#### THE

# RUTH

OF THE

## HISTORY

OFTHE

GOSPEL,

Made out by

### Heathen Evidence.



#### E D I N B U R G H,

Printed by R. FLEMING and A. ALISON, and fold by G. Hamilton and J. Balfour, and other Bookfellers. M. DCC. XLI.

[ Price Six Pence.]



#### ADVERTISEMENT.

As to Matters of Religion, the Necessity of Revelation in general, and consequently, that GOD has been pleased to bless Mankind with the necessary supernatural Discoveries, having been made out, in a late Book upon that Subject, one is immediately led to examine, What is the particular System of Religion that has the best, or the only Title to a Divine Original? And, cassing one's Eyes on the Gospel of Jesus Christ, one very soon perceives a great many Things, that seem extremely promising on the Side of the Christian Institution.

But, before one goes about to propose any particular Argument, in order to prove the Divinity of that Institution, one should think, that the general Lyestion, concerning the Credibility of the Guspel-History, ought first to be considered and determined. This is what the Author has endeavoured to do, from the Testimony of Heathens, in the few following Pages. And he cannot but think, that upon a Mind, rationally convinced of God's having made a Revelation to Mankind, and of the Truth of the History of the Gospel of Jesus Christ, the particular Arguments in Favour of Christianity will irresistibly operate: So that the Author is in Hopes be has helped forward the Design of the forecited Book, and farther cleared the Way for the Evidences of our holy Religion, to exert all their Force upon every free-thinking considerate Person.



THE

## TRUTH

OFTHE

# History of the Gospel.

WVERY Man who applies himself to study, and who, by his own Researches, has come to the Knowledge of Things, cannot but have observed, that as the particular Things, or Existences, whose several Properties, Relations and Agreements, make up the Matter of his Knowledge, are various, and of quite different Natures; so the Measures, or Proofs, whereby a Man is led to the Perception of Truth, or to the Knowledge of those different Things in themselves, or, as they are related to one another, are equally different, and must necessarily be so, as they immediately arise from the different Nature of the Things themselves. And indeed the Attempt would be extremely foolish, to go about to explain the Truth of a Thing, by that Kind of Proof with which which the Nature of the Thing itself has no Sort of Connection: Nor is it less absurd to demand, in any Case, that Sort of Proof, which the Nature of Things will not admit of. The real Knowledge of Mankind, and of every intelligent Being, lyes wholly in the Perception of Things themselves, which every Body will confess, can only be apprehended by those Means that are suited to their Nature. Now, the Things, or Existences, whose several Properties, Relations and Agreements, are the Objects of our Knowledge, and beyond which we can know nothing, may

be reduced to these two general Heads.

First, There are such Things, whose inward Nature is in no Degree precarious, depending on Will and Pleasure, but in itself is fixed and stable, immutable, absolutely independent, and whose Truth and Certainty, whose real Existence, every Mind capable of apprehending it, may, at all Times, and in all Places, perceive and understand. Of this Sort I reckon Geometrical Truths, the Moral Virtues, and the Being and Perfections of the Deity: In discovering the Truth of which, as to the two first, we look immediately into the Things themselves, we perceive their essential Properties and Relations, and are infallibly certain about their Agreements; and as to the last, viz. the Existence of God, we here indeed come to the Knowledge of this Truth by attending to the World about us, these finite dependent Beings, which, we are absolutely sure, must be the Effects of Divine l'ower, Wisdom and Goodness; but in another World, there is Reason to think, we shall have immediate Vision or Intuition.

In the next Place, there are such Things, whose Natures have nothing in them of Necessity, and which for their Existence, their Properties and Affections, entirely

entirely depend on the Will of other Beings: Of this Sort I reckon all the several Things of this visible World, that are the free Productions of the Sovereign Mind, and all the particular Actions or Effects of inferior voluntary Agents. And in acqui-. ring the Knowledge of fuch Things, the most direct and immediate Way is, that of the Testimony of our Senses. Thus, our Senses assure us of a vast Variety of Creatures in Heaven and in Earth; they discover to us the Vicissitude of Day and Night, of Summer and Winter, Seed-Time and Harvest; they diffinguish the Individuals of our own Species, and they let us know what particular Actions this or that Man is the Author of. But, as to Things of this Sort, how extremely scanty should our Knowledge be, had we no other Way to acquire it? Going no farther than the immediate Testimony of our Senfes, no Man could know that this World was existing before he observed it; nor could we be certain there now is, or ever was, any more of this Earth, or that it now is, or ever was any further inhabited, than this finall Spot of Ground with which we are acquainted. All Mankind therefore, who, of Things under the Sun, pretend to know any more than those few Articles, about the Truth of which their Senfer immediately inform them, must necessarily allow, I here is some other Way than the immediate Testimony of our Senies, whereby we can come to the certain Knowledge of those Things whose Existence depends on the Will and Pleasure of other Beings. And fince this Knowledge cannot possibly arise from our looking into the Nature of the Things themselves, as we do in the Case of Geometrical Existences, &c. whole Nature and Properties are independent, abiolutely necessary, and must therefore ap-PCAF

pear in all Ages, and in all Places, unalterably the same; the only remaining Way seems to be that of the Information, or Testimony of other intelligent Beings, upon whose Truth and Veracity we can safely

rely.

Thus, from the Information of others, we know and rest assured, that this World, in its present Situation, or under the Direction of the same general Laws that produce Day and Night, &c. has subsisted for so many Ages past, and has, all along, been inhabited by such particular Kinds of Animals, whereof the Individuals have been continually succeeding to one another: After the same Manner we certainly know, that, besides this Island of Britain, there are, at this Day, in the Earth, a great many other Islands, and vast Continents, all inhabited, that are divided in distinct States and Kingdoms, and whose Forms of Government, whose Laws and Customs, in many Instances, do all differ from one another: And thus, likewise, we are perswaded beyond doubting, that such particular Persons did live, and such others are now living in the World, and were the Authors of such particular Actions. It is from this Source, I mean, from the Testimony of others, that, with respect to this Sort of Things, whose Exittence depends on the Free-will of other active Brings, our greatest Stock of Knowledge arises: And however it cannot be universally affirmed, that, in every Article, we may depend fafely on buman Testimony; yet this no more renders our Knowledge, founded on fuch Proofs, uncertain or precarious, than a Man's being led, in the Use of his rational Faculties, into a particular Opinion contradi-Et ery to Reaton, or to the Nature of Things, can render our Knowledge, arising from Reason, or the Nature

Nature of Things, a Matter of mere Uncertainty. Such is the Constitution of human Nature, or, by fuch Principles are all Mankind governed, that, in numberless Instances, we can be absolutely sure of the Truth of things, from the Information or Testimony of other People. We regard it as an undoubted, Truth, that just now there is such a Nation as the Kingdom of France, where the present Prince is Lewis XV. whose Will, in the publick Administration, is subject to no Controul: And, as fully do we rest assured, that, in the City of Rome, still subsisting, and now the Seat of the Popes, there was, about MDCCC. Years ago, an Emperor called Augustus, who, in the Battle of Actium, overthrew Anthony, who, oftener than once, shut up the Temple of Janus, and who, for many Years, peaceably enjoyed the sovereign Dominion of a great Part of the World. About the Certainty of fuch Things we are as much satisfied, as about any Proposition in Euclid. "So many Circumstances, says Mr. Toland, " frequently concur in History, as render it equal " to Intuition: Thus, says he, I can as soon deny " my own Being, as the Murder of Cicero, or the "Story of William the Conqueror \*." And indeed all Mankind rely on human Testimony; we venture our Lives and Fortunes upon it; in our own Experience we find ourselves safe in trusting it; our Stock of Knowledge would be extremely poor and inconsiderable, nay, in nowise could Mankind live associated without it.

Now, upon this Sort of Certainty, universally depended on, and in this its Influence, absolutely necessary for the Subsistence of human Society in any Form

<sup>\*</sup> Christianity not mysterious, p. 128.

Form whatsoever, do we rest assured of the Truth of the Gospel-History, namely, That, so many Ages ago, there were in the World such Persons as Jesus Christ and his Apostles; That they taught such particular Doctrines; That they wrought such extraordinary Works; That Jelus Christ was crucified at Jerusalem; That his Apoilles confidently reported, He rose again from the Dead, and ascended into Heaven; That, in testifying this his Resurrection, and Ascension, and in publishing his Dostrines, beginning at Jerusalem, they went through the World, and every where suffered the greatest Hardships, and cruellest Persecutions, pretending, that in this Ministry, they were employed by Heaven. These, I say, are the Things, as to the Certainty of which we are fully fatisfied from human Testimony. And as the Nature of the Things themselves cannot possibly suffer their Truth, or the Reality of their Existence, to be made out after any other Manner; so those Persons who demand that Sort of Proof that is founded in the independent abtolute Nevessity of the Existence, the Properties, and Affections of Things, are most unreasonable, and would be gratified in what the Nature of Things renders simply impossible: Which is so far from thinking freely, and as it becomes a reasonable Being, that it is thinking most absurdly, and in clean Contradiction to that univerfal Standard, whereby all our Thoughts and Sentiments ought entirely to be directed, and without our attending to which, we can never hope, in any Instance, to discover Truth, or to arrive at Certainty.

Nor can a Man justify his refusing to admit the Truth of the Things above mentioned, in alledging, that those Things being made the Foundation of a religious Institution, upon which we are to venture

our Happiness in another World, they become of 100 great Consequence to have their Certainty depending on buman Testimony. For, the Question is not about the Importance of the Things, but about their Truth and Reality; whether there were in the World such Persons, and whether those Persons were the Authors of fuch Actions? If these Articles be counted of Importance, our Inquiries into their Certainty ought to be the exacter, the more strict and rigorous, without all Biass or Prejudice: And if, after a diligent and narrow Search, they are still found to be true, they must, in Reason, he allowed to operate according to their Nature. Mean while, to pretend, that such Articles, whose Existence can no otherways be explained, are too considerable to depend for their Certainty on human Testimony, seems to intimate, that, in ascertaining the Truth of the particular Articles of such a determined Class of Things, we must have one Species of Proofs for those of fmaller, and another Sort of Proofs for those of greater Consequence. But, would it not be ridiculous to infinuate, that, with the fame Sort of Eyes, whereby I diffinguish a Piece of dirty Way, as I am travelling, that would foil my Shoes, I cannot diffinguish a Precipice that would break my Neck, but mult have other Sort of Evidence? And is it not equally extravagant, to alledge, that by the same Sort of Evidence, whereby the Deigls are well affured, there was such a Person as Socrates, that he taught such Doctrines, and suffered such a Death at Albens, we cannot rest satisfied, there was such a Person as Just Christ, that he taught such Doctrines, that he suffered such a Death at Jerusalem, that his Disciples reported he role again the third Day after his Crucifixion,  $\mathcal{E}c$ ? Whatever, therefore, be the Moment of the Things, if the Reality of their Ex-

Existence be made out by that Sort of Proof, which alone the particular Nature of that Class of Things will admit of, no Man can reject their Certainty without being highly unreasonable. " All possible " Matters of Fast, lays Mr. Toland, duly attested by " coevous Persons, as known to them, and succes-" stuely related by others of different Times, Nations, or "Interests, who could neither be imposed upon themselves, " nor be justly suspected of combining together to deceive " others, ought to be received by us for as certain and " indubitable as if we had seen them with our own Eyes, " or heard them with our own Ears. By this Means "it is, continues this noted Author, I believe there was " such a City as Carthage, such a Reformer as Lu-" ther, and that there is such a Kingdom as Poland. "When all these Rules concur in any Matter of "Fact, I take it then for Demonstration, which is " nothing else but irresistible Evidence from proper " Proofs \*." And, that the several Articles of the Gospel-History, above mention'd, are supported by such Proofs as make us as certain about their Reality, as we possibly can be about the Truth of any thing of the like Nature, suppose the Murder of Cicero, or the Story of William the Conqueror, which Mr. Toland has told us he can no more deny than his own Being, is to every thinking Man incontestibly manifest. Nor is it less manifest, that this Certainty, about the real Existence of such Persons and Actions as narrated in the Gospel, (supposing the original History, with its coeval Circumstances, as we now have it, had been transmitted down to us) we should have had, even though the Christian Institution had expired with the Apostles, and there were not now one Christian in the World,

<sup>2</sup> Christianity not mysterious, p. 17.

World. In this Case indeed we should have had a ready Proof, in spite of all the historical Appearances to the contrary, that the Apostles, in pretending a Commission from Heaven, were either Impostors, or Enthusiasts; but that such Persons existed, were the Authors of such Actions, and had reported such Events, could never be called in question. At the same time I am apt to think, that Christianity still subsisting heightens our Certainty (I do not now say, about the Divinity of that Institution, but) about the real Existence of such Persons, and their having taught, and performed, and reported such Things in the World. Let us view the Matter in this Light, which

I shall explain with all the Clearness I am able.

In Fact, it is certain, that just now in the World, there are infinite Numbers of People professing Christianity; that this is the publick Religion of Nations, and has the Countenance and Protection of Civil Authority. There is indeed, among Christians, a great Variety of Sects, that have each of them their own peculiar Opinions and Sentiments, and that differ from one another in their religious Rites and Ceremonies. But what Differences soever may prevail in the (bristian World about other Matters, in this they are all agreed, That the History of the Gospel is, in all Instances, true and genuine; and they universally observe certain Rites, such as Baptism, the Eucharist, and the Lord's Day, as Memorials of some very considerable Events. In Baptism, the initiating Rite, we renounce every other religious Institution, we undertake the Profession of Christianity, and we devote ourselves, through Jesus Christ, to the only true God and Father of All, to serve him without Fear, according to the Gospel of his Son, in Holiness and Righteousness all the Days of our Life: In the Eucharist, we comme.

commemorate the Crucifixion of Jesus Christ at Jerufalem, praising the Name of God for his great Salvation: And on the first Day of the Week, the Day on which Christ is said to have risen from the Dead, we joyfully assemble together to celebrate his Resurrection. All this is real Matter of Fact, we have it from the Information of our Senses. And the Question is, To what Cause must these sensible Effects be ascribed? Or, How came this Profession of Christianity, or the Observation of such stated solemn Rites, to be introduced into the World? No Man living can imagine, that these Things had their Rise in the present Age. We are infallibly certain, that they prevailed among our Forefathers of the former Age. And if we still go backwards from one Age to another, the same Evidence by which we are assured that this, or any other Part of the World, where Christianity now prevails, was inhabited, and, in such an Age, was governed by such particular Laws, Civil or Religious, will convince us, that those Things were all along existing, till we come to that Age, wherein there was no such Thing as Christianity, but religious Inditutions of a quite contrary Nature. Thus, in tracing out the Rise of this Phanomenon, beginning at the present Age,

From one certain Step to another, founded on the publick Laws, the unquestionable Customs of particular Kingdoms, we are led to the undoubted Knowledge of the Exittence of Christianity in those more distant Ages, when a considerable Part of the World was under the Dominion of the Roman Emperors; and running up our Enquiries through the Reigns of those Emperors, we meet with so many of them not only professing themselves Christians, but, by their publick Laws and Edicts, protecting and encouraging that

that Institution; till we are interrupted in the Reign of Julian, who pursued other Measures, and reviv'd the publick Profession of Idolatry, as we learn from Ammianus Marcellinus (a), who lived in those Days; from Eutropius (b), who served in that Expedition where Julian was killed; and from Libanius (c), who feems to claim the Merit of promoting this Emperor's Passion for Paganism. And not only did Julian endeavour to support the Cause of the Gods, and to gain Proselytes to their Service, by his Authority and Example, by Sollicitation, and Bribes, but, for the same Purpose, he writ a large Treatise, wherein Libanius tells us, he goes about to show, that those Books which make the Man of Palestine to be God, or the Son of God, contain nothing but Things silly and ridiculous (d); so that, by the same Evidence, whereby we know there was such a Person as the Emperor Julian, we are assured there was, among Men, such a Religion as the Christian, which, in his Time, prevailed in the World, and which he had form'd a Design to extirpate.

But carrying on our Enquiries still backwards, (as Libanius gives us Ground to expect, while be compares the Reign of Julian to a pure Beam of Light, but the Times immediately before and after him to thick Darkness (e), we come up to two Emperors, the immediate Predecessors of Julian, viz. Constantius and his Father Constantine, who were both of them Christians, and by their publick Edicts, had afforded the Civil Protection to that Institution. As for Constantine, he was the first Emperor who declared himself Christian, as Zosimus informs us (f), and who, by express Laws, allowing

<sup>(</sup>a) Lib. 22. p. 476. G. (b) Lib. 10. Cap. 16. (c) De ulcifcend. Juliani nece, Cap. 22. (d) Liban. Parental. in Julian, Cap. 87. (e) ibid. Cap. 146. (f) Lib. 2. p. 104.

allowing to every Man a Liberty of Conscience, became the Guardian of Christianity in particular, and took the Professors thereof into his special Favour (a). So far did this Emperor distinguish the Christian Religion, that whereas the first Day of the Week, was universally observed by Christians as a Festival in Memory of the Resurrection of Jesus (b), he commanded, that on that Day, all over the Roman Dominions, no Courts of Justice should be open, and no Work, or any Sort of secular Business, except that of Agriculture, should any where be done: For which we have the same Evidence, as there is for any other Law of the Roman Empire (c). This Revolution in Favour of Christianity, happened about 300 Years after the Birth of Christ, and immediately upon the Back of a terrible Persecution, which began in the Reign of Dioclesian, and, for several Years, cruelly raged among Christians. And as this lets us see the Gospel was in Being before the Days of Constantine; so from hence we have certain Knowledge, that it was not forged by the Politicians of his Reign, as an Engine to serve the Ends of Civil Government.

Nor were there wanting, a good Way back from Constantine, some Heathen Emperors who had an high Opinion of Christ, and prov'd very savourable towards his Disciples. Thus, 100 Years before Constantine turned Christian, Alexander Severus had the Image

<sup>(</sup>a) Fuseb. H. Ecclesiast. Lib. 10. cap. 5. &c. (b) Id. de vita Constant. Lib. iv. cap. 18. (c) Omnes judices urbanag; plebes, & cunciarum Artium otia venerabili die solis quiescant. Ruri tamen positi agrorum cultura libere licenterque inserviant: Quoniam frequenter evenit, ut non aptius also die frumenta sulcis, aut vinea scrobibus, mandentur, ne occasione momenti perent commoditas caciesti provisione concessa. C. 1. 3. de seriis, Tit. 12.

Image of Christ in his private Chaple, and would have built a Temple to him, and admitted him among the Gods, had not the Priests, from their Divinations, affured him, it would turn all the World Christian, and cause the other Temples to be deserted (a). However, this Emperor allowed Christians the free Exercise of their Religion, and publick Places for their Worship (b). And how very acceptable the Discipline and Doctrine of the Christians must have been to him, one may learn from these two remarkable Instances: It was the Custom among Christians, before they ordained their Priests, to publish their Names to the People, that they might have Access to make their Objections, if they had any; this he judged so worthy of Imitation, that he followed the same Course in appointing the Governors of Provinces: And so much did he admire this common Maxim among Christians, Do not that to another, which you would not bave another do to you; that, in punishing Offenders, he caused the common Cryer to proclaim it, and made Inscriptions of it upon his own Palace, and the publick Works and Buildings ( $\epsilon$ ). But as I have alrea-

<sup>(</sup>a) Lamprid. apud Hist. Rom. scrip. p. 349. D. 351. E. (b) Id. ibid. p. 347. G. 352. F. (c) Ubi aliquos voluisset vel Rectores Provinciis dare, vel Prapositos facere, vel Procuratores (id est, Rationales) ordinare, nomina eorum proponebat, hortans populum, ut si quisquid haberet criminis, probaret manifestis rebus; si non probasset, subiret pænam capitis. Dicebatq; grave esse, quum id Christiani & Judai facerent in pradicandis Sacerdotibus qui ordinandi sunt, non sieri in Provinciarum Rectoribus, quibus & fortuna hominum committerentur & capita.——Ciamabatq; sapius, quod a quibusdam, sive Judais sive Christianis audierat, & tenebat: Idque per praconem, quum aliquem emendaret, dici jubebat, quod tibi non vis, alteri ne seceris. Quam sententiam usque adeo dilexit, ut er in palatio & publicis operibus prascribi juberet. Id. ibid. p. 352. D. 353 D.

dy hinted, Christianity was not always thus fortunate. Under the Reigns of most of the Heathen Emperors, its Professors were barbarously persecuted: Besides that, several Men of Wit and Learning keenly attack'd it in the Way of Argument, and imployed all their Art to stop its Progress, and ruin its Credit in the World. And from both these Events, we are furnished with abundant Evidence for the Truth of the

Gospel-History.

It is certainly a great Misfortune to the Christian Cause, that the Writings of those Heathen Authors, who endeavoured either to reason or to laugh Christianity out of the World, are lost. But those Fragments of theirs, which the Christians who opposed them, have transcribed in their Writings, do plainly answer my Purpose, as they pointedly inform us about so many Matters of Fact. Thus the Emperor Julian, ambitious of the Government only as it would enable him to restore the Worship of the Gods, in his Books against the Christians, so far is he from denying the Certainty of those Articles, which I have above mentioned concerning Christ and his Apostles, that he admits them all as true and genuine. He takes Notice of Just as the Son of Mary, faid to derive his Being, not from Joseph, Mary's Husband, but from the Holy Ghoft: (a) He quotes several Passages of the Old Testament,

<sup>(</sup>a) Julian apud Cyril, lib. 8. p. 253. Oper. Vol. 6. Chalcidius, a Platonick Philosopher, (whether Heathen or Christian, learned Men are not agreed; nor will I presume to interpose) in his Commentary upon Plato's Timeus, p. 219. speaks of the Star that appeared at our Saviour's Birth, after this Manner: Est alia sanction we venerabilion Historia, que perhibit ortu stella cujusdam, non morbos mortesque denunciatas, sed descensum Dei venerabilis ad hamane conservationis, rerumque mortalium gratiam:

Testament, (some of which are transcribed in the New) held to be Prophecies concerning the Messiah; and at the same time would have Matthew and Luke to oppose one another in the Genealogy of Jesus: (a) He mentions his being inrolled along with his Father and Mother in the Census under Cyrenius: (b) He speaks of his Miracles, such as his having Power over Spirits, his walking upon the Sea, his casting out Devils: (c) And he tells us, that about 300 Years ago, in the Reign of Tiberius, Jesus baving gained a few Followers, and those of the meanest or basest Sort, however famous be might be among such People, yet in bis Life-time he did nothing memorable, unless one will reckon the Curing the Lame and the Blind, and, in the Villages of Bethsaida and Bethany, the Relieving those that were possessed with Devils, to be Feats mighty and considerable. (d) Nor does Julian neglect to quote some Articles of the Sayings and Discourses of our Saviour as reported in the Gospels. Thus he observes, that one of (brist's Disciples having said unto him, Lord, suffer me first to go and bury my Father; Jesus said unto him, Follow me, and let the Dead bury their Dead: (e) That Jesus the Nazarene made these Resections, Wo unto you Scribes and Pharisees, Hypocrites, for you are like . unto whited Sepulchres, which indeed appear beautiful outward, but are within full of dead Mens Bones and of all Uncleanness: (f) That he professed he came not destroy the Law,

(a) Julian ubi supra, p. 252, 261, 262. (b) Ibid. lib. 6. p. 213. (c) Ibid. (d) Ibid. p. 191. Vid. p. 207. (e) Ibid. lib. 10. p. 335. (f) Ibid.

tiam: quam stellam cum nocturno itinere inspexissent Chaldxorum prosecto sapientes viri, vonsideratione rerum sælestium satis eximii, quesisse dicuntur recentem ortum Dei, repertaque illa majestate puerili veneratos esse, voto Deo tanto convenientia nuncupasse.

Law or the Prophets, but to fulfil; assuring the World, that whosoever shall break one of these least Commandments, and shall teach Men so, he shall be called the least in the Kingdom of Heaven: (a) That he gave out this Command, Go and teach all Nations, baptizing them in the Name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Ghost. (b) These are so many Particulars that relate immediately to Jesus Christ. And as for his Apostles; whilst Julian would have them guilty of Inchantments, and of teaching that black Art to their Followers, he confesses not only that the Apostles wrought Miracles themselves, but that they imparted the same Power to others: (c) He would have St. Paul in particular, to be the greatest Conjurer that ever was: (d) He takes Notice of Peter's Vision in the Tanner's House, about abolishing the Jewish Distinction of Meats: (e) He brings in several Passages from the Writings of St. Paul, (f) fuch as Rom. iii. 29. x. 4. 1 Cor. vi. 9, 10, 11. He frequently remarks on St. John's Doctrine, in the first Chapter of his Gospel, concerning the Divinity of Christ; bis making all things, &c. on which Occasion, he mentions so many of the Apostles particularly by Name, viz. Peter, Paul, Mark, John, Matthew, Luke; (g) whereof the two last, he elsewhere proposes the Galileans should explain in their Churches, rather than go about to teach those Heathen Authors, whose Writings they condemned. (b)

In short, apprehending, that the Doctrines of the Gospel do in no Degree authorize a persecuting Spirit, he severely checks the Christians of his Time, in tel-

ling

<sup>(</sup>a) Julian apud Cyril, lib. 10. p. 351. (b) Ibid. lib. 9. p. 291. (c) Ibid. lib. 10. p. 339. (d) Ibid. lib. 3. p. 100. (e) Ibid. lib. 9. p. 314. (f) Ibid. lib. 3. p. 106. lib. 7. p. 245. lib. 9. p. 320. (g) Ibid. lib. 6. p. 213. lib. 8. p. 262. lib. 10. p. 327, 333. (h) Julian Epist. 42.

ling them that their Cruelties, and Persecutions against the Heathen, and those of their own Party who differed with them in their Sentiments about the dead Man(a), had no other Cause but their private Passions, fince for such Doings they had received no Command, either from Jesus or from Paul. (b) But whatever was the Spirit of his Days, and however he accuses the Christian Institution of being incapable of deriving any real Goodness or Excellency to human Nature(c); yet elsewhere he lets us know, That, in former times, the holy Lives, the Charity and kind Offices of Christians exercised, without Distinction, towards the Heathen, as well as their own Brethren, in relieving the Poor, in succouring Strangers, and burying the Dead, had mightily conduced to establish and propagate the Gospel: Upon which he warmly recommends it to his own Priests, as they value the Interests of the Gods, to be zealous in the Pursuit of the same Virtues. The Gods, says he, have vouchsafed us far greater Things than could have been expetted.

<sup>(</sup>a) Having just now observed, that Chalcidius reports, as real Fact, the Phænomenon that appeared at our Saviour's Birth; I cannot but likewise remark, that the Darkness and Earthquake, which the Gospels tell us happened at the Crucifixion, are as little doubted by Phlegon the Trallian, an Heathen, and Freeman to Adrian; who, as we learn from Eusebius, in his Chron. and others, gives this Account of the Matter:

Τῷ δι ἔται τῶς C. B. ὁλυμπάδ Φ ἐγένετο ἔκλαιψις πλία μερίς τη τῶν ἐγνωρισμένων περτερων κὰ τυξ ωρας τῆς πμέρας ἐγένετο, ως κὰ ἀς ἐρας ἐν ε΄ ἐρας φανῆναι. Σαισμός τε μέγας κατὰ Βιθυνίαν γενόμεν Φ, τὰ πολλὰ Νεκάτας κατὰς ε΄ ε΄. Vid. Orig. in Tract. 35 ad Matt. & cont. Celf. lib. 2. p. 80. The same Phlegon confesses, That our Saviour had the Knowledge of future Events, and that what he foretold, did actually come to pass. From whence (according to Origen, in his 2d B. against Celfus) he seems forced to allow, There is something of Divinity in the Gospel.

(b) Julian apud Cyril, lib. 6. p. 205. (c) Ibid. lib. 7. p. 229.

For in so short a Space of Time, who durst have looked for so wonderful a Revolution? But why do we count those Things sufficient, and do not rather attend to what especially has augmented the Impiety, namely, their Humanity towards Strangers, their pious Care in burying the Dead, and their seeming Holiness of Life? 'Tis a Shame that those impious Galileans, should not only provide their own, but our poor. (a)

Thus far Julian, who had Inclination, Skill, and Power, sufficient to have detected a Forgery, had there been any such thing in the Christian Religion, and would not have failed, had he found it, to have exposed it to all Mankind; thus far, I say, the Emperor Julian supports the Credit of the Evangelical History. But before his Time, we find the same

good Service done the Gospel by Hierocles.

This other Writer against Christianity, who is said to have excited Dioclesian to the Persecution he raised against the Christians, and to have been very active himself in carrying it on, has so little Thought of denying there was such a Person as Jesus Christ, or that he wrought Miracles, such as restoring Sight to the Blind, and other Miracles of the like Nature, that he confesses the Truth of the whole, and only means to expose the Christians for thinking so highly, on that Account, of Jesus, whilst, in his Opinion, Apollonius wrought greater Miracles; and yet, says he, we do not esteem him a God, but only a Man greatly favoured of the Gods. (b) After the same Manner does Porphyry, who was earlier in the Controversy than Hierocles, bear Witness to the Truth of Things reported in the Gospel.

In

<sup>(</sup>a) Epist. 49. p. 429. Oper. vid. p. 305. Fragm. (b) Euseb. cont. Hierocl. p. 512.

In the Case of Porphyry we are indeed very unfortunate; for not only have we lost his Books against the Christians, but those particular Answers that were made to them by Methodius, Eusebius, and Apollinaris: However, we are elsewhere informed, that, as he applied himself very much to the Reading of the Scriptures, so his Design was to overturn their Authority, by showing, that such a Composition of Things cannot be thought to have come from God. (a) So far, therefore, was Porphyry from rejecting, that he argues upon the Truth of the Gospel-History. Thus he confesses, that Jesus Christ was punished, or suffered, as a Malefactor; That, at the same Time, being a Person of great Sanctity, his Soul, after Death, went up into Heaven; That no Man ought to speak contemptibly of him; That Christians, however, act a foolish Part, in worshipping him; (b) not only foolish, he certainly apprehended, but very criminal; for he tells us, that since Jesus came to be worshipp'd, Æsculapius and the other Gods have withdrawn their Protection to Mankind. (c) But his admitting the Truth of the Gospel-History is very apparent from his arguing upon particular Texts of Scripture, such as these among others, viz. Matth. xiv. 25, 26. where it is reported, that Jesus walked on the Sea: (d) John vii. 8, 10. concerning our Saviour's going up to Jerusalem to the Feast of Tabernacles: (e) Atts v. 5, 9, 10. about the Death of Ananias and Sapphira:(f) And Gal. ii. 2, &c. which informs us of Paul's repre-

<sup>(</sup>a) Theodoret. Therap. Serm. 7. Euseb. H. Ecclesiast. lib. 6. Cap. 19. (b) Porphyr. apud Euseb. Demonst. Evangel. lib. 3. p. 134. (c) Apud Euseb. Præp. Evangel. lib. 5. cap. 1. p. 179. (d) Hieron. Quest. Hebraic. in Genesin. sub init. (e) ld. adv. Pelag. lib. 2. p. 864. (f) Id. Epist. ad Demetriad. de virgin. serv.

reprehending Peter: (a) On all which Porphyry makes Reflections, in order to expose the Credit of the

Gospel.

The next Writer against Christianity I shall mention, is Celsus, who seems to have flourished about an bundred Years after the Crucifixion of Jesus. And that this Author, in his Reasoning, does likewise proceed upon the Truth of the History of the Gospel, is manifest from those Passages of his, which Origen has transcribed in his Books against him. In particular, he takes Notice of these Articles which we find recorded in the Gospel, and that are still professed by Christians, namely, that Jesus came down from Heaven (b); that he was supernaturally born of a Virgin in a Village of Judea; that this Virgin's Husband was a Carpenter; that they were admonished by an Angel to fly with the Child into Egypt; that he returned again from thence (c), and went about thro the Land of Judea, attended with Ten or Eleven Persons, Publicans and Fishers (d); that he taught moral Doctrines, against Revenge, Avarice, Ambition, &c. such as are still extant in the Gospels (e); that he wrought Miracles, such as curing the Lame and the Blind, raising the Dead, seeding Multitudes on a few Loaves,  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{C}}(f)$ ; that he was betrayed and for faken by his Disciples (g); that he was crucified along with two Malefactors (b); that, as he had forctold, so, it was given out, he rose again from the Dead, and appeared alive, not to the Roman Governor, nor to those

<sup>(</sup>a) Hieronym. in Proxm. Comment. in Galat. & in Loc. (b) Orig. contra Celf. lib. 4. p. 161. 162. (c) Ibid. lib. 1. p. 22. 51. lib. 6. p. 325. (d) Ibid. Lib. 1. p. 47. (e) Ibid. Lib. 6. p. 286. lib. 7. p. 343. 370. (f) Ibid. Lib. 1. p. 53. lib. 2. p. 87. 89, 93. (g) Ibid. Lib. 2. p. 62. (h) Ibid. Lib. 2. p. 82. 85.

those who had insulted him, nor to all the People, but to his own Disciples (a); that this Jesus, some sew Years before Celsus's Time, was the Author or Founder of the Christian Institution; and that his Followers esteem and worship him as God, or the Son of God (b). All these, and many other Particulars reported in the Writings of the New Testament, has

Origen transcribed from Celsus.

It is true, this Enemy of the Gospel does not give out all these several Articles as real Matters of Fact. nor as Things that had actually happened. On the contrary, he would represent some of them as downright Lies and Forgeries, that deserve no Credit among Mankind. But however careful Celsus is to set every Thing in such a Light as may best answer his Design of exposing Christianity; yet, from the Objections he makes against the Truth of those particular Facts he calls in Question, one may easily perceive, that nothing is faid, that in any Measure can lessen their Certainty; thus he tells us, 'tis a Falfbood that Jesus foretold that Judas would betray him, and Peter deny him, as it actually came to pass; for had they been thus forewarned, the Premonition would have prevented both one and the other (c). As little, says he, can it be true, that Jesus foretold his own Death; for had he foreseen it, he would, no doubt, have done what he could to avoid it, and not voluntarily exposed himself (d). Nor, in his Opinion, did Jesus work Miracles: Those wonderful Things he performed, were only, as he apprehends, the Effects of Magick which he had learned in E-

<sup>(</sup>a) Orig. Lib. 2. p. 93, 94, 98, 104. (b) Ibid. Lib. 1. p. 21. lib. 2. p. 87. lib. 8. p. 385. (c) Id. ibid. lib. 2. p. 71. 72. (d) Id. ibid. lib. 2. p. 67, 69, 71.

gypt (a). And as to his Resurrection; what Man, lays Celsus, really dead, did ever arise with the same Body? Or the Man who was unable to help himself when alive, how could he return to Life again, and shew the Marks of his Punishment, the Wounds he had received in his pierced Hands? This Article therefore is a mere Fiction, and those who pretend to have been Eye-witnesses, must be held, at least, intirely visionary (b). After this Manner, to pointed Matters of Fast, possible in their own Nature, and attested by known Witnesses, does Celsus oppose empty Speculation. And his finding nothing else to object, cannot but be counted a plain Proof, that the Truth of the Gospel-bistory is beyond all reasonable Exception. In short, as Origen observes, Celsus admits the Truth of those Facts, which, he thinks, he can gloss to the Discredit of the Christian Institution; and pretends to reject those that would infer its Divinity (c). But be his Conduct what it will, he affords us certain Information, that about such a Time, there were such Persons in the World as Jesus Christ and his Apostles; that they taught such moral Doctrines; that they wrought such Miracles, or did many Things out of the ordinary Course of Nature, which he therefore attributes to their Skill in Magick; that Jesus was crucified; that it was confidently reported, he rose again from the Dead; that a religious Sect of Men was formed in the World, upon the Principles delivered by Jesus: And, I say, these particular Articles, besides many others, being, by Celsus's own Confession, undoubted Matters of Fact, are sufficient to my present Purpose, as they clearly support the Credibility of the History of the Gospel.

To

<sup>(</sup>a) Orig. ibid. lib. 1. p. 22. 30. lib. 2. p. 89. (b) Id. ibid. lib. 2. p. 93. 94. (c) Id. ibid. lib. 1. p. 49.

To this learned Philosopher, let me now add his Friend and Contemporary Lucian, who, after his Way, goes about to render the Gospel ridiculous. And this witty Author informs us, that that Great Man whom the Christians worship, was crucified in Palestine, for introducing that new Sect of Religion (a): That his Followers are taught to believe, that after they have renounced the Service of the Gods, and have come to worship that crucified Sophist, and to live according to his Laws, they are then all Brethren: That their Care to succour and relieve one another, when persecuted for their Religion, is incredible: That in such Circumstances, they spare nothing, but have every Thing in Common, despising all Hardships, Dangers of every Sort, even Death itself, in Hopes of Immortality (b). This is what Lucian reports in his Account of the Death of Peregrinus, whom, he tells us, the Priests in Palestine had instructed in the Christian Religion (c). And in his Catechumen, introducing Triephon in the Character of a Christian, he makes him inform Critias, that we ought to swear by that God who reigns on high, who is great, immortal, above in Heaven; by the Son of the Father; and by the Spirit proceeding from the Father; One of Three, and Three of One; those we ought to esteem the only true God (d): That, as for himfelf, he was formerly in the same wretched Condition with Critias, till the bald-pated, big-nosed Galilean, who had been caught up into the third Heavens, where he beard Things most charming and excellent, happening to meet with him, had renewed him by Bapiism, had put him in the Way towards the Blessed, and redeem'd him from the Place of the Ungodly (e): That God

<sup>(</sup>a) De morte Peregrin. p. 566. (b) Ibid. p. 567. (c) I-bid. p. 565. (d) Catechum. p. 770. vid. p. 774. (e) Ibid.

God from Heaven beholds the Just and the Unjust, and writes down in Books all their Actions, to requite every Man on that Day he hath appointed; that forasmuch as Christ is come to the Gentiles, what passes in Scythia, in any Part of the World whatever, is likewise thus recorded (a): That we ought to begin our Prayers with the Father (b); seeming to point at the Lords-prayer: And both Triephon, and Critias allude to St. Paul's Explication of the Inscription at Athens, To the unknown God, whom they now conceive to be discovered.

Thus the most early Writers against Christianity, who had some of them Access to converse with those who had seen and heard the Apostles, afford us ample Proof, that Christians were in Being more than 1700 Years ago; and that their original History, related in the New Testament, can be no forg'd Composition of a later Age. But while some laid out their Wit, to reason, or to banter Christianity out of the World; others were employed to suppress it, by the barbarous Arguments of Fire and Sword, by all the Cruelties of Persecution. And from hence likewise we are led to the certain Knowledge of the Existence of Christianity, and to perceive the Truth of the History of the Gospel.

Libanius informs us, that in order to recover People from their Attachment to the Christian Religion, the Emperor Julian not only gave the World a fine Example, in his Devotion towards the Gods, Heroes, Heaven, Æther, Earth, Sea, Fountains, Rivers (c); but he

<sup>(</sup>a) Ibid. p. 771, 773, 779. (b) Ibid. p. 769. 780. (c) Οὐκ ἐθερασευσε μεγαλοπρεσως Θεδς, Ήρωας, Ουρατον, Αιθερα, Γῶν, Θάλανθαν, Πηράς, Ποταμώς; κα επολέμησε τοῦς Εμίν πεπολεμικόσιν; Liban. Parental. in Julian, cap. 144.

he encouraged People to return to Heathenism, by Reason and Argument, by his Advice and Exhortations; and when these could not prevail, he made Use of Money to persuade them. And such gentle Means this Emperor employed, not only from his apprehending, that a Man's Conscience cannot be forc'd, but from his being sensible, that the Cruelties which, before his Time, had been exercised, had rather surthered than hindered the Progress of Christianity. So that Libanius here gives us to understand, that in former Reigns, meaning those before Constantine, Christians for the Sake of their Religion, by a Variety of Torments, whereof he gives some Instances, had been amalla handled and personned (a)

cruelly harassed and persecuted (a).

And indeed, if we look back into the Situation of Mankind during those Reigns, the Case will appear, as Libanius reports it, not only under the worst, but under these they reckon their best Emperors. Thus the Tranquillity of the World, with respect to Matters of Religion, was disturbed, and the Professors of Christianity came to be hardly used and persecuted in the Reign of M. Antoninus Philosophus. And so remarkably firm and resolute were Christians in adhering to their Faith, and in suffering every Thing for the Sake of it, that not only the Emperor himself takes notice of it, whilst, in his Meditations; he esteems it mere Obstinacy, and cautions the World against it (b); but Celsus too seems to have in his Eye the Behaviour of Christians in this Persecution, whilst he upbraids them with Absurdity, in setting so great a Value on their Bodies, as to expect for them a Resurrection; and yet, at the same Time, to be throwing them away

(a) Libanius cap. 58. 81. What is here said against Persecution, may put many Christians to the blush, (b) ist. Anton. lib. 11, \$13.

in Susserings, as if they were Things vile and contemptible: Withal, he tells them, that their Hopes of any Sort are extremely ill founded; for so little was God concerned in their Interest, that they were dragged out of their Concealments, and brought to the Hands of the

Executioner (a).

But looking still backwards beyond the Days of this Emperor, into those of Trajan, who came into the World about twenty Years after the Death of our Lord; in his Reign we shall likewise find that Christians were involved, meerly on account of their Religion, in a very severe Persecution. Of this we have abundant Proof from Pliny, who was himself concerned as an Agent in the Matter. This Roman Senator, when Governor of Bithynia, in his Letter to Trajan, a most valuable Piece of Antiquity, tells the Emperor, That the Temples and Altars of the Gods were every where, in Town and Country, almost totally deserted. From whence we learn, that within the Compass of a few Years the Gospel must have made a wonderful Progress. At the same Time Pliny reports. that among those that were accused, and whom he had examined, there were some who confessed they had been Christians, but that twenty Years ago they had forsaken that Prosession; avowing, however, that the Height of their Crime was, their being wont, on a stated Day, before it was light, to afsemble together, in order to sing a Pialm to Christ as God, and to bind themselves by a Sacrament to commit no Wickedness. (b)

Thus we see what were the Principles and Practice of Christians so many Years before, and at the Time of Trajan's Persecution, which happened about seventy

Y. ars

<sup>(</sup>a) Orig. contra Cels. lib. 8. p. 409, 423. (b) Plin. lib. 10. Epist. 97, 98.

Years after our Lord's Passion. And, no doubt, Pliny had good Information about those particular Matters of Fact, upon which the Christians founded their Profession. His Uncle, the elder Pliny, a learned Man, and mighty inquisitive, was about ten Years old when our Saviour was crucified, and about forty when the Christians were persecuted under Nero; so that, having adopted his Nephew, and held the Direction of his Education till he was about seventeen Years of Age, it cannot well be thought but he informed him about that Sect, which was Itill continuing to make a considerable Noise in the World, and could not therefore, at that Time, but frequently prove the Subject of common Conversation. And, not to mention the other Opportunities which Pliny himself had at Rome, to learn the History of the Christian Religion, and how much his Curiosity must have been awakened, particularly, after his Uncle's Death, during Domitian's Persecution, which cut off and banished great Numbers of People, and even those of the highest Rank, such as Flavius, Clemens, who, in the Time of his Consulfhip, was put to Death; and his Wife, Domitilla, nearly related, as well as her Husband, to Domitian, who was banished; to whom Dio adds Glabrio, a Person of Consular Dignity, who was likewise capitally punished: (a) I say, not to mention Pliny's other Opportunities, and how much such Events must have awakened his Curiosity; when he was in his Government of Bithynia (among the Eastern Churches, where there were infinite Numbers of Christians, of whom many, no doubt, had seen and conversed with the Apostles, which we know was the Case of Polycarp in particular,

<sup>(4)</sup> Dio Cass. lib. 67. p. 766.

particular, at that Time Bishop of Smyrna, and universally samous) he made the most rigorous Inquisition possible; and yet, after all his Endeavours to get to the Bottom of the Matter, being able to discover no Forgery, no criminal Design of any Sort, was contented to regard the whole as an extravagant Superstition, in which, for their stubborn inflexible Obstinacy, he thought Christians justly deserved Punishment. Neque enin dubitabam, says he, qualecunque esset quod faterentur, pervicaciam certe & inflexibilem

obstinationers debere puniri.

But, at some Distance back from this, within about thirty Years of the Crucifixion of our Saviour, there happened under Nero a most cruel Persecution mentioned by Suctonius; (a) but whereof we have a more particular Account from his Co-temporary Tacitus, who feems to have been about fifteen Years of Age at the Death of Nero, and must therefore be counted an Eye-Witnels of this Persecution, and inight have seen and conversed with the Apostles Peter and Paul, who therein, at Rome, fuffered Martyrdom. And from this celebrated Historian we understand, That Christianity had its Rife in Judea; That the Profesiors of it had their Name from Christ; That this Christ, in the Reign of Tiberius, was put to Death by Pontius Pilate; and That this Religion, after the Death el its Author, over-run not only Judea, but the City of Rome. (b) Here then, Tacitus points out to us the Place, the Time, the Author, and first Rob of Christianity. And certain it is, that, if we look further back into the religious State of Mankind, beyond the Reign of Tiberius, we shall find nothing of Christianity, no Vestige of any Set of

<sup>(1</sup>º In Neto, cap. 16. (i) Tacit. Annal. lib. 15. cap. 44.

of Men, who, in their religious Principles, opposed the Superstition of the Jews, and the Idolatry of the Nations; but both Jews and Gentiles were in peaceable Possession of all their several religious Sentiments.

I would only now observe, That, so late as in the Reign of Trajan, there were alive not only Apostolical Men, the immediate Disciples of the Apostles, fuch as Clemens, whom St. Paul mentions in one of his Epistles \*, Ignatius, Polycarp, &c. but the Apostle John himself, and Simeon one of the seventy, sent forth in our Saviour's Life-time to publish the Gospel, and a near Kinsman of our Lord: So that, at that Distance of Time, inquisitive Men, such as Tacitus, Sueton, Pliny, who mention Christian Affairs, had Access to the surest Means of Information. And, the Apostle John and Clemens-Romanus having died before, and Simeon and Ignatius being martyred in Trajan's Persecutions, those Means, in some Measure. were continued in Polycarp as far down as M. Antoninus Philosophus, under whose Reign Polycarp at Smyrna, and the Philosopher Justin, at Rome were crown'd with Martyrdom, when Celsus and Lucian were flourithing.

Thus, beginning at the present Age, wherein we have sensible Demonstration for the Existence of Christianity, and carrying our Enquiries backwards from one Age to another, we meet with unquestionable Evidence in every Age, for the real Being of that Institution, till we arrive to that particular Time when it first appeared in the World: And one cannot but confess, that as certainly as we know, that in those Ages the Earth was inhabited, that the In-

habitants

<sup>?</sup> Philip. iv. 3.

habitants were divided in such particular Governments, and that the Administration of those Governments were carried on by such particular Laws; as certainly we do know, that the Christian Religion, without Interruption, continually subsisted in all the intermediate Ages that ly between the present Time, and the Reign of Tiberius Cæsar, when Jesus Christ was by Pontius Pilate cut off, or crucified at Jerusalem. And as this long uninterrupted Continuance of the Gospel, as its overspreading the Roman Empire, and making its Way to other Nations, in its three first Centuries, amidst Persecutions, without any earthly Power to support it; as its maintaining its Ground to this very Day, are Effetts that could not possibly have existed without an adequate Cause; so what Cause can be judged sufficient, but that which is explained in the Gospel, and which necessarily implies the Truth of the Matters of Fact therein related? I would therefore hope, that my Demand will not be decreed unreasonable, when I ask, that what Credit is given to the Writings of Tacitus, Sueton, &c. the same may be allowed to the Writings of the New-Testament, the original Books of Christianity, which Christians, in all Ages, have understood to contain a true Narration of Things, the first Book that ever appeared in the World with a new System of Religion, putting an End to all other Institutions, whether among Jews or Gentiles. And, in Fact, I have made it appear, that what the New Testament reports, is well attested by Heathen Authors, inveterate Enemies to the Christian Cause, namely, that there were in the World such Persons as Jesus Christ and his Apostles; that they taught such particular Doctrines; that they wrought Miracles; and that very soon after Jesus was crucified, the Report went current

rent among his Disciples, that he was risen again from the dead. Nor can such Matters of Fact be rejected, but by those Arguments that would overthrow the whole Truth of all History, or ruin the Credit of every Matter of Fact, for which we have not the immediate Testimony of our Senses; and consequently put a final End to all human Society and Correspondence.

Hitherto, because its Genuineness is keenly debated among learned Men, I have not mentioned that famous Testimony of Josephus concerning Jesus Christ. However, since I am, myself, pretty much satisfied as to its Truth, or that it is the genuine Production of Josephus, and since Mr. Daubuz, in his excellent Book on that Subject, has set its Genuineness in so strong a Light, stronger than could have been expected, sufficient, I really think, to satisfy any reasonable Man, I cannot but indulge myself the Pleasure here to transcribe it. And this notable Testimony from Josephus, who was co-temporary with the Apostles, is thus, "About that Time, " says he, appeared Jesus, who was a wise Man, if "one can call him a Man; for he was a Worker " of Miracles, and instructing those who delight in "Truth, gained many Disciples both among Jews " and Gentiles. This was Christ. And however, at " the Instigation of the chief Men among us, Pilate " condemned him to be crucified, yet the Devoti-" on of his Followers did not fail: For, on the " third Day, he again appeared to them alive; the " holy Prophets having published those and many other wonderful Things concerning him; and, to 46 this Day, the Sect of Christians, so called from "him, is still subsisting †." This is Evidence ve-

ry strong, intirely in Point, and its Pointedness seems to be the Cause that raises the Suspicion in Peoples Breasts, that it is the Forgery of some unworthy Christian. But, when one confiders the Connection, which Josephus very well knew, John Baptist, and St. James, one of the Writers of the New Testament, had with Jesus Christ, and how very honourably he makes Mention of both these Persons, one needs not much wonder at the Fulness of this Testimony; nor can one well imagine what else, in Consequence of his extream good Opinion about the Forerunner, and the Disciple, and Brother of Christ, one could have expected from him. But, as to this, the Reader may believe as he pleafes. Without any Regard to this Testimony, I have briefly explained the Reasons upon which one cannot but admit the Truth of the History of the Gospel. And it appears, that those very Facts mentioned by Josephus, are by Heathen Writers, either expresly confessed, or allowed to have been reported by the Apostles: So that therein Josephus only testifies what we are informed of from Tacitus, Celsus, Julian, and other Enemies of the Christian Institution.

Before I conclude this Article, I must observe, That the Evangelical History is supported by the Testimony of another Set of Men, whose Evidence seems to be more valuable than that of those other Heatbens hitherto mentioned. There is no Shadow of Reason to suspect, that Tacitus, Pliny, or any other Pagan Author, would tell a known Falshood, or sorge any Point of History to the Advantage of the Christian Institution. But its very possible for People to be in such Circumstances, wherein their Belief, and Confession of Facts, may arise from a far more penetrating Conviction. Thus, if, upon the

Truth of certain Facts, a Man deliberately ventures the Forfeiture of all his present Enjoyments, and runs the Hazard of being involved in all the Calamities of Life; what other Construction can be put on this Conduct, but that the Man, if he be not quite out of his Senses, is fully apprised of the Importance of those Facts, and, at the Bottom of his Soul, is irresistibly convinced of their Reality, by the most over-bearing Evidence? To be sure, the Evidence upon which a wife Man acts, will always be proportional to the Stake he ventures. Now, this was the Case of those Heathens, who left the Religion of their Country, wherein they had been educated, and embraced the Profession of Christianity. Nor was it the Multitude only that acted this Part, but Men of Learning and Philosophy, whose main Business was to fearch after Truth, and the Design of whose Studies was to perceive Thing's as they are in themselves, and to form a Judgment of them upon rational Evidence. And what stronger Testimony can one have, or desire to have, than from the Mouths of Persons of good Sense and Learning, whose Education, and Course of Life, set them in direct Opposition to the Influence of those Facts they attest; who came not to be convinced of their Certainty, but upon their own deliberate Re-searches, and who, in assuring the Truth of them, are so far from serving any present Interest, that thereby they expose themselves to Contempt and Hatred, to Poverty, to every Evil of Life, to Death itself? Of such Witnesses I might instance in a great many, but I shall only mention the following.

Quadratus, a learned Heathen, having embraced the Christian Religion, so zealous was he in its Propagation, that he travelled through the World for that E

Purpose. When it was persecuted, he durst avow it, he wrote in its Defence, and presented his Apology to the Emperor Adrian: (as Aristides did one of his too about the same Time, another learned Man, fam'd for his Philosophy at Atbens, who had likewise left Paganism, and gone over to Christianity.) Of this Apology of Quadratus there only now remains a small Fragment in Eusebius, wherein the Author expresses himself thus, "As to the Works, says he, of " our Saviour, they were of a lasting Nature; for "they were real and true: Such as Persons healed " of their Diseases, and raised from the dead, who " appeared and were feen, not only at the Time " of their being healed and raised, but long after-"wards, during the Time our Saviour was upon "Earth, nay, for a considerable Time after his De-" parture; so that some of them were living in our " Days \*."

Next to Quadratus I shall mention Justin Martyr, well known in the Christian World. This Philosopher flourished in the two Reigns after Adrian; and fo great was his Passion for Truth, that, in Search of it, he carefully sifted all the several Opinions of the different Sects of Philosophers; Nor could his Mind any where take up its Rest, or be fully fatisfied, till he came to the Knowledge of the Christian Religion, which he heartily embraced, and in Defence of which he wrote several very excellent Pieces. In his first Apology, wherein he argues for the divine Original of Christianity, he lets us know, that Baptism, the Eucharist, and the first Day of the Week, were religiously observed among Christians; that, before Baptisin, it was the Practice to make opeņ

<sup>\*</sup> Euseb. Hist. Eccles. lib. 4. eap. 3. Vid. lib. 3. cap. 37.

open Profession of one's Belief of the Truth of the Gospel, and solemnly to engage to live according to its Laws: That, in the Eucharist, he who presided, offered up, in the Name of the Son, and through the Holy Ghost, Praises and Thanksgivings to the Father of all, for those his Blessings, therein commemorating the Passion of Jesus Christ: And that they assembled together on the first Day of the Week, in order to celebrate the Memory of Christ's Resurrestion †: These, among other Things left us by Justin Martyr, are noble Monuments of the Practice of the primitive Christians: And still, in our Day, at the Distance of 1600 Years, are these religious Rites, after the same

Manner, yet understood and observed.

The third Heathen Philosopher I mention, who turned Christian, is Athenagoras the Athenian. This Philosopher had at first so very unfavourable an Opinion about (bristianity, that, in order to prevent its Success in the World, he entered into a Design to write against it. In the Execution, however, of this Design, he was not so weak, or so dishonest, as to fetch his Objections from uncertain Fame, or the common Reports that went about to the Disadvantage of Christians; but minding to manage his Argument with greater Justice, and to better Purpose, he applied himself to the Reading of the Scriptures, upon which the Christian Faith is founded. And thus Athenagoras, putting himself in the Way to acquire a just Understanding of Things, his Examination provid to successful, as to lead him to perceive the Truth of the Gospel; upon which he altered his Opinions quite. and the keen Antagonist was changed into a zealous Assertor of the Christian Cause. So, that Instead of

<sup>†</sup> Just. Mart. 1 Apol. p. 93, 94, 97, 98, 99.

writing against Christianity, as he at first intended, this Philosopher composed a fine Apology in Desence of its Professors, and offered it to M. Antoninus and his Son Commodus, wherein he particularly complains, as Justin Martyr had done before him, that the Name of Christian, without any other Crime, was judged a sufficient Ground of Punishment. \* And whereas the Doctrine of the Resurrestion from the Dead, was a strong Bar to the Reception of the Gospel among the learned Heathen; he likewise wrote an excellent little Treatise upon that Subject, and therein shows the Possibility, the Fitness, and Justice of such an Event.

These now, besides a great many others that might be named, are Witnesses for the History of the Gospel, successively co-temporary with one another from the Days of the Apostles, as far down as near the End of the fecond Century, against whom no possible Exception can be taken. They believed the Truth of the Gospel; --- but they did not believe till they were engaged by proper Evidence: --- Upon this Evidence they changed their Religion, the last Thing a fober Man will quit withal; --- and the Faith, to which, by this Evidence, they were converted, they professed in the Face of mortal Dangers. I do not here pretend to alledge, they had good Reason to lay such Stress upon the Matters of Fact related in the Gospel, as from thence to conclude the Divinity of the Christian Religion: I only mean to say, That of the Truth of those Facts they were thoroughly convinced. And when Men of Sense and Learning are found to attest the Truth of Facts, which they did not believe till after having narrowly examined

<sup>\*</sup> Legat, pro Christian, sub. Init,

examined them, and in attesting of which they are extremely conscious they expose themselves to the severest Treatment; if such Testimony shall be rejected. I know not what Sort of Evidence among Mankind can be depended on. In the present Case, it is true, one may call it the Testimony of Friends; but one must likewise recollect, it is of Friends who immediately before were Enemies, and whose Friendship was gained only by the bare Force of naked Truth; which, one should think, is an Evidence, of all other, the strongest and most convincing; especially, when one considers, that in the Service of this Truth, however contradictory to their former Sentiments, our rational Converts are now willing to share the greatest Dangers. And indeed, to imagine, that fuch Men as Quadratus, Justin, Athenagoras, would stake all their Comfort here, and all their Happiness hereafter, upon a Matter of Fast not thoroughly canvassed and examined, or upon a Falshood, either contrived by themselves, or forged by other People, is beyond measure senseless and extravagant, and would reduce the universal Practice of Mankind, in relying on moral Evidence, or human Testimony, to be the greatest Absurdity in Nature. Let me therefore hope, the Reader will have no Difficulty in allowing, that the Testimony of those Heathens who turned Christian, is at least a strong collateral Proof for the Eistory of our Saviour; and, that taking it along with the Teltimony of those other Heathens, who still continued in their Infidelity, there can be, in the World, no Matters of Fact better attested, than are those recorded in the Gospel.

writing against Christianity, as he at first intended, this Philosopher composed a fine Apology in Defence of its Professors, and offered it to M. Antoninus and his Son Commodus, wherein he particularly complains, as Justin Martyr had done before him, that the Name of Christian, without any other Crime, was judged a sufficient Ground of Punishment. \* And whereas the Doctrine of the Resurrestion from the Dead, was a strong Bar to the Reception of the Gospel among the learned Heathen; he likewise wrote an excellent little Treatise upon that Subject, and therein shows the Possibility, the Firness, and Justice of such an Event.

These now, besides a great many others that might be named, are Witnesses for the History of the Gospel, successively co-temporary with one another from the Days of the Apostles, as far down as near the End of the fecond Century, against whom no possible Exception can be taken. They believed the Truth of the Gospel; --- but they did not believe till they were engaged by proper Evidence:---Upon this Evidence they changed their Religion, the last Thing a fober Man will quit withal; --- and the Faith, to which, by this Evidence, they were converted, they professed in the Face of mortal Dangers. I do not here pretend to alledge, they had good Reason to lay such Stress upon the Matters of Fact related in the Gospel, as from thence to conclude the Divinity of the Christian Religion: I only mean to say, That of the Truth of those Facts they were thoroughly convinced. And when Men of Sense and Learning are found to attest the Truth of Facts, which they did not believe till after having narrowly examined

<sup>\*</sup> Legat. pro Christian, sab. Init,

examined them, and in attesting of which they are extremely conscious they expose themselves to the severest Treatment; if such Testimony shall be rejected, I know not what Sort of Evidence among Mankind can be depended on. In the present Case, it is true, one may call it the Testimony of Friends; but one must likewise recollect, it is of Friends who immediately before were Enemies, and whose Friendship was gained only by the bare Force of naked Truth; which, one should think, is an Evidence, of all other, the strongest and most convincing; especially, when one considers, that in the Service of this Truth, however contradictory to their former Sentiments, our rational Converts are now willing to share the greatest Dangers. And indeed, to imagine, that fuch Men as Quadratus, Justin, Athenagoras, would stake all their Comfort here, and all their Happiness hereafter, upon a Matter of Fast not thoroughly canvassed and examined, or upon a Falshood, either contrived by themselves, or forged by other People, is beyond measure senseless and extravagant, and would reduce the universal Practice of Mankind, in relying on moral Evidence, or human Tellimony, to be the greatest Absurdity in Nature. Let me therefore hope, the Reader will have no Difficulty in allowing, that the Testimony of those Heathens who turned Christian, is at least a strong collateral Proof for the History of our Saviour; and, that taking it along with the Testimony of those other Heathens, who still continued in their Infidelity, there can be, in the World, no Matters of Fact better attested, than are those recorded in the Gospel.