacy cast them into confusion; nothing as fit were remaining firm, stable, and in order, but only this one confession, which in a particular manner the Lord Christ hath

taken upon himself to secure.

In these latter ages of the world, the power and care of Jesus Christ reviving towards his church in the reformation of it, even the ruined heaps of its building have been again reduced into some tolerahle order and beauty. The old enemy of its peace and welfare falling hereby under a disappointment, and finding his travail and labour for many generations in a great part frustratë, he is returned again to his old work of attacking the foundation itself; as he is unweary and restless, and can be quiet neither conqueror nor conquered; nor will be so, until he is bound and cast into the lake that burneth with fire.' For no fooner had the reformation of religion formed itself in some of the European provinces, but immediately, in a proportion of distance not unanswerable unto what fell out from the first foundation of the church, fundry persons by the instigaion of Satan attempted the disturbance

and ruin of it, by the very same errors and heresies about the Trinity, the person of Christ and his offices, the person of the Holy Ghost and his grace, wherewith its first trouble and ruin was endeavoured. And hereof we have of late an instance given among ourselves, and that so notoriously known, through a mixture of imprudence and impudence in the managers of it, that a very brief resection upon it will suffice unto our present design.

It was always supposed, and known to some, that there are fundry persons in this nation, who having been themselves seduced into Socinianism, did make it their business, under various pretences, to draw others into a compliance with them in the same way and persuasion. Neither hath this for fundry years been fo fecretly carried, but that the design of it hath varioully discovered itself by overtacts of conferences, disputations, and publishing of books; which last way of late hath been sedulously pursued. Unto these is now a visible accession made, by that fort of people whom men will call Quakers, from their deportment at the first erection of their way, long fince deserted by them until by some new revolutions of opinions, they cast themselves under a more proper

denomination

denomination. That there is a conjunction islued between both these sorts of men, in an opposition to the Holy Trinity, with the person and grace of Christ, the pamphlets of late published by the one and the other do sufficiently evince. For however they may feem in fundry things as yet to look divers ways, yet like Samson's foxes, they are knit together by the tail of consent in these fire-brand opinions, and jointly endeavour to consume the standing corn of the church of God. And their joint management of their business of late hath been, as though 'it were their design to give as great a vogue and report to their opinions, as by any ways they are able. Hence besides their attempts to be proclaiming their opinions under various pretences, in all assemblies whereunto they may intrude themselves, as they know without trouble, they are exceedingly sedulous in scattering and giving away, yea, imposing gratis and as to some ingratiis, their small books, which they publish, upon all forts of perfons promiscuously; as they have advantage so to do. By this means their opinions being of late become the talk and discourse of the common fort of Christians, and the exercise of many; amongst whom are not a few

a few, that on fundry accounts, which I shall not mention, may possibly be exposed unto disadvantage and prejudice thereby; it hath been thought meet by some, that the facted truths which these men oppose, should be plainly and briefly afferted and confirmed from the scripture; that those of the meanest sort of professors, who are fincere and upright; exercising themselves to keep a good conscience in matters of faith and obedience to God, may have fomewhat in a readiness, both to guide them in their further enquiry into the truth, and also to confirm their faith in what they have already received, when at any time it is shaken or opposed by the cunning sleights of men that lie in wait to deceive.

And this comprise the design of the ensuing discourse. It may possibly be judged needless by some, as it was in its first proposal by him by whom it is written, and that because this matter at present is by an especial providence cast on other hands, who both have, and doubtless, as occasion shall require, will well acquire themselves in the defence of the truths opposed. Not to give any other account of the reasons of this small undertaking, it may suffice that in publico discrimine omnis homo

homo miles eft. Every man's concernment lying in a common danger, it is free for every one to manage it as he thinks best, and is able, so it be without prejudice to the whole, or the particular concerns of others. If a city be on fire, whose bucket, that brings water to quench it, ought to be refused? The attempt to cast fire into the city of God, by the opinions mentioned, is open and plain, and a timely stop being to be put unto it, the more hands are orderly employed in its quenching, the more speedy and secure is the effect like to be.

Now, because the assertors of the opinions mentioned do seem to set out themselves to be some great ones, above the ordinary rate of men, as having found out, and being able publicly to maintain fuch things, as never would have entered into the minds of others to have thought on, or conceived; and also that they seem with many to be thought worthy of their confideration, because they now are new, and fuch as they have not been acquainted withal; I shall in this prefatory entrance, briefly manifest that those who have amongst us undertaken the management of these opinions, have brought nothing new unto them, but either a little contemptible sophistry and caption of words on the one hand,

hand, or futilous, affected, unintelligible expressions on the other; the opinions themselves being no other, but such as the church of God having been opposed by, and troubled with from the beginning, hath prevailed against, and triumphed over in all generations. And were it not that confidence is the only relief which engaged impotency adheres unto, and expects supplies from, I should greatly admire that those amongst us who have undertaken an inforcement of, these old exploded errors, whose weakness doth so openly discover and proclaim itself in all their endeavours, should judge themselves competent to give a new spirit of life to the dead carcass of these rotten heresies, which the faith of the faints in all ages hath triumphed over; and which truth and learning have, under the care and watchfulness of Christ, so often baffled out of the world.

The Jews in the time of our Saviour's converse on the earth, being fallen greatly from the faith and worship of their fore-fathers, and ready to sink into their last and utmost apostacy from God, seem amongst many other truths, to have much lost that of the doctrine of the Holy Trinity, and of the person of the Messah. It was indeed suited in the dispensation of God,

God, unto the work that the Lord Jesus had to fulfil in the world, that before his passion and resurrection, the knowledge of his divine nature as unto his individual person, should be concealed from the most of men. For this cause, although he was in the 'form of God, and thought it no robbery to be equal with God; yet he made himself of no reputation, by taking on him the form of a fervant, and was " made in the likeness of men; that being ' found in the fashion of a man, he might be obedient unto death, Phil. ii. 6, 7, 8. whereby his divine glory was vailed for a season, until he was declared to be the Son of God with power, according unto 'the spirit of holiness by the resurrection 'from the dead,' Rom. i. 4. and then 'was "glorisied with that glory, which he had 'with the Father before the world was," John xvii. 3. And as this dispensation was needful unto the accomplishment of the whole work, which as our Mediator he had undertaken; so in particular he. who was in himself the "Lord of Hosts, 'a sanctuary to them that feared him," became hereby a stone of stumbling, and 'a rock of offence to both the houses of 'Israel, for a gin and for a snare to the 'inhabitants of Jerusalem, Isa. viii. 13, 14. See.

See Luke ii. 34. Rom. ix. 33. 1 Pct. ii. 3. Isa. xxviii. 26. But yet notwithstanding, as occasions required, fuitably unto his own holy ends and designs, he forbare not to give plain and open testimony to his own divine nature, and eternal pre-existence unto his incarnation. And this was it, which of all other things most provoked the carnal Jews with whom he had to do. For having, as was faid, lost the doctrine of the Trinity and person of the Messiah in a great measure; whenever he asserted his deity, they were immediately enraged and endeavoured to destroy him. So was it plainly, John viii. 56, 57, 58, 59. Saith he, Your father Abraham rejoiced to see my day, and he saw it and was glad. Then faid the Jews unto him, thou art not yet 's fifty years old, and hast thou seen Abra-'ham? Jesus said unto them, verily I say unto you, before Abraham was, I am. 'Then took they up stones to cast at him.' So also, John x. 30, 31, 32, 33. 'I and 'my Father are one. Then the Jews took up stones again to stone him. Jesus an-'s fwered them, many good works have I 'shewed you from my Father, for which of those works do you stone me? The 4 Jews answered him saying, for a good work we stone thee not, but for blas-· phemy,

- phemy, and because that thou being a man makest thyself God.' They understood well enough the meaning of those words, 'I and my Father are one;' namely, that they were a plain affertion of his being God. This caused their rage. And this the Jews all abide by to this day; namely, that he declared himself to be God, and therefore they flew him. Whereas therefore the first discovery of a plurality of persons, in the divine essence, consists in the revelation of the divine nature and personality of the Son, this being opposed, persecuted, and blasphemed by these Jews, they may be justly looked upon and esteemed as the first asserters of that misbelief, which now some seek again so earnestly to promote. The Jews persecuted the Lord Christ, because he being a man, declared himself also to be God; and others are ready to revile and reproach them who believe and teach what he declared.

After the resurrection and ascension of the Lord Jesus, all things being filled with tokens, evidences and effects of his divine nature and power, Rom. i. 4. the church that began to be gathered in his name, and according to his doctrine, being by his especial institution to be initiated into the express express profession of the doctrine of the Holy Trinity, as being to be baptized in the name of 'the Father, and the Son, 'and the Holy Ghost,' which confession comprises the whole of the truth contended for; and by the indispensible placing of it at the first entrance into all obedience unto him, is made the doctrinal foundation of the church; it continued for a season in the quiet and undisturbed possession of this facred treasure.

The first who gave disquietment unto the disciples of Christ by perverting the doctrine of the Trinity was Simon Magus, with his followers; an account of whose monstrous sigments, and unintelligible imaginations, with their coincidence with what some men dream in these latter days, shall elsewhere be given. Nor shall I need here to mention the Colluvies of Gnostics, Valentinians, Marcionites and Manichees, the foundation of all whose abominations lay in their mis-apprehensions of the being of God, their unbelief of the Trinity, and the person of Christ, as do those of some others also.

In especial there was one Cerinthus, who was more active than others in his opposition to the doctrine of the person of Christ, and therein of the Holy Trinity.

To

To put a stop unto his abominations, all authors agree, that John, writing his gospel, prefixed unto it that plain declaration of the eternal deity of Christ, which it is prefaced withal. And the story is well attested by Irenæus, Eusebius, and others, from Polycarpus, who was his disciple: That this Cerinthus coming into the place where the apostle was, he left it, adding, as a reason of his departure, lest the building, through the just judgment of God, should fall upon them. And it was of the holy, wise providence of God, to suffer some impious persons to oppose this doctrine before the death of that apostle, that he might by infallible inspiration farther reveal, manifest, and declare it to the establishment of the church in future ages. For what can farther be desired to satisfy the minds of men, who in any sense own the Lord Jesus Christ, and the scriptures; than that this controversy about the Trinity and person of Christ (for they stand and · fall together) should be so eminently and expressly determined, as it were immediately from heaven?

But he, with whom we have to deal in this matter, neither ever did, nor ever will, nor can acquiesce or rest in the divine determination of any thing, which he hath

stirred up strife and controversy about. For as Cerinthus and Ebionites persisted in the herefy of the Jews, who would have flain our Saviour for bearing witness to his own deity, notwithstanding the evidence of that testimony, and the right apprehension which the Jews had of his mind therein; so he excited others to engage and persist in their opposition to the truth, notwithstanding this second particular determination of it from heaven, for their confutation or confusion. For after the more weak and confused oppositions made unto it by Theodotus-coriarius, Artemon, and fome others, at length a stout champion appears visibly, and expresly engages against these fundamentals of our faith. This was Paulus Samosatenus, bishop of the church of Antioch, about the year 272. A man of most intolerable pride, passion, and folly; the greatest that hath left a name upon ecclesiastical records. This man openly and avowedly denied the doctrine of the Trinity, and the deity of Christ in an especial manner. For although he endeavoured, for a while, to cloud his impious sentiments in ambiguous expressions, as others also have done [Euseb. lib. 7. cap. 27.] yet being pressed by the profesfors of the truth, and supposing his party

was

was somewhat confirmed, he plainly defended his herefy, and was cast out of the church wherein he presided. Some sixty years after, Photinus Bishop of Syrmium, with a pretence of more sobriety in life and conversation, undertook the management of the same design, with the same success.

What enfued afterwards among the churches of God in this matter, is of too large and diffused a nature to be here reported. These instances I have fixed on, only to intimate unto persons, whose condition or occasions afford them not ability, or leisure of themselves, to enquire into the memorials of times past amongst the professors of the gospel of Christ, that these oppositions which are made at present amongst us unto these fundamental truths, and derived immediately from the late renewed inforcement of them made by Faustus Socinus and his followers, are nothing but old baffled attempts of Satan, against the rock of the church and the building thereon, in the confession of the Son of the living God.

Now, as all men who have ought of a due reverence of God, or his truth, remaining with them, cannot be but wary how they give the least admittance to such opinions,

opinions, as have from the beginning been witnessed against, and condemned by Christ himself, his apostles, and all that followed them in their faith and ways in all generations; so others, whose hearts may tremble for the danger they apprehend that these facred truths may be in, of being corrupted or defamed, by the present opposition against them, may know that it is no other, but what the church, and faith of profesfors hath already been exercised with, and through the power of him that enables them have constantly triumphed over. And for my part, I look upon it as a bleffed effect of the holy, wife providence of God, that those who have long harboured these abominations of denying the holy Trinity, the person and satisfaction of Christ in their minds; but yet have sheltered themselves from common observation under the shades of dark, obscure, and uncouth expressions, with many other specious pretences; should be given up to join themselves with such persons, and to profess a community of persuasion with them in those opinions, as have rendered themselves infamous from the first foundation of Christianity, and wherein they will assuredly meet with the same success, as those have done, who have gone before them.

For

For the other head of opposition, made by these persons unto the truth, in reference unto the satisfaction of Christ, and the imputation of his righteousness thereon unto our justification, I have not much to fay, as to the time past. In general, the doctrine wherein they boast, being first brought forth in a rude mishapen manner, by the Pelagian heretics, was afterwards improved by one Abailardus, a sophistical scholar in France; but owes its principal form and poison unto the endeavours of Faustus Socinus, and those who have followed him, in his subtle attempt to corrupt the whole doctrine of the gospel. Of these men are those amongst us, who at this day fo busily dispute and write about the Trinity, the deity of Christ, and his satisfaction, the followers and disciples. And it is much more from their masters, who were fome of them men learned, diligent, and fubtle, than from themselves, that they are judged to be of any great confideration. For I can truly say, that upon the sedate examination of all that I could ever yet hear, or get a fight of, either spoken or written by them, that is, any amongst us, I never yet observed an undertaking of so great importance, managed with a greater evidence of incompetency and inability, to

give any tolerable countenance unto it. If any of them shall, for the future, attempt to give any new countenance or props to their tottering errors; it will doubtless be attended unto by some of those many, who cannot but know, that it is incumbent on them to contend earnestly for the faith once delivered unto the faints.' This present brief endeavour is only to affist and direct those, who are less exercised in the ways of managing controversies in religion; that they may have a brief comprehension of the truths opposed, with the firm foundations whereon they are built; and have in a readiness to shield their faith, both against the fiery darts of Satan, and secure their minds against the cunning sleights of men who lie in wait to deceive. And wherein this discourse seems in any thing to be too brief, or concise, the author is not to be blamed; who was confined unto these strait bounds, by those whose requests enjoined him this service.

THE

DOCTRINE

OF THE

HOLYTRINITY

EXPLAINED AND VINDICATED.

THE doctrine of the bleffed Trinity may be considered two ways: First, in respect unto the revelation and proposal of it in the scripture, to direct us unto the author, object, and end of our faith, in our worship and obedience: Secondly, as it is farther declared and explained, in terms, expressions, and propositions, educed from the original revelation of it, suited thereunto, and meet to direct and keep the mind from undue apprehensions of the things it believes; and to declare them unto farther edification.

In the first way, it consists meerly in the propositions wherein the revelation of God

is expressed in the scripture. And in this regard two things are required of us: First, To understand the terms of the propositions, as they are enunciations of truth: And Secondly, To believe the things taught, revealed, and declared in them.

In the first instance, no more, I say, is required of us, but that we affent unto the assertions and testimonies of God concerning himself, according to their natural and genuine sense, as he will be known, believed in, feared, and worshipped by us, as he is our Creator, Lord, and rewarder; and that because he himself hath by his revelation. not only warranted us so to do, but also made it our duty necessary and indispensable. Now the fum of this revelation in this matter is: That God is one; that this one God is Father, Son, and Holy Ghost; that the Father is the Father of the Son, and the Son the Son of the Father, and the Holy Ghost the Spirit of the Father and the Son; and that in respect of this their mutual relation, they are distinct from each other.

This is the substance of the doctrine of the Trinity, as to the first direct concernment of faith therein. The first intention of the scripture, in the revelation of God towards us is, as was said, That we might fear

fear him, believe, worship, obey him, and live unto him, as God. That we may do this in a due manner, and worthip the only true God, and not adore the false imaginations of our own minds; it declares, as was faid, that this God is one, the Father, Son, and Holy Ghost: that the Father is this one God, and therefore is to be believed in, worshipped, obeyed, lived unto, and in all things confidered by us as the first cause, sovereign Lord, and last end of all: that the Son is the one true God, and therefore is to be believed in, worshipped, obeyed, lived unto, and in all things considered by us as the first cause, sovereign Lord, and last end of all: and so also of the Holy Ghost. This is the whole of faith's concernment in this matter, as it respects the direct revelation of God, made by himself in the scripture, and the first proper general end thereof. Let this be clearly confirmed by direct and positive divine testimonies, containing the declaration and revelation of God concerning himself, and faith is secured as to all its concerns. For it hath both its proper formal object, and is sufficiently enabled to be directive of divine worship and obedience.

The explication of this doctrine unto edification, suitable unto the revelation mentioned,

mentioned, is of another consideration. And two things are incumbent on us to take care of therein: First, That what is affirmed and taught, so directly tend unto the ends of the revelation itself, by informing and enlightening of the mind in the knowledge of the mystery of it, so far as in this life we are, by divine assistance, capable to comprehend it: that is, that faith may be increased, strengthened, and confirmed against temptations and oppositions of Satan, and men of corrupt minds; and that we may be distinctly directed unto, and encouraged in the obedience unto, and worship of God that are required of us: Secondly, That nothing be affirmed or taught herein, that may beget, or occasion any undue apprehensions concerning God, or our obedience unto him, with respect unto the best, highest, securest revelations, that we have of him and our duty. These things being done and secured, the end of the declaration of this doctrine concerning God is attained.

In the declaration then of this doctrine unto the edification of the church, there is contained a farther explanation of the things before afferted, as proposed directly, and in themselves, as the object of our faith; namely, how God is one, in respect of

of his nature, substance, essence, Godhead, or divine Being. How being Father, Son, and Holy Ghost, he subsisteth in these 4 three distinct persons, or hypostasis; and ne Contractions what are their mutual respects to each other, by which, as their peculiar proper-y decided ties, giving them the manner of their subsistence, they are distinguished one from another; with fundry other things of the like necessary consequence unto the revelation mentioned. And herein, as in the application of all other divine truths and 🧺 🦈 💪 mysteries whatever, yea of all moral com- 🚧 🦿 🧖 🥌 manded duties, use is to be made of such () and the words and expressions, as, it may be, are 🛴 🦈 not literally and formally contained in the scripture; but only are unto our concep- 🛒 tions and apprehentions expository of what ! is so contained. And to deny the liberty, yea the necessity hereof, is to deny all interpretation of the scripture, all endeavours to express the sense of the words of it unto the understandings of one another; which is, in a word, to render the scripture itself altogether useless. For if it be unlawful for me to speak, or write, what I conceive to be the sense of the words of the scripture, and the nature of the thing signified and expressed by them; it is unthe case from the my the many

my mind, what is the sense of the words or nature of the things; which to say, is to make brutes of ourselves, and to frustrate the whole design of God in giving unto us the great privilege of his word.

Wherefore, in the declaration of the doctrine of the Trinity, we may lawfully, nay we must necessarily, make use of other words, phrases and expressions, than what are literally and syllabically contained in the scriptures, but teach no other things.

Moreover, whatever is so revealed in the fcripture, is no less true and divine, as to whatever necessarily followeth thereon, than it is, as unto that which is principally revealed and directly expressed. For how far soever the lines be drawn and extended, from truth nothing can follow and ensue but what is true also; and that in the same kind of truth, with that which it is derived and deduced from. For if the principal affertion be a truth of divine revelation, for is also whatever is included therein, and which may be rightly from thence collected. Hence it follows, that when the scripture revealeth the Father, Son and Holy Ghost, to be one God, seeing it necessarily and unavoidably follows thereon, that they are one in essence, wherein alone it is possible they can be one; and three in their distinct fubfistences,

Subsistences, wherein alone it is possible they can be three; this is no less of divine revelation, than the first principle from whence these things follow.

These being the respects which the doctrine of the Trinity falls under, the necessary method of faith and reason, in thebelieving and declaring of it, is plain and

evident.

- I. The revelation of it is to be afferted and vindicated, as it is proposed to be believed for the ends mentioned. Now this is, as was declared, that there is one God: that this God, is Father, Son, and Holy Ghost: and so, that the Father is God, so is the Son, so is the Holy Ghost.
- II. This being received, and admitted by faith, the explication of it is to be infifted on, and not taken into confideration until the other be admitted. And herein lies the preposterous course of those, who fallaciously and captiously go about to oppose this facred truth. They will always begin their opposition, not unto the revelation of it, but unto the explanation of it, which is used only for farther edification. Their disputes and cavils shall be against the Trinity, essence, substance, persons, personality,

sonality, respects, properties of the divine persons, with the modes of expressing these things, whilst the plain scripture revelation of the things themselves, from whence they are but explanatory deductions, is not spoken to, nor admitted unto confirmation. By this means have they entangled many weak, unstable souls, who when they have met with things too high, hard, and difficult for them, (which in divine mysteries they may quickly do) in the explication of this doctrine, have suffered themselves to be taken off from a due consideration of the full and plain revelation of the thing itself in scripture; until their temptations being made strong, and their darkness increased, it was too late for them to return unto it; as bringing along with them the cavils wherewith they were prepossessed, rather than that faith and obedience which is required. But yet all this while these explanations, so excepted against, are indeed not of any original confideration in this matter. Let the direct, express revelations of the doctrine be confirmed, they will follow of themselves, nor will they be excepted against by those who believe and receive it. Let that be rejected, and they will fall of themselves, and never be contended for by those who did make use of them.

hem. But of these things we shall treat again afterwards.

I. This therefore is the way, the only way that we rationally can, and that which n duty we ought to proceed in, and by, for the afferting and confirming of the doctrine of the holy Trinity under confideration; namely, that we produce divine develations, or testimonies, wherein faith may safely rest and acquiesce, that God is one: that this one God, is Father, Son and Holy Ghost: so that the Father is God, so also is the Son, and the Holy Ghost likewise, and as such are to be believed in, bbeyed, worshipped, acknowledged as the first cause, and last end of all, our Lord and reward. If this be not admitted, if somewhat of it be not particularly denied, we need not, we have no warrant, or ground, to proceed any further, or at all to discourse about the unity of the essence, or the divine distinction of the persons.

We have not therefore any original contest in this matter with any, but such as deny either God to be one, or the Father to be God, or the Son to be God, or the Holy Ghost so be. If any deny either of these in particular, we are ready to confirm it by sufficient testimonies of scripture, or clear and undeniable divine revelation.

When this is evidenced and vindicated, we shall willingly proceed to manifest, that the explications used of this doctrine unto the edification of the church, are according to truth, and such as necessarily are required by the nature of the things them selves. And this gives us the method of the small ensuing discourse, with the readsons of it.

1. The first thing which we affirm to be delivered unto us by divine revelation, as the object of our faith is, that God is one. I know that this may be uncontroulably evidenced by the light of reason itself, unto as good and quiet an affurance as, the mind of man is capable of in any of its apprehensions whatever. But I speak of it now, as it is confirmed unto us by divine revelation. How this affertion, of one God, respects the nature, essence, or divine being of God shall be declared afterwards. At present it is enough to represent the testimonies that he is one, only one. And because we have no difference with our adversaries distinctly about this matter, I shall only name some few of them. Deut. vi. 4. 'Hear, O Israel, the Lord our God 'is one Lord:' A most pregnant testimony; and yet notwithstanding, as I shall elsewhere manifest, the Trinity itself in that

one divine essence is here afferted. Is a xliv. 6, 8. Thus saith the Lord, the king of Israel, and his Redeemer, the Lord of Hosts, I am the first, and I am the last, and besides me there is no God. Is there a God besides me? Yea there is no God, I know not any: In which also we may manifest, that a plurality of persons is included and expressed. And although there be no more absolute and sacred truth than this, that God is one; yet it may be evinced, that it is no where mentioned in the scripture, but that either in the words themselves, or the context of the place, a plurality of persons in that one sense in timated.

faith, that the Father is God. And herein, as is pretended, there is also an agreement between us and those who oppose the doctrine of the Trinity. But there is a mistake in this matter. Their hypothesis, as they call it, or indeed presumptuous error, casts all the conceptions that are given us concerning God in the scripture into disorder and confusion. For the Father, as he whom we worship, is often called so, only with reference unto his Son; as the Son is so, with reference to the Father. He is the only begotten of the Father.

ther,' John i. 14. But now, if this Son had no pre-existence in his divine nature, before he was born of the Virgin, there was no God the Father seventeen hundred years ago, because there was no Son. And on this ground did the Marcionites of old plainly deny the Father, whom under the New Testament we worship, to be the God of the Old Testament, who made the world, and was worshipped from the foundation of it. For it feems to follow, that he whom we worship being the Father, and on this supposition that the Son had no pre-existence unto his incarnation, he was not the Father under the Old Testament, he is some other from him that was so revealed. I know the folly of that inference: yet how on this opinion of the sole existence of the Son in time, men can prove the Father to be God, let others determine. 'He who abideth in the doctrine of Christ, he hath both the Father * and the Son: but whofoever transgresseth, and abideth not in the doctrine of Christ, 'hath not God,' 2 John 9. Whosoever denies Christ the Son, as the Son, that is the eternal Son of God, he losses the Father also, and the true God; he hath not God. For that God which is not the Father, and which ever was, and was not the Father,

Father, is not the true God. Hence many of the Fathers, even of the first writers of the church, were forced unto great pains in the confirmation of this truth, that the Father Jesus Christ was he who made the world, gave the law, spake by the prophets, and was the author of the Old Testament; and that against men who professed themselves to be Christians. And this brutish apprehension of theirs arose from no other principle, but this, that the Son had only a temporal existence, and was not the eternal Son of God.

But that I may not in this brief discourse digress unto other controversies, than what lies directly before us; and seeing the adversaries of the truth we contend for, do, in words at least, grant that the Father of our Lord Jesus Christ is the true God, or the only true God; I shall not surther shew the inconsistency of their hypothesis with this confession; but take it for granted, that to us 'there is one God the Father,' I Cor. viii. 6. See John xvii. 3. So that he who is not the Father, who was not so from eternity, whose paternity is not equally coexistent unto his deity, is no God unto us.

3. It is afferted and believed by the church, that Jesus Christ is God; the eternal

nal Son of God; that is, He is proposed, declared, and revealed unto us in the scripture, to be God; that is, to be served, worshipped, believed in, obeyed as God, upon the account of his own divine excellencies. And whereas we believe and know that he was man, that he was born, lived and died as a man; it is declared that he is God also; and that as God, he did pre-exist in the form of God before his incarnation, which was effected by voluntary actings of his own; which could not be without a pre-existence in another nature. This is proposed unto us to be believed upon divine testimony, and by divine revelation. And the sole enquiry in this matter is, whether this be proposed in the scripture as an object of faith, and that which is indispensibly necessary for us to believe. Let us then nakedly attend unto what the scripture afferts in this matter, and that in the order of the books of it in some particular instances, which at present occur to mind; as these that follow.

Psalm xlv. 6. Thy throne, O God, is for ever and ever: applied unto Christ, Heb. i. 8. But unto the Son he saith, thy throne, O God, is for ever and ever.

Psalm lxviii. 17, 18, 19. The chariots

of God are twenty thousand, even thou-' sands of angels: the Lord is among them 'as in Sinai, in the holy place. Thou 'hast ascended on high, thou hast led e captivity captive, thou hast received gifts for men; yea, for the rebellious also, that the Lord God may dwell among them:' applied unto the Son, Eph. iv. 8. "Wherefore he faith, when he afcended "up on high, he led captivity captive, and gave gifts unto men. Now that he afcended, what is it but that he also de-'s scended, first into the lower parts of the earth? He that descended, is the same al-' so that ascended up far above all heavens, that he might fill all things.'

Psalm ex. 1. 'The Lord said unto my Lord, Sit thou at my right hand:' applied

unto Christ by himself, Mat. xxii. 44.

Pfalm. cii. 25, 26, 27. Of old thou hast laid the foundation of the earth, and the heavens are the work of thy hands. They shall perish, but thou shalt endure: yea, all of them shall wax old like a garment, as a vesture shalt thou change them, and they shall be changed: But thou art the same, and thy years shall have no end: declared by the apostle to be meant of the Son, Heb. i. 10.

Prov. viii. 22. to the 31. 'The Lord' possest

possest me in the beginning of his ways, before his works of old. I was fet up from everlasting, in the beginning, or ever the earth was. When there were no depths, I was brought forth, when there were no fountains abounding with waters. Before the mountains were set-'tled, before the hills was I brought forth; "While as yet he had not made the earth, 'nor the fields, nor the highest part of the dust of the world. When he prepared the heavens I was there; when he set a compass upon the face of the depth; when he established the clouds above; and the fountains of the deep; when he gave to the sea his decree that the waters should not pass his commandment; when he appointed the foundations of the earth: 'Then I was by him, as one brought up with him; and I was daily his delight, rejoicing always before him; rejoicing inthe habitable parts of his earth, and my delights were with the fons of men.' Isa. vi. 1, 2, 3. 'I saw also the Lord fitting upon a throne, high and lifted up, 'and, his train filled the temple: Above it 'stood the seraphims, each one had six wings, with twain he covered his face,

with twain he covered his feet, and with twain he did fly. And one cried unto another

'another and faid, holy, holy, holy is the Lord of Hosts, the whole earth is full of 'his glory:' applied unto the Son, John

XII. 41, 42.

Isa. viii. 13, 14. Sanctify the Lord of · Hosts himself, and let him be your fear, 'and let him be your dread. And he 's shall be for a sanctuary; but for a stone of stumbling, and for a rock of offence to both the houses of Israel; for a gin and for a snare to the inhabitants of Jeru-'falem:' applied unto the Son, Luke ii. 34. Rom. ix. 33. 1 Pet. ii. 8.

Isa. ix. 6,7. For unto us a Child is born, unto us a Son is given, and the government shall be upon his shoulders; and his r name shall be called Wonderful, Counfellor, the mighty God, the everlasting Father, the Prince of peace. Of the increase of his government, and peace, there

fhall be no end.'

Jer. xxiii. 5, 6. Behold the day is come, faith the Lord, that I will raise unto David a righteous branch. And this 'is his name, whereby he shall be called,

" Jehovah our righteousness."

Hos. xii. 3, 4, 5. 'He took his brother by the heel in the womb, and by his 'strength he had power with God. Yea, he had power over the angel and pres vailed; he wept and made supplication

unto him: he found him in Bethel, and

there he spake with us. Even the Lord

God of Hosts, the Lord is his memorial.'

Zach. ii. 8, 9. For thus saith the Lord

of Hosts, after the glory hath he sent me

unto the nations which spoiled you. And

'ye shall know that the Lord of Hosts

'hath sent me.'

Mat. xvi. 16. 'Thou art Christ, the

Son of the living God.'

Luke i. 35. The Holy Ghost shall

come upon thee, the power of the most

' High shall overshadow thee, therefore also

's shall that holy thing, which shall be born

of thee be called the Son of God.'

John i. 1, 2, 3. 'In the beginning was

the Word, and the Word was with God, and the Word was God. The same was

in the beginning with God. All things

were made by him; and without him

was not any thing made, that was made.'

Verse 14. 'And we beheld his glory,

'the glory as of the only begotten of the

Father.'

John iii. 3. 'And no man hath ascended up to heaven, but he that came down from heaven, even the Son of man which

is in heaven.

John viii. 57, 58. Then said the Jews unto

unto him, thou art not fifty years old, and hast thou seen Abraham? Jesus saith unto them, verily, I say unto you, before Abraham was, I am.'

John x. 30. I and my Father are one. John xvii. 3. And now, O Father, clorify thou me with thine own felf. with

glorify thou me with thine own self, with the glory which I had with thee before

the world was.'

John xx. 28. 'And Thomas answered, and said unto him; My Lord, and my God.'

Acts xx. 28. Feed the church of God, which he hath purchased with his own blood.

Rom. i. 3, 4. 'Concerning his Son Jesus our Lord, which was made of the seed of David according to the sless, and declared to be the Son of God with power, according to the Spirit of holiness, by the resurrection from the dead.'

Rom. ix. 5. 'Of whom, as concerning the flesh, Christ came; who is over all,

God blessed for ever. Amen.

Rom. xiv. 10, 11, 12. We shall all stand before the judgment seat of Christ. For it is written, as I live, saith the Lord, every knee shall bow to me, and every tongue shall confess to God. So then every one of us shall give an account of himself to God.

E

1 Cor. viii. 6. And one Lord Jesus Christ, by whom are all things, and we

6 by him.

1 Cor. x. 9. 'Neither let us also tempt * Christ, as some of them also tempted, and were destroyed by serpents: compared with Numb. xxi. 6.

Phil. ii. 5, 6. Let this mind be in you which was also in Christ Jesus; who, be-

ing in the form of God, thought it not

'robbery to be equal with God.'

Col. i. 15, 16, 17. Who is the image of the invisible God, the first-born of every creature; for by him were all things created, that are in heaven, and that are in earth, visible and invisible; whether they be thrones, or dominions, or principalities, or powers; all things were creae ted by him and for him, and he is before e all things, and by him all things consist."

I Tim. iii. 16. Without controversy, great is the mystery of godliness: God

was manifest in the flesh.

Tit. ii. 13. Looking for that blessed hope, and the glorious appearance of the great God and our Saviour, Jesus Christ, who gave himself for us.

Heb. i. throughout.

Chap. iii. 4. 'For every house is builded by some man, but he that built all things is God.

r Pet,

1 Pet. i. 11. Searching what, or what manner of time the Spirit of Christ,

which was in them, did signify.'

Chap. iii. 18, 19. 'But Christ also hath once suffered for sinners, being put to death in the slesh, but quickened by the Spirit; by which also he went and preached unto the spirits in prison, which sometimes were disobedient, when once the long suffering of God waited in the days of Noah.'

I John iii. 16. 'Hereby we perceive the love of God, because he laid down his life for us.'

Chap. v. 20. 'And we are in him that is true, even in his Son Jesus Christ, this is the true God, and eternal life.'

Rev. i. 8. 'I am Alpha, and Omega, the beginning and the ending, faith the Lord, which is, and which was, and which is to

come, the Almighty.

Ver. 11. 'I am Alpha, and Omega, the first and the last, and what thou seest write in a book. And I turned to see the voice that spake with me; and being turned, I saw seven golden candlesticks, and in the midst of the seven candlesticks one like unto the Son of man.'

Ver. 17. And when I saw him, I sell at his feet as dead; and he laid his right hand E 2 upon

upon me, saying unto me, fear not, I and the first and the last.

Chap. ii. 23. 'I am he which fearch eth the reins and hearts, and will give unto every one of you according to you

works.

These are some of the places wherein the truth under consideration is revealed and declared, some of the divine testimonic whereby it is consirmed, and established which I have not at present enquired after but suddenly repeated as they came to mind. Many more of the like nature and importance may be added unto them, and shall be so as occasion doth require.

Let now any one who owns the scripture to be the word of God, to contain an in fallible revelation of the things proposed in it to be believed, and who hath any conscience exercised towards God for the receive ing and fubmitting unto what he declared and reveals, take a view of these testimonies and confider, whether they do not sufficient ly propose this object of our faith. Shall a few poor trifling sophisms, whose term are scarcely understood by the most that amongst us make use of them, accordingly as they have found them framed by others, be thought meet to be set up in apposition unto these multiplied testimonies of the Holy Ghost, and to cast the truth confirmed

by them down from its credit and reputation in the consciences of men? For my part, I do not see in any thing, but that the testimonies given to the godhead of Christ, the eternal Son of God, are every way as clear and unquestionable, as those are, which testify to the being of God, or that there is any God at all. Were men acquainted with the scriptures as they ought to be, and as the most, considering the means and advantages they have had, might have been; did they ponder and believe on what they read, or had any tenderness in their consciences, as to that reverence, obcdience, and subjection of soul, which God requires unto his word; it were utterly impossible that their faith in this matter should ever in the least be shaken, by a few lewd fophisms, or loud clamours of men destitute of the truth, and of the spirit of it.

That we may now improve these testimonies unto the end under design, as the nature of this brief discourse will bear, I shall sirst remove the general answers which the Socinians give unto them; and then manifest farther, how incontrolable they are, by giving an instance in the frivolous exceptions of the same persons, to two of them in particular. And we are ready, God assisting, to maintain, that there is not any one of them, which doth not give a suffici-

E 3

ent

ent ground for faith to rest on, in this matter concerning the deity of Christ; and that against all the Socinians in the world.

They say therefore, commonly, that we prove not by these testimonies what is by them denied. For they acknowledge Christ to be God, and that because he is exalted unto that glory and authority, that all creatures are put into subjection unto him; and all both men and angels are commanded to worship and adore him. So that he is God by office, though he be not God by nature. He is God, but he is not the most high God. And this last expression they have almost continually in their mouths: He is not the most high God. And commonly with great contempt and scorn they are ready to reproach them, who have folidly confirmed the doctrine of the deity of Christ, as ignorant of the state of controverfy, in that they have not proved him to be the most high God, in subordination unto whom they acknowledge Christ to be God, and the he ought to be worshipped with divine and religious worship.

But there cannot be any thing more empty and vain than these pretences. And besides, they accumulate in them their former errors, with the addition of new ones.

For,

First, the name of the most high God,

is first ascribed unto God in Gen. xlix. 18. 19, 22. denoting his sovereignty and dominion. Now, as other attributes of God, it is not distinctive of the subject, but only descriptive of it. So are all other excellencies of the nature of God. It doth not intimate that there are other gods, only he is the most high, or one over them all; but only that the true God is most high, that is, indued with fovereign power, dominion, and authority over all. To fay then, that Christ indeed is God, but not the most high God, is all one as to fay; he is God; but not the most holy God, or not the true God. And so they have brought their Christ into the number of false gods, whilst they deny the true Christ, who in his divine nature is 'over all God bleffed for ever,' Rom. ix. 5. A phrase of speech, perfectly expressing this attribute of the most high God.

Secondly, This answer is suited only unto those testimonies which express the name of God, with a corresponding power and authority unto that name. For in reference unto these alone, can it be pleaded with any pretence of reason, that he is a God by office; though that also be done very suitously and impertinently. But most of the testimonies produced speak directly unto his divine excellencies, and properties,

properties, which belong unto his nature necessarily and absolutely; that he is eternal, omnipotent, immense, omniscient, infinitely wise: and that he is, and worketh and produceth effects suitable unto all these properties, and such as nothing but they can enable him for, is abundantly proved by the foregoing testimonies. Now all these concern a divine nature, a natural essence, a godhead, and not such power or authority as a man may be exalted unto. Yea, the ascribing any of them to such a one, implies the highest contradiction expressible.

Thirdly, This God in authority and office, and not by nature, that should be the object of divine worship, is a new abomination. For they are divine, essential excellencies, that are the formal reason and object of worship, religious and divine. And to ascribe it unto any one, that is not God by nature, is idolatry. By making therefore their Christ such a God as they describe, they bring him under the severe commination of the true God Jer. x. 11. 'The gods that have not made the heavens s and the earth, even they shall perish from 'the earth, and from under, these heavens.' That Christ they worship, they say is a God; but they deny that he is that God that made the heavens and the earth; and so leave him exposed to the threatning of him, who will accomplish it to the uttermost.

Some other general exceptions sometimes they make use of, which the reader may free himself from the entanglement of, if

he do but heed these ensuing rules.

First, Distinction of persons (of which afterwards) it being in an infinite substance, doth no way prove a difference of essence between the Father and the Son. Where therefore Christ as the Son, is said to be another from the Father, or God, spoken personally of the Father, it argues not in the least that he is not partaker of the same nature with him. That in one essence there can be but one person, may be true where the substance is finite and limited, but hath no place in that which is infinite.

Secondly, Distinction and inequality in respect of office in Christ, doth not in the least take away his equality and sameness with the Father, in respect of nature and essence, Phil. ii. 7, 8. A son of the same nature with his Father, and therein equal to him, may in office be his inferior, his subject.

Thirdly, The advancement and exaltation of Christ, as Mediator, to any dignity whatever, upon, or in reference to the work of our redemption, and salvation, is not at all inconsistent with the essential

honour,

honour, dignity, and worth which he hath in himself, as God blessed for ever. Though he humbled himself and was exalted in office, yet in nature he was one and the same, he changed not.

Fourthly, The scriptures afferting the humanity of Christ with the concernments thereof, as his birth, life, and death, do no more thereby deny his deity, than by afferting his deity with the essential properties thereof, they deny his humanity.

Fifthly, God working in and by Christ, as he was Mediator, denotes the Father's sovereign appointment of the things mentioned to be done, not his immediate efficiency in the doing of the things themselves.

These rules are proposed a little before their due place in the method which we pursue. But I thought meet to interpose them here, as containing a sufficient ground for the resolution and answering of all the sophisms and objections which the adversaries use in this cause.

From the cloud of witnesses before produced, every one whereof is singly sufficient to evert the Socinian insidelity, I shall in one of them give an instance both of the clearness of the evidence, and the weakness of the exceptions, which are wont to be put in against them, as was promised. And this is, John i. 1, 2, 3. In the begining

was the Word, and the Word was with God, and the Word was God. The same was in the beginning with God. All things were made by him, and without him was not any thing made that was made.

By the Word here, on what account soever he be so called, either as being the eternal Word and wisdom of the Father, or as the great revealer of the will of God, unto us Jesus Christ the Son of God is intended. This is on all hands acknowledged, and the context will admit of no hesitation. about it. For of this word it is said, that he came into the world, verse 10. 'was rejected by his own, verse 11. was made flesh and dwelt amongst us, whose glory was the glory of the only begotten Son of the Father, verse 14. called expressy Jesus Christ, verse 17. the only begotten 'Son of the Father,' verse 18. The subject then treated of is here agreed upon, and it is no less evident that it is the design of the apostle to declare both who, and what he was, of whom he treateth. Here then, if any where, we may learn what we are to believe concerning the person of Christ; which also we may certainly do, if our minds are not perverted through prejudice, 'whereby the God of this world. doth blind the minds of them which be-

' lieve not, lest the light of the glorious ' gospel of Christ, who is the image of God, 's should shine unto them,' 2 Cor. iv. 4. Of this Word then, this Son of God, it is affirmed, 'that he was in the beginning.' And this Word, if it doth not absolutely and formally express eternity, yet it doth a pre-existence unto the whole creation, which amounts to the same. For nothing can pre-exist unto all creatures, but in the nature of God which is eternal; unless we shall suppose a creature before the creation of any. But what is meant by this expression, the scripture doth elsewhere declare. Prov. viii. 23. 'I was set up from everlasting before the beginning, or ever the earth was,' John xvii. 5. Glorify thou me with thine own felf, with the glory ' which I had with thee before the world "was." Both which places as they explain this phrase, so also do they undeniably testify unto the eternal pre-existence of Christ. the Son of God. And in this case we prevail against our adversaries, if we prove any pre-existence of Christ unto his incarnation, which as they absolutely deny, so to grant it would overthrow their whole herefy in this matter. And therefore they know that the testimony of our Saviour concerning himself, if understood in a proper intelligible sense, is perfectly destructive of their pretentions,

bretensions, John viii. 58. Before Abraham was, I am.' For although there be no proper sense in the words, but a gross equivor. Eation, if the existence of Christ before Abraham was born be not afferted in them; Seeing he spake in answer to that objection of the Jews, that he was 'not yet fifty years old, and so could not have seen Abraham,' mor Abraham him; and the Jews that were present understood well enough that he aserted a divine pre-existence unto his being born so long ago, as that hereon after their manner, 'they took up stones to stone him,' as supposing him to have blasphemed, in afferting his Deity, as others now do in the denying of it: yet they seeing how fatal this pre-existence, though not here absolutely asserted to be eternal, would be to their cause; they contend, that the meaning of the words is, that 'Christ was to be the light of the world, before Abraham was made the father of many nations.' An interpretation to absurd and sotish, as never any man, not infatuated by the god of this world, could once admit and give countenance unto.

But in the beginning, as absolutely used, is the same with from everlasting, as it is expounded, Prov. viii. 23. and denoteth an eternal existence, which is here affirmed of the Word, the Son of God. But let the word beginning be restrained unto the subject

F

matter

matter treated of, which is the creation of all things, and the pre-existence of Christ, in his divine nature unto the creation of all things, is plainly revealed and inevitably afferted, And indeed, not only the word, but the difcourse of these verses, doth plainly relate un to, and is expository of the first verse in the Bible, Gen. i. 1. 'In the beginning, God cre. ated the heaven and the earth. There is is afferted, that in the beginning God created all things; here, that the Word was in the beginning and made all things. This then is the least that we have obtained from this first word of our testimony; namely, that the Word, or Son of God, had a personal preexistence unto the whole creation. In what nature this must be, let these men of reason fatisfy themselves, who know that Creator and creatures take up the whole nature of beings; one of them he must be, and it may be well supposed that he was not a creature before the creation of any.

Again, where, or with whom, was this Word in the beginning? It was, faith the Holy Ghost, with God. There being no creature then existing, he could be no where but with God, that is, the Father, as it is expressed in one of the testimonies beforegoing, Prov. viii. 22. The Lord possess me in the beginning of his ways, before his works of old. Yerse 30. Then was I by him as one brought

brought up with him, and I was daily his delight, rejoicing always before him: that is, in the beginning this Word, or wisdom

of God, was with God.

And this is the same which our Lord Jesus asserts concerning himself, John iii. 13. And on man, faith he, hath ascended up to heaven, but he that came down from heaven, even the Son of man which is in heaven.' And so in other places, he affirms his being In heaven, that is, with God, at the same time when he was on the earth; whereby he declares the immensity of his nature, and the distinction of his person; and his coming down from heaven before he was incarnate on the earth, declaring his pre-existence; by both manifesting the meaning of this expression, that in the beginning he was with God. But hereunto they have invented a notable evasion: For although they know not well what to make of the last clause of the words, hat fay, then he was in heaven when he spake on earth; 'the Son of man which is in heaven;' answerable to the description of God's mmensity: 'Do not I fill heaven and earth, faith the Lord?' Jer. xxiii. 24. But say, hat he was there by heavenly meditation, as mother man may be; yet they give a very clear answer to what must of necessity be influded in his descending from heaven, namey, his pre-existence to his incarnation. For they

they tell us, that before his public ministry, he was in his human nature, (which is all they allow unto him) taken up into heaven, and there taught the gospel; as the great im postor Mahomet pretended he was thught his Alcoran. If you ask them, who told them fo, they cannot tell; but they can tell when it was, namely, when he was led by the spirit into the wilderness for forty days after his baptism. But yet this instance is subject to another misadventure; in that one of the evangelists plainly affirms, that he was 'those forty days in the wilderness with the wild beafts,' Mark xvii. 13. And so surely not in heaven, in the fame nature, by his bodily presence with God and his holy angels.

And let me add this by the way, that the interpretation of this place, John i. r. to be mentioned afterwards; and those of the two places before mentioned, John viii. 58. chap iii. 31. Faustus Socinus learned out of his uncle Lælius' papers, as he confesseth; and doth more than intimate, that he believed he had them, as it were, by revelation; and it may be so; they are indeed so forced, absurd, and irrational, that no man could ever fix upon them by any reasonable investigation But the author of this revelation, if we may judge of the parent by the child, could be m other but the spirit of error and darkness. suppose therefore, that notwithstanding these exceptions

exceptions, Christians will believe, 'that in the beginning the Word was with God:' that is, that the Son was with the Father, as

is frequently elsewhere declared.

But who was this Word? saith the apostle, He was God. He was so with God, that is, the Father, as that he himself was God also. God, in that notion of God, which both nature and the scripture doth represent. Not a God by office, one exalted to that dignity (which cannot well be pretended before the creation of the world) but as Thomas confessled him, 'our Lord and our God,' John xx. 28. or as Paul expresses it, 'over all, God 'bleffed for ever,' or the 'most high God;' which these men love to deny. Let not the infidelity of men, excited by the craft and malice of Satan, feek for blind occasions, and this matter is determined; if the word and testimony of God be able to umpire a difference amongst the children of men. Here is the sum of our Creed in this matter: 'In the be-'ginning the Word was God,' and so continues unto eternity; being Alpha and Omega, the First and the Last, the Lord God Almighty.

And to shew that he was so God in the beginning, as that he was one distinct in something from God the Father, by whom afterwards he was sent into the world, he adds, ver. 2. The same was in the begin-

F3 ning

'ning with God.' Farther also, to evince what he hath afferted, and revealed for us to believe, the Holy Ghost adds, both as a firm declaration of his eternal Deity, and also his immediate care of the world (which how he variously exercised both in a way of providence, and grace, he afterwards declares) verse 3, 'all things were made by him.' He was fo in the beginning, before all things, as that he made them all. And that it may not be supposed, that the all that he is said to make or create, was to be limited unto any certain fort of things, he adds, 'that without him nothing was made, that was made;' which gives the first affertion an absolute universality, as to its subject.

And this he farther describes, ver. to. 'He was in the world, and the world was made by him.' The world that was made hath an usual distribution in the scripture, into the 'heavens and the earth, and all things contained in them;' as Acts iv. 24. 'Lord thou art God which hast made heaven, and earth, and the sea, and all that in them is:' that is, the world, the making whereof is expressly assigned unto the Son, Heb. i. 10. 'Thou Lord in the beginning hast laid the foundation of the earth, and the heavens are the works of thine hands.' And the apostle Paul to secure our understandings in this matter, instanceth in the most noble parts

of the creation, and which, if any, might seem to be excepted from being made by him, Col. i. 16. 'For by him were all things created that are in heaven, and that are in earth, visible and invisible, whether they be 'thrones, or dominions, or principalities, or 'powers, all things were created by him and 'for him.' The Socinians say, indeed, that he made angels to be thrones and principalities; that is, he gave them their order, but not their being; which is expressly contrary to the words of the text: so that a man knows not well what to fay to these persons, who at their pleasure cast off the authority of God in his word: 'By him were all things creat-'ed, that are in heaven, and that are in earth."

What now can be required to secure our faith in this matter? In what words possible could a divine revelation of the eternal power and Godhead of the Son of God be made more plain, and clear unto the fons of men? Or how could the truth of any thing more evidently be represented unto their minds? If we understand not the mind of God, and intention of the Holy Ghost in this matter, we may utterly despair ever to come to an acquaintance with any thing that God reveals unto us; or indeed with any thing elfe that is expressed, or is to be expressed, by words. It is directly faid, that the Word, that is Christ, as is acknowledged by all; was with God;

God; distinct from him, and was God one with him; that he was so in the beginning, before the creation; that he 'made 'all things, the world, all things in heaven 'and in earth.' And if he be not God, who is? The sum is: All the ways whereby we may know God, are his name, his properties, and his works: but they are all here ascribed, by the Holy Ghost, to the Son, to the Word: and he therefore is God, or we know neither who, nor what God is.

But say the Socinians, these things are quite otherwise, and the words have another

sense in them than you imagine.

What is it, I pray? We bring none to them, we impose no sense upon them; we strain not any word in them, from, besides, or beyond its native, genuine signification, its constant application in the scripture, and common use among men. What then is this latent sense that is intended, and is discoverable only by themselves? Let us hear them coining and stamping this sense of theirs.

They say then, that by, in the beginning, is not meant of the beginning of all things, or the creation of them; but the beginning

of the preaching of the gospel.

But why so, I pray? Wherever these words are else used in the scripture, they denote the beginning of all things, or eternity absolutely, or an existence preceding their creation

tion. In the beginning, God created heaven 'and earth,' Gen. i. 1. 'I was set up from everlasting, from the beginning, ere ever-'the earth was,' Prov. viii. 23. 'Thou Lord, 'in the beginning hast laid the foundations; ' of the earth,' Heb. i. 10. And besides, these words are never used absolutely any where for the beginning of the gospel. There is mention made, indeed, of the 'beginning of ' the gospel of Jesus Christ,' Mark i. 1. which is referred to the preaching of John Baptist. But 'in the beginning absolutely,' is never fo used or applied. And they must meet with men of no small inclination unto them, who will, upon their desire, in a matter of so great importance, forego the sense of words, which is natural and proper, fixed by its constant, use in the scripture, when applied in the same kind; for that which is forced, and strained, and not once exemplified in the whole book of God.

But the words, they say, are to be restrained to the subject matter treated of. Well, what is that subject matter? The new crea-

tion by the preaching of the gospel.

But this is plainly false, nor will the words allow any such sense, nor the context; nor is any thing offered to give evidence unto this corrupt perverting of the words, unless it be a farther perverting of other testimonies, no less clear than this. For what is, according

to this interpretation, the meaning of those words, 'In the beginning was the Word?' that is, when John Baptist preached, and said, 'this is the Lamb of God,' which was signally the beginning of the gospel, then he was. That is, he was when he was, no doubt of it. And is not this a notable way of interpreting of scripture, which these great pretenders to a distatorship in reason, indeed hucksters in sophistry, do make use of?

But to go on with them in this supposition: how was he then with God? The Word was with God.' That is, say they, he was then known only to God, before John Bap-

tist preached him in the beginning.

But what shall compel us to admit of this uncouth sense and exposition? He was with God, that is, he was known to God alone. What is there fingular herein, concerning how many things may the same be affirmed? Besides, it is absolutely false. He was known to the angel Gabriel, who came to his mother with the message of his incarnation, Luke i. 35. He was known to the two angels which appeared to the shepherds upon his birth, Luke ii. To all the heavenly host assembled to give praise and glory to God on the account of his nativity, as those who came to worship him, and to pay him the homage due unto him, Luke ii. 10, 13, 14. He was known to is mother, the bleffed Virgin; and to Joseph and Zachariah; and to Elizabeth, to Simeon and Anna; to John Baptist; and probably to many more, to whom Simeon and Anna spake of him, Luke ii. 38. So that the sense pretended to be wrung out and extorted from these words, against their proper meaning and intendment, is indeed false and frivolous, and belongs not at all unto them.

But let this pass. What shall we say to the next words? 'And the Word was God.' Give us leave, without disturbance from you, but to believe this expression, which compriseth a revelation of God, proposed to us on purpose that we should believe it, and there will be, as was faid, an end of this difference and debate. Yea, but fay they, these words have another sense also. Strange! they seem to be so plain and positive, that it is impossible any other sense should be fixed on them, but only this, That the Word was in the beginning, and was God, and ther fore is so still, unless he who is once God can ceale so to be. But the meaning is; that afterwards, God exalted him, and made him God, as to rule, authority, and power.

This making of him God, is an expression very offensive to the ears of all sober Christians, and was therefore before exploded. And these things here, as all other sigments, hang together like a rope of sands. In the beginning of the gospel he was God, before any

knew

knew him but only God. That is, after he had preached the gospel, and died, and rose again, and was exalted at the right hand of God, he was made God; and that not properly, which is absolutely impossible, but in an improper sense. How prove they then this perverse nonsense to be the sense of these plain words. They say, it must need be so. Let them believe them, who are willing to perish with them.

Thus far then we have their sense: In the beginning, that is, about sixteen, or seventeen hundred years ago, the Word, that is, the human nature of Christ, before it was made slesh, which it was in its beginning, was with God; that is, known to God alone; and in the beginning, that is, afterwards, not in the beginning, was made God; which is the sum of their exposition of this

place.

But what shall we say to what is affirmed concerning his making of all things, so as that without him, that is, without his making of it, nothing was made that was made especially seeing that these all things are expressly said to be the world, verse. 10. and all things therein contained, even in heaven and and earth, Col. i. 16. An ordinary man would think, that they should now be taken hold of, and that there is no way of escape left unto them. But they have it in

readiness. By the all things here, are inended all things of the gospel, the preachng of it, the sending of the apostles to preach
t, and to declare the will of God; and by
he world, is intended the world to come, or
he new state of things under the gospel.
This is the substance of what is pleaded by the
greatest masters amongst them in this matter,
and they are not assumed thus to plead.

And the reader, in this instance, may easily listern what a desperate cause they are engaged in, and how bold and desperate they

are in the management of it. For,

First, The words are a plain illustration of he divine nature of the Word, by his divine lower and works, as the very series of them leclares. He was God, and he made all hings; for he that made all things is God, leb. iii. 4.

Secondly, There is no one word spoken concerning the gospel, nor the preaching of t, nor any effects of that preaching, which he aposs le expressly insists upon and declares

sterwards, ver. 14. and so onwards.

Thirdly, The making of all things, here ascribed unto the Word, was done in the beginning. But that making of all things which hey intend, in erecting the church by the preaching of the word, was not done in the peginning, but afterwards; most of it, as hemselves confess, after the ascension of Christ into heaven.

Fourthly, In this gloss, what is the meaning of all things? only some things, say the Socinians. What is the meaning of were made? That is, were mended. By him? that is, the apostles principally preaching the golpel. And this in the beginning, after it was past: for so they say expressly, that the principal things here intended, were effected by the apostles afterwards.

I think fince the beginning, place it where you will, the beginning of the world, or the beginning of the gospel, there was never such an exposition of the words of God, or man,

contended for.

Fifthly, It is said, he made the world, and he came into it, namely, the world which he made; and the world, or the inhabitants of it, knew him not. But the world they intend did know him; or the church knew him, and acknowledged him to be the Son of God. For that was the foundation that it was built

upon.

I have instanced directly in this only testimony, to give the reader a pledge of the sull confirmation which may be given unto this great fundamental truth, by a due improvement of those other testimonies, or distinct revelations, which speak no less expressly to the same purpose. And of them, there is not any one but we are ready to vindicate it, if called thereunto, from the exceptions of these men; which how bold and sophistical

they

they are, we may, in these now considered, also learn and know.

It appeareth then, that there is a full sufficient revelation made in the scripture of the eternal Deity of the Son of God; and that he is so, as is the Father also. More particular testimonies I shall not at present insist upon, referring the full discussion and vindication of these truths to another season.

4. We are therefore in the next place to manifest, that the same, or the like testimony, s given unto the Deity of the Holy Spirit; hat is, that he is revealed and declared in the scripture, as the object of our faith, worhip, and obedience on the account, and for he reason of those divine excellencies, which are the sole reason of our yielding religious worship unto any, or expecting from any the reward that is promised unto us, or to be brought by them to the end for which we are. And herein lies, as was shewed the concernment of faith. When that knows what it is to believe, as on divine revelation, and is enabled thereby to regulate the foul in its present obedience and future expectaion, feeing it is its nature to work by love and hope, there it rests. Now this is done to the utmost satisfaction, in the revelation that is made of the divine existence, divine excellencies, and divine operations of the Spirit, as shall be briefly manifested.

But.

But before we proceed, we may, in on way, observe a great congruency of succes in those who have denied the Deity of the Son, and those who have denied that of the How Spirit. For as to the Son, after some ma began once to disbelieve the revelation coal cerning him, and would not acknowledge him to be God and man in one person, the could never settle nor agree, either what, who he was, or who was his Father, or whi he was the Son. Some faid he was a phan tasm, or appearance, and that he had no real subsistence in this world; and that all the was done by him was an appearance, he him felf being they know not what elsewhere That proud beatt Paulus Samofatenus, whole flagitious life contended for a pre-eminence in wickedness with his prodigious herestes, was one of the first, after the Jews, that pofittively contended for his being a man, and no more, who was followed by Photinus, and fome others. The Arians perceiving the folly of this opinion, with the odium of ital mong all that bare the name of Christians, and that they had as good deny the whole scripture as not grant unto him a pre-existonce, in a divine nature, antecedent to his incarnation; they framed a new Deity, which God should make before the world, in all things like to himself, but not the same with him in essence and substance; but to be so like him, that by the writings of some of them,

them, ye can scarce know one from the other; and that this was the Son of God also, who was afterwards incarnate. Others in the mean time had more monstrous imaginations; some that he was an Angel, some that he was the Sun, some that he was the Soul of the world, some the light within men. Departing from their proper rest, so have they hovered about, and so they have continued to do until this day.

In the same manner it is come to pass with them who have denied the Deity of the Holy Ghost. They could never find where to stand or abide; but one hath cried up one thing, another another. At first they observed, that fuch things were every where afcribed unto him in the scripture, as uncontroulably evidenced him to be an intelligent, voluntary agent. This they found so plain and evident, that they could not deny, but that he was a person, or an intelligent subsistence. Wherefore, seeing they were resolved not to affent unto the revelation of his being God, they made him a created Spirit, chief, and above all others. But still whatever else he was, he was only a creature. And this course some of late also have steered.

The Socinians, on the other hand, observing that such things are assigned and ascribed unto him, as that if they acknowledged him to be a person, or a substance, they must up-

on necessity admit him to be God; though they seemed not at first at all agreed what to think, or fay concerning him positively, yet they all concurred peremptorily in denying his personality. Hereon, some of them said he was the Gospel, which others of them have confuted; some that he was Christ, Neither could they agree, whether there was one Holy Ghost, or more; whether the Spill rit of God, and the good Spirit of God, and the Holy Spirit, be the same or not. In ge neral now they conclude, that he is vis Dei, or virtus Dei, or efficacia Dei; no substance, but a quality that may be considered either as being in God, and then they fay it is the Spirit of God; or as sanctifying, and con forming men unto God, and then they say it is the Holy Ghost. Whether these things do answer the revelation made in the scripture concerning the eternal Spirit of God, will beimmediately manifested. Our Quakers, who have for a long feafon hovered up and down, like a swarm of flies, with a confused noise and humming, begin now to settle in the opinions lately by them declared for. But what their thoughts will fall into concerning - the Holy Ghost, when they shall be contented to speak intelligibly, and according to the usage of other men, or the pattern of scripture, the great rule of speaking or treating about spiritual things, I know not; and am uncertain

uncertain whether they do so themselves, or not. Whether he may be the light within them, or an infallible afflatus, is uncertain. In the mean time, what is revealed unto us in the scripture to be believed concerning the Holy Ghost, his Deity and Personality, may be seen in the ensuing testimonies. The sum of this revelation is, that the Holy Spirit is an eternally divine existing substance, the author of divine operations, and the object of divine and religious worship; that is over all God blessed for ever; as the ensuing testimonies evince.

Gen. i. 2. 'The Spirit of God moved upon the face of the waters.'

Pfalm xxxiii. 6. 'By the word of the Lord' were the heavens made, and all the host of them by the Spirit of his mouth.'

Job xxvi. 13. 'By his Spirit he hath gar-

' nished the heavens.'

Job xxxiii. 4. 'The Spirit of God hath 'made me.'

Psalm civ. 30. 'Thou sendest forth thy

Spirit; they are created.

Mat. xxviii. 19. 'Baptizing them in the name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Ghost.'

Acts i. 16. 'That scripture must needs have

been fulfilled, which the Holy Ghost by the

"mouth of David fpake."

Acts v. 3. Peter said to Ananias, why hath



hath Satan filled thy heart to lie to the Holy Ghost?

Ver. 4. 'Thou hast not lied unto men,

but unto God.'

Acts xxviii. 25, 26. 'Well spake the Holy

Ghost by Esaias the prophet unto our Fa-

thers, saying, go unto this people and say-

1 Cor. iii. 16. 'Know ye not that ye are

the temple of God, and that the Spirit of

God dwelleth in you.'

* One and felf-same spirit, dividing to every man as he will."

Ver. 6. 'And there are diversities of operations, but it is the same God which work-

eth all in all.

2 Cor. xiii. 14. 'The grace of the Lord Jesus Christ, and the love of God, and the communion of the Holy Ghost be with you all.'

Acts xx. 28. 'Take heed to the flock over which the Holy Ghost hath made you over-

'feers.'

Matth. xii. 31. All manner of sin and blasphemy shall be forgiven unto men, but the blasphemy against the Holy Ghost shall

f not be forgiven unto men.2

Psal. cxxxix. 7. 'Whither shall I go from

"thy Spirit?"

John xiv. 7. But the Comforter, which is the Holy Ghost, whom the Father will fend

fend in my name, he shall teach you all things.

Luke xii. 12. 'The Holy Ghost shall teach you in the same hour what you ought

' to fay.'

Acts xiii. 3. 'And as they ministred to the Lord and fasted, The Holy Ghost said, feparate me Barnabas and Saul for the work whereunto I have called them.'

Verse 4. 'So they being sent forth by

'the Holy Ghost, departed into,' &c.

2 Pet. i. 21. For the prophesy came not in old time by the will of men, but holy men of God spake as they were moved by

the Holy Ghost.

It is evident, upon the first consideration, that there is not any thing which we believe concerning the Holy Ghost; but that it is plainly revealed and declared in these tests timonies. He is directly affirmed to be, and is called God, Acts v. 3, 4. Which the Socinians will not fay is by virtue of an exaltation unto an office or authority, as they fay of the Son. That he is an intelligent, voluntary, divine agent: he knoweth, he worketh as he will. Which things if, in their frequent repetition, they are not fufficient to evince an intelligent agent, a personal subsistence, that hath being, life and will; we must confess, that the scripture was written on purpose to lead us into mistakes

and misapprehensions, of what we are under penalty of eternal ruin rightly to apprehend and believe. It declareth also, that he is the author and worker of all forts of divine operations, requiring immensity, omnipotency, omnisciency, and all other divine excellencies unto their working and effecting. Moreover it is revealed, that he is peculiarly to be believed in, and may peculiarly be sinned against: the great author of all grace in believers, and order in the church. This is the sum of what we believe, of what is revealed in the scripture concerning the Holy Ghost.

As in the confideration of the preceding head, we vindicated one testimony in particular from the exceptions of the adversaries of the truth; so on this we may briefly sum up the evidence that is given us in the testimonies before produced; that the reader may the more easily understand their intendment, and what in particular they bear witness unto.

The sum is: That the Holy Ghost is a divine distinct person, and neither meerly the power or virtue of God, nor any created spirit whatever. This plainly appears from what is revealed concerning him. For he who is placed in the same series, or order with other divine persons, without the least note of difference or distinction from them,

as to an interest in personality; who hath the names proper to a divine person only, and is frequently and directly called by them; who also hath personal properties, and is the voluntary author of personal divine operations, and the proper object of divine worship, he is a distinct, divine person. And if these things be not sufficient evidence and demonstration of a divine, intelligent substance, I shall, as was said before, despair to understand any thing that is expressed and declared by words. But now, thus it is with the Holy Ghost, according to the revelation made concerning him in the scripture. For,

First, He is placed in the same rank and order, without any note of difference or distinction, as to a distinct interest in the divine nature, that is, as we shall see, personality, with other divine persons. Matth. xxviii. 19. 'Baptizing them in the name 'of the Father, and the Son, and of the 'Holy Ghost,' I John v. 7. 'There be three 'that bear witness in Heaven, the Father, ' the Son, and the Spirit, and these three are 'one,' 1 Cor. xii. 3, 4, 5, 6. 'No man can ' say the Lord Jesus Christ is the Lord, but 'by the Holy Ghost; now there are diversi-'ties of gifts, but the same Spirit; and there 'are differences of administrations, but the 'same Lord; and there are diversities of operations, but it is the same God which ' worketh

'worketh all in all.' Neither doth a denial of his divine being, and distinct existence, leave any tolerable sense unto these expressions. For read the words of the first place from the mind of the Socinians, and see what is it can be gathered from them: Baptizing them in the name of the Father, and of the Son; and of the virtue or efficacy of the Father. Can any thing be more absonant from faith and reason, than this absurd expression? And yet it is the direct sense, if it be any, that these men put upon the words. To join a quality with acknowledged persons, and that in luch things and cales, as wherein they are proposed under a personal considerlation, is a strange kind of mystery. And the like may be manifested concerning the other places.

Secondly, He also hath the names proper to a divine person only. For he is expressly called God, Acts. v. He who is termed the Holy Ghost, ver. 3. and the Spirit of the Lord, ver. 9. is called also God, ver. 4. Now this is the name of a divine person on one account or other. The Socinians would not allow Christ to be called God, were he not a divine person, though not by nature, yet by office and authority. And I suppose, they will not find out an office for the Holy Ghost, whereunto he might be exalted, on the account whereof he might become God; seeing

feeing this would acknowledge him to be a person, which they deny. So he is called the Comforter, John xvi. 7. A personal appellation this is also; and because he is the comforter of all God's people, it can be the name of none but a divine person. In the same place also it is frequently assimmed, that he shall come, that he shall, and will do such and such things, all of them declaring him to be a person.

figned unto him; as a will, I Cor. xii. II. He divideth to every man feverally as he will.' And understanding, I Cor. ii. 10. The Spirit fearcheth all things, yea, the deep things of God.' As also all the actings that are ascribed unto him, are all of them such, as undeniably affirm personal properties in their principal and agent. For,

divine operations. He of old cherished the creation, Gen. i. 3. 'The Spirit of God 'moved upon the face of the waters.' He formed and garnished the Heavens. He inspired, acted and spake, in and by the prophets, Acts xxviii. 25, 26. 'Well spake the 'Holy Ghost by Isaiah the prophet unto our 'Fathers,' 2 Pet. i. 21. 'The prophecy came not in old time by the will of man, but 'holy men of God spake as they were moved by the Holy Ghost.' He regenerateth, enlighteneth, sanctisieth, comforteth, instruction.

toth, leadeth, guideth, all the disciples d Christ, as the scriptures every where testify. Now all these are personal operations, and cannot with any pretence of fobriety, or confistency with reason, be constantly and uniformly assigned unto a quality or virtue. He is, as the Father and Son, God, with the properties of omniscience and omnipotency, of life, understanding, and will; and by these properties works, acts, and producet effects according to wisdom, choice, and power.

Fifthly, The same regard is had to him in faith, worship, and obedience, as unto the other persons of the Father, and Son. our being baptized into his name, is our fol lemn engagement to believe in him, to yield obedience to him, and to worship him, as it puts the same obligation upon us to the Father and the Son. So also in reference unto the worship of the church, he commands that the ministers of it be separated unto himself, Acts xiii. 2. 'The Holy Ghost said se e parate me Barnabas and Saul, for the work ' whereunto I have called them.'

Ver. 4. 'So they being sent forth by the 'Holy Ghost departed:' which is comprehensive of all the religious worship of the church.

And on the same account is he sinned against, as Acts v. 3, 4, 9. For there is the same reason of sin and obedience. Against whom

God,

whom a man may fin formally and ultimately, him he is bound to obey, worship, and believe in. And this can be no quality, but God himself. For what may be the sense of this expression? Thou hast lied to the efficacy of God in his operations. Or how can we formally be obliged unto obedience to a quality. There must then an antecedant obligation unto faith, trust, and religious obedience be supposed as the ground of rendering a person capable of being guilty of fin towards any. For fin is but a failure in faith, obedience, or worship. These therefore are due unto the Holy Ghost; or a man could not sin against him so signally and fatally, as some are said to do in the foregoing testimonies.

I say therefore unto this part of our cause, as unto the other, that unless we cast off all everence of God, and in a kind of atheism, which as I suppose the prevailing wickedness of this age hath not yet arrived unto, say that he scriptures were written on purpose to deeive us, and lead unto mistakes about, and nisapprehensions of what it proposeth unto is; we must acknowledge the Holy Ghost to pe a substance, a person, God; yet distinct rom the Father and the Son. For to tell us, hat he will come unto us, that he will be our comforter that he will teach us, lead us, guide us, that he spake of old, in and by the prophets, that they were moved by him, acted by him, that he searcheth the deep things of

God, works as he will, that he appointeth to himself ministers in the church; in a word to declare in places innumerable, what he hath done, what he doth, what he will do what he fays, and speaks, how he acts, and proceeds, what his will is; and to warn us that we grieve him not, sin not against him, with things innumerable of the like nature and all this while to oblige us to believe that he is not a person, an helper, a comforter, fearcher, a willer, but a quality in some el pecial operations of God, or his power and virtue in them, were to distract men, not to instruct them, and leave them no certain con clusion but this, that there is nothing certain in the whole Book of God. And of no other tendency are these, and the like imagination of our adversaries in this matter.

But let us briefly consider what is objected in general unto the truth we have consirmed

They say then, that the Holy Spirit is say to be given, to be sent, to be bestowed on men, and to be promised unto them; and therefore it cannot be, that he should be God For how can any of these things be spoken of God?

I answer, First, As these expressions do not prove him to be God, nor did ever any produce them to that purpose, yet they under bly prove him to be a person; or an intelligent voluntary agent, concerning whom they are spoken and affirmed. For how can the power

of God, or a quality as they speak, be said to be sent, to be given, to be bestowed on men? So that these very expressions are destructive to their imaginations.

Secondly, He who is God, equal in nature and being with the Father, may be promised, sent, and given, with respect unto the holy dispensation and condescension, wherein he hath undertaken the office of being our com-

forter and sanctifier.

Thirdly, The communications, distributions, impartings, divisions of the Spirit, which they mention as they respect the object of them, or those on whom they were, or are bestowed, denote only works, gifts, operations, and effects of the Spirit, the rule whereof is expressed n Cor. xii. 7. 'He worketh them in whom he will, and as he will.' And whether these, and the like exceptions, taking from actings and operations, which are plainly interpreted and explained in fundry places of scripture, and evidently enough in the particular places where they are used, are sufficient to impeach the truth of the revelation before declared, all who have a due reverence of God, his word and truths, will easily understand and Hiscern.

These things being declared in the scripture concerning the Father, the Son and the Holy Ghost, it is moreover revealed, and these three are one; that is, one God, jointly to be worshipped, seared, adored, believed in and obeyed

obeyed in order unto eternal life. For al. though this doth absolutely and necessarily follow, from what is declared, and hath been spoken concerning the one God, or onenels of the Deity; yet for the confirmation of our faith, and that we may not, by the distinct consideration of the three, be taken off from the one, it is particularly declared, that these three are one, that one, the one and fame God. But whereas, as was faid before, this can no otherwise be, the testimonies given thereunto are not so frequently multiplied, as they are unto those other heads of this truth, which through the craft of Satan and the pride of men, might be more liable to exceptions. But yet they are clear, full, and distinctly sufficient for faith to acquiesce in immediately, without any other expositions, interpretations, or arguments, beyond our understanding of the naked importance of the words. Such are they of the Father and the Son, John x. 30. 'I and my Father are one' Father, Son, and Spirit, 1 John v. 7. 'Three that bare witness in heaven, Father, Son, and Spirit, and these three are one,' Mat. xxviii. 19. 'Baptizing them in the name of 'the Father, Son, and Spirit.' For if those into whose name we are baptized be not one in nature, we are by our baptism engaged into the service and worship of more God's than one. For as being baptized, or facredly initiated into, or in the name of any one, doth facramentally

facramentally bind us unto a holy and religious obedience unto him, and in all things to the avowing of him as the God whose we are, and whom we serve, as here we are in the name of the Father, Son, and Spirit; so if they are not one God, the blasphemous consequence beforementioned must unavoidably be admitted; which it also doth upon the Socinian principle, who while of all others they seem to contend most for one God, are indeed direct Polytheists, by owning others with religious respect, due to God alone, which are not so.

Once more: It is revealed also, that these three are distinct among themselves by certain peculiar relative properties, if I may yet use these terms. So that they are distinct, living, divine, intelligent voluntary principles of operation or working, and that in, and by eternal acts one towards another, and in acts that outwardly respect the creation and the several parts of it. Now this distinction originally lieth in this: that the Father begetteth the Son, and the Son is begotten of the Father; and the Holy Spirit proceedeth from both of them. The manner of these things, so far as they may be expressed unto our edification, shall afterwards be spoken to. At present it sufficeth, for the satisfaction and confirmation of our faith, that the distinctions named are clearly revealed in the scripture, and are proposed to be its proper object in this matter:

Psalm ii. 7. 'Thou art my Son, this day have I begotten thee.' Matth. xvi. 16. 'Thou art Christ, the Son of the living God.' John. i. 14. 'We saw his glory of the only begotten of the Father.' Ver. 18. 'No 'man hath seen God at any time, the only begotten Son, which is in the bosom of the Father, he hath revealed him.' John v. 26. • For as the Father hath life in himself, so hath ' he given to the Son to have life in himself,' 1 John v. 20. 'The Son of God is come, ', and hath given us an understanding.' John xiv. 26. 'But when the Comforter is come, ' whom I will fend unto you from the Father, even the spirit of truth, which proceedeth "from the Father, he shall testify of me."

Now as the nature of this distinction lies in their mutual relation one to another, lo it is the foundation of those distinct actings and operations, whereby the distinction itself is clearly manifested and confirmed. And these actings, as was faid, are either fuch, as where one of them is the object of another's actings, or such as have the creature for their objects. The first fort are testified unto, Psalm cx. 1. John i. 18. chap. v. 20. chap. xvii. 5. 1 Cor. ii. 10, 11. Prov. viii. 21, 22. Most of which places have been before recited. They which thus know each other, love each other, delight in each other, must needs be distinct; and so are they represented unto our faith. And for the other fort of actings the scripture is full

of the expressions of them. See Gen. xix. 24. Zachariah ii. 8. John v. 17. I Cor xii.

7, 8, 9. 1 Cor viii. 9.

Our conclusion from the whole is: That there is nothing more fully expressed in the scripture than this facred truth is: that there is one God, Father, Son, and Holy Ghost; which are divine, distinct, intelligent, voluntary, omnipotent principles of operation, and working: which whosever thinks himself obliged to believe the scripture must believe; and concerning others, in this discourse, we are not solicitous.

This is that which was first proposed, namely: To manifest what is expressly revealed in the scripture concerning God, the Father, Son, and Holy Ghost; so as that we may duly believe in him, yield obedience unto him, enjoy communion with him, walk in his love and fear, and so come at length to be blessed with him for evermore. Nor doth faith for its security, establishment, and direction, absolutely stand in need of any farther exposition or explanation of these things, or the use of any terms not consecrated to the present service by the Holy Ghost. But,

Whereas this doctrine may be variously assaulted by the temptations of Satan, and opposed by the subtile sophisms of men of corrupt minds; and whereas it is the duty of the disciples of Christ to grow in the knowledge of God, and our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ,

by

by an explicit apprehension of the things they do believe, so far as they are capable of them; this doctrine hath in all ages of the church been explained and taught, in and by such expressions, terms, and propositions; as farther declare what is necessarily included in it, or consequent unto it; with an exclusion of such things, notions and apprehensions, as are neither the one, nor the other. This I shall briefly manifest, and then vindicate the whole from some exceptions, and so close this differtation.

1. That God is one, was declared and proved, now this oneness can respect nothing but the nature, being, substance or essence of God. God is one in this respect. Some of these words indeed are not used in the scripture. But whereas they are of the same importance and fignification, and none of them include any thing of imperfection, they are properly used in the declaration of the unity of the Godhead. There is mention in the scripture of the Godhead of God, Rom. i. 20. 'His eternal power and Godhead.' And of his nature, by excluding them from being objects of our worship, who are 'not God by nature,' Gal. iv. 8. Now this natural Godhead of God is his fubiliance or essence, with all the holy divine excellencies which naturally and necessarily appertain thereunto. Such are eternity, immensity, omnipotency, life, infinite holiness, goodness, and the like. This one nature, fubstance stance or essence, being the nature, substance or essence of God, as God; is the nature, essence, and substance of the Father, Son, and Spirit; one and the same absolutely in and unto each of them. For none can be God as they are revealed to be, but by virtue of this divine nature, or being. Herein consists the unity of the Godhead.

2. The distinction which the scripture reveals between Father, Son, and Spirit, is that, whereby they are three hypostasies, or perfons, distinctly subsisting in the same divine essence or being. Now a divine person is nothing, but the divine essence, upon the account of an especial property, subsisting in an especial manner. As in the person of the Father, there is the divine essence, and being, with its property of begetting the Son, subfisting in an especial manner as the Father: and because this person hath the whole divine nature, all the essential properties of that nature are in that person. The wisdom, the understanding of God, the will of God, the immensity of God, is in that person; not as that person, but as the person is Go like is to be said of the persons of the Son, and of the Holy Ghost. Hereby each person having the understanding, the will, and power of God, becomes a distinct principle of operation; and yet all their actings, ad extra, being the actings of God, they are undivided, and are all the works of one, of the self same God ! God. And these things do not only necesfarily follow, but are directly included in the revelation made concerning God, and his

subsistence in the scriptures.

3. There are indeed very many other things that are taught, and disputed, about this doctrine of the Trinity, as the manner of the eternal generation of the Son, of the essence of the Father; of the procession of the Holy Ghost, and the difference of it from the generation of the Son; of the mutual in-being of the persons, by reason of their unity in the same substance, or essence; the nature of the personal subsistence, with respect unto their properties whereby they are mutually distinguished; all which are true and defensible against all the sophisms of the adversaries of this truth. Yet because the distinct apprehension of them, and their accurate expression, is not necessary unto faith, as it is our guide and principle in and unto religious worship and obedience, they need not here be insisted on. Nor are those brief explications themselves before mentioned so proposed, as to be placed immediately in the same rank or order with the original revelations before insisted on; but only are pressed as proper expressions of what is revealed, to increase our light and further our edification. And although they cannot rationally be opposed or denied, nor ever were by any, but such as deny and oppose the things themselves as reyealed

vealed; yet they that do so deny or oppose them, are to be required positively, in the first place to deny or disapprove the oneness of the Deity; or to prove, that the Father, or Son, or Holy Ghost in particular, are not God, before they be allowed to speak one word against the manner of explication of the truth concerning them. For either they grant the revelation declared and contended for, or they do not. If they do, let that concession be first laid down, namely, that the Father, Son, and Spirit are one God; and then let it be debated, whether they are one in substance and three in persons, or how else the matter is to be stated; If they deny it; it is a plain madness to dispute of the manner of any thing, and the way of expressing it, whilst the thing itself is denied to have a being. For of that which is not, there is neither manner, property, adjunct, nor effect. Let then such persons, as this sort of men are ready to attempt with their sophistry, and to amuse with tavils about persons, substances, subsistences, and the like, desire to know of them, what t is that they would be at? What would they deny, what would they disapprove? Is it that God is one; or that the Father is God, or the son, or the Holy Ghost is so? If they deny, proppose either of these, they have testimopies and instances of divine revelation, or may have in a readiness, to confound the levil and all his emissaries. If they will hot

do so, if they refuse it, then let them know, that it is most foolish and unreasonable to contend about expressions and explanations of any thing, or doctrine; about the manner, respects, or relations of any thing; until the thing itself, or doctrine, be plainly confessed or denied. If this they retuse, as generally they do and will, which I speak upon sufficient experience, and will not be induced to deal openly, properly, and rationally; but will keep to their cavils and fophilms, about terms and expressions, all farther debate, or conference with them may justly, and ought both conscientiously and rationally to be refused, and rejected. For these sacred mysteries of God, and the gospel, are not lightly to be made the subject of mens contests and disputations.

But as we dealt before in particular, so here I shall give instances of the sophistical exceptions that are used against the whole of this doctrine; and that with respect unto some late collections, and representations of them: from whence they are taken up and used by many who seem not to understand the words, phrases and expressions themselves which they make use of.

The sum of what they say in general: is, How can these things be? How can three be one, and one be three? Every person hath its own substance, and therefore if there be three persons, there must be three substances, and so three Gods.

Anfw. Every person hath distinctly its own substance; for the one substance of the Deity, is the substance of each person; so it is still but one. But each person hath not its own distinct substance, because the substance of them all is the same, as hath been proved.

They say again: That if each person be God, then each person is infinite, and there being three persons, there must be three in-

finites.

Answ. This follows not in the least: For each person is infinite as he is God. All divine properties, such as to be infinite is, beong not to the persons on the account of their personality, but on the account of their naure, which is one, for they are all natural properties.

But they say: If each person be God, and hat God subsist in three persons; then in ach person there are three persons, or Gods.

Answ. The collusion of this sophism consists in that expression, he God, and that God. In the first place, the nature of God is included; in the latter, a singular person. Place he words intelligibly and they are thus: If ach person be God, and the nature of God whists in three persons; then in each person here are three persons: and then the folly of will be evident.

But they farther infer: That if we deny e persons to be infinite, then an infinite begin a finite mode of sublisting: and so I

know

know not what supposition they make hence; that feeing there are not three infinites, then the Father, Son, and Spirit are three finites that make up an infinite.

Answ. The pitiful weakness of this cavil is open to all: For finite and infinite are properties and adjuncts of beings, and not of the manner of the subsistence of any thing. The nature of each person is infinite, and so is each person, because of that nature. Of the manner of their subsistence, finite and infinite cannot be predicated or fpoken, no farther than to fay, an infinite being doth fo fublist.

But you grant, fay they, that the only true God is the Father, and then if Christ be the

only true God, he is the Father.

Answ. We say, the only true God is Fa-I ther, Son, and Holy Ghost. We never say, the scripture never says, that the Father only is the true God; whence it would follow, that the that is the true God, is the Father. But we grant the Father to be the only true God: And so we say, is the Son also. And it dother not at all thence follow, that the Son is the Father. Because in saying the Father is the true God, we respect not his paternity, or his paternal relation to his Son; but his nature, that because each person is God, one person must be another, is to crave leave to disbe lieve what God hath revealed, without giving any reason at all for their so doing.

But this sophism being borrowed from] another, namely Crellius, who infifted much upon it, I shall upon his account, and not on theirs, who, as far as I can apprehend, understand little of the intendment of it, remove it more fully out of the way. It is proposed by him in way of fyllogism, thus: The only true God is the Father; Christ is the only true God; therefore he is the Father. Now this syllogism is ridiculously sophistical. For in a categorical fyllogism the major proposition is not to be particular, nor equipollent to a particular. For from such a proposition, when any thing communicable to more is the subject of it, and is restrained unto one particular, nothing can be inferred in the conclusion. But such is this proposition here, the only true God is the Father. It is a particular proposition; wherein the subject is restrained unto a fingular, or individual predicate, though in itself communicable to more. Now the proposition being so made particular, the terms of the subject and predicate are supposed reciprocal: Namely, that one God, and the Father, are the same; which is false: unless it be sirst proved, that the name God, is communicable to no more, or no other, than is the other term of Father; which to suppose, is to beg the whole question. For the only true God, hath a larger signification than the term of Father or Son. So that though the only true God be the Father; yet every

givery one who is true God, is not the Father. Seeing then that the name of God here supplies the place of a species, though it be sin. gular absolutely, as it respects the divine na. ture which is absolutely singular, and one, and cannot be multiplied; yet in respect of communication it is otherwise; it is communicated unto more, namely, to the Father, Son, and Holy Ghost. And therefore, if any thing be intended to be included from hence, the proposition must be expressed according to what the subject requires, as capable of communication, or attribution to more than one: As thus. Whoever is the only true God, is the Father: which proposition these persons, and their masters, shall never be able to prove.

I have given in particular these strictures thus briefly upon these empty sophisms; partly, because they are well removed already; and partly, because they are mere exscriptions out of an author not long since translated into English, unto whom an entire answer may

ere long be returned.

That which at present shall suffice, is to give a general answer unto all these cavils, with all of the same kind, which the men of

these principles do usually insist upon.

The things, they fay, which we teach concerning the Trinity, are contrary to reason. And thereof they endeavour to give sundry instances, wherein the sum of the opposition which

which they make unto this truth doth confilt. But, First, I ask what reason is it that they intend? It is their own, the carnal reason of men: by that they will judge of these divine mysteries. The scripture tells us indeed, that the spirit of a man which is in him knows the things of a man. A man's spirit, by natural reason, may judge of natural things. But ' the things of God knoweth no man, but the 'Spirit of God,' 1 Cor. ii. 11. So that what we know of these things, we must receive upon the revelation of the Spirit of God meerly, if the apostle may be believed. And it is given unto men to know the mysteries of the kingdom of God. To some, and not to others; and unless it be so given them, they cannot know them. In particular, none can know the Father, unless the Son reveal him. Nor will, or doth, or can flesh and blood reveal, or understand Jesus Christ to be the Son of the living God, unless the Father reveal him, and instruct us in the truth of it, Matth, xvi. 18. The way to come to the acknowledgement of these things, is that described by the apostle, Eph. iii. 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19. 'For this cause I bow my knees unto the Father of our Lord Jesus Christ, of whom the whole family in heaven and 'earth is named, that he would grant you, 'according to the riches of his glory, to be 'strengthened with might by his Spirit in the 'inner man, that Christ may dwell in your

hearts by faith; that ye being rooted and grounded in love may be able to compre-

hend with all saints, &c.' As also, Col. ii. 2.

'That ye might come unto all riches of the

full assurance of understanding, to the ac-

'knowledgement of the mystery of God, and

of the Father, and of Christ. In whom are

'hid all the treasures of wisdom and know-

'ledge.' It is by faith and prayer, and through the revelation of God, that we may come to the acknowledgement of these things; and not by the carnal reasonings of men of

corrupt minds.

Secondly, What reason do they intend? If reason absolutely, the reason of things; we grant that nothing contrary unto it is to be admitted. But reason, as it in this or that man, particularly in themselves, we know to be weak, maimed, and imperfect; and that they are, and all other men, extremely remote from a just and full comprehension of the whole reason of things. Are they in such an estate, as that their apprehension shall pass for the measure of the nature of all things? we know they are far from it. So that though we will not admit of any thing, that is contrary to reason; yet the least intimation of a truth, by divine revelation, will make me embrace it, although it should be contrary to the reason of all the Socinians in the world. Reason in the abstract, or the just measure of the answering of one thing unto another, is

of great moment: but reason, that is what is pretended to be so, or appears to be so unto this or that man, especially in and about things of divine revelation, is of very small importance; of none at all, where it riseth up against the express testimonies of scripture, and these multiplied to their mutual confirmation and

explanation.

Thirdly, Many things are above reason, that is, as considered in this or that subject, as men, which are not at all against it. It is an easy thing to compel the most curious enquirers of these days to a ready confession hereof, by multitudes of instances in things sinite and temporary. And shall any dare to deny, but it may be so in things heavenly, divine and spiritual? Nay, there is no concernment of the being of God, or his properties, but is absolutely above the comprehension of our reason. We cannot by searching find out God, we cannot find out the Almighty to perfection.

Fourthly, The very foundation of all their objections and cavils against this truth, is destructive of as fundamental principles of reason as are in the world. They are all at best reduced to this: It cannot be thus in things finite; the same Being cannot in one respect be one, in another three, and the like; and therefore it is so in things infinite. All these reasonings are built upon this supposition, That that which is finite can perfectly comprehend

prehend that which is infinite. An affertion absurd, foolish, and contradictory unto itself! Again, it is the highest reason in things of pure revelation to captivate our understandings to the authority of the revealer, which here is rejected. So that by a loud specious pretence of reason, these men, by a little captious sophistry, endersour not only to countenance their unbelief, but to evert the greatest principles of reason itself.

Fifthly, The objections these men principally insist upon, are merely against the explanations we use of this doctrine, not against the primitive revelation of it, which is the principal object of our faith: which how preposterous and irrational a course of proceeding it

is, hath been declared.

Sixthly, It is a rule among philosophers, that if a man, on just grounds and reasons, has embraced any opinion, or persuasion, he is not to desert it, meerly because he cannot answer every objection against it. For if the objections wherewith we may be entangled, be not of the same weight and importance with the reason on which we embraced the opinion, it is a madness to forego it on the account thereof. And much more must this hold amongst the common fort of Christians, in things spiritual and divine. If they will let go, and part with their faith in any truth, because they are not able to answer distinctly some objections that may be made against it,

they may quickly find themselves disputed into atheism.

Seventhly, There is so great an intimation made of such an expression, and resemblance of a Trinity in unity, in the very works of the creation, as learned men have manifested by various instances; that it is most unreasonable to suppose that to be contrary to reason, which many objects of rational consideration do more or less present unto our minds.

Eightly, To add no more confideration of this nature, let any of the adversaries produce any one argument, or grounds of reason, or those pretended to be such against that that hath been afferted, that hath not already been bassled a thousand times, and it shall receive an answer, or a public acknowledgement that it is indissoluble.

Of the Person of CHRIST.

THE next head of opposition made by the men of this conspiracy, against this sacred truth, is against the head of all truth, the person of our Lord Jesus Christ. The Socinians indeed would willingly put a better sace, or colour upon their error about the person of Christ, than it will bear, or endure to lie on it. For in their catechism unto this question: Is the Lord Jesus Christ parus bomo, a meer man? they answer: By no means.

How then? Hath he a divine nature also? which is their next question. To this they fay: By no means, for this is contrary to right reason. How then will these pretended masters of reason reconcile these things? For to us it seems, that if Christ have no other nature but that of a man, he is, as to his nature, purus homo, a mere man, and no more. Why they answer: That he is not a mere man, because he was born of a Virgin. Strange! that that should be an argument to prove him more than a man, which the scripture and all men in their right wits grant to be an invincible reason, to prove him to be a man, and as he was born of her, no more, Rom. i. 3. 'Concerning his Son Jesus Christ our Lord, which was made of the feed of David 'according to the flesh,' Rom. ix. 5. 'Whose are the Fathers, and of whom, as concerning ' the flesh, Christ came,' Gal. iv. 4. 'God' 's sent forth his Son, made of a woman, made ' under the law.' But fay they, he was endowed with the Spirit, wrought miracles, was raised from the dead, had all power given him in heaven and earth; for by these degrees he became to be God. But all men see that the inquiry is about the nature of Christ; and this answer is about his state and condition. Now this changeth not his nature on the one; hand; no more than his being humbled, poor, and dying did on the other. This is the right reason we have to deal withal in

these men. If a man should have enquired of some of them of old, whether Melchisedec were purus homo, a mere man? Some of them would have said, no, because he was the Holy Ghost; some, no, because he was the Son of God himself; and some, no, because he was an angel; for such foolish opinions have men fallen into. But how sottish soever their conceptions were, their answer to that enquiry would have been regular, because the question and answer respect the same subject, in the same respect. But never any was so stupid, is to answer, he was not a mere man, that is by nature, because he was a priest of the most high God, which respects his office, and conlition. Yet such is the pretence of these men bout the person of Christ to incrustrate and give some colour unto their foul misbelief; as upposing that it would be much to their disdvantage to own Christ, only as a mere man, 🦟 hough the most part of their disputes that hey have troubled the Christian world with-Il, have had no other design nor aim, but to rove him so to be, and nothing else. I shall riefly, according to the method infifted on, Ist lay down what is the direct revelation, thich is the object of our faith in this matter; hen express the revelation itself in the scrippre testimonies wherein it is recorded; and aving vindicated some one or other of them om their exceptions, manifest how the docme hereof is farther explained, unto the lification of them that believe.

That there is a second person, the Son of God, in the holy Trin-unity of the Godhead we have proved before. That this person di of his infinite love and grace take upon his our nature, human nature, so as that the vine and human nature should become on person, one Christ, God and man in one, that whatever he doth in and about our falval tion, it is done by that one person, God and man, is revealed unto us in the scripture, the object of our faith. And this is that which we believe concerning the person of Chris Whatever acts are ascribed unto him, however immediately performed, in or by the huma nature, or in and by his divine nature, they are all the acts of that one person, in whom are both these natures. That this Chris, God and man, is, because he is God, and or the account of what he hath done for us as man, to be believed in, worshipped with worship religious and divine, to be intrusted and obeyed; this also is inserted in the scripture. And these things are as it were the common notions of the Christian religion, the common principles of our profession, which the scriptures also abundantly testify unto.

Ifa. vii. 14. 'Behold a virgin shall conceive and bear a Son, and shall call his name Immanuel;' that is, he shall be God with us, or God in our own nature. Not that should be his name, whereby he should be alled in this world; but that this should be the condition of his person, he should be Go

wit

with us, God in our nature. So are the words expounded, Mat. i. 21, 22, 23. 'That which is conceived in her is of the Holy Ghost; and she shall bring forth a Son, and thou shalt call his name Jesus; for he shall fave his people from their fins. Now all this was done that it might be fulfilled which was spoken of the Lord by the prophet, saying, Behold a virgin shall be with child, and shall bring forth a Son, and they shall call his name Immanuel, which being interspreted, is God with us.' His name, whereby he was to be called, was Jesus, that is a Saviour. And thereby was accomplished the prediction of the prophet, which being interpreted is God with us. Now a child born to be God with us, is God in that child taking our nature upon him, and no otherwise can the words be understood.

If ix. 6. 'Unto us a child is born, unto us a Son is given, and his name shall be called the mighty God.' The child that is born, the Son that is given, is the mighty God; and as the mighty God, and a child born, or Son given, he is the prince of peace, as he is there called, or our Saviour.

John i. 14. 'The word was made flesh. That the word was God, who made all things, he had before declared. Now he affirms that this word was made flesh. How! converted into flesh, into a man; so that he who was God ceased so to be, and was turned or

K 2

changed

changed into flesh, that is a man? Besides that this is utterly impossible, it is not affirmed. For the word continued the word still, all though he was made flesh, or made of a warman, as it is elsewhere expressed, or made of the seed of David, or took our flesh or naturate be his own. Himself continuing God, at he was, became man also, which before he was not. The word was made flesh; this is that which we believe, and affert in this matter.

See John iii. 13. and verse 31. John vi. 62. Chap. xvi. 28. All which places affert the person of Christ to have descended from heaven in the assumption of human nature, and ascended into heaven therein being assumed, and to have been in heaven as to his divine nature, when he was on the earth, in the stell that he had assumed.

Acts xx. 28. 'Feed the church of God, 'which he hath purchased with his own 'blood.' The person spoken of is said to be God absolutely; the church of God. And this God is said to have blood of his own; the blood of Jesus Christ being the blood of him that was God, though not the blood of him as God; for God is a spirit. And this undeniably testisses to the unity of his person as God and man.

Rom. i. 3, 4. Concerning his Son Jesus Christ our Lord, who was made of the seed of David according to the slesh, and declared

ing to the Spirit of holiness, by the resuring to the Spirit of holiness, by the resurrection from the dead.' Rom. ix. 5. 'Whose are the Fathers, and of whom concerning the sless, Christ came, who is over all, God blessed for ever, Amen.' This is all we destree that we may believe, without disturbance from the clamours of these men: Namely, that the same Christ, as concerning the slesh, came of the Fathers, of David; and in himself is over all, God blessed for ever. This the scripture asserts plainly, and why we should not believe it sirmly, let these men give a reason when they are able.

Gal. vi. 4. 'God sent forth his Son made 'of a woman. He was his Son, and was made of a woman, according as he expresses it, Heb. x. 5. 'A body hast thou prepared

'me;' as also, Rom. viii. 3.

Phil. ii. 5, 6, 7. 'Let this mind be in you, 'which was also in Christ Jesus, who being in 'the form of God, thought it not robbery to 'be equal with God; but made himself of no 'reputation, and took upon him the form of 'a servant, and was made in the likeness of 'men.' It is the same Christ that is spoken of: and it is here affirmed of him, 'that he was in the form of God, thought it not robbery to be equal with God.' But is this all? is this Jesus Christ God only? Doth he substit only in the form, or nature of God? No, saith the apostle, he 'took upon him the form K 3 'of

of a servant, was made in the likeness of men, and was found in fashion as a man. That his being truly a man is expressed in these words, our adversaries deny not; and we therefore believe that the same Jesus Christ is God also, because that is no less plainly expressed.

1 Tim. iii. 16. 'And without controversy great is the mystery of godliness, God was · manifest in the flesh, justified in the Spirit, ' seen of angels.' It is a mystery indeed, under which name it is despised now and reproached: nor are we allowed fo to call it, but are reflected on, as flying to mysteries for our defence. But we must take leave to speak in this matter according to his directions, without whom we cannot speak at all. A mystery it is, and that a great mystery; and that confessedly so, by all that do believe. And this is, that God was manifested in the flesh. That it is the Lord Christ who is spoken of, every one of the ensuing expressions do evince: 'justified in the Spirit, seen of anegels, preached unto the Gentiles, believed on in the world, received up into glory." And this also is the substance of what we believe in this matter: namely, That Christ is God manifest in the slesh, which we acknowledge, own, and believe to be true, but a great and facred truth notwithstanding,

Heb. ii. 14. For as much then as the children were partakers of flesh and blood

c he

he also himself likewise took part of the same.

Ver. 36. 'For verily he took not on him the nature of angels, but he took on him the feed of Abraham.'

And this plainly affirms his pre-existence unto that assumption of our nature, and the unity of his person in it being so assumed.

I John iii. 16. 'Hereby perceive we the love of God, because he laid down his life for us.

He who was God laid down for a feason, and parted with that life, which was his own, in that nature of ours which he had assumed. And that taking of our nature is called his coming in the slesh; which whoso denies, is not of God, but is the Spirit of Antichrist, I John iv. 3.

There are some of the places, wherein the person of Christ is revealed unto our faith, that ye may believe on the Son of God, and have eternal life.

The method formerly proposed would require, that I should take off the general objections of the adversaries against this divine revelation; as also vindicate some peculiar testimonies from their exceptions. But because a particular opposition unto this truth, hath not as yet publicly and directly been maintained, and managed by any that I know of among ourselves, though the denial of it be expressly included in what they do affirm;

I shall leave the further confirmation thereof unto some other occasion, if it be offered, and

it shall be judged necessary.

And this is that which the faith of believers rest in, as that which is plainly revealed unto them: Namely, that Jesus Christ is God and man in one person; and that all his actings in their behalf are the actings of him, who is God and man; and that this Son of God, God and man, is to be believed in by them, and obeyed that they have eternal life.

What is farther added unto these express testimonies, and the full revelation of the truth contained in them in this matter, in way of explication educed from them, and suitable unto them, to the ediscation of the church, or information of the minds of believers, in the right apprehension of this great mystery of God manifested in the slesh, may be redu-

ced to these heads.

1. That the person of the Son of God did, in his assuming human nature to be his own, not take an individual person of any one into a near conjunction with himself; but preventing the personal subsistence of human nature in that slesh which he assumed, he gave it its subsistence in his own person, whence it hath its individuation and distinction from all other persons whatever. This is the personal union, in Christ have but one personal subsistence, and so are but one Christ, one distinct personal principle of all operations of all

that he did, or doth, as mediator. And this undeniably follows from what is declared in the testimonies mentioned. For the word could not be made slesh, nor could he take on him the seed of Abraham, nor could the mighty God be a child born and given unto us, nor could God shed his blood for his church; but that the two natures, the divine and human nature so directly expressed, must be united in one person: for otherwise, as they are two natures still, they would be two persons also.

2. Each nature thus united in Christ is entire, and preserves unto itself its own natural properties. For he is no less a true perfect man consisting of soul and body, with all their essential parts, by that nature's being taken into subsistence with the Son of God. His divine nature still continues immense, omniscient, omnipotent, infinite in holiness, &c. his human nature, finite, limited, and before its glorification, subject to all infirmities of life and death, that the same nature in others absorbed.

lutely confidered is obnoxious unto.

3. In each of these natures he acts suitably unto the essential properties and principles of that nature. As God, he made all things, upholds all things, ty the word of his power upholds heaven and earth, &c. As man, he lived, hungered, suffered, died, rose, ascended into heaven. Yet by reason of the union of both these natures in the same person, not only

only his own person is said to do all these things, but the person expressed by the name which he hath on the account of one nature, is said to do that which he did only in the other. So, God is said to redeem his church with his own blood, and to lay down his life for us, and the Son of man to be in heaven when he was on earth. All because of the unity of his person, as was declared. And these things do all of them directly and undeniably flow from what is revealed concerning his person, as before is declared.

Of the satisfaction of Christ.

I HE last thing to be enquired into, upon occasion of the late opposition to the great fundamental truths of the gospel, is the satisfaction of Christ. And the doctrine hereof is fuch, as I conceive needs rather to be explained than vindicated. For it being the center, wherein most, if not all the lines of gospel promises and precepts do meet, and the great medium of all our communion with God in faith and obedience, the great distinction between the religion of Christians, and that of all others in the world; it will easily, on a due proposal, be assented unto by all, who would be esteemed disciples of Jesus Christ. And whether a parcel of insipid cavils may be thought sufficient to obliterate the revelation of it, men of sober minds will

judge and discern.

For the term of satisfaction, we contend not about it. It doth indeed properly express and connote, that great effect of the death of Christ, which, in the cause before us, we plead for. But yet, because it belongs rather to the explanation of the truth contended for, than is used expresly in the revelation of it, and because the right understanding of the word itself depends on some notions of law, that as vet we need not take into consideration; I shall not, in this entrance of our discourse, infift upon it, but leave it as the natural conclusion of what we shall find expresly declared in the scripture. Neither do I say this, as tho' I did decline the word, or the right use of it, or what is properly fignified by it; but do only cast it into its proper place, answerable unto our method and design in the whole of this brief discourse.

I know some have taken a new way of expressing and declaring the doctrine concerning the mediation of Christ, with the causes and ends of his death, which they think more rational, than that usually insisted on. But as what I have yet heard of, or seen in that kind hath been not only unscriptural, but also very irrational, and most remote from that accuracy whereunto they pretend, who make use of it; so if they shall publish their conceptions, it is not improbable but that they may meet

with a scholastical examination by some hand or other.

Our present work, as hath been often declared, is for the establishment of the faith of them, who may be attempted, if not brought into danger to be seduced, by the slight of some who lie in wait to deceive, and the clamours of others who openly drive the same design. What therefore the scripture plainly and clearly reveals in this matter, is the subject of our present enquiry. And either in so doing, as occasion shall be offered, we shall obviate, or in the close of it remove those sophisms, that the sacred truth now proposed to consideration had been attempted withal.

The sum of what the scripture reveals about this great truth, commonly called the satisfaction of Christ, may be reduced unto these

enfuing heads.

1. That Adam being made upright sinned against God and all mankind, all his posterity, in him. Gen. i. 27. 'So God created man 'in his own image, in the image of God created he him, male and semale created he them.' Gen. iii. 11. And he said, 'Who told thee that 'thou wast naked? Hast thou eaten of the 'tree whereof I commanded thee, that thou 'shouldst not eat?' Eccles. vii. 29. 'Lo, 'this only have I found, that God made man 'upright, but he hath sought out many inventions.' Rom. v. 12. 'Wherefore as by one 'man sin entered into the world, and death 'bw

by fin, and so death passed upon all men, for that all have sinned.' Ver. 18. 'Therefore by the offence of one, judgment came upon all men to condemnation.' Ver. 19. 'By one man's disobedience many were made sinners.'

- 2. That by this sin of our sirst parents all men are brought into an estate of sin and apostacy from God, and of an enmity unto him. Gen. vi. 5. 'God saw that the wickedness of man was great in the earth, and that every 'imagination of the thoughts of his heart was only evil continually.' Ptal. li. 5. ' Behold, I was shapen in iniquity, and in fin did my mother conceive me.' Rom. iii. 23. For all have finned, and come short of the glory of God.' Rom. viii. 7. 'The carnal mind is enmity against God; for it is not subject to the law of God, neither indeed can be.' Ephef. iv. 18. 'Having the understanding darkened, being alienated from the life of God through the ignorance that is in them, because of the blindness of their heart,' chap. i. 1. Col. ii. 13.
- 3. That in this state all men continue in in against God, nor of themselves can do oherwise. Rom. iii. 10, 11, 12. There is none righteous, no not one; there is none that understandeth, there is none that seeketh after God. They are all gone out of the way, they are together become unprositable, there is none that doth good, no not one.

4. That the justice and holiness of God, as he is the supreme governor and judge of all the world, require that fin be punished. Exod xxxiv. 7. That will by no means clear the "guilty." Josh. xxiv. 19. He is an holy God, he is a jealous God, he will not for give your transgressions, nor your sins.' Pl v. 4, 5, 6. 'For thou art not a God that hath 'pleasure in wickedness, neither shall evil 'dwell with thee; the foolish shall not stand f in thy fight: thou hatest all workers of iniquity, thou shalt destroy them that speak 'leasing.' Hab. i. 13. 'Thou art of purer eyes than to behold evil, and canst not look up e on iniquity.' Isa. xxxiii. 14. Who among ' us shall dwell with the devouring fire? Who s among us shall dwell with everlasting burn-'ings?' Rom. i. 32. 'Who knowing the judgment of God, that they which commit ' such things are worthy of death.' Rom iii. 5, 6. 'Is God unrighteous who taketh vengeance? I speak as a man, God forbid! for then how shall God judge the world?" 2 Thest. i. 6. It is a righteous thing with God to recompence tribulation to them that 'trouble you.' Heb. xii. 29. 'For our God f is a confuming fire.' From Deut. iv. 24. 5. That God hath also engaged his veracity and faithfulness, in the sanction of the law, not to leave sin unpunished. Gen. ii. 17. . In the day thou eatest thereof, thou shalt

furely die.' Deut. xxvii. 26. Cursed be he

6 that

that confirmeth not all the words of this law to do them.' In this state and condition all mankind, had they been left without divine aid and help, must have perished evernally.

6. That God out of his infinite goodness, grace, and love to mankind, sent his only Son to fave and deliver them out of this condition. Matth. i. 21. 'Thou shalt call his 'name Jesus, for he shall save his people from 'their sins.' John iii. 16, 17. 'God so loved the world, that he gave his only begotten 'Son, that whosoever believeth in him should 'not perish, but have everlasting life. For 'God fent not his Son into the world to con-' demn the world, but that the world through 'him might be saved.' Rom. v. 8. 'God 'commendeth his love towards us, in that 'while we were yet sinners Christ died for 'us.' I John iv. 9. 'In this was manifested 'the love of God towards us, because God ' fent his only begotten Son into the world, 'that we might live through him.' Verse 10. 'Herein is love, not that we loved God, but 'that he loved us, and fent his Son to be a 'propitiation for our fins.' 1 Thes. i. 10. 'Even Jesus, which delivereth us from the " wrath to come."

7. That this love was the same in the Father and Son, acted distinctly in the manner that shall be afterwards declared. So vain are the pretences of men, who from the love of the

L 2

Father

Father in this matter, would argue against the

love of the Son: or, on the contrary.

8. That the way in general, whereby the Son of God being incarnate was to fave lost finners, was by a substitution of himself, according to the design and appointment of God, in the room of those whom he was to save 2 Cor. v. 21. 'He hath made him to be sin for us, who knew no fin, that we might be come the righteousness of God in him.'-Gal. iii. 13. 'Christ hath redeemed us from 'the curse of the law, being made a curse for 'us.' Rom. v. 7, 8. 'For scarcely for a 'righteous man will one die, yet peradven-'ture for a good man some will even dare to . 'die: but God commendeth his love towards 'us, in that while we were yet sinners Christ 'died for us.' Rom. viii. 3. 'For what the ' law could not do, in that it was weak thro' the flesh, God sending his own Son in the ' likeness of finful flesh, and for fin condemned sin in the flesh, that the righteousness of 'the law might be fulfilled in us.' 1 Pet. ii. 24. 'Who his ownself bare our sins in his own body on the tree.' Chap. iii. 8. For Christ 'also hath once suffered for us, the just for * the unjust, that he might bring us unto God.' All these expressions undeniably evince a substitution of Christ, as to suffering in the stead of them whom he was to fave. Which in general is all that we intend by his fatisfaction: namely, that he was made ' sin for us, a curle

for us, died for us, that is, in our stead, that we might be saved from the wrath to come. Ind all these expressions, as to their true genuine importance shall be vindicated, as occasion shall require.

9. This way of his faving finners, is, in particular, several ways expressed in the scripture.

As,

First, That he offered himself a sacrifice to God, to make atonement for our fins, and that in his death and sufferings. Isa. liii. 10. When thou shalt make his soul an offering 'for sin.' John i. 29. 'Behold the Lamb of 'God, who taketh away the fins of the world.' Eph. v. 1. Christ hath loved us, and hath 'given himself for us, an offering and a sacri-'fice to God for a sweet smelling savour.' Heb: ii. 17. 'Was a merciful high-priest in things pertaining to God, to make recon-'ciliation for the sins of the people.' Heb. ix. 11, 12, 13, 14. 'But Christ being come 'an high-priest of good things to come, by a 'greater and more perfect tabernacle not made 'with hands, that is to say, not of this build-'ing; neither by the blood of goats and calves, but by his own blood, he entered in once 'into the holy place, having obtained eternal 'redemption for us. For if the blood of bulls, '&c. how much more shall the blood of Christ, 'who through the eternal Spirit offered him-'self without spot to God, purge your con-'sciences from dead works?

Secondly, That he redeemed us by paying a price, a ranfom for our redemption. Mark x. 45. 'The Son of man came to give his life 'a ranfom for many.' I Cor. vi. 20. 'For 'ye are bought with a price.' vii. 23. I Tim. ii. 6. 'Who gave himfelf a ranfom for all to 'be testified in due time.' Tit. ii. 14. 'Who 'gave himself for us, that he might redeem 'us from all iniquity.' I Pet. i. 18. 'For we 'were not redeemed with silver and gold and 'corruptible things.' Ver. 11. 'But with the 'precious blood of Christ, as of a Lamb with out blemish, and without spot.'

Thirdly, That he bare our sins, or the punishment due unto them. Isa. liii. 5. 'He

was wounded for our transgressions, he was

bruised for our iniquities, the chastisement of our peace was upon him, and with his

'stripes are we healed. All we like sheep have

gone astray, we have turned every one to

his own way, and the Lord hath laid on him

'the iniquity of us all.' Ver. 11. 'For he

'shall bear their iniquities.' 1 Pet. ii. 24

Who his own self bare our sins in his own

body on the tree.

Fourthly, That he answered the law, and the penalty of it. Rom. viii. 3. God sent forth his Son in the likeness of sinful slesh, and for sin condemned sin in the slesh; that the righteousness of the law might be fulfilled in us. Gal. iii. 13. Christ hath redeemed us from the curse of the law, being made a curse for

us.

'us.' Chap. iv. 4, 5. 'God sent forth his Son made of a woman, made under the law, to redeem them that were under the law.'

Fiftbly, That he died for fin, and finners, to expiate the one, and in the stead of the other. Rom. iv. 25. 'He was delivered for our offences.' Rom. v. 10. 'When we were enemies, we were reconciled unto God by the death of his Son. 1 Cor. xv. 3. 'Christ died for our sins according to the scriptures.' 2 Cor. v. 14. 'For the love of Christ constrainted thus, because we thus judge, that if one died for all, then were all dead.' 1 Thes. v. 9, 10.

Sixthly, Hence, on the part of God, it is affirmed, that 'he spared him not, but delivered 'him up for us all,' Rom. viii. 32. And caused all our iniquities to meet upon him,

lsaiah liii. 6.

Seventbly, The effect hereof was, (1.) That the righteousness of God was glorisied. Rom. iii. 25, 26. 'Whom God hath set forth to be 'a propitiation through faith in his blood, to 'declare his righteousness for the remission of 'fins.' (2.) The law sulfilled and satisfied, as in the places before quoted, Rom.viii. 3. Gal. iii. 13, 14. Gal. iv. 5. (3.) God reconciled. 2 Cor. v. 18, 19. 'God was in Christ reconciling the world unto himself, not imputing 'their trespasses unto them.' Heb. ii. 17. 'He 'made reconciliation for the sins of the people.' (4.) Atonement was made for sin. Rom. v. 11. 'By whom we have now received the atonement.'

'tonement.' And peace was made with God. Eph ii 14. For he is our peace, who hath ' made both one, that he might reconcile both ' unto God in one body by the crofs, having "Ilain the enmity thereby." (5.) Made an end of sin, Dan. xi. 24. 'To finish transgression, to 'make an end of fins, to make reconciliation ' for iniquity, and to bring in everlasting righ-'teousness.' The glory of. God in all these things being exalted, himself was well pleased, and righteousness and everlasting redemption, or salvation, purchased for sinners. For in that the chastisement of our peace was upon him, and that by his stripes we are healed, he being punished that we might go free, himself became a Captain of salvation unto all that do obey him

I have fixed on these particulars to give every ordinary reader an instance, how fully and plainly what he is to believe in this matter is revealed in scripture. And should I produce all the testimonies, which expressly give witness unto these positions, it is known how great a part of the Bible must be transcribed. And these are the things that are indispensibly required of us to believe, that we may be able to direct and regulate our obedience accord-

ing to the mind and will of God.

In the explanation of this doctrine unto further edification, fundry things are usually insisted on, which necessarily and infallibly ensue upon the propositions of scripture before laid down; and serve to beget in the minds of believers a due apprehension and right under-

standing of them. As,

deredas the chief, supreme, absolute rector and governor of all; as the Lord of the law, and of sinners; but yet so as an offended ruler. Not as an offended person, but as an offended ruler, who hath right to exact punishment upon transgressors, and whose righteousness of rule requires that he should so do.

2. That because he is righteous and holy, as he is the supreme Judge of all the world, it is necessary that he do right in the punishing of sin, without which the order of the creation cannot be preserved. For sin being the creatures deduction of itself from the order of its dependance upon and obedience unto the Creator, and supreme Lord of all; without a reduction of it by punishment, confusion would be brought into the rubele greation.

be brought into the whole creation.

3. That whereas the law and the fanction of it is the moral, or declarative cause of the punishment of sin, and it directly obligeth the sinner himself unto punishment; God, as the supreme ruler, dispenseth not with the act of the law, but the immediate object; and substitutes another Sufferer in the room of them who are principally liable unto the sentence of it, and are now to be acquitted or freed; that so the law may be satisfied, requiring the punishment of sin, justice exalted, whereof the law is an effect, and yet the sinner saved.

4. That the person thus substituted was the Son of God incarnate, who had power so to dispose of himself, with will and readiness for it; and was upon the account of the dignity of his person, able to answer the penalty, which all others had incurred and deserved.

of this office, and condescention to this work, did so lay our sins in and by the sentence of the law upon him, that he made therein full satisfaction for whatever legally could be charged on them, for whom he died or suffered.

6. That the special way, terms, and conditions whereby and whereon sinners may be interested in this satisfaction made by Christ, are determined by the will of God, and de-

clared in the scripture.

These and the like things are usually insisted on in the explication or declaration of this head of our confession. And there is not any of them but may be sufficiently consirmed by divine testimonies. It may be also farther evinced, that there is nothing afferted in them, but what is excellently suited unto the common notions which mankind hath of God and his righteousness; and that in their practice they answer the light of nature, and common reason, exemplified in sundry instances among the nations of the world.

I shall therefore take one argument from some of the testimonies before produced in confirmation of this sacred truth, and proceed

to remove the objections that are commonly

banded against it.

If the Lord Christ, according to the will of the Father, and by his own counsel and choice, was substituted, and did substitute himself as a Mediator of the covenant, in the room and in the stead of sinners, that they might be saved, and therein bear their sins, or the punishment due unto their fins, by undergoing the curse and penalty of the law; and therein also according to the will of God offered up himfelf for a propitiatory, expiatory facrifice, to make atonement for fin, and reconciliation for finners, that the justice of God being appeased, and the law fulfilled, they might go free, or be delivered from the wrath to come; and if therein also he paid a real satisfactory price for their redemption: then he made satisfaction to God for fin. For these are the things that we intend by that expression of satisfaction. But now all those things are openly and fully witnessed unto in the testimonies before produced, as may be observed by suiting some of them unto the several particulars here asserted. As,

1. What was done in this matter, was from the will, purpose, and love of God the Father. Psalm xl. 6, 7, 8. Heb. x. 5, 6, 7. Acts iv.

28. John iii. 16. Rom. viii. 3.

2. It was also done by his own voluntary

consent, Phil. ii. 6, 7.

3. He was substituted and did substitute himself as the Mediator of the covenant, in the

room and itead of sinners, that they may be saved, Heb. x. 5, 6, 7: Chap. vii. 22. Rom. iii. 25, 26. Rom. v. 7, 8.

4. And he did therein bear their sins, or the punishment due to their sins, Isa. liii. 6---11.

1 Pet. ii. 23. And this,

5. By undergoing the curse and penalty of the law, Gal. iii. 13. Or the punishment of sin required by the law, 2 Cor.v. 21. Rcm.vii. 3.

6. Herein also, according to the will of God, he offered up himself a propitiatory and expiatory facrifice, to make an atonement for fin, and a reconciliation for finners, Eph. v. 2. Rom. ii. 17. Heb. ix. 11, 12, 13, 14. Which he did that the justice of God being satisfied, and the law fulfilled, sinners might be freed from the wrath to come, Rom.iii.25. 1 Thef.x.

7. And hereby also paid a real price of redemption for fin and finners, 1 Pet. i. 17, 18. 1 Cor. vi. 20. These are the things which we are to believe concerning the fatisfaction of Christ, and our explication of this doctrine we are ready to defend, when called thereunto.

The confideration of the objections, which are raised against this great fundamental truth, shall close this discourse. And they are of two forts. First, in general, to the whole doctrine, as declared; or some of the more signal heads, or parts of it. Secondly, particular instances in this or that supposal, as consequences of the doctrine afferted. And in general,

1. They fay, this is contrary to, and incon-

fistent with the love, grace, mercy, and goodnels of God, which are so celebrated in the
scriptures as the principal properties of his
nature, and acts of his will, wherein he will
be gloristed, especially contrary to the freedom of forgiveness, which we are encouraged
to expect, and commanded to believe. And
this exception they endeavour to firm by testimonies, that the Lord is good and gracious,
and that he doth freely forgive us our sins
and trespasses.

Answer: First, I readily grant that whatever is really contrary to the grace, goodness, and mercy of God, whatever is inconsistent with the free forgiveness of sin is not to be admitted. For these things are fully revealed in the scripture, and must have a consistency with whatever else is therein revealed of God or his will.

Secondly, As God is good, and gracious, and merciful; so also he is holy, righteous, true; and faithful. And these things are no less revealed concerning him than the other; and are no less essential properties of his nature than his goodness and grace. And as they are all essentially the same in him, and considered only under a different habitude or respect, as they are exerted by acts of his will; so it belongs to his infinite wisdom, that the effects of them, tho divers, and produced by divers ways and means, may no way be contrary one to the other: but that mercy may be exercised, without the prejudice of justice or holiness; and

justice be preserved intire, without any ob-

struction to the exercise of mercy.

Thirdly, The grace and love of God, that in this matter the scripture reveals to be exercised, in order unto the forgiveness of finners, consists principally in two things: (1.) In his holy eter. nal purpose of providing a relief for lost sinners. He hath done it, to the praise of the glory of his grace, Eph. i. 6. (2.) In the sending his Son in the pursuit, and for the accomplishment of the holy purpose of his will and grace. Herein most eminently doth the foripture celebrate the love, goodness and kindness of God; as that whereby in infinite and for ever to be adored wisdom and grace, he made way for the forgiveness of our sins, John iii. 16. God fo loved the world, as he gave his only be-'gotten Son.' Rom. iii. 24, 25. 'Whom he 'hath set forth to be a propitiation thro' faith sin his blood. Rom. v. 7, 8. God commendeth his love towards us, in that while we were yet finners, Christ died for us,' Tit. iii. 4. 1 John iv. 8, 9. Herein consists that ever to be adored love, goodness, grace, mercy, and condescension of God. Add hereunto, that in that act of oalling our iniquities to meet on Christ, wherein he immediately intended the declaration of his justice, Rom. iii. 25. (not sparing him, in delivering him up to death for us all, Rom. vin. 32.) here was a bleffed harmony in the highest justice, and most excellent igrace, and mercy. This grace, this

this goodness, this love of God toward mankind, toward sinners, our adversaries in this matter neither know, nor understand; and so indeed, what lies in them, remove the soundation of the whole gospel, and of all that faith and obedience which Godrequires at our hands.

restrained unto a respect unto Christ, unto his death and blood-shedding, Eph. i. 7. 'We have redemption in his blood, even the forgiveness of sins,' chap. iv. 32. 'God for 'Christ's sake bath forgiven you.' Rom iii. 25, 26. 'God hath set him forth to be a propitation through faith in his blood, to declare his righteousness for the forgiveness of sins.' It is absolutely free, in respect of all immediate transactions between God and sinners.

It is free on the part of God. (1.) In the eternal purpose of it, when he might justly have suffered all men to have perished under the guilt of their sins. (2.) Free in the means that he used to essect it to his glory. 1. In the sending of his Son. 2. In laying the punishment of our sin upon him. 3. In his covenant with him, that it should be accepted on our behalf. 4. In his tender and proposal of it by the gospel unto sinners, to be received without money, or without price. 5. In the actual condonation and pardon of them that do believe.

It is free also on the part of the persons that

are forgiven. (1.) In that it is given and granted to them, without any satisfaction made by them for their former transgressions. (2.) Without any merit to purchase or procure it. (3.) Without any penal satisfactory suffering here, or in a purgatory hereaster. (4.) Without any expectation of a future recompence, or that being pardoned, they should then make or give any satisfaction for what they had done before. And as any of these things would, so nothing else can impeach the freedom of pardon and forgiveness.

Whether then we respect the pardoner, or the pardoned, pardon is every where free: namely, on the part of God who forgives, and on the part of finners that are forgiven. If God now hath, besides all this, provided himself a lamb for a sacrifice: if he hath in infinite wisdom and grace found a way, thus freely to forgive us our fins, to the praise and glory of his own holiness, righteousness, and severity against iin; as well as unto the unspeakable advancement of that grace, goodness and bounty which he immediately exerciseth in the pardon of sin: are these men's eyes evil, because he is good? will they not be content. ed to be pardoned, unless they may have it at the rate of dispoiling God of his holiness, truth, righteousness and faithfulness? and as this is certainly done by that way of pardon which these men propose, no reserve in the least being made for the glory of God in those holy

boly properties of his nature, which are immediately injured and opposed by sin; so that pardon itself, which they pretend so to magnify, having nothing to influence it, but a mere arbitrary act of God's will, is utterly debased from its own proper worth and excellency. And I shall willingly undertake to manifest, that they derogate no less from grace and mercy in pardon, than they do from the righteousness and holiness of God, by the forgiveness which they have seigned; and that in it both of them are perverted, and despoiled of all their glory. But they yet say:

First, If God can feely pardon sin, why doth he not do it without satisfaction? if he annot, he is weaker and more imperfect than

man, who can do fo.

Answ. r. God cannot do many things that men can do; not that he is more imperfect than they, but he cannot do them on the account of his perfection. He cannot lie, he cannot deny himself, he cannot change; which

men can do, and do every day.

2. To pardon fin without fatisfaction in him, who is absolutely holy, righteous, true and faithful, the absolute necessary supreme governor of all sinners, the author of the law, and sanction of it, wherein punishment is threatened and declared, is to deny himself, and to do what one infinitely perfect cannot do.

3. I ask of these men, why God doth not pardon sins freely, without requiring faith, repentance,

pentance, and obedience in them that are par. doned; yea, as the conditions on which they may be pardoned? For feeing he is so infinite. ly good and gracious, cannot he pardon men without prescribing such terms and conditions unto them, as he knoweth that men, and that incomparably the greatest number of them, will never come up unto, and so must of necessity perish for ever. Yea, but they say this cannot be, neither doth this impeach the freedoin of pardon. For it is certain God doth prescribe these things, and yet he pardoneth freely: and it would altogether unbecome the holy God to pardon finners, that continue for to live and die in their sins. But do not these men see, that they have hereby given away their cause which they contend for? For if a prescription of sundry things to the sinner himself, without which he shall not be pardoned, do not at all impeach, as they fay, the freedom of pardon, but God may be said freely to pardon sin notwithstanding it; how shall the receiving of satisfaction by another, nothing at all being required of the sinner, have the least appearance of any such thing? If the freedom of forgiveness consists in such a boundless notion as these men imagine, it is certain that the prescribing of faith and repentance in and unto finners, antecedently to their anticipation of it, is much more evidently contrary unto it, than the receiving of satisfaction from another who is not to be pardoned, can to any appear to be

And if it be contrary to the holiness of God, to pardon any without requiring faith, repentance, and obedience in them, as it is indeed; let not these persons be offended, if we believe him, when he so frequently declares it, that it was so to remit sin, without the fulfilling of his law, and fatisfaction of his justice. They say,

God requiring the punishment of sin, but that that, which in him requireth and calleth for the punishment of sin, is his anger and wrath, which expressions denote free acts of his will, and not any essential properties of his nature: so that God may punish sin, or not punish it, at his pleasure. Therefore there is no reason that he should require any satisfaction for sin, seeing he may pass it by absolutely as he pleaseth.

Answ. 1. Is it not strange, that the great governor, the Judge of all the world, which on the supposition of the creation of it, God is naturally and necessarily, should not also naturally be so righteous, as to do right, in rendering unto every one according to his works?

2. The fanction and penalty of the law, which is the rule of punishment, was, as I suppose, an effect of justice, of God's natural and essential justice, and not of his anger or wrath. Certainly never did any man make a law for the government of a people in anger: Draco's laws were not made in wrath, but according to the best apprehension of right and justice that he had, tho' said to be written in blood. And shall we think otherwise of the law of God.

g. Anger and wrath in God express the effects of justice; and so are not merely free acts of his will. This therefore is a tottering cause, that is built on the denial of God's essential righteousness. But it was proved before, and it is so essewhere.

Thirdly, They say that the sacrifice of Christ was metaphorically only so. That he was a metaphorical priest, not one properly so called: and therefore, that his facrifice did not consist in his death and blood-shedding, but in his appearing in heaven, upon his ascension, presenting himself unto God in the most holy place, not made with hands, as the Mediator of the new covenant.

Answ. 1. When once these men come to this evasion, they think themselves safe, and that they may go whither they will without controul. For they fay it is true, Christ was a priest, but he was only a metaphorical one: he offered facrifice, but it was a metaphorical one: he redeemedus, but with a metaphorical redemption. ... And so we are justified thereon, but with a metaphorical justification; and so, for ought I know, they are like to be faved with a metaphorical salvation. This is the substance of their plea in this matter. Christ was not really a priest, but did somewhat like a priest: he offered not facrifice really, but did somewhat that was like a sacrifice: he redeemed us not really, but did somewhat that looked like redemption. And what these things are, wherein

their analogy consisteth, what proportion the things that Christ hath done have to the things that are really so, from whence they receive their denomination, that it is meet it should be in the power of these persons to declare. But,

2. What should hinder the death of Christ to be a facrifice, a proper facrifice, and according to the nature, end, and use of facrifices, to have made atonement and satisfaction for sin. 1. It is expressly called so in the scripture; wherein he is faid to offer himself, to 'make 'his foul an offering, to offer himself a sacri-'sice,' Eph. v. 2. Heb. i. 3. Heb. ix. 14, 25, 26. Chap. vii. 27. And he is kimself directly said to be a Priest, or a sacrifice, Heb. ii. 18. And it is no where intimated, much less expressed, that these things are not spoken properly, but metaphorically only. 2. The legal facrifices of the old law were instituted on purpose, to represent and prepare the way for the bringing in of the facrifice of the Lambof God, so to take away the sin of the world. And is it not strange, that true and real sacrifices should be types and representations of that which was not so? On this supposition all those facrifices are but so many seductions from the right understanding of things between God and sinners.

(3.) Nothing is wanting to render it a proper propitiatory facrifice: For, 1. There was the person offering, and that was Christ himself, Heb. ix. 14. 'He offered himself unto 'God.' He, that is, the sacrificer, denotes the

perfor

person of Christ, God and man; and himself, as the sacrifice, denotes his human nature, whence God is said to 'purchase his church 'with his own blood,' Acts xx. 28. For he offered himself thro' the eternal Spirit. So that, 2. There was the matter of the sacrifice, which was the human nature of Christ, soul and body. His soul was made 'anoffering for sin,' Isa. liii. 10. And his body, the 'offering of the body of 'Jesus Christ,' Heb. xiii. 11. His blood especially, which is often synechdochically mentioned for the whole.

(4.) His death had the nature of a facrifice. For, 1. Therein were the sins of men laid up. on him, and not in his entrance into heaven: For he bare our sins in his own body on the tree, 1 Pet. ii. 23, God made our sins then to meet upon him,' Ha. liii. 6. which gives the formality unto any facrifice. Qued in ejus capitt sit, is the formal reason of all propitiatory facrifices, and ever was fo, as is expressly declared, Lev. xvi. 21,22. And the phrase of bearing sin, of bearing iniquity, is constantly used for the undergoing of the punishment due to sin. 2. It had the end of a proper sacrifice; it made expiation of fin, with reconciliation with God, and so took away that enmity that was between God and sinners, Heb.i. 3. Rom. iii. 25, 26, Heb. ii. 17, 18. Heb. v. 10. Rom. viii. 3. 2 Cor. v. 18, 19. And altho' God himself designed, appointed, and contrived in wildom, this way of reconciliation, as he did the the means for the atoning of his own anger towards the friends of Job, commanding them to go unto him, and, with him, offer facrifices for themfelves, which he would accept, chap. iv. 28. yet, as he was the supreme governor, the Lord of all, attended with infinite justice and holiness, atonement was made with him, and satisfaction to him thereby.

What hath been spoken may suffice to discover the emptiness and weakness of those exceptions which, in general, these men make against the truth before laid down from the scripture. A brief examination of some particular instances, wherein they seek not so much to oppose, as to reproach the revelation of this mystery of the gospel, shall put a close to this discourse. It is said then:

First, That if this be so, then it will follow, that God is gracious to forgive; and yet its impossible for him, unless the debt be fully satisfied.

Answ. 1. I suppose the consused and abrupt expression of things here, in words scarcely affording a tolerable sense, is rather from weakness than captiousness; and so I shall let

the manner of the proposal pass.

2. What if this should follow, that God is gracious to forgive sinners, and yet will not, cannot, on the account of his own holiness and righteousness, actually forgive any, without satisfaction and atonement made for sin? The worst that can be hence concluded is, that the scripture is true, which affirms both these in many places.

3. This

3. This fets out the exceeding greatness of the grace of God in forgiveness, that when in could not be forgiven without satisfaction, and the sinner himself could no way make any such satisfaction; that he provided himself a facrifice or atonement, that the sinner might be discharged and pardoned.

4. Sin is not properly a debt; for then it might be paid in kind, by sin itself; but is called so, only because it binds over the sinner to punishment, which is the satisfaction to be made for that which is properly atransgression, and improperly only a debt. It is added,

Secondly, Hence it follows, that the finite and impotent creature is more capable of extending mercy and forgiveness, than the infi-

nite and omnipotent Creator.

Answ. 1. God being essentially holy and righteous, having engaged his faithfulness in the sanction of the law, and being naturally and necessarily the governor and ruler of the world; the forgiving of sin without satisfaction, would be no perfection in him, but an essect of impotency, and imperfection; a thing which God cannot do, as he cannot lie, nor deny himself.

2. The direct contrary of what is infinuated, is afferted by this doctrine; for on the supposition of the satisfaction and atonement insisted on, not only doth God freely forgive, but that in such a way of righteousness and goodness, as no creature is able to conceive, or ex-

preis

press the glory and excellency of it. And to speak of the poor having pardons of private men, upon particular offences against themselves, who are commanded so to do, and have no right nor authority to require or exact punishment, nor is any due upon the mereaccount of their own concernment, in comparison with the forgiveness of God, ariseth out of a deep ignorance of the whole matter under consideration.

Thirdly, It is added by them, that hence it follows, that God fo loved the world, that he gave his only Son to fave it; and yet that God stood off in high displeasure, and Christ gave himself as a complete satisfaction to offended justice.

Answ. 1. Something these men would say, if they knew what, or how. For, 'That God so loved the world, as to give his only Son to save it,' is the expression of the scripture, and the soundation of the doctrine whose truth we contend for.

2. That Christ offered himself to make atonement for sinners, and therein made satisfaction to the justice of God, is the doctrine itself which these men oppose, and not any consequent of it.

3. That God stood off in high displeasure, is an expression which neither the scripture usetly, nor those who declare this destrine from thence; nor is suited unto the divine perfections, or the manner of divine operations. That intended seems to be, that the righteousness and law of God required the punishment

due to sin to be undergone, and thereby satis. faction to be made unto God; which is no consequent of the doctrine, but the doctrine itself.

Fourthly, It is yet farther objected, that if Christ made satisfaction for sin, then he did it either as God, or as man, or as God and man.

Answ. 1. As God and man. Acts xx. 28. God redeemed his church with his own blood.'

1 John iii. 16. Herein was manifest the love of God, that he laid down his life for us,'Heb.ix.13.

- 2. This dilemma is proposed as that which proceeds on a supposition of our own principles, that Christ is God and man in one person; which indeed makes the pretended difficulty to be vain, and a mere effect of ignorance: for all the mediatory acts of Christ being the acts of his person, must of necessity be the acts of him as God and man.
- 3. There is yet another mistake in this inquiry; for satisfaction is in it looked on as a real act, or operation of one, or the other nature in Christ; when it is the apotelesma, or essect of the actings, the doing and suffering of Christ; the dignity of what he did in reference unto the end for which he did it. For the two natures are so united in Christ, as not to have a third compound principle of physical acts and operations thence arising; but each nature acts distinctly, according to its own being and properties; yet so, as what is the immediate act of either nature, is the act of him who is one in both, from whence it hath its dignity.

 4. The

4. The sum is: that in all the mediatory actions of Christ, we are to consider: (1.) The agent, and that is the person of Christ. (2.) The immediate principle, by which, and from which the agent worketh; and that is the natures in the person. (3.) The actions, which are the effectual operations of either nature. (4.) The effect, or work, with respect to God and us; and this relates unto the person of the agent, the Lord Christ, God and man. A blending of the natures into one common principle of operation, as the compounding of mediums unto one end, is ridiculously supposed in this matter.

But yet again, it is pretended, that sundry consequences irreligious and irrational do ensue, upon a supposition of the satisfaction plead-

ed for. What then are they?

First, That it is unlawful and impossible for God Almighty to be gracious and merciful,

or to pardon transgressors.

Answ. 1. The miserable confused misapprehension of things, which the proposal of this, and the like consequences doth evidence, manifests sufficiently, how unfit the makers of them are to manage controversies of this nature. For it is supposed, that for God to be gracious and merciful, or to pardon sinners, are the same; which is to confound the essential properties of his nature with the free acts of his will.

2. Lawful or unlawful are terms that can with no tolerable sense be used concerning any properties of God; all which are natural

and necessary unto his being, as goodness,

grace, and mercy in particular are.

3. That it is impossible for God to pardon transgressors, according to this doctrine, is a fond imagination; for it is only a declaration of the manner how he doth it.

4. As God is gracious and merciful, so also he is holy, and righteous, and true; and it became him, or was every way meet for him, in his way of exercising grace and mercy towards sinners, to order all things so, as that it might be done without the impeachment of his holiness, righteousness, and truth. It is said again.

Secondly, That God was inevitably compelled to this way of faving men. The high-

est affront of his uncontrollable nature!

Answ. 1. Were the authors of these exceptions put to declare what they mean by God's uncontrollable nature, they would hardly disentangle themselves with common sense. Such masters of reason are they indeed, whatever they would fain pretend to be. Controllable, or uncontrollable, respect acting and operations, not beings or natures.

2. That upon the principle opposed by these men, God was inevitably compelled to this way of faving men, is a fond and childish imagination. The whole business of the salvation of men, according to this doctrine, depends on a mere free sovereign act of God's will, exerting itself in a way of infinite wis-

dom, holinefs, and grace.

3. The meaning of this objection (if it hath

either sense or meaning in it) is, that God freely purposing to save lost sinners, did it in a way becoming his holy nature, and righteous law. What other course the infinite Wisdom could have taken for the satisfaction of his justice we know not: that justice was to be satisfied, and that this way it is done, we know and believe.

Thirdly, They say it hence follows, That it is unworthy of God to pardon, but not to in-flict punishment on the innocent; or require a satisfaction where there was nothing due.

Answer 1. What is worthy or unworthy of God, himself alone knows, and of men not any, but according to what he is pleased to declare and reveal. But certainly it is unworthy any person, pretending to the least interest in ingenuity or the use of reason, to use such frivolous instances in any case of importance, which have not the least pretence of argument in them, but what ariseth from a gross misapprehension, or misrepresentation of a doctrine designed to opposition.

2. To pardon sinners, is a thing becoming the goodness and grace of God; to do it by Christ, that which becometh them, and his holiness and righteousness also. Rom. iii. 25. Eph. i. 6. 7.

3. The Lord Christ was personally innocent: but he 'who knew no sin, was made sin for 'us,' 2 Cor. v. 21. And as the Mediator and Surety of the covenant, he was to answer for the sins of them whom he undertook to save from the wrath to come, by giving himself a

N 3 ranfom

ransom for them, and making his soul an of.

fering for their fin.

4. That nothing is due to the justice of God for sin, that is, that sin doth not in the justice of God deserve punishment, is a good comfortable doctrine, for men that are resolved to continue in their sins whilst they live in this world. The scripture tells us, that Christ paid what he took not; that 'all our iniquities were caused to meet upon him;' that 'he bare them in his own body on the tree;' that 'his foul was made an offering for sin,' and thereby he made reconciliation or atonement for the sins of the people. If these persons be otherwise minded, we cannot help it.

Fourthly, It is added, that this doctrine doth not only disadvantage the true virtue and real intent of Christ's life and death, but entirely deprives God of that praise, which is owing

to his greatest love and goodness.

Answ. 1. I suppose that this is the first time, that this doctrine fell under this imputation; nor could it possibly be liable unto this charge from any, who did either understand it, or the grounds on which it is commonly opposed. For there is no end of this life or death of Christ, which the Socinians themselves admit of, but it is also allowed, and afferted in the doctrine now called in question. Do they say, that he taught the truth, or revealed the whole mind and will of God concerning his worship and our obedience? We say the same. Do they say, that by his death he bare testimony

unto, and confirmed the truth which he had taught? It is also owned by us. Do they say, that in what he did, and suffered, he set us an example, that we should labour after conformity unto? It is what we aknowledge and teach. Only we fay that all these things belong principally to his prophetical office. But we moreover affirm and believe, that as a priest, or in the discharge of his saccrdotal office, he did in his death and sufferings, offer himself a sacrifice to God, to make atonement for our fins; which they deny: and that he died for us, or in our stead, that we might go free; without the faith and acknowledgment whereof no part of the gospel can be rightly understood. All the ends then which themselves assign of the life and death of Christ, are by us granted; and the principle one, which gives life and efficacy to the rest, is by them denied.

2. Neither doth it fall under any possible imagination, that the praise due unto God should be eclipsed hereby. The love and kindness of God towards us is in the scripture fixed principally and fundamentally, on his 'sending of his only begotten Son to die for us:' and certainly the greater the work was that he had to do, the greater ought our acknowledgment of his love and kindness to be. But it is said,

Fiftbly, That it represents the Son more kind and compassionate than the Father; whereas if both be the same God, then either the Father is as loving as the Son, or the Son as angry as the Father.

Answ. 1.

Answ. 1. The scripture refereth the love of the Father unto two heads. (1.) The sending of his Son to die for us, John iii. 16. Rom. v. 8. 1 John iv. 8. (2.) In choosing sinners unto a participation of the fruits of his love, Eph. i. 3, 4, 5,6. The love of the Son is fixed fignally on his actual giving himself to die for us, Gal. ii. 20. Eph. v. 25. Rev. i. 5. What ballances these persons have got, to weigh these loves in, and to conclude which is the greatest, or most weighty, I know not.

2. Although only the actual discharge of his office be directly assigned to the love of Christ; yet his condescension in taking our nature upon him, expressed by his mind, Eph. vi. 7. and the readiness of his will, Psalm. xl. 8. doth eminently comprise love in it also.

3. The love of the Father, in sending of the Son, was an act of his will, which being a natural and essential property of God, it was so far the act of the Son also, as he is partaker of the fame nature; though eminently and in respect of order it was peculiarly the act of the Father.

The anger of God against sin is an effect of his essential righteousness and holiness, which belong to him as God; which yet hinders not, but that both Father, and Son, and Spirit acted love towards sinners. They say again.

; Sixthly, It robs God of the gift of his Son for our redemption, which the scriptures attribute to the unmerited love he had for the world; in affirming the Son purchased that

redemption'

redemption from the Father, by the gift of himself to God as our complete satisfaction.

Answ. 1. It were endless to consider the improper and absurd expressions which are made use of in these exceptions; as here the last words have no tolerable sense in them ac-

cording to any principles whatever.

2. If the Son's purchasing redemption for it, procuring, obtaining it, do rob God of the gift of his Son for our redemption; the Holy Ghost must answer for it: for having obtained for us, or procured, or purchased eternal redemption, is the word used by himself, Heb.ix. 14. And to deny that he hath laid down his life a ransom for us, and to have bought us with a price, is openly to deny the gospel. In a word, the great gift of God consisted in giving his Son to obtain redemption for us.

3. Herein he offered himself unto God, and gave himself for us; and if these persons are offended herewithal; what are we? that we

should withstand God. They say,

Seventhly, Since Christ could not pay what was not his own, it follows that in the payment of his own, the case remains equally grievous. Since the debt is not hereby absolved or forgiven, but transferred; and by consequence we are no better provided for salvation than before, owing that now to the Son, which was once owing to the Father.

Answ. The looseness and dubiousness of the expressions here used, make an appearance that there is something in them, when indeed

there is not. There is an allusion in them to a debt and a payment, which is the most improper expression that is used in this matter, and the interpretation thereof is to be regulated by other proper expressions of the same thing. But to keep to the allusion, (1.) Christ paid his own, but not for himself, Dan. ix. 26. (2.) Paying it for us, the debt is discharged, and our actual discharge is to be given out, according to the ways and means, and upon the conditions appointed and constituted by the Father and Son. (3.) When a debt is so transferred as that one is accepted in the room, and obliged to payment in the stead of another, and that payment is made and accepted accordingly, all law and reason require that the original debtor be discharged. (4.) What on this account we owe to the Son, is praise, thankfulness, and obedience, and not the debt which he took upon himself, and discharged for us, when we were nonfolvent, by his love. So that this matter is plain enough, and not to be involved in fuch cloudy expressions and incoherent discourse, following the metaphor of a debt. For if God be considered as the creditor, we all as debtors, and being insolvent, Christ undertook out of his love to pay the debt for us, and did so accordingly, which was accepted with God; it follows, that we are to be discharged upon God's terms, and under a new obligation unto his love, who hath made this satisfaction for us, which we shall eternally acknowledge. It is faid,

Eighthly,

Eighthly, It no way renders men beholding, or in the least obliged to God, since by their doctrine he would not have abated us, nor did he, Christ, the least farthing; so that the acknowledgments are particularly the Son's which destroys the current of scripture testimony, for his good will towards men. O the infamous portraiture this doctrine draws of the infinite goodness! Is this your retribution O injurious Satisfactionists!

Answ. This is but a bold repetition of what in other words was mentioned before over and over. Wherein the love of God in this matter confisted, and what is the obligation on us unto thankfulness and obedience, hath been before also declared. And we are not to be moved in fundamental truths, by vain exclamations of weak and unstable men. It is said,

Ninthly, That God's justice is satisfied for sins past, present, and to come, whereby God and Christ have lost both their power of enjoining godliness, and prerogative of punishing disobedience; for what is once paid is not revocable: and if punishment should arrest any for their debts, it argues a breach on God or Christ's part; or else that it hath not been sufficiently solved and the penalty completely sustained by another.

Answ. The intention of this pretended consequence of your doctrine is, that upon a supposition of satisfaction made by Christ, there is no solid foundation remaining for the prescription of saith, repentance, and obedience on the one hand, and of punishing them who refuse so to obey, believe, or repent on the other. The reason of this inference infinuated, seems to be this: that sin being satisfied for, cannot be called again to an account. For the sormer part of the pretended consequence, namely, that on this supposition there is no foundation left for the prescription of godliness, I cannot discern any thing in the least looking towards the confirmation of it, in the words of the obligation laid down. But these things are quite otherwise, as is manifest unto them that read and obey the gospel. For,

1. Christ's satisfaction for sins acquits not the creature of that dependance on God, and duty which he owes to God, which, not with standing that, God may justly, and doth prescribe unto him, fuitable to his own nature, holincis, and will. The whole of our regard unto God doth not lie in an acquitment from sin. It is moreover required of us as a necessary and indispenfible consequence of the relation wherein we stand unto him, that we live to him and obey him, whether fin be fatisfied for, or not. The manner and measure hereof are to be regulated by his prescriptions, which are suited to his own wisdom and our condition. And they are now refered to the heads mentioned of faith, and repentance, and new obedience.

2. The satisfaction made for sin being not made by the sinner himself, there must of necessity be a rule, order, and law-constitution how the sinner may come to be interested in

it, and made partaker of it. For the consequent of the freedom of one by the suffering of another, is not natural or necessary, but must proceed and arise from a law-constitution, compact, and agreement. Now the way constituted and appointed is that of faith, or believing, as explained in the scripture. If men believe not, they are no less liable to the punishment due to their sins, than if no satisfaction at all were made for finners. And whereas it is added: 'Forgetting that every one must appear before the judgment-seat of Christ, to receive according to the things 'done in the body: yea, and every one must figive an account of himself to God:' closing all with this: But many more are the gross abfurdities and blasphemies, that are the genuine fruits of this fo confidently believed doctrine of satisfaction: I sav,

before the judgment-seat of Christ, to receive according to the things done in the body; and therefore wo will be unto them at the great day, who are not able to plead the atonement made for their sins by the blood of Christ, and an evidence of their interest therein by their saith and obedience, or the things done and wrought in them, and by them, whilst they were in the body here in this world. And this it would better become persons to betake themselves unto the consideration of, than to exercise themselves unto an unparallelled considence in reproaching those with absurdi-

ties and blasphemies, who believe the deity and satisfaction of Jesus Christ, the Son of the diving God, who died for us, which is the ground and bottom of all our expectation of a blessed life and immortality to come.

The removal of these objections against the truth, scattered of late up and down in the hands of all forts of men, may suffice for our present purpose. If any amongst these men, who judge that they have an ability to manage the opposition against the truth, as declared by us, with fuch pleas, arguments, and exceptions, as may pretend an interest in appearing reason, they shall, God assisting, be attended unto. With men given up to a spirit of railing or reviling, though it be no small honour to be reproached by them, who reject with fcorn the eternal Deity of the Son of God, and the satisfactory atonement that he made for the fins of men, no person of sobriety will contend. And I shall further only defire the reader to take notice, that though these few sheets were written in few hours, upon the desire, and for the satisfaction of fome private friends, and therefore contain merely an expression of present thoughts, without the least design or diversion of mind towards accuracy or ornament; yet the author is fo far confident that the truth, and nothing else, is proposed and consirmed in them, that he fears not but that an opposition to what is here declared will be removed, and the truth reinforced in such a way and manner, as may not be to he disadvantage.

AN APPENDIX.

HE preceding discourse, as hath been declared, was written for the use of ordinary Christians; or fuch as might be in danger to be seduced, or any way entangled in their minds, by the late attempts against the truths pleaded for. For those to whom the dispensation of the gospel is committed, are 'debtors both to the Greeks, and to the Barbarians; both to the wise, and to the 'unwife,' Rom. i. 14. It was therefore thought meet to infift only on things necessary, and such as their faith is immediately concerned in; and not to immix therewithal any fuch arguments or considerations, as might not by reason of the terms wherein they are expressed, be obvious to their capacity and understanding. Unto plainness and perspicuity, brevity was also required, by fuch as judged this work necessary. That design we hope is answered, and now discharged in some useful measure. But yet because many of our arguments, on the head of the satisfaction of Christ, depend upon the genuine signification and notion of the words and terms, wherein the doctrine of it is delivered, which for the reasons before-mentioned could not conveniently be difcusted in the foregoing discourse; I shall here, in some few instances, give an account of what farther confirmation the truth might receive, by a due explanation of them. And I shall mention here but few of them, because a large dissertation concerning them all is intended in another way.

First, For the term satisfaction itself, it is

granted, that in this matter it is not found in the feripture. That is, it is not so purwe, or syllabically but is κατα το τράγμα αναντιρίνητως: the thing itself intended is afferted in it, beyond all modest contradiction. Neither indeed is there in the He. brew language any word that doth adequately answer unto it; no, nor yet in the Greek. As it is used in this cause, eyyon, properly sponsio, side. jussio, in its actual discharge, maketh the nearest approach unto it: marer woren is used to the same purpose. But there are words and phrases both in the Old Testament, and in the New, that are equipollent unto it, and express the matter or thing intended by it: as in the Old are, הדיון פדרה and אבבר כפר This last word we render satisfac tion, Num. xxxv. 32, 33. Where God denies that any compensation, sacred or civil, shall be received to free a murderer from the punishment due unto him: which properly expresseth what we intend. . Thou shalt admit of no satisfaction ' for the life of a murderer.' In the New Testainent, λύτρον, αντίλυτρον, απολύτρωσις, τιμή, ίλασμά, and the verbs, λυτρυν, άπελυτρων, έξαγοράζειν, ίλασχι-Au, are of the same importance; and some of them accommodated to express the thing intended, beyend that which hath obtained in vulgar use. For that which we intended hereby is, the voluntary obedience unto death, and the passion or suffering of our Lord Jesus Christ, God and man, whereby and wherein he offered himself through the eternal Spirit, for a propitiatory sacrifice that he might fulfil the law, or answer all its universal postulata; and as our sponsor, undertaking our cause, when we were under the sentence of condemnation, underwent the punishment due to us from the justice of God, being transferred on him; whereby having made a perfect and absolute propitiation or atonement for our fins, he procured for us a deliverance from death, and the curse, and a right unto life everlasting. Now this is more properly expressed by some of the words before-mentioned, than by that of satisfaction; which yet nevertheless as usually explained, is comprehensive, and no way unsuited to the matter intended by it.

In general, men by this word understand either reparationem offensæ, or solutionem debiti: either reparation made for offence given unto any, or the payment of a debt. Debitum is either criminale, or pecuniarium: that is, either the obnoxiousness of a man to punishment for crimes, or the guilt of them, in answer to that justice and law which he is necessarily liable and subject to; or unto a payment or compensation by, or of money, or what is valued by it: which last consideration, neither in itself, nor in any reasonings from an analogy unto it, can in this matter have any proper place. Satisfaction is the effect of doing or fuffering what is required for the answering of his charge against faults or sins, who hath right, authority, and power to require, exact, and inflict punishment for them. Some of the schoolmen define it, by voluntaria redditio aquivalentis indebiti: of which more elsewhere. The true meaning of to fatisfy, or make fatisfaction, is tantum facere aut pati, quantum satis sit juste irato ad vindictam. This satisfaction is im-

pleaded, as inconsistent with free remission of sins: how causelesly we have seen. It is so far from it, that it is necessary to make way for it, in case of a righteous law transgressed, and the public order of the universal governor, and go. vernment of all disturbed. And this God directs unto, Lev. iv. 31. 'The priest shall make an 's atonement for him, and it shall beforgiven him.' This atonement was a legal fatisfaction; and it is by God himself premised to remission or pardon. And Paul prays Philemon to forgive Onesimus, though he took upon him to make satisfaction for all the wrong or damage he had sustained, Phil. ver. 18, 19. And when God was displeased with the friends of Job, he prescribes a way to them, or what they shall do, and what they shall get done for them, that they might be accepted and pardoned, Job xlii. 7, 8. The Lord said ' unto Eliphaz, my wrath is kindled against thee, and against thy two friends; therefore take unto you now seven bullocks and seven rams, and go to my servant Job, and offer up for your felves a burnt offering, and my fervant Job shall ' pray for you, for him will I accept; lest I deal with you after your folly.' He plainly enjoineth an atonement, that he might freely pardon them. And both these, namely, satisfaction and pardon, with their order and confistency, were solemnly represented by the great institution of the facrifice of the scape goat. For after all the sins of the people were put upon him, or the punishment of them transferred unto him in a type and representation, with quod in ejus caput sit, the formal reason of all sacrifices propitiatory, he

was fent away with them, denoting the oblation or forgiveness of sin, after a translation made of its punishment, Lev. xvi. 21, 22. And whereas it is not expressly said, that that goat suffered, or was slain, but was either או אול Hircus αποπομπαίος; a goat sent away, or was sent to a rock called Azazel in the wilderness, as Vatablus and Oleafter, with some others, think, (which is not probable, seeing though it might then be done while the people were in the wilderness of Sinai; yet could not by reason of its distance, when the people were settled in Canaan, be annually observed) it was from the poverty of the types, whereof no one could fully represent that grace, which it had particular respect unto. What therefore was wanting in that goat, was supplied in the other, which was flain as a fin offering, y. 11, 16.

Neither doth it follow, that on the supposition of the satisfaction pleaded for, the freedom, pardon, or acquitment of the person originally guilty and liable to punishment, must immediately and ipso facto ensue. It is not of the nature of every solution or satisfaction, that deliverance must ipso facto follow. And the reason of it is, because this satisfaction by a succedaneous substitution of one to undergo punishment for another, must be founded in a voluntary compact and agreement. For there is required unto it a relaxation of the law, though not as to the punish. ment to be inflicted, yet as unto the person to be punished. And it is otherwise in personal guilt, than in pecuniary debts. In these the debt itself is solely intended, the person only obliged with reference thereunto. In the other, the person is

first and principally under the obligation. And therefore when a pecuniary debt is paid, by whomsoever it be paid, the obligation of the person himself unto payment ceaseth ipso facto. But in things criminal, the guilty person himself being first, and immediately, and intentionally under the obligation unto punishment, when there is introduced by compact a vicarious folution in the substitution of another to suffer; tho' he suffer the same absolutely, which those should have done for whom he suffers; yet because of the acceptation of his person to suffer, which might have been refused, and could not be admitted, without some relaxation of the law; deliverance of the guilty persons cannot ensue ipso facto, but by the intervention of the terms fixed on in the covenant or agreement, for an admittance of the substitution.

It appears from what hath been spoken, that in this matter of satisfaction God is not considered as a creditor, and fin as a debt, and the law as an obligation to the payment of that debt, and the Lord Christ as paying it; tho' these notions may have been used by some for the illustration of the whole matter, and that not without countenance from fundry expressions in the scripture to the same purpose: but God is confidered as the infinitely holy and righteous author of the law, and supreme governor of all mankind, according to the tenor and sanction of it: Man is considered as a sinner, a transgressor of that law, and thereby obnoxious and liable to the punishment constituted in it, and by it, anfwerable unto the justice and holiness of its au-

thor: the substitution of Christ was merely voluntary on the part of God, and of himself, undertaking to be a sponsor to answer for the sins of men, by undergoing the punishment due unto them. To this end there was a relaxation of the law, as to the persons that were to suffer, tho' not as to what was to be fuffered: without the former, the substitution mentioned could not have been admitted; and on supposition of the latter, the fuffering of Christ could not have had the nature of punishment properly so called. For punishment relates to the justice and rightcoufness in government of him that exacts it, and inflicts it: and this the justice of God doth not, but by the law. Nor could the law be any way fatisfied, or fulfilled by the suffering of Christ, if antecedently thereunto its obligation or power of obliging unto the penalty constituted in its fanction unto fin was relaxed, dissolved, or difpensed withal. Nor was it agreeable to justice, nor would the nature of the things themselves, admit of it, that another punishment should be inflicted on Christ, than what we had deserved; nor could our sin be the impulsive cause of his death; nor could we have had any benefit thereby. And this may suffice to be added unto what was spoken before, as to the nature of satisfaction, so far as the brevity of the discourse whereunto we are confined will bear, or the use whereunto it is designed doth require.

Secondly, The nature of the doctrine contended for being declared and cleared, we may in one or two instances manifest how evidently it is revealed, and how fully it may be vindicated.

It is then in the scripture declared, that Christ died for us, that he died for our sins, and that we are thereby delivered. This is the soundation of the Christian religion as such. Without the faith and acknowledgement of it we are not Christians. Neither is it in these general terms at all denied by the Socinians: It remains therefore that we consider,

1. How this is revealed and affirmed in the

scripture: And,

2. What is the true meaning of the expressions and propositions, wherein it is revealed and affirmed; for in them, as in sundry others, we as firm, that the satisfaction pleaded for is contained.

1. Christ is said to die, to give himself, to be delivered υπερ ήμων, &c. for us, for his sheep, for the life of the world, for sinners, John vi. 51. Chap. x. 15. Rom. v. 6. 2 Cor. v. 14, 15. Gal. ii. 20. Heb. ii. 9. Moreover he is said to die υπερ αμαρτιών, for sins, 1 Cor. xv. 3. Gal. i. 4. The end whereof every where expressed in the gospel is, that we might be freed, delivered, and saved. These things, as was said, are agreed unto and acknowledged.

2. The meaning and importance, we say, of these expressions is, that Christ died in our room, place, or stead, undergoing the death or punishment which we should have undergone, in the way and manner before declared. And this is

the fatisfaction we plead for.

It remains therefore, that both from the scripture, and the proper signification, and constant use of the expressions mentioned in other writers; from the nature of the things treated of, and from the exemplification of them in the customs and usages of the nations of the world; we do evince and manifest, that what we have laid down is the true and proper sense of the words, wherein the revelation of Christ's dying for us, is expressed: so that they who deny Christ to have died for us in this sense, do indeed deny that he properly died for us at all; whatever benefits they grant, that by his death we may obtain.

First, We may consider the use of this expression in the scripture, either indesinitely, or

in particular instances.

Only we must take this along with us, that dying for sins and transgressions, being added unto dying for sinners or persons, maketh the substitution of one in the room and stead of another more evident, than when the dying of one for another only is mentioned. For whereas all predicates are regulated by their subjects, and it is ridiculous to say, that one died in the stead of sins; the meaning can be no other, but the bearing or answering of the sins of the sinner, in whose stead any one dieth. And this is in the scripture declared to be the sense of that expression, as we shall see afterwards. Let us therefore consider some instances.

John xi. 50. The words of Caiaphas's counfel are, συμφέρει ήμιν ϊνα εις ἄνθρωπος ἀποθάνη ὑπερ τε
καθ, κὰ μὴ ολον τὸ εθνος ἀπόληται. It is expedient for
us that one man should die for the people, and
that the whole nation perish not. Which is
expressed again, Chap. xviii. 14. ἀπολέω αι ὑπερ τε
καθ, perish for the people. Caiaphas seared that
if Christ were spared, the people would be de-

stroyed by the Romans. The way to free them, he thought, was by the destruction of Christ; him therefore he devoted to death in lieu of the people. As he: Unum pro multis dabitur Caput. One head shall be given for many.' Not unlike the speech of Otho the emperor in Xiphilin, when he slew himself to preserve his army. For when they would have perfuaded him to renew the war, after the defeat of some of his forces, and they offered to lay down their lives to fecure him; he replied, that he would not, adding this reason: π.λύ γαρ πε κ κρειτίον, κ δικαιότερον έσιν, εναυπέρ πόντων, η πολλές υπέρ ένος απολεθαι. It is far better, and more just that one should perish, or die for all; than that many should perish for one: that is, one in the stead of many, that they may go free. Or as another speaks:

Έξον προ πάντων μίαν υπερδυναι θαιτίν. Επτίρ. Let one be given up to die in the stead of all. John xiii. 38. Τη ψυχην με υπέρ σε θήσω. They are the words of St. Peter unto Christ: I will lay down my life for thee: to free thee I will expose my own head to danger, my life to death, that thou mayest live, and I die. It is plain that he intended the same thing with the celebrated andψυχοι, of old, who exposed their own lives ψυχών αντὶ ψυχης, for one another. Such were Damon and Pythias, Orestes and Pylades, Nisus and Eurialis. Whence is that faying of Seneca: Succurram perituro, sed ut ipse non percam; nisi se futurus ero mugni hominis, aut magnærei merces. I will relieve or fuccour one that is ready to

perish, yet so as I perish not myself; unless

thereby I be taken in lieu of some great man,

or great matter.' For a great man, a man of great worth and usefulness, I could perish, or die in his stead, that he might live and go free.

We have a great example also of the import of this expression in those words of David concerning Absalom, 2 Sam. xviii. 33. מי שני חדתיך Who will grant me to die, I for thee, or in thy stead, My Son, Absalom. It was never doubted, but that David wished that he had died in the stead of his son; and to have undergone the death which he did, to have preserved him alive. As to the same purpose, though in another sense, Mezentius in Virgil expresses him and danger in battle, was slain by Æneas.

Tantane me tenuit vivendi, nate, voluptas,
Ut pro me hostili paterer succedere dextræ,
Quemgenui? tuane hæe genitor per vulnera servor?
Morte tua vivum?

'Hast thou, O son, fallen under the enemy's hand in my stead; am I saved by thy wounds;

'do I live by thy death?'

And the word num used by David doth signify, when applied unto persons, either a succeision, or a substitution; still the coming of one into the place and room of another. When one succeeded to another in government, it is expressed by that word, 2 Sam. x. 1. 1 Kings vii. 7. Chap. xix. 16. In other cases it denotes a substitution. So Jehu tells his guard, that if any one of them let any of Baal's priests escape, we notice of the let any of Baal's priests escape, we are the stead of the life he had suffered to escape.

And this answereth unto arti in the Greek,

which is also used in this matter, and ever denotes either equality, contrariety, or substitution. The two former senses can here have no place, the latter alone hath. So it is faid, that Archelaus reigned αντί Ήρωδε τε πατρος αυτέ, Mat. ii. 1, 2. In the room or stead of Herod his father. So οεθαλμός αντί όφθαλμέ, όσες αντί όσοντος, Mat. v. 38. Is an eye for an eye, and a tooth for a tooth. This word also is used in expressing the death of Christ for us. He came, δουναι την ψυχην αυτέ λύτρον αιτι πολλων, Mat. xx. 28. To give his life a ransom for many, that is, in their stead to die. So the words are used again, Mark x. 45. And both these notes of a succedaneous substitution are joined together, 1 Tim. ii. 6. ο δες ξαυτον αντίλυτρον υπερ αταντων. And this the Greeks call της ψυχης πρισσθαι, to buy any thing, to purchase or procure any thing, with the price of one's life. So Tigranes in Xenophon, when Cyrus asked him what he would give, or do, for the liberty of his wife, whom he had taken prisoner; answered, xar rov ψυχησ τοριαίμην, ώσε μήποτε λατρουζαι ταύτην, I τυίll purchase her liberty with my life, or the price of my Joul. Whereon the woman being freed, affirmed afterwards, that she considered none in the company, but him who faid, ως της ψυχη αν σρίαιτος ωςε un me durover, that he reculd purchase my liberty with his own life.

And these things are added on the occasion of the instances mentioned in the scripture: whence it appears, that this expression of dying for another, hath no other sense or meaning, but only dying instead of another, undergoing the death that he should undergo, that he might go free. And in this matter of Christ's dying for us, add that he so died for us, as that he also died for our sins; that is, either to bear their punishment, or to expiate their guilt (for other sense the words cannot admit) and he that pretends to give any other sense of them, than that contended for, which implies the whole of what lies in the doctrine of satisfaction, erit misi magnus Apollo, even he who was the author of all ambiguous oracles of old.

And this is the common sense of mori pro alio, and pati pro alio, or pro alio discrimen capitis subire. A substitution is still denoted by that expression, which sufficeth us in this whole cause; for we know both into whose room he came, and what they were to suffer. Thus Entellus killing and sacrificing an Ox to Eryx in the stead of Dares, whom he was ready to have slain, when he was taken from him, expresset himself:

Hanc tibi, Eryx, meliorem animam pro morte Daretis

Persolvo.

'He offered the ox, a better facrifice, in the stead of Dares,' taken from him. So,

-Fratrem Pollux alterna morte redemit.

And they speak so not only with respect unto death, but where ever any thing of durance or suffering is intended. So the angry master in the comedian:

Verberibus cæsum te, Dave, in pistrinum dedam usque ad necem:

Ea lege atque omine, ut si inde te exemerim, ego prote molam.

He threatened his servant to cast him into prison, to be macerated to death with labour; and that

with this engagement, that if he ever let him out he would grind for him; that is, in his stead. Wherefore without offering violence to the common means of understanding, things among men, another sense cannot be affixed to these words.

Secondly, The nature of the thing itself will admit of no other exposition, than that given un. to it; and it hath been manifoldly exemplified among the nations of the world. For suppose a man guilty of any crime, and on the account thereof to be exposed unto danger from God or man, in a way of justice, wrath, or vengeance, and when he is ready to be given up unto fuffering according unto his demerit, another should tender himself to die for him, that he might be freed: let an appeal be made to the common reafon and understandings of all men, whither the intention of this his dying for another be not, that he substitutes himself in his stead, to undergo what he should have done, however the translation of punishment from one to another may be brought about and asserted. For at present we treat not of the right, but of the fact, or the thing itself. And to deny this to be the case, as to the sufferings of Christ, is as far as I can understand, to subvert the whole gospel.

Thirdly, Moreover, as was said, this hath been variously exemplified among the nations of the world: whose actings in such cases, because they excellently shadow out the general notion of the death of Christ for others, for sinners; and are appealed unto directly by the apostle to this purpose, Rom.v.7,8. Ishall in a few instances reslect upon.

Not to insist on the voluntary surrogations of

private persons, one into the room of another, mutually to undergo dangers and death for one another, as before mentioned; I shall only remember sonie public transactions in reference unto communities, in nations, cities, or armies. Nothing is more celebrated amongst the ancients than this, that when they supposed themselves · in danger, from the anger and displeasure of their gods, by reason of any guilt or crimes among them, some one person should either devote himself, or be devoted by the people, to die for them, and therein to be made as it were an expiatory facrifice. For where fin is the cause, and God is the object, respected, the making of satisfaction by undergoing punishment, and expiating of fin by a propitiatory facrifice, are but various expressions of the same thing. Now those who so devoted themselves, as was said, to die in the stead of others, or to expiate their sins, and turn away the anger of the god they feared by the death, designed two things in what they did: First, That the evils which were impendent on the people and feared, might fall on themselves, so that the people might go free: Secondly, That all good things which themselves desired, might be conferred on the people. Which things have a notable shadow in them of the great expiatory sacrifice, concerning which we treat, and expound the expressions wherein it is declared. The in stance of the Decii is known, of whom the poet:

Plebeiæ deciorum animæ, plebeia fuerunt Nomina, pro totis legionibus bi tamen, et pro Omnibus auxiliis, atque; omni plebe Latina, Sufficient Diis infernis. The two Decii, Father and Son, in imminent dangers of the people, devoted themselves, at several times, unto death and destruction. And saith he, sufficient Diis infernis: 'they satisfied for the whole people:' adding the reason whence so it might be:

: Pluris enim Decii, quam qui servantur ab illis.

'They were more to be valued, than all that ' were faved by them.' And the great historian doth excellently describe both the actions, and expectations, of the one and the other, in what they did. The Father, when the Roman army commanded by himself and Titus Manlius, was near a total ruin by the Latines, called for the public priest, and caused him with the usual solemn ceremonies to devote him to death, for the deliverance and safety of the army: after which making his requests to his gods, dii quorum est potestas nostrorum hostiumque; 'the gods that had 'power over them and their adversaries,' as he supposed, he cast himself into death by the swords of the enemy. Conspectus ab utraque acie aliquanto augustior humano visu, sicut cœlo missus, piaculum omnis Deorum iræ', qui pestem, ab suis aversam, in hostes ferret. 'He was looked upon by both armies, as one more august than a man, ' as one sent from heaven, to be a piacular sacri-" fice, to appeale the anger of the gods, and transfer destruction from their own army to the enemies,' Liv. lib. 8. His son in like manner, in a great and dangerous battle against the Galls and Samnites, wherein he commanded in chief, devoting himself as his father had done, added unto the former solemn deprecations, præ se agere sese formidinem ac fugam, cædemque ac cruoren

cælestium, et infernorum iras, Lib. 11. 'That he carried away before him' (from those for whom he devoted himself.) 'Fear and slight, slaughter and blood, the anger of the celestial and inter-'nal gods. And as they did, in this devoting themselves, design averruncare malum, deorum iras, lustrare populum, aut exercitum, piaculum sieri or περίψημα, ανάθημα, αποκάθαρμα, expiare crimina, fcelus, reatum, or to remove all evil from others, by taking it on themselves in their stead; so also they thought they might, and intended in what did to covenant and contract for the good things they defired. So did thefe Decii, and so is Menœceus reported to have done, when he devoted himself for the city of Thebes, in danger to be destroyed by the Argives. So Papinius introduceth him treating his gods:

Armorum superi, tuque O qui funere tanto Indulges mihi, Phæbe, mori, date gaudia Thebis, Quæ pepegi, et toto quæ sanguine prodigus emi.

He reckoned that he had not only repelled all death and danger from Thebes, by his own; but that he had purchased joy, in peace and li-

berty for the people.

And where there were none in public calamities, that did voluntarily devote themselves, the people were wont to take some obnoxious person, to make him execrable, and to lay on him, according to their superstition, all the wrath of their gods, and so give him up to destruction. Such the apostle alludes unto, Rom. v. 3. 1 Cor. iv. 9, 13. So the Massilians were wont to expiate their city by taking a person devoted, mprecating on his head all the evil that the city was obnoxious unto, and casting him into the

fea, with these words, σερίψημα ημῶν γενδ: be thou our expiatory sacrifice. To which purpose were the solemn words that many used in their expiatory sacrifices; as Herodotus testisseth of the Egyptians, bringing their offerings: saith he, καταρέονται τα δὲ λέγοντες τῆσι κεφαλῆσιν ἄτε μέλλοι ἢ σφήσι τοῖσι θύθσι, ἢ Αἰγύωτω τῆ ςυμωάςη κακὸν γενέσθαι, εἰς κεφαλὴν ταυ την τραωέςθαι. They laid these imprecations on their heads: That if any evil were happening towards the sacrificer, or all Egypt, let it be all turned and laid on this devoted head.

And the persons whom they thus dealt withal, and made execrate, were commonly the vilest of the people or such as had rendered themselves detestable by their own crimes; whence was the complaint of the mother of Menœceus upon her

fon's devoting himself:

Lustralenne feris ego te, puer inclyte, Thebis, Devotumque caput, vilis seu mater, alebam?

I have recounted these instances to evince the common intention, sense, and understanding of that expression, of one dying for another; and to manifest by examples, what is the sense of mankind, about any one being devoted and substituted in the room of others, to deliver them from death and danger: the considering whereof, added to the constant use of the words mentioned in the scripture, is sufficient to found and consirm this conclusion:

That whereas it is frequently assirmed in the scripture, that Christ died for us, and for our sins, &c.' to deny that he died and suffered in our stead, undergoing the death whereunto we were obnoxious, and the punishment due to our sins, if we respect in what we say, or believe, the con-

stant use of those words in the scripture, and the uncontroulled sense of that expression in all sorts of writers, in expressing the same thing; the nature of the thing itself, concerning which they are used; with the instances and examples of its meaning and intention among the nations of the world; is to deny that he died for us at all.

Neither will his dying for our good or advantage only, in what way or sense soever, answer or make good, or true, the affertion of his dying for us, or our sins. And this is evident in the death of the apostles and martyrs; they all died for our good; our advantage and benefit was one end of their sufferings, in the will and appointment of God; and yet it cannot be said, that they died for us, or our sins. And if Christ died only for our good, tho' in a more effectual manner than they did; yet this altereth not the kind of dying for us; nor can he thence be said properly, according to the only due sense of that expression, so to do.

I shall in this brief and hasty discourse, add only one consideration more about the death of Christ, to consirm the truth pleaded for. And that is, that he is said in dying for sinners, to bear their sins, Isa. 53. 11. He shall bear their iniquities, ver. 12. He bare the sins of many; explained, ver. 5. He was wounded for our transgressions, he was bruised for our iniquities, the chastisement of our peace was upon him, I Pet ii. 24. Who his own self bare our sins in his own body on the tree, &c.

This expression is purely facred. It occurreth

Not directly in other authors, chough the sense

it luere peccata, delictorum supplicium ferre: to bear the punishment of sins. The meaning therefore of this phrase of speech, is to be taken from the scripture alone, and principally from the Old Testament, where it is originally used; and from whence it is transferred unto the New Testament, in the same sense, and no other. Let us consider some of the places.

Ifa. liii. וווא ישונה הוא ישונה. The fame word, so is used, ver. 4. ישואר שבלשי And our griefs he hath born them. The word signifies properly to bear a weight or a burthen, as a man bears it on his shoulders: bajulo, porto. And it is never used with respect unto sin, but openly and plainly it signifies the undergoing of the punishment due unto it. So it occurs directly to our purpose, Lam. v. 7. אברוים ואכם עונתיהם שבלנו אברוים השאר אברוים ואכם ואכם להדוש עונתיהם שבלנו אברוים singuisties; the punishment due to their singuisties; the punishment due to their singuisties; when they are spoken concerning Christ, who can give a just reason?

Again wwo is used to the same purpose. And he bare the sin of many, wwo is often used with respect unto sin, sometimes with reference unto God's actings about it, and sometimes with reference unto mens concerns in it. In the first way, or when it denotes an act of God, it signifies to lift up, to take away or pardon sin; and leaves the word you wherewith it is joined under its first signification of iniquity, or the guilt of sin, with respect unto punishment enfuing as its consequent. For God pardoning the

guilt of fin, the removal of the punishment doth necessarily ensue, guilt containing an obligation unto punishment. In the latter way, as it respects men or sinners, it constantly denotes the bearing of the punishment of sin, and gives that sense unto my with respect unto the guilt of sin, as itscause. And hence ariseth the ambiguity of those words of Cain, Gen. iv. 13. ימנשא גרול-עונו if אשוי if מנשא גרול-עונו denotes an act of God, if the words be spoken with reference, in the first place, to any acting of his towards Cain, ju retains the sense of iniquity, and the words are rightly rendered, my sin. is greater than to be forgiven: if it respect Cain himself first, massumes the signification of punishment; and the words are to be rendered, my punishment is greater than I can bear, or is to be born by me. *

This, I say, is the constant sense of this expresfion, nor can any instance to the contrary be produced. Some may be mentioned in the confirmation of it. Numb. xiv. 33. 'Your children shall' 'wander in the wilderness forty years,' שנותיכם 'wander in the wilderness forty years,' מדבעים שנה , and shall bear your whoredoms וכשוא רנשאר עונחוכם Ye shall bear your iniquities forty years: that is, the punishment due to your whoredoms: and iniquities; according to God's providential dealing with them at that time. Lev. xix. 8. He that eateth it, www my shall bear his iniquities: How? הולפש ההיא נכרתה that foul shall be-cut off. To be cut off for sin, by the punishment of it, and for its guilt, is to bear iniquity. So chap. xx. 16, 17, 18. For a man to bear his iniquity, and to be killed, slain, or put to death for it, are the same.

Ezek.xviii.20. המות בן לא ישא הנפש ההתפאת היא The Soul that sinneth it Shall die; the

fon shall not bear the sin of the father. To bear sin, and to die for sin, are the same. More instances might be added, all uniformly speaking the same sense of the words.

And as this sense is sufficiently, indeed invincible, established by the invariable use of that expression in the scripture; so the manner whereby it is affirmed that the Lord Christ bare our iniquities, sets it absolutely free from all danger by opposition. For he bare our iniquities, when יהוה הפגיע בו את עין בלנו the Lord made to meet on him, or laid on him the iniquity of us all, Isa. liii. 6. which words the LXX. render κ κυριος σταρέδωκεν au ror rais a mapriais nuw The Lord gave him up, or delivered him unto our sins: that is to be punished for them; for other sense the words can have none. He made him sin for us, 2 Cor. v. 21. so he bare our fins, Isa. liii. 11. How? In his body on the tree, I Pet.ii. 24. that when he was, and in his being Stricken, smitten, afflicted, wounded, bruised, slain, so was the chastisement of our peace upon him.

Wherefore to deny that the Lord Christ in his death, and suffering for us, underwent the punishment due to our sins, what we had deserved, that we might be delivered, as it averts the great foundation of the gospel; so by an open perverting of the plain words of the scripture, because not suited in their sense and importance to the vain imaginations of men, it gives no small countenance to infidelity and others.

infidelity and atheism.

FINIS.



Milliam Joleva Dean May 25. 1843