A Farther

ENQUIRY

INTO

Several Remarkable TEXTS

OF THE

'Old and New

TESTAMENT

Which contain

Some Difficulty in them:

WITHA

Probable Resolution of them.

By John Edwards B. D. sometime Fellow of St. John's College in Cambridge.

1 Cor. 13. 9.

We Know in part, and we Prophesie in part.

The Second Edition.

LONDON

Printed, and fold by Richard Wilkin at the Kings-Head in St. Paul's Church-yard, 1694. Cantabrigia, Julii 21. 1692.

Imprimatur.

Gabr. Quadring, Procan.

70. Beaumont.

S. Blithe.

Jo. Covel.

C. Roderick.

Right Reverend Father in God

S I M O N

Lord Bishop of E L Y.

My Lord, REN I was lately venturing a little Book into the World, I fully defigned to make choice of no other Person than Your Lordship for my Patron: But I foon found my felf unable to pursue my Resolves, and I let fall my Design on this single thought, that I being a Stranger to your Lordship, my Application would be deemed Rude and Impertinent. But I have fince conquered that Modesty, and am grown up to a greater Confidence; and now having another Piece ready (of the like nature with the former) I here lay my felf and that at your Lordship's Feet. It is Presumption to offer such a mean Préfent to a Person of your Worth; and a greater Presumption it is to expose these Papers to so severe a Critick, so Judicious and Celebrated a Writer, so Classick an Author as your Lordship. But I take Cou-

The Epistle Dedicatory.

Courage by confidering that your Candour is as eminent as your Judgment; and that I may have the Happiness to share in it as well as others. Besides, I have hereby an Opportunity of Expressing my Sense and Esteem of your Known Worth, which is the Universal Theme of the World. Why should not I joyn with the rest of Mankind in acknowledging and declaring, that by your Excellent Discourses and Writings you have enlightned the Christian World; and that by your Unreprovable Example, you have most effectually commended it to the Practice of Vertue and Religion? Likewise, I take here the welcome occasion of Congratulating your Lordships Accession to the Episcopal Dignity in our Church, which your Merits alone have exalted you to.

That your Lordship may Rule in this High Station with inflamed Zeal for God's Glory and the Churches Welfare, and with a perpetual Blessing from the Chief Shepherd and Bishop of Souls, is the

Hearty Prayer of,

My Lord,
Your Lordships most Dutiful Son and Servant
John Edwards.

THE

PREFACE.

ET me not incur the Imputation of Arrogance, if I tell the Reader, that when I presented my former Critical Essay to the View and Censure of a Great Man, (who for his Profound Knowledge and Profession of Divinity embellish'd with an Unparallell'd Eloquence, hath most justly been esteemed above four Lusters of Years the Oracle of the Schools, the Glory of the Chair, the Life and Soul of the University, and the Astonishment of the whole Learned World,) He. after he had vouch [afed to express his Approbation of it in such favourable Terms which it becomes me not to impart, was pleased to encourage me to a Second Attempt of the like nature. Which I being animated by so Great (but so Candid) a Judge, have now finished, and here offer to the Publick, and submit to the Censure of the Learned.

I will not present the Reader with the Particulars of my Undertaking (as I did in the Entrance to my former Exercitations,) but I shall only acquaint him with this in general, that I have for the most part observed this Course in these Papers, viz. I have first propounded the Opinions embraced by the Learned: And I have fairly represented them, letting all Men see what may be said for them. Secondly, I have shewed

А з

bow

The Preface.

how far they are Defective, and for what Reasons I recede from them; for I never leave any received Opinion without some just Cause. Thirdly, I offer some more Probable Account of my own, and I make it my work to establish my Hypothesis as well as I can. In all these three I have been very Sincere and Impartial: And I have not studied to Amuse, but to Satissic the Reader. Whilst I have endeavoured to resute an Opinion, I have taken care not to reproach the Owner: And whilst I have propounded my own, I have not excluded the Sentiments of more Judicious Enquirers.

I must needs confess that I accustom my self to a Freedom of Thoughts, and I like it in others as well as I practise it my self: Wherefore as I have taken the liberty to reslect on the Assertions of others, so any Man is welcome to examine mine with the same Freedom. I know some Men are wont even in these Critical Subjects to write very Imperiously, as if (according to the different Shebet, Acception of the * Hebrew word) their Pens PennaScri-were Scepters, and they must needs Domineer barum, when they write. But I have laboured to avoid Jud. 5. 14. this Excess, by not affecting to be Categorical and Sceptrum, this Excess, by not affecting to be Categorical and

Jud. 5.14. this Excess, by not affecting to be Categorical and Sceptrum, Positive in every Notion I propound: I do not in those Matters which relate meerly to Criticism, determine any thing Peremptorily, but I only desire to be heard as well as others, and crave leave I may offer my Conjectures; and then I sit down, and submit my self to the more mature Judgments of the Learned.

This I must needs say, I have all along endeavoured to avoid Obscurity, and to express my

The Preface.

felf in such easie and intelligible Terms, that I am sure I shall not deserve the Character and Censure which St. Jerom bestowed on some Expositors, vix. * That it was more difficult to Epist. 139 understand their Expositions, than the Texts ad Cypr. which they undertook to explain. I have been careful to deliver my Thoughts and Meaning very plainly, that, whatever other Entertainment they may meet with, they may at least be rightly understood, and that I may not be mistaken and misrepresented in what I say.

I have only this to add, That whereas I once intended to publify a Third Part of these Critical Remarks and Observations on places of Holy Scripture (of which I have a considerable number by me,) I have altered my purpose, and do intend to insert them into some other Treatises and Discourses, where I shall have occasion to consider those Texts, and examine the meaning of them. The greatest part of them I reserve to be made use of in a Discourse of the Authority, Stile, &c. of the Holy Scriptures, which I shall hereafter expose to the view of the World, and therein offer my Interpretation of those places which I think have been mistaken by Expositors.

<u>д</u> А

An Account of the Texts enquired into and resolved in the following Exercitations.

Gen. 49. 10. THE Scepter shall not depart from Judah, nor a Law-giver from between his feet, until Shiloh come. page i Exod. 28. 30. Thou shale put in the Breast-plate of Judgment the Urim and Thummim. Judges 11. 30, 31. Jephthah vowed a Vow unto the Lord, and said, If thou shalt, &c. P. 77 Dan. 9. 24, 25. Seventy Weeks are determined upon thy People, and upon thy holy City, &c. D. 109 Matt. 27. 5. Judas departed, and went and hanged himself. Compared with Asts 1. 18. p. 137 1 Cor. 16. 22. If any Man love not the Lord Jesus Christ, let him be Anathema Maran-atha. - p. 16ς Col. 2. 8. Beware lest any Man spoil you through Philosophy and vain Deceit, &c. p. 189 To which is added, A Discourse on 1 John 3.8. wherein is shewed what are the Works of the Devil. p. 28 r

ΑN

AN

ENQUIRY

Into Several Remarkable

TEXTS

Of the OLD and NEW

Testament, &c.

The first Text Enquired into, viz.
Gen. XLIX. 10.

The Scepter Shall not depart from Judah, nor a Law-giver from between his feet, until Shiloh come.

Begin with this Famous Prophesie concerning the Time of our Blessed Saviour's 'coming into the World: And my Design is, briefly to represent the general Glosses of the Learned upon this Verse; with short Restections on some of them: But more especially,

ally to choose out such an Interpretation of the word Shiloh, the celebrated Title of the Messias, as I conceive to be most genuine and proper; which I will fomewhat largely infift upon, by shewing the great Agreeableness and Reasonableness of it; and consequently, the Preference of it to all other Interpretations. This Noble Prediction is no other than the remarkable words of the Patriarch Jacob Blessing his Children; and among the rest his Son Judah, a little before his Death. He intimates that the Primogeniture, and with it the Ruling Power was taken from Reuben, when he faith of him, He shall not excel, v. 4. And from Reuben this Dominion is transferred to Judah; for it is faid, His Fathers Children (of whom Reuben was the chief of them) shall bow down before him, v. 8. i. e. The Kingdom and Government shall Commence here, and fo remain among the Jews many Generations, even until a certain Period appointed by God. viz. The Arrival of the Messias, who is here called Shiloh. Till that time the lewish Government and Polity shall be kept up, The Scepter shall not depart: But upon the coming of the Messias, who is Christ, their Ruling Power shall cease, their State and Government shall be abolished; and in a little time after, there shall be no Footsteps left of their Kingdom and Dominion. Let us take this Prophesie asunder, and Comment on the feveral words, and then fet them together again, and shew you how signal a Proof they are of Christ's being come.

First,

First, The Hebrew word which we Tranflate the Scepter, hath different fignifications, and accordingly is sometimes rendred a * Rod * Lev. 27. or Staff, sometimes a 7 Stroke, Plague or Pu- 32. Ps. 29. or staff, tollietimes a | Stroke, Tiagne of Pen 18,31.13.
nishment; and at other times a * Quill, or Pen Judges 5. to write with. I meet with no Expositors that 14. think any of these to be the Acception of the word in this place. But there are two other Acceptions which bid fair for it: The word fometimes signifies a Tribe, and that in this Chapter v. 28. And the reason why the same word fignifies a Rod and a Tribe, may be because the Tribes of Israel were distinguished by Rods or Wands, Num. 17.2, 3. Accordingly Junius and Tremellius render this place thus, The Tribe shall not depart from Judah: And they make the Sense of the whole Text to be this, Judah shall not cease to be a Tribe till the coming of Christ; but then it shall. And so indeed it did; for when Christ came, and was rejected by the Jews, the Romans by God's just Judgment scattered them abroad; and the Tribe of Judah could not be distinguished from the other Tribes. This Interpretation confirms the Truth of this Prophefie, and sufficiently baffles and confounds the Jews. But there is yet behind another more eminent Acception of the word; for you will find that it often fignifies a Scepter. And that the same word which before signified a Rod, should also denote a Scepter, is not to be wondred at, because a Rod or Staff was the Ancient Ensign of Royalty and Dominion, Amos 1.5 This was born by Kings, as a Badge of their Power

Power and Authority. By the Scepter then is meant here Kingly Power and Authority, or the Supreme Government of what Name or Form foever. This fignification of the word in this place, is owned and approved of by the Jewish Doctors and Rabbins themselves, and the three Targums agree in it, and it is acknowledged and allowed of by the Ancient Greek Verlions of the Seventy, who render it aggar, the Prince or Governour. This Prince or Governour, this Government or Scepter, shall not depart, (which are the next words) i. e. There shall be a continual Succession of Kings and Rulers, the Civil Power and Polity shall constantly remain; these shall not be removed, shall not wholly be destroyed; they may for a time be clouded and eclipsed; they may be inter-

rupted for a while, but there shall not be a * Non aufe-Final and Total Cessation of them. The retur. Vulg. Scepter shall not quite depart, it shall * not be

taken away from Judah.

But what is meant by Judah here? First, It is not to be taken in a Restrained Sense for the Patriarch Judah, but for the Tribe of Judah. As the Priesthood was consigned to Levi, i. e. not his Person, but his Tribe and Posterity; so Judah had the Scepter the Government conferred on him, not his Person, (for he had no Rule) but his Tribe, as difind from the other Tribes. The meaning then is, that there shall be some of that Tribe who shall Reign till Christ cometh, and no longer: For as the Kingdom and Government shall begin in this Tribe (asitEnquired into.

did in David) and be continued in it, so it shall end with it. When that Tribe affords no more Kings, then Kingship shall cease among the Jews; though Foreigners may be fet up, yet they shall have no more Kings of their own; which shall be a certain Indication of the coming of the Messias. Secondly, Judah must be taken comprehensively for the Twelve Tribes, for the State, Government and Polity of the whole Nation called Jews, from the Patriarch Judah. Some shrink this Prophesie into a narrow compass; but I think it ought to be taken largely, and to be applied to Judah, not only as it signifies a particular Tribe of that Name, but as it takes in all the Jewish People, the whole Body of the Jews. For these Prophetical Blessings which Jacob here uttereth, are of a large Extent; and this especially relating to a higher matter than any of the rest, is not to be confined and restrained to a Person or Tribe; but it is most reasonable to believe, that it reacheth to the whole Nation and Polity of the Jews, who are here called Judah, as they are in almost innumerable places of Scripture besides.

It follows [nor a Law-giver from between his feet,] this Mechokek is the same with Sheber, the Law-giver is of the same Importance with the Scepter or Kingly Power; for this and making Laws went together; the Regal and Judicial, or Legislative Authority, did reside in the Jewish Kings. And this Prince or Lawgiver is said to be from between Judah's feet; which some learned Men have thought is an

Allusion to the Custom of Courts, where the Scribe or Lawyer used to sit at the Feet of the Judge or Governour, and there take his Opinion. But this is a mistake, and ariseth from the want of considering the difference between those two Expressions, at the feet, and from between the feet. These have no Affinity with one another: As to the latter then, from between his feet, i.e. the Feet of Judab; it is much as, of the Seed or Race of Judah, (as you will find those 'words signifie in Deut. 28.57.) and brought up by him, (as Children are faid to be brought up upon their Parents Knees, Gen. 50.23.) This is the plain and unforced meaning of this Hebrew Phrase or Expression; when it is said then, that a Law-giver from between his Feet, shall not depart from him; the meaning is, that there shall always be some who shall be born of the Posterity and Race of Judah, and brought up and educated by them that shall sway the Scepter, and give Laws in Ifrael. This shall last till Shiloh come; which is the next and main thing to be explain'd. There are various Interpretations of this word given by Expositors; but they all agree in this, that it refers to the Messias.

I. Some think that the Seventy Interpreters did read it not Shilo but Shello, as if it were an Abbreviature of 17 will ille cujus, or cui, like the Arabick Allah, from the Article Al, 5, and the Pronoun lah, ei or cui; for God is He of whom, and through whom, and to whom, and for whom are all things, Rom. 11.36. Heb. 2.10. So here the Messias, of whom Jacob Prophesies.

phelies, is 170 ille cujus, which is rendred by the Septuagint & swinflas, he for whom is laid up, namely, the Kingdom: Or ra smoundua auto. the things that are laid up for him: Or & Ta Smuelwha, for whom they are laid up: For there is this Variety of Readings in different Copies. And a * learned Critick hath lately attempted * simen. to give us the reason why Shello comes to be Crit. Hist. read Shiloh; because (saith he) before Points 1.2. were used in the Hebrew Text, the Letter Jod ferved instead of the Vowels I and E; but after the finding out of the Points, the Transcribers of the Bible still kept in the Letter Fod in the Text, which hath made the Sense of this place difficult, faith he. But this Account (as ingenious as it is, and like its Author) falls to the ground, and is of no value at all, if the Hebrew Points were co-existent with the Letters, which will appear to be the most probable Opinion to those who impartially weigh the Arguments brought for it, but especially that of the Truth and Certainty of the Scriptures of the Old Testament, which depend upon it. And as for the Version of the Seventy, which feems to favour those who think Shelo to be the true Original Word; I wonder that Wise Men will rely upon this Greek Translation; which it is evident is in innumerable places false and corrupted; that is, it was either fo at first, by reason of the Mistakes which the Seventy Interpreters committed, or else it was depraved afterwards; whether wilfully (to disguise the Truth) or through negligence, or by the fault of the Copies

And yet I am not so positive here, as I find fome Writers are; for there is a way of falving this Greek Translation, without charging them with mistaking the Hebrew; for I conceive the Seventy might read it Shilob, as we have it now; but they took it to be as much as after lo, ille cujus, or cui, He for whom the Scepter, mentioned just before, was laid up, or to whom it belonged, and thence they rendred it & Smines as. So that although the Jewish Interpreters mistake the Hebrew Words in other places, (as it is certain they do) yet there is no necessity of afferting that they do so here. Perhaps they did read it right, though they mistook the true meaning of the word, (of which I shall give you an Account afterwards) and instead of understanding it to be the Name of the Messias, thought the W to be the fame with אשר, and ז'to be a Pronoun, and so they concluded that Shilo was of the fame fignification with Shelo. But this is a forry Interpretation of the word, and the very Jews * R. Solo. themselves (excepting * one single Rabbi) **f**trikes are ashamed of it; for it unnecessarily confounds and jumbles two words together; and at the same time mangles one of them, and fubstitutes a Vau in the place of a He, and strikes out a Jod, and after all this leaves the Sense of the Prophesic lame and imperfect, uncertain and arbitrary; for these words [he to whom] affert and determine nothing at all.

II. The Vulgar Latin Version furnisheth us with another Interpretation of this word. rendring it qui mittendus est; for it seems these Translators read it not Shiloh but Shiloab or Shilluach, i. e. missus, which here is as much as mittendus, the Praterit being put for the Future, after the manner of the Prophetick Writers. Some think that this is one of the Messia's Names in Isa. 8. 6. and that this Text which we are now treating of, was, among several others, corrupted by the Jews, they changing Shiloah into Shiloh: But there is not the least shew of Reason to assert either of these, for in that place in Isaiah, Shiloah barely fignifies a Fountain or Spring of that name, which rose at the foot of Mount Sion: And it is a mistake that the Jews corrupted this Text by turning Shiloah into Shiloh, for it was to no purpose to do it, the word Shiloh (as you shall hear anon) being as expressive. yea much more, of the Messia, than that other could be. Without all Controversie, the Text is entire and uncorrupted; and as for these Surmises, they arise only from attending to the Vulgar Latin Version. The short is, The Authors

234 075.

Authors of this Interpretation mistook an for a 7, which they might easily do, by reason of the likeness of these Letters: And besides, in the Hebrew Copy which they used the Pathab under the 7 was omitted, and so they thought the word was Shiloach, and not Shiloh. There needs no more to be faid than this, for the Refutation of this Exposition of the word.

III. Others tell us that Shiloh is derived. from Shil, which signifies the Secundine, the Membrane which the Infant is wrapt in when it comes into the World. And because this accompanieth the Child, it is taken for it, as in Deut, 28.57. and by a Metonymy fignifies as much as fatus, filius; and consequently (say they) Shiloh is the same with Beno, filius ejus his Son or Off-spring, viz. Judah's: But though this be the Interpretation of some of the noted * Fewish Rabbies, and though these be followed

Bechai. || Galatinus, Calmellius,

Buxtorf.

chi, Aben by several | Writers of the Christian Perswa-Ezra, R. fion, yet there is good reason to question, yea to reject this Opinion, for there is no fuch Hebrew word as Shil in the Bible, nor any where vin, Juni-else. These Writers have deceived themselves " one after another, by trusting to what a circumcifed Doctor had told them. They read in R. Kimchi, that Shil is secunding or fætus in the forementioned place in Deuteronomy; but if we consult it, we shall find that it is Shiljab. not Shil. However, they made Shil out of Shiljah, and then fancied that Shil was comprehended in the word Shiloh, and that this hath the like fignification with that. But we must remember that there is no fuch word as Shil; and

Enquired into.

and as for the word Shiljah, it is once taken in a firained sense for proles or filius; but who can thence gather, that this is the Acception of the word Shiloh? No Man certainly that knows how to make rational Deductions from things. Moreover, they add a Van to Shil to make it Shilo, which is extravagantly done to no purpose, for it is Shiloh, not Shilo in the Original. They throw away the Letter mas superfluous and redundant, as a useless Affix to the word; but we must remind them that the He is not of that nature, but that it is a fubstantial and radical Letter belonging to the word, for the word is the proper Name of the Messias, as I shall now proceed to shew.

IV. * Others of great Learning and Judg- *Vatablus, ment, derive Shiloh from the Verb Shalah : Fogius, Ca-And this I take to be the most genuine Deri-Shindle vation of the word, the three radical Letters of Avenarithe Verh Shalah being in ir. What can be faid us, Horger, or desired more? Some hundreds of Derivative Medie words have but two, and yet none questions their Production from the Root. Much less then can we doubt of the Extraction of שולה from שלה when it hath the full number of Letters belonging to the Radix. And as for the Jod in the Derivative, it is common and frequent to infert that between the Radical Letters, as the Learned very well know. So that in short, Skiloh from Shalah is deduced without the least strain upon the word. But I do not find that any of those learned Persons, whose Names you fee in the Margin, have taken notice of, and represented to us the Wonderful Suit-Вλ

Suitableness of this Appellation. I never met with any Writer that hath shewed the peculiar Contrivance of this word, and how its particularly framed, to express the Glorious Nature and Attributes of the Son of God, the Redeemer of the World. That therefore is the thing which I will now undertake, and consequently I shall demonstrate, that there is no Name imaginable that can be more properly and sitly applied to him than this. We must take notice then, that there are three Significations of the word Shalah whence Shiloh comes.

*Thence First, Shalah is * falvavit, salvus fuit, as is clear Mercer and from | Job 3.26. where this word is used in this Avenari- fense. It imports Safety or Salvation, and so Shius, and other Ety. loh, which is derived from this Verb, is as much mologists, as Savjour Secondly, Shalah is of the same signiderive this fication with Shalam quievit, pacificavit; quietus, word sal-pacificus fuit, Pfal. 122. 6. + which is rendred ware from eight dien frequently by the Septuagint. It dethe He- notes Peace, and confequently Shiloh is the brewVerb same with Peaceable. The third and next Dethe Noun notation of the word Shalah (which also follows, Shalvab. naturally from the two former fignifications) Losha- is * felicem, fortunatum, prosperum effe, and aclauti, Iwas cordingly is rendred by the Seventy wollaw, † Jisblaiu, prospero successu uti. Therefore Shiloh is as much quieti e- as the Happy, the Fortunate, the Prosperer.

runt Montere then you cannot but fee already the
tan.
*Pfal. 30. great Mystery contained, and also discovered
6.7er.12.1; in this Remarkable Word. It hath its undoubted
Lam. 1. 5. and immediate Original from a Verb which
signifies to fave, and also to be at Peace; and
(because these are the Blessings which conduce

to the Felicity of Man) to be Happy. Whence we cannot but acknowledge that this Title Shiloh is most suitably applied here to the Messias, who is Jesus, i. c. a Saviour and Deliverer, who is also our great Peace-maker, and who is the Author of all our Happiness both in this World and in another. This admirable and fingular Make of the Word, is little less than an Assurance and Demonstration to me, that I have pitch'd upon the right and only true Derivation of it. Therefore this invites me to stay here a while and to ponder the Vertue and Weight of this Marvelous Name given to the Messias. Indeed these great things comprehended in this glorious Title, are worthy to be infifted upon, that we may thereby be throughly convinced, how fir an Epithet this is for our Blessed Lord: And I shall do this the rather, because (as I have intimated already) I have not found the Extensive Meaning of this glorious Name display'd by those who have purposely undertaken to explain and comment upon this ancient Prophesie concerning the Coming of Christ.

I. This Title contains in it the welcome notice of that most Ravishing Name JESUS, the Name given him by the particular direction of an Angel from Heaven, Matt. 1.21. and which being interpreted, is no other than a Saviour. Wherefore you find both joyned together by St. Paul, Acts 13. 23. A Saviour, Jesus, the one being expressive of the other. The word Early, which the Apostle here useth, is of mighty sense and im-

g port,

Hoc quantum est?ita magnum ut Latino uno verbo exprimi non posit, 1.4. contra Verrem.

port, and accordingly Tully tells us, that * this word cannot be expressed by any fingle one in Latin. It is certain that Servator (which was a word then in use) came fhort of it; therefore Salvator

hath been used by the Latin Fathers as a fuller word, and this hath generally ob-*De Resur-tained in the Church. * Tertullian is pleased rect. carn. to render it Salutificator, thinking this to C.47. Adv. be a more fignificant word. But † Grotius comes and produceth another word, and t In Matt. makes bold to correct Tully (as good a 3. 21. judge of Latin as he was) and averreth that the fingle word Sospitator is of the same import with Swring, and is as full. I think truly this great Man hath outdone the Orator in his own Tongue: For from Tully's * Is est ni- own account of the word * Zwing, we may

mirum Da gather that this Latin word fully answers The quisa- to that Greek one, which implies not only dir. ibid. a Saving or Preserving from being destroyed, but a Restoring to that Safety which was loft: So that it is a conferring of some positive Benefit on a Person. This is the very import of Sospitator, it properly relates to those Things or Persons that were lost and undone: It respects the Condition of Men in Misery, and it signifies a restoring

> is exactly applicable to the Redemption wrought for us by Jesus Christ. Thus the Title of Early Saviour, is very expressive and emphatical. But to come to particulars,

> > behold

them to their former happy State, and fo

behold how our Shiloh, our Saviour, merits that name by all the ways imaginable. He was a Bodily Saviour, miraculously rescuing distressed People from their Diseases and Pains which they laboured under, compassionately preserving many Thousands from perishing by Hunger, powerfully ejecting the evil Spirit out of those that were possessed and tormented by him. Yea, he was infinitely beneficial and advantagious to the whole Race of Mankind, by conferring on

them all Temporal, and offering all Spiritual Mercies to them, so that he is most truly called * the Saviour of all Men. But especi- 1 Tim. 4.

ally of those that believe in him, and conform 10. their Lives to his Holy Laws, He is a Saviour, and that in a more eminent manner,

viz. * To save them from their Sins, which "Mat. 1.21. is the grand reason assigned why this Name

was given him. * Him hath God exalted to Aff15.31. be a Prince and a Saviour, to give Repentance and Forgiveness of Sins, to fave us from the

Prince of Darkness, and to bruise Satan under our feet: For this Shiloh is the Seed of the

Moman foretold in Gen. 3. 15. that was to break the Serpents head. This Blessed Shi-

loh * delivers us from the Wrath to come, and * 1 Theff.1. frees us from eternal Death, which is the 10. just Wages of Sin. And lastly, he actually

confers all Good upon us both here and hereafter: He freely purchaseth for us the Favour

of God, he bestoweth Life and Happiness, and is * the Author of Eternal Salvation unto all * Heb. 5.9.

them that obey him: Thus

Thus he merits the Name of Shiloh or Saviour, and therefore most justly ought we to value and reverence this Name. The Tews indeed call our Lord by the Name Jesus, but with some Diminution of it; for *Elias Le (as we are informed by * one that was well vitaThish. acquainted with the Jewish Writers) instead of ישוי they stile him שוי by which curtailing of the word, they let us understand that they do not own him (as the Christians do) for a Saviour. But let as be fensible that this is the True Jesus, the Saviour, and that there is none else; let us adore him as a Compleat and Perfect Saviour. It is true, | In Pinda. we read in the | Greek Poets and * others. ro, Sophocle that Zarne was Jupiter's Epithet: And the Afchilo. Temple of Jupiter Servator is mentioned Onomag, by | Pliny. Bacchus likewise had this Title. 1.6. c. 16. for Paulanias mentions an Altar to him Athene. with this Inscription, And the Dioscuri 1.45.c. 20. were particularly called + Osol Zariiges, because they were thought to deliver and t Elian. fave Men in Tempests at Sea. And not var. Hift only the Gods, but great Men and Benefactors were honoured with this Appellation. Thus Ahashuerus (whom Josephus names Artaxerxes) caused Mordecai the Jew. l. 11. c.6. who had detected the Conspiracy of the Eunuchs against him, to be proclaimed Zurile. his Saviour and Deliverer. So Antiochus Epiphanes had this Title given him in Flattery * Toloph. by the Samaritans, faith the * fame Author. Amiq-Jud. And this very Name was bestowed upon

1.12. c. 7. Demetrius one of the Grecian Monarchs,

But to go back to the times long before, Zaphnath Paaneah, Saviour of the World, Gen. 41. (as Si Jerom interprets it, who had been in 49. Egypt, and had, it is likely, learnt the Interpretation of that Egyptian Name) was the Title conferred on Joseph by Pharaoh, because he had faved not only Egypt, but other Countries, from perishing by Famine. But it is our Jesus, our Shiloh alone that is worthy of that Name in the full extent of it, and accordingly he is stiled the Saviour of the World, 1 John 4. 14. And you may observe, that this Appellative is given him by the Samaritans that came to him, John 4. 42. We know, say they, that this is indeed the Christ, the Saviour of the World: Which Title they had learnt, I conceive, from this very Prophesie concerning the Messias, where he is called Shiloh. For the Sama. ritans owned the Pentateuch, in which this Prediction of the Holy Patriarch Jacob was very eminent, and much observed by them: Wherefore they could not but enquire into the true meaning of this Name, and they found it to fignifie a Saviour, and thence knew and were affured that Christ was the Saviour of the World. Thus you fee the reason why this good Patriarch gave the Name Shiloh to the Messias, viz. Because it is of the same import with JESUS or Saviour. But,

Enquired into.

II. This Title of the Messia signifies not only Salvation, but Peace. And indeed these two are nearly allied to one another, and accordingly

accordingly are joyned together by the Apo-Itle, Rom. 5.8, &c. While we were yet Sinners Christ died for us: Much more being now justified by his blood, we shall be saved from wrath through him. For if when we were Enemies we were reconciled to God by the death of his Son: Much more being reconciled, we shall be saved by his Life. These two, Salvation and Reconciliation, are inseparable, and they are both purchased by the Blood of Jesus, who is our Shilob, i. e. both our Saviour and our Peace-maker. Hear how the meaning of this Blessed Name was proclaimed by the Inspired Writers of Old. | Dan 9.24 | He shall make Reconciliation for Iniquity. faith the Prophet Daniel: And others fore-*Mic. 5. 5. tell, that * This Man shall be the Peace, and Zech.6.13. that | The Council of Peace shall be between them both, viz. Between the Lord and the Branch spoken of in the foregoing Verse: For an Eternal Council was held between the Father and the Son, concerning the Redemption and Salvation of Mankind, and this was a Council of Peace, i. e. of Reconciliation towards lost Sinners. This was the Effect of that Blessed Consult from Eternity: The Son of God was to be an Expiatory Sacrifice for the Sins of the World, and thereby to pacifie the Divine Justice, and fo to become our Shiloh. But this was more especially the Glorious Theme of the New Testament, where we are abundantly Col. 1.20. affured, that || he made Peace through the Blood of his Cross, and that the great Design

and End of his being Incarnate, was to make Peace between God and Man, to reconcile Heaven and Earth. * He is our *Eph.2.14. Peace, who hath made both one, and hath broken down the middle wall of Partition between us, having abolished in his stesh the Enmity, so making Peace; and that he might reconcile both unto God in one Body by the Grofs, having slain the Enmity thereby: And came and preached Peace to you which were afar off, and to them that were nigh, i. e. both to Gentiles and Jews. This * is the Mediator be- *1Tim.2.5. tween God and Man, the Man Christ Jesus, that Middle Person who interposed between us and the Offended Majesty, and by his powerful Mediation reconciled us unto God. Therefore even the Hebrew Masters and Cabalists called the Meffias * a Man between, one who wik* undertakes to appease the difference between God and Man. Jacob's Shiloh is the same with this Mediator, this Reconciler, this Peacemaker. This was his Office, and his Name is fuitable to it.

Again, Aben Ezra and other Rabbies grant, that this Name Shiloh is given to the Messia, because he is Peaceable, and the Author of Peace to Mankind. This is the very Character of our JESUS, our Saviour; and this (viz. Peaceableness) is the very Genius and proper Nature of that Holy Religion which he founded and established in the World The Principles of Christianity do most effectually conduce to the promoting of Peace among Men, it strictly commanding,

ing them to forgive them that trespass against them, to put away all Bitterness, and Wrath, and Anger, to choose in some cases to take wrong without looking for Redress, to study to be quiet, and to follow Peace with all Men. And to commend and encourage this excellent Temper our Lord hath pronounced the Peace-makers Bleffed. If there be Quarrels and Diffentions, Animolities and Perfecutions amongst Christians (and who fees not that these too frequently abound?) they are not the fault of Christianity, but of the Evil Dispositions, Lusts and Corruptions of Men. Christ is not the Author of these, neither is Christianity it self to be blamed, that any such thing happens in the World; for the delign of Christ's Kingdom was Peace, as the Prophet fets forth the "Ma.2.4,5 times of the Gospel, * They shall beat their Swords into Plow-shares, and their Spears into Pruning-hooks. Nation shall not lift up Sword against Nation, neither shall they learn War any more. It is one grand end of the Evangelical Institution, to remove all quarrelsome Distinctions and Antipathies between the different People of the Earth, that in Christ Jesus there may be neither Jew nor Gentile, neither Greek nor Barbarian, neither Bond nor Free, but that all may become one in Christ Jesus; and that a Period being put to all their former Grudges and Dissentions, they may be perfectly joyned together in brotherly Affection, that universal Peace and Amity may take place, and that

the Royal Law of Love may prevail in the World. This is the delign and work of our Shiloh, our Peaceable Messias; he hath purchased the means conducing to it, and we may be in possession of it if we will. Farther, to evince the Truth and Efficacy of this Name, let us reflect on the remarkable time of our Saviour's visiting the World. which was a Time of Universal Peace, I grant this is a common Observation, and generally known; but here in our present Subiect it is very considerable, and more than ordinarily to be taken notice of and applied, because it will let us into the true Notion of this great and comprehensive Name Shiloh. The noise of Wars and Battles was ceased. all was calm and hush'd; a Catholick Peace possessed the World at Christ's appearing in it: For he was Born in the Reign of a Mild and Peaceable Prince, who after five Civil Wars, and after infinite Slaughter and Bloodfhed accompanying them all, reigned peaceably many Years. At this time it was, that the Parthians, and feveral Nations which before had continually infested the Roman Empire with their Arms, came and humbly befought its Friendship, and tamely restored those Banners, and other Ensigns of War which they had formerly taken from the Romans in Battle, and laid them down at Augustus's Feet. When thus this Emperor had vanquish'd the World, and settled the Nations, and all Kingdoms were brought under his Dominion, when he as well as the whole Numa.

22

Earth was at Peace, (of which the shutting of the Gates of Janus's Temple, as it were shutting up Peace there, was a visible Token, and whereof there was very rarely an Example, as * Plutarch observes, till this time) then, and not till then, our Saviour chose to bless the World with his presence, to make it appear, that he was indeed the Shiloh, the * 1sa. 9. 6. Prince of Peace; (as the * Evangelical Prophet had stiled him) the True Solomon, the Pacifick King, who brought Peace with him into the World and brought a Religion with him, which is the greatest Friend and Advancer of Peace.

III. This Appellation given to the Messius by the ancient Prophet Jacob, denotes not only Salvation and Peace, but Prosperity. *Shilob, h.e * Shilob is as much as Prosperous, Happy, Tri-The foren- umphant; which is yet a farther Accession to natus Ave- the Glory of this Name. Therefore it immediately follows after the mentioning of Shiloh in this Prophelie, Unto bim shall the gathering of the People be; all Nations shall own his Authority and Power, and submit to his Empire: The People shall be Obedient unto him, as Onkelos's Targum renders it: All the Kings of the Earth shall be subject to him, as the Jerusalem-Parathrase hath it. And from both we are acquainted, that this is a fit Epithet of the * Rev. 1.5. Messias, who is * the Prince of the Kings of the † Luke 1. Earth, and I shall be great, and shall reign for ever, and of whose Kingdom there shall be no end. 32, 33. This is the King forecold in Jer. 23. 5. that shall reign and prosper,, that shall be Victorious and

and Triumphant, that shall be both a Saviour and a Conqueror. And therefore to this purpose that is very observable which we read. concerning our Saviour's * riding in state into * Matt.21. Terusalem upon an Ass; for in Palestine even their + Princes and Nobles rid upon Asses. It + Judg. 10. is said the officious People * spread their Gar-4. ments in the way, which was a Testimony of Mat. 21.8 Subjection to Kings, 2 Kings 9. 13. There was the like Custom used among the Gentiles, as their * Writers assure us. And some of Plutareh. this transported Multitude of cut down branches in Carone from the Trees, and strowed them in the way : Utic. Ef-And other Branches without doubt they car-chil. in Aried in their hands, as an Emblem of Victory 1 V. 9. and Triumph; for this was an usual Practice among several Nations. The Evangelist St. John relating this passage, tells us, That they took Branches of Palm-trees, and went forth to meet him, ch. 12. v. 13. For it was the Custom on fuch an Occasion, to make use especially of the Boughs of this particular Tree, because it was generally held to be a Symbol of Victory, of which & Aulus Gellius and * Plu- + L. 3. c 6. tarch pretended to give the reasons, viz. Be- Sympof. cause this is a firm and durable Tree, and had 1.8.c. 5. Leaves always Green, (whence you read of * flourishing like the Palm-tree) and from a * Pfal. 92. Vulgar Error that prevail'd about this Tree, 12. i. e. That the more weights are laid on it, the higher it riseth. Whence Palma among the Latins signifies Victory and the Reward of it: And 'tis certain that the Branches of this Tree

were used in Triumphs both among the Greeks

Enquired into.

The first TEXT

and Romans, and were the Recompence of Conquerors. Accordingly the Jews here, (who in many things followed the Ulages of other Nations) to express their Triumphal Joy at the Arrival of the Blessed Jesu, took Branches of Palm-trees, and went forth to welcome him to Jerusalem. Yea, 'tis no wonder that the Jews in particular, who were used to this Solemnity, and did yearly carry and *Neb. 8.15, hold up Boughs of Trees * on their Feast of Tabernacles; tis no wonder, I fay, that these Jews, when they faw Jesus coming, made use of this Ceremony, and cried Hosanna to him. (as you read they did) for at that Feast they used to sing אוהיים, and for shortness אטעעות Hofanna: And the Talmud informs us, that these very Boughs and Branches of Trees which they lifted up, were called Hosanna's by them. Here then, Lis worth our observing, that at our Saviour's Triumphal Cavalcade through the Streets of Jerulalem. they received him with this particular Acclamation and Applause, and that they bore their Hosanna's in their Hands, and brought them to the Son of David, and acknowledged him by this Gratulation to be their Messias and Saviour; for the English of Hosanna is, Save us now. And thus this Gratulatory Acclamation exactly agrees to him who is the Jesus, the Saviour, the Deliverer; and who is the Shiloh, the Prosperous, the Triumphant, Therefore I take it to have been by a particular Direction of Heaven, that our Blessed Lord at his entrance into Jerusalem in Triumph, was

received with repeated Hosanna's from the People; for Saving and Triumphing go together. I find the concurrence of these two in Zechary's Prediction of our Saviour in th. 9. v. 9. (a Prophetical Passage which all the ancient Jews understood of the Messias; but it is needless to produce their Words, or give you their Names, this being so amply and fatisfactorily performed by a * worthy * Backare Writer of this last Age) Behold, thy King de Animals cometh unto thee. He is just, and having Sacr. 1. 2. Salvation, lowly, (meek in himself, but) c. 17. riding upon an Ass, and upon a Colt the Foal of an Als, as a King in Joy and Triumph. Thus he was a Saviour and a Triumphant King; and if he had not been the former, it was impossible he should have been the latter.

It is true, he was pleased to submit to Death, and the Horrours of the Grave, but he foon rescued himself from them, and ascended Triumphantly to Heaven. * God + Acti 2.36 made that same Jesus who was crucified, both Lord and Christ, and * hath set him at his own . Eph. 1.20 right hand in the heavenly places, far above all &c. Principality and Power, and Might, and Dominion, and every name that is named, not only in this World; but also in that which is to come .: And hath put all things under his Feet. and gave him to be the Head over all things to the Church. We might here also recount the wonderful Success of the Christian Religion in the World, how it was planted and propagated, even against the powerful Inclinations; and most invererate Customs of Mankind.

15.

fias.

kind, how it broke all their Measures, and made its way through all Difficulties; how it thrived and flourished when it was most opposed and persecuted: In a word, how it miraculously prevailed maugre all the Force and Power, all the Wit and Policy of its malicious Adversaries. From this one Confideration alone we might demonstrate the Vertue of this, Name Shiloh, and give under niable proofs, that our Lord most deservedly had this Title, i. e. That he was Prosperous and Successful. And when at last he shall take to himself his most Absolute Power and Saveraignty, and reign in the Christian Church *Rev. 19. as * King of Kings, and Lord of Lords, when in those Halcyon days, in that Glorious Ju-* Rev. 11. bilee of the World, * The Kingdoms of the Earth shall become the Kingdoms of our Lord, and of bis Christ; then we shall with rejoycing and Exaltation proclaim, admire and extolthis Name Skilob; we shall then with gladsome Experience acknowledge, that He and his Cause alone prosper upon the Earth; we fhall confess that all his Blessed Enterprizes for his Church are Successful: Briefly, we

> Thus I have been large in interpreting and explaining this Ancient Name of the Meffias. and you fee what an Illustrious and Glorious Title it is. I have this yet farther to observe, that in some of the most notable and eminent Prophesies in the Old Testament con-

> shall adore him as our Compleat Shiloh, our

Saviour, our Peace-maker, our Prosperous Mef-

concerning Christ, these three things which are comprehended in the Name Shiloh, are particularly and distinctly mentioned, and are all found together. Thus in Pfalm 72. which is a clear Prediction concerning the Messias, (as the Hebrew Scholiast upon it; and some of the old Rabbins acknowledge, and as the Application of some passages in this Pfalm to Christ, in the Writings of the New Testament plainly sheweth.) This Name Shilob is as it were commented upon. For you find him here first represented, as a Saviour, He shall save the Children of the needy, v. 4. He shall deliver the needy when he crieth; the poor also, and him that hath no helper. He shall spare the Poor and Needy, and shall save the Souls of the Needy, ver. 12, 13. Nothing could be more expressive than this of the Salvation wrought by Christ Jesius for poor, distressed, helpless Sinners. Secondly, He is described as a Peace-maker, The Mountains '(those places which used to be haunted with Robbers and wild Beafts) shall bring Peace to the People, v. 3. This Bleffing shall be conferred on those places where it was wholly, a Stranger before, and therefore shall be the more welcome. And in v. 7. you read of abundance of Peace in his days; and the Duration of it is answerable to its Plenty; for it shall last till there be no Moon, (as the Hebrew ... hath it) till the Heavens and Earth, and the whole Fabrick of the World be dissolved. In the third place, to compleat his Character, it is added, that he fhall be Prosperous and Happy,

1. 31.

Happy, He shall break in pieces the Oppressor, v.4. He shall be a great Victor, and Triumph over all his Enemies, especially over Satan the great and unfufferable Oppreffor of Mankind. This is also signified in that Metaphorical Language, v. 6. He shall come down like rain upon the mowen Grass, as showers that water the Earth: i. e. in plainer terms, The Subjects of his Kingdom shall grow and increase, thrive and flourish: Therefore it follows immediately, In his days shall the Righteous flourish, v. 7. And then in several Verses together you have the Prosperity and Happinels of his Kingdom decyphered, He shall bave Dominion from Sea to Sea : They that dwell in the Wilderness shall bow before him, and his Enemies shall lick the Dust. All Kings shall fall down before him: All Nations shall serve him. And 32 Sam. 16. he shall live, i. e. (according to the * Eastern 16.1 Kings stile) he shall prosper; and Men shall be blessed in bim : All Nations shall call bim blessed.

Likewise in that other noted and famous Prediction concerning Christ, in the 53 Chapter of Isaiah, all these three significations of the word Shiloh meet together. The Prophet recounting the admirable Benefits and Advantages of our Saviour's Passion, (for that it is spoken of Him is evident from Matt. 8.17. and Acts 8. 32, 35.) tells us, That he hath born our Griefs, and carried our Sorrows, v. 4. i. e. He underwent the Punishment which we should have suffered; which is farther expressed in the next Verse, He was wounded

for our Transgressions, he was bruised for our Iniquities. Whereas we should have been wounded and bruifed, punished and tormented for our Sins, He was pleased out of infinite Kindness, to take the Recompence of our Sins upon himself; and to bear them on the Cross, and thereby to free us, not only from the Guilt of Sin, but from all the Miseries which were consequent upon it. What is this but to be a Saviour? Wherefore it is added, With his stripes we are healed, v. 5. By the Sufferings of Christ * we are made whole, * Sanati and faved. Thus he was a Saviour. But the fumue, Vulg fame Inspired Prophet acquaints us in the Late fame Verse, that he was a Peace maker, the Chastisement of our Peace was upon him, i. e. by his Meritorious Sufferings our Peace with God was purchased, our Reconciliation with the incensed Majesty of Heaven was procured. Yea, it is not unworthy of our notice, that the Hebrew word which we render Peace, is in the Plural Number to intimate to us, that whatever Pleas and Accufations are against us, they are all (though never so many) filenced by Christ our Advocate, our Mediator, our Reconciler, our Peace-maker. Farther, the Peaceable Nature of Christ is fet forth in those words, As a Sheep before her Shearers is dumb, so he opened not his mouth, ver. 7. He bore all Hardships, Reproaches, Injuries, yea and the Cross it self, with an humble Silence and Submission. * He . 1/a. 42.2. did not cry, nor lift up, nor cause his Voice to be heard in the Street. This is the Character

of the Mild, the Gentle, the Peaceable Jefus. In the next place, he is represented as Prosperous, When thou shalt make his Soul an Offering for fin, he shall fee his Seed, he shall prolong his days, and the pleasure of the Lord shall prosper in his band, v. 10. That is, his whole Design and Undertaking for the Salvation and Redemption of Mankind (for this is the Pleasure of the Lord) shall be Successful: There shall be a Happy Effect and Issue of all that he hath done and fuffered, he shall fee and rejoyce in his Seed, that numerous Race of Holy Converts, of Believers and Regenerate Persons who are added to the Church. He shall see of the Travel of his Soul, and shall be satisfied, v. 11. He shall delight himself in the Blessed Effect of his Labours. he shall acquiesce in the Fruit of his Sufferings, viz. The Salvation of his Chosen. Therefore will I divide him a Portion with the great, &c. v. 12. Which is a Comparison taken from the Practice of great Conquerors, who after the Victory is over, share in the Rich Spoil: So that this expresseth the Compleat Victory and Triumph of Christ over all his Enemies. Thus he is every ways the True Shiloh; for Satuation, Peace and Prosperity (which are all contained in that Appellation) belong to him.

Whence we may gather, that this One Title comprehends in it All the Glorious Names that are given to Christ, either in the Old or New Testament, together with all his Sacred Offices, and all the Admirable Effects and Fruits of his Passion. These all center

center in this One Name, which the Holy Ghost hath made choice of on purpose; as the most Comprehensive Word to express the Glorious Nature and Properties of the Messias. Yea, I doubt not but the Holy Patriarch Jacob had this Name revealed unto him from Heaven, and being immediately inspired by the Holy Ghost, he divulged it to the World. You see then that I had great reason to prefer this Derivation of the word Shiloh (viz. from Shalah) before any others, and to commend it as the True and Native Signification And because the Extent and Latitude of this word have not been observed by Expositors, there was a necessity of my infifting and enlarging upon it, that I might thereby display the full import of the word deligned here by the Holy Spirit, and that it might plainly appear, that this Name which God hath given his Son, is a Name above every Name.

But after all that I have said, concerning this so remarkable Etymology and Denotation of the word, I leave every one to his liberty. It may be some do not apprehend the Singularity and Fitness of this Derivation which I have offered. However, it is sufficient for my general Furpose in this Discourse, that the Title Shilob (whether we embrace this or the other Derivations) is agreeable to the Messia, and belongs to Him and none other. This is the unanimous Opinion and Suffrage of the most Learned Jews themselves, I say of the most Learned,

3 4

for though there be some Jews, who by Shiloh understand Nebuchadnezzar, others Jeroboam. others David, and some the City Shiloh, where Saul was elected King; and though there be some other wild and fanciful Opinions of the Rabbies concerning the Interpretation of this word; yet all the wife and fober Writers among the Jews, all those who are of greatest Learning and Judgment, deride these Conjectures, and ingenuously profess that Shiloh is the Messias's Name. The three Chaldee Paraphrases interpret the word thus, and so R. Solomon, and all the ancient Rabbies understand the place. In *Sanbedr. several places of the * Talmud it is clear, c. 11. No-that the Hebrew Doctors thought שילה was darim.c.4. one of the Names of the Messias, and they quote this Text for it. The Author of Bireshith Rabba (an ancient Piece) asserts the same in express terms, viz. Shilob is the Messias. Aben Ezra, Kimchi, Bechai, later Doctors hold the fame. Still, though there be different Derivations of the word, they all agree in this, that it is meant of the Melliai. If then all the Ancient, and some of the Modern Hebrews of the greatest Note and Learning acknowledge Shilob to be the Name of the Aressias or Christ. I think no Man of the Christian Perswasion will be averse from acknowledging it, but will rather admire and magnifie the Divine Providence in affording fuch a clear and notable Testimony from the Mouths and Pens of our professed Adverfaries.

Enquired into.

It being thus univerfally agreed, who is meant by Shiloh in this famous Prophesie, let . us now fum up the plain meaning of the whole. The Scepter shall not depart, &c. that is, the Government of the Jewish Nation shall ever reside in the Posterity of Judab till a greater Governour cometh, till He that was the Desire of all Nations, He that is the MESSIAS, the Deliverer and Saviour, the Peaceable, the Prosperous, blesseth the World with his arrival. Then, and not before, the Jewish Government shall depart, and so depart, that it shall never return again. This is the meaning of departing; and this we must carefully observe in the expounding of this Prophesie. For I find that most Authors have iltretched this Prophesie too far, and have thereby made themselves justly liable to the Objections of the Jews. And indeed they can never maintain this Prediction against them upon the grounds on which they proceed, viz. That the Scepter is to be taken in these words precisely for the Kingly Power, and that the departing of this Scepter from Indah is meant of any departing, though it were but for a little time. This cannot be the meaning of the words, for then the Scepter may be faid to have departed from Judah, when the Jewish Nation was carried Captive into Babylon, when the Dignity of the Kingly Majesty was taken away by Nebuchadnezzar, about five hundred Years before Christ., And after it, under the Kings of Persia; and after the Ruin of that Empire, The first TEXT

under Alexander's Successors there was a kind of departing of the Scepter. But it was not properly a Departing; it was rather an Interruption, and a Ceffation for a time, but that is not the thing spoken of in this Prophesie. The Text is not meant of a Departing for a Time, of an Interregnum, or partial Failing, but of a full and total Departure; which happened not in the foresaid Instances. Nay, it is certain that all that while the Administration of the Jewish Commonwealth remained in those who were of the Line of Judah, viz. The Seventy Elders, who had the Power in their hands: These (as the Jews tell us) failed not to be of the Race of Judah and David. But the Asmonei, who were the last Set of Governours among the Jews, were not of the Tribe of Judah, but of Levi, they being Priests. Wherefore we must freely acknowledge that the Jewish Government was not tied to the Tribe of Judab, and confequently we must not restrain this Prophesie as some do, as if the Scepter should not in the least be removed from the Tribe of Judah before Christ came. For 'tis. certain that the Scepter did not continue in this Tribe all the while, and therefore in my opinion Helvicus might have faved all his pains in endeavouring to demonstrate that there was a Continuation of the Scepter in Judah?s Tribe: Which thing indeed he hath with great Learning and Skill in History, attempted to make good, and he hath faid as much towards the proof of it, as any Man upon Earth could could have done; but still all of it falls short of what he undertook, because the matter it felf would not bear it, and he went upon a wrong Hypothesis, viz. That the word Judab in this Prophesie is to be restrained to the particular Tribe of Judah. Whereas it is to be taken in a larger Sense, (as is most frequent in Scripture) i. e. for the Jewish Race or People. The Scepter, the Supreme Power and Authority, continued in the Race and Line of the Jewish People, till the Coming of Christ. And even in those times when the Scepter, or Royal Dignity, failed for a Season; yet the Law-giver (if we will take it in the strictest Sense) never failed at all; for the Jews had their own Laws and Customs. And neither the Scepter nor Law departed wholly from the Jewish Nation in any of those Times before mentioned: It departed not in Zedekiab, the last King of the House of David, nor in Zerobabel, nor in the Failure of the Maccabean Family: I say, it departed not wholly, though 'tis granted, that for a time, and in some part, it was taken away. Yea, when Jeboiakim broke his Oath and Covenant, and rebelled against the King of Babylon, the Scepter departed from Judah for a time, as Ezekiel observes on that Event, so that Judah harb no strong rod to be a Scepter to rule, ch. 19. I. v. But when Shiloh the Messias came, it remained no longer, it was wholly cut off and ceased, so as not to be any more. Now was the Determinate Time come; for that is implied in these words [till Shiloh come] which lignifie a Set Period of time:

It remains then, that I shew you that This was the Time of our Saviour's Coming, and that it was the Precise Time. The Scepter, i. e. the Jewish Government was not totally abolished till Christ our Blessed Lord came; but at that very time when he came, i.e. when he was born, the Jewish Polity was utterly destroy'd and null'd, so as never to be recovered again. Yet this we are to note, that the departing of the Scepter was by degrees; it began some time before our Saviour's Birth. and was in a short time compleated. I say. before Christ's coming in the Flesh the departing of the Jewish Government had its Beginning. For it is evident from unquestionable Writers, that Antipater, an Idumaan, was set over the Jews by Julius Casar, and Antigonus (who was the last of the lewish Kings, and of Jewish Race) was deposed. Now it might be faid that the Scepter departed from Judah, viz. when a Person, not by Birth a lew, but a meer Alien, was forced upon them, and usurped the Jewish Government. Till this time their Governours were always Tems, but now ever afterwards all the rest were Strangers and Gentiles. This was the first step of the Total Departure of the Scepter, but it more fignally and eminently departed quite from that Nation, when Herod, the Son of this Antipater, was set over them: For he, upon the Death of Casar, hastened to Rome, and by complaining to the Roman Senate, and by Anthony's means (whom he had bribed) was made King of Judea. Whereupon, at his return,

return, he indeavoured to extirpate all the Blood Royal, he put to death Aristobulus and Hircanus, the right Heirs of the Crown, he kill'd all of the Tribe of Judah, and of the Family of the Asmonai, whom he fear'd might contend with him for the Kingdom; and he reigned at Jerusalem as King of Judea. but without the Fews consent. He was a confiderable time a great Scourge and Plague to the Jews, who would by no means acknowledge a Stranger for their King. But he, to oblige and win them, embraced their Religion, and rebuilt their Temple; which had this Effect upon them, that at last they voluntarily refigned the Right of the Kingdom to him, and owned him for their King, and fwore Fealty to him. This was in the two and thirtieth Year of his Reign; in which Year likewise Christ was born. So that the Prophesie was exactly fulfilled; when the Tewish Kingdom had changed its True Owner, and the Scepter was actually departed from Judah, and that with the Consent of the Jews (which never was before) then Christ came. This was in the Two and fortieth Year of Augustus, when Cyrenius was the Prefect of Syria, Luke 2.2. And that it may appear that the Scepter was departed from ludah, it is signally recorded that Augustus taxed Judea, 1, 3, 4 Verses of that Chapter. Herod paid Tribute to Cesar, the whole Land was subject to the Empire, and the Jews had no Dominion of their own. Thus, till this Herodethe Great's time, the Kingly GovernThe first TEXT

ment continued in the Tribe of Judah, and the Royal Scepter did not depart till this Stranger reigned over them, for he was the first Jewish King of strange Blood and Descent,

Scaliger, Ou anton.

It is unreasonable to attend to what * some alledge, that he was not an Alien, but one of the Ifraclitish Nation, and a Jem by Birth. Which miltake they have run into because they read that Herod was a Jew, and was cir-

thers.

cumcifed; which was only to please the Jews, and to fettle himself in the Kingdom; but this proves not that he was a Jew by Birth. St. Jerom, The contrary appears from the best * Re-Eusebius, cords: Yea, Josephus the Jew tells us exprelly, us, and o- that he was by his Father an Idumean, and by ther Fa- his Mother an Arabian. Africanus and others derive his Pedigree otherwise, but all make him a Forreigner and Stranger: And they all agree, that the Jews owned him for their King, and that he was the first Stranger whom they admitted to sway the Scepter over them, and consequently that in Him this Divine Oracle began to be fulfilled, viz. That the Scepter should depart from Judah. And when our Saviour conversed here on Earth, this Prophesie was still accomplished more and more: For we find that the Jewish Sanhedrim had lost their Judicial Power of Life and Death, and in all things truckled to the Roman Governours that were fet over them. And at last, at the Destruction of Jexusalam, their whole Power was quite Extinct, and the Scepter and Law-giver totally and finally departed from them. Then the Government Enquired into.

of every fort, the Priesthood and the Magistracy, the Making of Laws, and the Executing them, the State and Religion, the Commonwealth and the Church, were destroyed together, and so this Sacred Prediction was compleatly fulfilled. You fee then that there were Degrees of it, and therefore 'tis Vain and Idle to interpret this Prophese of a Moment of time. A punctual Delignation of a certain Minute Scason is unreasonable, for the Government and Authority of the Jews decayed gradually, till there was at length a final departing of them.

This then is the Grand thing represented in this Prophelie, that at or about Christ's Coming, the Scepter departed from the Nation of the Jews. Which is as much as we defire, to prove the Real Fulfilling of this Ancient Prediction, and from thence the Truth of Christianity. For thus we argue, the Jewish Scepter was to depart when Christ came: It is manifest and undeniable, that that Scepter is departed; therefore Christ is come. The Overthrow of the Jewish Polity, Magistracy and Government, is a certain Sign, Proof and Demonstration, that our Jesus is the Christ, and that this Christ is come. It is true, there was heretofore an Interruption of the Scepter, but the Jews returned to their Land and Rule again: But now for above Sixteen hundred Years, there hath been no shew at all of a Scepter and Kingdom; which is an undeniable Argument, that the Scepter is quite departed. Therefore the Talmud often often saith, that this Prediction refers to the Particular Case of the Jews; so that when Magistracy ceased in Ferusalem, and the Kingdom and Jurisdiction were cast off, they ought presently to expect the Messiah. And those are remarkable words of R. David Kimthi on Hof. 3. 4. The Children of Israel shall abide many days without a King, and without a Prince, and without a Sacrifice, &c. "These (faith he) are the times of Exile in which " we are at this day, we have neither a King, " nor a Prince of the Stock of Ifrael, but we are under the Power of the Gentiles, and " under the Power of the Kings and Princes of the Gentiles. Which is as much as to confess, that this Prophesie which I have been commenting upon, is accomplished, That the Scepter is departed from Judah, and that the Messias, who is the Blessed Jesus, the True Shiloh, (the Saviour, the Peace-maker, the Prosperer) is come.

Thé

The second Text enquired into, viz.

Exod. XXVIII. 30.

Thou shalt put in the Breast-plate of Judgment the Urim and the Thum-

His Renowned Oracle of the Jews, hath employed the Thoughts and Studies of many Learned Persons, both Jews and Christians; but the Result of their Enquiries hath been very different. For some after all their Searches have brought in an Ignoramus: Thus R. David Kimchi expressly averreth, It is not known to us what In librathe Urim and Thummim are: And several Rad cother Writers (as you shall hear afterwards) speak the same despairing Language on this

Theme.

| Others seem to be Allegorical, and by the | Origen in Urim and Thummim understand that Spiritual Ex. 21.

Urim and Knowledge, that Divine Truth and Homes CyLight and Knowledge, that Divine Truth and ril. Alex. de
Wisdom, with which God inspired the Heart Adorate in or Breast of the High Priest, as often as he Spir & vewore the Rationale on that part. But after this rit. Lit.

rate, a Man may Allegorize away half the
Bible; and then when the Literal or Historical Sense is wholly swallowed up of the
Mystical, we may give up our Reason and
Religion

Religion together, and profess we know not what either of them means. Besides, it is impertinent and ridiculous to interpret these words of any thing that God infused into the High Priest's Heart, because they are spoken to Moses, as appears from the first Verse of the Chapter: So that it is evident they mention not what God, but what Mofes was to do: It is He that is here commanded to put the Urim and Thummim into Aaron's Breast-plate, and therefore it is unreasonable and absurd to understand these words of God's infusing Light or Wisdom into Aaron's Heart. This be fure is not the Primary and Literal Sense, though (as I shall shew in the close of this Discourse) it may be contained in the Mystical and Highest Meaning of the Words.

I might in the next place mention (and truly it is enough barely to mention) the wild Fancy of the Brethren of the Rose Cross, who pretend to make and prepare the Urim and Thummim; and therefore would perswade us that they know very well what it is, viz. That it is an Artificial or Chymical Preparation of Light, answerable to the Subterranean Lamps. or it is such a Splendor made by Art, as Noab prepared for the Ark, Gen. 6.16. for by what we translate a Window, there is meant, fay they, some Greater thing: And what is that but this Spagyrick Splendor, the True Urim and Thummim of the World? But leaving these Fanciful Urim and Thummim-makers, I proceed to acquaint you with the Sentiments of the more Sober.

I. Some

I. Some of these hold that the Name of God was written, and put into the Breast-plate; and that this was the Urim and Thummim. R. Selomob Farchi faith expressy, that it was I In locum the Name Jebovah inserted into the Foldings of the High Priest's Pectoral. This is also avouched by Eugubinus, Vatablus, and P. Fagins, the last whereof hath these words, | The Wri- In locum. ting which contained the Name of God with four Letters, was called the Urim and Thummim. And Avenarius is partly of this Opinion; for though he holds that the Urim and Thummim are the Twelve Precious Stones shining in the Breast-plate, yet he joyns to them the Shem Hamphorash, as the Jewish Doctors stile the Name Jehovah. But for my part I cannot conceive how these Persons came to take up this Opinion, there being no Foundation at all for this particular Word or Name. They might as well have assigned any other Name of God, yea any other Word whatfoever that was reputed Sacred and Venerable. Wherefore others take another course, and understand by the Urim and Thummim something of a far different Nature, viz. Some Curious Piece of Work, either framed by the Hand of some Skillful Artist, or immediately wrought by God himfelf, and given by him to Moses when he was on the Mount. Accordingly.

II. Some are of Opinion, that the Urim and Thummim were the Precious Stones in the High Priest's Breast-plate, those Twelve Stones on which the Names of the Tribes were en-

graven.

The second TEXT

44

graven. This is the received Doctrin of the Talmudiffs, and the generality of the Jewish Doctors, to whom our Learned Lightfoot, and several other Christian Writers adhere. And they labour to prove this Assertion from Exod. 39. 8. &c. where the making of the Breaft-plate, with all its Ornaments, is fet down, and yet the Urim and Thummim are not expresly mentioned there: Whence they conclude, that these Stones are the Urim and Thummim, and that Mofes mentioning those, did as good as mention these. These therefore, fay they, are nothing else but those Stones. But this is confuted from this Exad. 28. where Aaron's Garments and Habiliments are most distinctly set down : Here you read of the Breaft-plate with Twelve Precious Stones, v. 15. &c. and then in v. 30. the Urim and Thummim are mentioned by themselves, Thou shalt put, &c. Therefore These are things really different from the Stones which were distinctly named before, and particularly described from v. 15. to 22. This I think is very plain and convincing; and as for the place before produced, the answer is, that the mentioning of the Vrim and Thummim is omitted there, where the Defign was particularly to infift upon those Habiliments which were Rich and Costly, and required great Art and Preparation; but the Urim and Thummim are not of that nature, but a plain easie thing, as I shall shew afterwards. The Talmudical Doctors go on, and tell us, that by the Stones shining more than ordinarily, Answer was given to what was asked by the Holy Priest. Which also is afferted by some Late Writers, who confidently tell us, that the Stones in tho Breast plate were called Urim, because they fent forth a light in great abundance, and especially that a Pair of these Gemms shone forth with extraordinary Brightness, when the Anfwer of God was acceptable and Welcome; but when it was not, they look'd very Dim and Dull. But some say (and with as much reason as the former) that the Answer was given, not by the Unusual Lustre of the Stones, but by the Letters of the Names Ingraven in them, which raised themselves higher and stood more out, and so made up certain Words out of the Alphabet, contain'd in the Names of the Twelve Tribes. When any one came to enquire at this Oracle, the High Priest look'd on the Letters which were Ingraven on the Stones of his Breast-place, and there read what God's Pleasure was. But this is a mere Conjecture: Besides, we are certain that Long Answers (and such there were sometimes) could not be given in this way. | Josephus | Antiq. affirms, that the Stones in the High Priest's Jud. 1. 3. Breast-plate, fore-signified what was to be by c. 9. the Change of their Colour. Others have other Fancies, as Suidas quotes an Author, who averred that the Stones turned Red if there was any Answer of War, and that they turn'd Black if Death was denounced, and other Divinations and Answers were fignified by other Colours: All which is mere Surmise and Conceit: Wherefore 'tis needless to insist upon the Refutation of it. III.

III. Others hold that the Urim and Thum. min were Two Precious Stones given by God to Mofes, diffinct from the Twelve Gemms or Stones beforementioned. I find that Procopius of old inclined to this Opinion, and among the Moderns Arias Montanus afferts it. But because they meerly affert it, and assign no Reason or ground of it (as indeed it is impossible they should) I will take no farther notice of it, but pass to the next Opinion.

IV. Others will have the Urim and Thummin to be a fort of Small Images belonging to the Breaft-plate. But there is a Disagreement among those that are of this Opinion, for some hold that the Urim and Thummim are one and the same thing, others that they are two diffe-

rent things.

sag. I.

Those that hold the Urim and Thummim were the Same thing, tell us, That they were two Little Images finely wrought, which the High Priest wore within the Fouldings of his Pectoral, and by these God gave Answer when there was Occasion. This is the Opinon of Christopherus d Castro, who adds also, That they are the same with the Teraphim, which were Statues, Figures, or Images used in Divining: The Talisman were of the like nature. So * Mr. Selden thinks, and addeth, That the Teraphim, among the Idolaters, answered to the De Dis Urim and Thummim among the Jews. The Syr. Syn-Learned Mr. Gregory agrees with him, and faith likewise, That the Teraphim were Puppets made of Wax in shape of a Man, and that they were framed under a certain Constellation.

tion, and thence had power to foretel future things. But in another place he faith, They were the Heads of First born Males cut off, and Magically ordered, and then being Con-

fulted, they spoke.

There are others, who affert that the Urim and I humanian were things of Different Natures. To fuch I would propound that Passage in Numb. 27. 21. Eleazar the Priest shall ask Counsel for him (i.e. Joshua) after the Judgment of Urim before the Lord. Here is no mention of Thummim, but Urim alone is put for both, or rather it is implied, that they are the same. So we read in 1 Sam. 28. 6. That when Saul enquired of the Lord, he answered him not, neither by Dreams, nor by Urim, nor by Prophets: Whence it seems to be evident, that Urim includeth the Thummim, and consequently the difference which some Persons make between them is taken away; they are the same Oracle, though not the same Words. Mr. Mede seems to be of another Opinion, and makes them two Distinct Oracles: By Urim (saith he) the Jews were afcertained of the Council and Will of God, by Thummim of his Favour and Good Acceptance. The Learned Dr. Spencer likewise hath in a whole Treatise attempted to prove, that the Urim is a quite different thing from the Thummim, and that it was to another end and purpose. As to his Notion concerning the Urim, it is the same in the main, with that of Christopherus & Castro, but he with Great Wit and Extraordinary Learning hath imbellished and improved it. The Urim, faith he, was one or two, or more Little Images, or Hollow Instruments which the High Priest had in the Foldings of his Breastplate. They were the same with Teraphim, often mentioned in the Old Testament. And Teraphim, by an usual change of S into T is for Seraphim, which Hebrew Word fignifies Vrentes: So that Urim and Teraphim agree in the Name, for Urim properly fignifies-Ignes. Now Seraphim is a word in the Old Testament to fignifie Angels, Isa. 6.6 it was the first and antientest Name for those Glorious Messengers of Heaven. And they often appearing in the first Ages of the World, and God holding Correspondence with Mankind by them, it was grown into a Custom to make Little Images and Representations of them in Wax; and at last the Images were called by their Names; as the Images of Angels in the Holy of Holies were called Cherubim, so the Images of Angels which the High Priest had in his Rationale were called Seraphim or Teraphim, and Urim. This Oracle was from the High Priest's Breast, as the Oracle in the Temple was from the Ark, and from between the Cherubims, which were of the same Nature. In short, the Urim were of Pagan Extraction, they being the same with the Teraphim, which are taken in Scripture in a good and a bad Sense, for they might be used lawfully or unlawfully, Devils and Impure Spirits might give answer by these as well as Good Spirits. The Teraphim then, which were a piece of Gentile Superstition at first, were by Gods leave tolerated among

among the Jews, because of the Hardness of their Hearts, and to comply with their obstinate Temper. Accordingly God ordered those to be placed within the doubling of the High Priest's Breast-plate, and thence he gave answers by them. This is the Learned Doctor's Notion of the Urim; and he quotes Philo the Jew, and Eben Ezra, as the Patrons of it.

But I presume to offer one thing against this Excellent Person's Opinion, and more particularly against the Foundation of it, which is this, that the word Teraphim is of a middle Signification in Scripture, and is taken sometimes in a good, and other times in a bad Sense; sometimes for Cherubims, and other Lawful Representations; and at other times for Unlawful Images and Idols. This I conceive is a mistake; for I find that the word Teraphim is always to be understood in the latter Sense. Thus Laban had these Teraphim, Gen. 31. 19. which the generality of Learned Expositors interpret concerning Magical and Telesmatical Images, by which it was usual among the Gentiles to foresee and foretel future Events. Therefore Rachel took them from her Father, that he might not, by confulting them, know which way she travelled: Or, it may be she retain'd fomething of his Superstition, and took these Tmelar Gods with her to direct her in her Journey. So we read that Micah had got him a House of Gods, and a Teraphim, Judg. 17. 5. But whether these were Lawful Implements, and becoming True Worshippers, you may gather from the next Verse, In those days there shere was no King in Israel, but every Man did that which was right in his own eye. And even when the Israelites had a King, and a more composed Government, this corrupt Practice was not foon worn off; for Michal, David's Wife, kept this piece of Idolatry in her House, to consult with upon occasion, I Sam. 19.13. But when a Reformation was fet on foot in good earnest, these Idolatrons Images immediately vanished: Thus we read that when King Josiah reformed Israel, he put away from among them the workers with familiar Spirits, and the Wizards, and the Images (or Teraphim, as 'tis in' the Original) and the Idols, and all the Abominations that were spied in the land. I think it will not be questioned whether Teraphim are to be taken here in a bad Sense. And that these were noted Instruments of Idolatry is clear from Ezek. 21. 21. where we read that the King of Babylon being about to use Divination, consulted with the Teraphim, which shews plainly what they were. But it may be objected that the Ephod and Teraphim go together, as in the forenamed place, Judg. 17.5. where 'tis said Micah made an Ephod and a Teraphim: And in Hos. 3. 4. it is prophesied that the Israelites should be without an Ephod and a Teraphim; whence some infer, that the former being a thing Lawful, the latter is fo too; the Ephod belonged to the True Worshippers, therefore the Teraphim did. I answer first, it may be both of these were Unlawful and Idolatrous; for it was the Custom of False Worshippers to imitate the True ones in

fome

Enquired into.

fome things: And because God appointed his Priests an Ephod, they likewise aped that Holy Garment, and had a certain Vestment not unlike to it, which they used in their Idolatrous Services. This went along with their Teraphim which was a Device of their own, and had no reference (that we know of) to any thing made use of by God's People. If we consult Judges 8. 27. we shall see a proof of this : Ephod is not here meant of a Holy Garment, but of Gideon's Idolatrous Vestment, in imitation of the High Priest's Ephod. So in Judg. 18. 14. an Ephod and Teraphim are joyned together as things of the fame Quality and Kind, i.e. as Idolatrous, yea and thrice more they are mentioned together, viz. in v. 17,18,20. In all which places you may observe, that either molien or graven Images are joyned with them, to convince us yet farther of what Nature they are.

Or Secondly, Suppose that by Ephod in the latter place, viz. in Hosea, be meant a Lawful Garment, even the same which the High Priest wore by God's Appointment; yet it doth not follow from this, that the other thing mentioned with it, viz. the Teraphim was lawful. For in these words of Hosea this double thing is foretold, namely, That the time wilf come when God will take away from the Jews, not only the True Worship, but the Idolatrous one, which used to be so delightful to them. The Prophet tells them, That they shall not only be without an Ephod, i. e. The Mosaick Priesthood and Service; but they shall be without an Image, i. e. a Standing Image or Statue, (as the word fignifies) fuch as the Golden Calf, or the Calves in Dan and Bethel ; and that they shall be without Teraphim, i.e. Images for Divination: They shall be debarred the Prophane and Idolatrous way of Worshipping, which was so dear to them. And this is the very case of that People at this day. they neither Worship the True God after the Mosaick Appointment, (for they are out of a Capacity of doing it in their present Circumstances) nor do they serve Idols and fall down to Images. They have no Ephod, and they have no Teraphim, they are without the Mosaick Worship, and also without the Idolatrous and Superstitious Rites to which they were heretofore accustomed, and in which they took so great delight. This seems to be the plain and obvious Sense of the Words, and any Man may see how directly it Con-'fronts the Learned Doctor's Opinion.

I might add, that it is improbable God would make use of the Teraphim (which are the same with the Urim according to this Worthy Person) as a Divine Oracle, seeing they are Originally Superstitious and Idolatrous things, and no other than the common Instruments of Divination among the Pagans. I know this Learned Author salves the Improbability of this, by adding that this was done wholly in Compliance with the peevish and hard-hearted Jews, who were to be humoured and pleased at that time. But I crave leave to dissent from this Learned Man here,

because I question whether it was worthy of God to indulge the *Israelites* in these Heathen Superstitions. I refer the Reader to Arguments of another sort in a very * Learned * Dr. Po. Writer, who hath largely consuted this mi-cock Comstake of the Teraphim, being the same with ment. on the Urim.

I pass now to this Reverend Author's Notion of Thummim, which he holds to be a thing really different from the Urim. He hath this particular and fingular Apprehension of it, that as Urim was fetch'd from Serapis an Egyptian Image, so Thummim is of the like Original for it was an Image made of Precious Stones, which the High Priests of Egypt wore about their Necks, having Anithma written on it, and therefore it was called Truth, as Diodorus Siculus, Alian, and others relate. Accordingly the Jewish Thummim is stiled Truth by the Septuagint. Against which most ingenious and plaufible Conjecture, which the Author of it hath adorned with infinite Reading and Criticism, I make bold to offer a few things, begging first his pardon for daring to shake so admirably contrived a Notion. And truly I am apt to think my felf already absolved by a Person of that famed Ingenuity and Candor, who as he hath thought fit to depart from other Writers on this Subject, and hath worthily established a Sentiment of his own, so he will forgive the Freedom of this Critical Essay, which ventures to dissent from that so Celebrated Hypothesis of his. Briefly then, whereas he parallels the Thummim with the Egyptian:

54

walk

Egyptian Ornament, because, like that, it hung down by a Golden Chain, I humbly conceive that Text which is alledged in favour of it, is no politive Proof, viz. Exod. 28.22, &c. for though it appears thence that the Breaft-place of the Jewish High Priest was fastened to the Shoulder-pieces of the Ephod by Chains and Rings of Gold, yet there is not a word of the Thummim being fastened to, or hanging by any thing; but 'tis only faid in that Chapter, that Moses shall put in the Breast-plate the Thummim. And this likewise I offer from these express words, if the Thummim was put in the Breaftplace, and lapt up within it, how could it be a Pendent Image, and how could it be feen hanging without? Then belides, if this Image or Jewel called Thummim hung dangling on the High Priest's Breast, it could not but cover (in part at least) the Rich Gemms in the Rationale, and hinder them and their Curious Engravings from being seen. I only modestly Query. whether this be allowable. And this too is to be thought of, that if the Thummim hung down by a Chain, there is the same reason to affert that the Wrim did so too, for the Text ipeaks of both alike; and so the Images which were supposed to be shut up in the warm Folding of the Pettoral; must be taken out, and exposed to the Air, and both they and the Egyptian Image must hang together on the High Priest's Breast.

Lastly, If this Excellent Writer will give me leave to utter my thoughts freely, This is another reason why I disent from him, viz.

because

because his Assertion concerning the Thummim (as well as the Vrim) supposes that God himself imitated the Idolatrous Nations; for the most Sacred Thummim, according to him, was borrow'd from the Egyptians, and particularly from their Priests; which to me seems to be not only unworthy of the Divine Majesty, but of his High Priest, and of the Religion instituted by him. Therefore the admirable Grotius declares, that it is most likely that the Egyptians in this (as in other things) imitated the Jews. And the Learned Isaac Vossius acknowledgeth that the Egyptians took this Ornament, and the Name of it, from the Hebrews, as they did many other things. And he adds, that the Egyptians consulted this Precious Stone of the High Priest as an Oracle, wherein also they emulated the Hebrews. But the contrary, viz. That the Jews, nay that God himself, and in a Matter so Solemn and Sacred, followed the Example of the Idolatrous Egyptians, feems to me very improbable. We read in the Infallible History, that the Israelites borrowed of the Egyptians Jewels of Silver and Gold, and Rayment; but that the High Priest of Israel borrowed his Ponissical, and particularly his Oracular Habit from them, I find no where attested: But I read that God strictly forbad the Jews to use the Rites of the Pagan Nations, and to follow their Customs, After the doings of the Land of Egypt, wherein ye dwelt, shall ye not do: And after the doings of the Land of Canaan, whither I bring you, ye shall not do, neither shall ye walk in their Ordinances, Lev. 18. 3. And it is the Confession of one of the most Understanding Rabbies, even that Moses of whom the Jews fay, that from Moses the Great Lawgiver to this Moses there never was such another of that Name: (I fay) 'tis his Confession and Acknowledgment, That the Jewish Rites prescribed that People by God were not in imitation of the Pagan Rites, but were in absolute opposition to them. Thus the Famous Rambam, who was celebrated for his profound Skill in the Jewish Laws and Constitutions. This is all that I have at present to offer in way of Exception to the Learned Doctor's Opinion. But though I cannot yield to be his Proselyte in this Point, yet I deny not that Others of great Sagacity and Judgment may fee farther into, and acquiesce in so Noble a Discovery: And as for my own part, I acknowledge most frankly that I pay a great Veneration to this Excellent Person, who hath with so great an Amassement of Learning and Variety of Reading, framed so Delicate a Notion. I admire the Incomparable Artificer, though I cannot altogether subscribe to his Work.

You see what have been the Different Opinions of Writers concerning the Urim and Thummim: I will now after all propound that which I conceive is more eligible than any of those already named. The High Priest, the Chief Minister of the Jewish Church, had eight distinct Garments wherewith he was adorned, whence he was called

the Priest * of many Garments, to distinguish בנרוב him from the other Priests. But of all these Vestments the Ephod was the most considerable, and was worn over all the rest: This had a hole or opening in the fore-part of it; where was fastned a piece of Cloth of the same work with the Ephod, very richly embroidered and mixed with Gold. This Cloth being doubled was Four-square; it was a Span in length, and of the same Dimension in breadth, Exod. 28, 15, &c. This Quadrangular Piece is called the High Priests Pettoral or Breast-plate, and it was set with four Rows of Precious Stones, three in a Row, i. e. twelve Stones in all; and on each of these Stones was engraved one of the Names of the Sons of Israel, from whom the Twelve Tribes took their Denominations. And this Square Breast-plate, this Rich Stomacher of the High Priest, was Famous not only for these Precious Stones and the Ingravings on them, but also for the Vrim and Thummim which were placed here also. Now (to come to the matter) these were no such Fine and Rare things as some talk of: Nay, let me add, they were no Things at all, but only Words. I take them to be no other than these two bare words אורים and moritten or engraven upon the Breast-plate. After all the various Sentiments, Disputes, Opinions and Conjectures about this fo Famous and so much Controverted Oracle, I apprehend this to be the short, plain and easie account of it. It was only these two Hebrew DifDistyllables URIM and THUMMIM (of great Significancy and Importance, as you shall hear afterwards) placed upon the High Priests Pectoral. This was all. And that this Account of the *Urim* and *Thummim* is as Probable and Reasonable as it is Plain, I will make appear from these following Particulars.

The second TEXT

1. There was no room for a pair of Images or more in the Breast-plate, for it was but a Span in length and breadth, fo that you cannot conveniently lodge thefe little Flying Scraphims in so narrow a contpass, you will indanger their Wings, or hurt some of their Tender Members by truffing them up in fo contracted a Room. Besides, we must remember, that according to the foresaid Opinion the Vrim were Images of Wax: Now, it was not fafe to lodge these little waxen Tools in that close Folding next the warm and zealous Breast of the Priest; for by this means there might be danger of dissolving these little Cherubims: But this is prevented by our Affertion that the Urim and Thumwilm were not Things but Words.

2. The Terms whereby it is expressed in Scripture will bear this Interpretation very well; for concerning the Urim and Thummim it is said, Thou shalt put them in the Breast-plate; or according to the Version Existration. And when Moses had cloathed Auron with the Ephod and Breast-plate, it is said

he * put upon the Rationale or Breast-plate Exion. the Orim and Thummim, Lev. 8.8. Now this xer 32 70 without the least Straining may signific to Aspens. us the Writing of these words Vrim and Thummim upon the Breast-plate. It is a general. Term, and fo may include that Particular way and manner of Putting those words upon the Pectoral, viz. by Writing or Ingraving them. Nay, if we go to the Original, we shall find that that is as fair for us, for Thou shalt give in the Breast-plate, &c. (which is the exact Version according to the Hebrew) may as well be understood of Writing as any other way. Nay, you may observe that the word giving is used with reference to Writing, in Effect 3. 14. The Copy of the Writing for a Commandment to be given is as much this, * The Sum of Summa the Writing was, that there should be written Epifiolafuch a Command or Decree. Given is of the rum has fame import there, with missing and the fair. Vulg. same import here with written, or engraffed. Lat. Another place I will produce, to confirm this Notion which I offer, viz. Fer. 31,33. I will put (or according to the Hebrew) I will give my Law in their inward parts, and write it in their Heart: Where you fee Nathan and Katab are the fame: I will give or put, and I will write, are Synonimous Terms; and so in the Greek of the Seventy, and the * New Testament (where this place *Heb. 3.10. of Jeremiah is quoted) Ashur answers to 10.16. redow and myedgo. Thus you see that according to the Idiom of the Holy Language putting or giving is understood and interpreted

thefe

is in.

preted of Writing. To farther this Notion, I could add, that Giving hath the fame Acception in other Tongues, as in the Greek (besides what hath been said already) Sivas is equivalent to Scribere according to that of Demosthenes, Savas Sugir natativo, to prefer a Bill or Writing against one. And in the Latin 'tis well known that dare literas is meant of writing Epiftles or Letters : And in our own Language, dated or given is the same with written. However, tis certain that the Hebrew word Nathan is of a very large Extent in Scripture, and is no less applicable to Writing than to many other things. Thus in the Text, Thou shalt give in the Breast-plate the Urim and Thummin, may well be rendred, Thou shalt write them in the Breast-plate, this being one way of giving; for in Writing there is something put or given into the Writing-Table, Paper, or Book, or whatever elfe the Writing

3. We read that This very thing was done in the Pontifical Habiliments: Certain Words were Written or Put upon some part of the Holy Garments, Exod. 28. 36. Thou shalt make a plate of pure Gold, and grave upon # HOLINESS TO THE LORD. Observe here, These words HOLINESS TO THE LORD were writ on a Plate, and put on Aaron's Forehead. Why then might not these words URIM and THUMMIM be written on something, and put in the Breast-plate of Aaron? One

Enquired into.

One Writing is a Pattern of the other; the words URIM and THUMMIM in the Breast-plate answered to Those HOLINESS TO THE LORD in the Head-plate or Mitre. And though they are not said to be Graven, yet it is said they were Put upon, which is a large term, and

comprehends the other.

4. We have a Parallel place in Zach. 14. 20. In that day shall there be upon the Bells of the Horses HOLINESS UNTO THE LORD. The Prophet here speaks of the Glorious days of the Gospel, when True Holiness (which was shadowed forth by the Mosaick Purifications and Cleanfings) shall take place in the Church, when Men shall universally turn to God, and dedicate themselves wholly to Him and his Service. True Sanctity shall then be the Ornament and Lustre of their Lives: Yea, their very fecular and inferior Imployments shall be devoted and made Serviceable to Holiness. In that day shall there be upon the Bells or the Bridles (fo the Hebrew word signifies likewise) of the very Horses, Holiness unto the Lord: Instead of their Curious Harness and Trappings, there shall as it were be written or Ingraven upon them these words, Holiness unto the Lord; the very words which were commanded to be written in the Front of the High Priest's Mitre. This shall be their Ornament and Bravery. I do not think (nor doth any Man else, I suppose) that

62

Hebr.

these words shall be really written on the Horses Bells or Bridles; but I alledge this place for this, that 'tis spoken and expressed as if fuch words were written, because they were fo in effect. The manner of expressing the thing, is that which I observe here as pertinent to our purpose. Mark! It is not faid there shall be written or ingraven ; but there shall be upon the Bells of the Hories: And it is not faid, there shall be these words; but plainly and fimply, there shall be Holiness to the Lord. So here, it is not faid, thou fhalt write, but thou shalt put; and it is not faid, thou shalt put these words, but thou shalt put the Urim and Thummim. Yet as in the former place Writing and Ingraving is understood, so it is here: These Individual Words Urim and Thummim are to be Written or Ingraven on the High Priest's Breast-plate, as the words Kodesh Laihovah, (i e. Holiness to the Lord) were to be seen in effect, Written or Ingraven on the Trappings of the Horses.

5. There is another place of Scripture to illustrate this, and to let us see that it is probable the words Urim and Thummim were to be Written, though it is not faid fo in express terms. The King of Babylon food at the parting of the way to use Divination, *Teraphimhe consulted with * Images; at his right hand was the Divination for Jerusalem, Ezek. 21. 21, 22. The Preposition [for] is not in the Hebrew Text, but only Jerusalem; for here is described the Pagan way of Divination,

and particularly how the King of Babylon undertook by his Heathen Art to predestine the Slaughter and Destruction of Ferufalem; namely, as Haman cast Lots in his Divining way, to know what days were fittest and best for the Slaughter of the Jews. He commanded Pur (i. e. the Lot) to be cast from day to day, Esther 3.7. This fort of Divining Lottery is used here by the King of Babylon, who had a Scheme before him (as was the Custom in these cases) of what he intended to enquire about, and accordingly in his right hand was the Divination Ferusalem, (so it is in the Hebrew) i. e. Jerusalem was the Word which was written on that part of the Lots. The meaning then is, that the Magical Divination or Lottery went clearly against Jerusalem, that City was to be destroy'd. This is the short way of Scripture Expression, At his right hand was the Divination, Jerusalem, which more largely should have been expressed thus, At his right hand was the Divination which respected Jerusalem, and to distinguish it from the rest, the word [Jerusalem] was written upon it. So here, the like Abbreviating stile is used, Thou shalt put the Urim and Thummim in the Breast-plate, i. e. Thou shalt distinctly write down these two words Urim and Thummim, and then place them conveniently in that part of the Ephod.

6. Let this be considered, That the Infpired Pen-man of the Book of Exodus, is very Exact and Particular about all the Habi-

liments

liments and Ornaments of the High Priest, in the 28th Chapter. How punctual is he about the two great Onyx stones on the Shoulders of the Ephod, from the ninth to the thirteenth Verse? And the setting of the twelve Precious Stones in the Breast-plate is precisely described from the seventeenth to the thirtieth Verse. But when he comes to fpeak of the Urim and Thummin, he uses no Description at all, but only mentions the Bare Names Urim and Thummim; whence any Observing Man may perceive that these were some Easie and Plain thing, which needed no Explaining and Inlarging. If it were not so, we should have the manner of the putting of the Urim and Thummim into the Rationale, particularly set down, as you see other things in that Chapter are punctually described. He that hath any Ingenuity must needs acknowledge that this makes exceedingly for my Assertion.

7. Let me add this, That you read not of any Directions for preparing the Urim and Thummin, which is another Argument that they were mere Names or Words, that they were fomething Written only. If they had been to be made with Art, viz. by Ingraving or Carving, or fome other way (as Authors have supposed) we should have had the Materials and the Manner of it set down, at least in the general, as we read of the Manner of preparing feveral things belonging to the Tabernacle and the Mofaick Worship. But we read of no fuch thing relating to the Urin Enquired into.

and Thummim: There is no more said than barely this, Thou shalt put them in the Breastplate. What reason then is there to fancy any other thing than the mere Writing of them?

8. I offer this to be considered. That Folephus hath not a word of Urim and Thummim. though he hath a whole Chapter (viz. The Eighth in his Third Book of Antiquities) of the Priests and High Priests Garments. His business was in this place to describe the Pontifical and Sacerdotal Ornaments, and therefore if this had been any Great and Considerable thing, he would not have passed it by in filence, especially throughout a whole Chapter, which is one of the longest he hath in the Book. Nay afterwards, when in the next Chapter he falls upon mentioning a certain Miracle of the Stones on the High Priest's Breast; he saith not a Syllable of this Matter, but only relates how Answer was given (as he thought) by the extraordinary thining of those Stones. Nay further, this Author had a fair Opportunity again to mention the Urim and Thummim if they had been any Notable thing, for in his fixth Book of the fewish War, cap 6, he particularly and distinctly enumerates all the Garments of the High Priest, and more especially the Rich Gemms in his Breast-plate; but he faith nothing at all of Urim and Thummim, yea he doth not so much as name them. Whence 'tis to be presumed that these were rather Words than Things, i. e. That they were these two words

The second TEXT

words written, and nothing else. If the Urim and Thummin had been some Great and Wondrows thing, or if they had been like any of those things which have been preferred to us before, this Excellent Man as a Faithful Historian would not have omitted it, and as he was a few, would not have passed it by for the Glory of the Nation, and much more as a Priest he would not have done it for

the Honour of his Order.

9. From the Learned Dr. Spencer's Notion of Thummim I may confirm my own, though a contrary one. He maintains that the Jewish Thummim was borrowed from the Egyptian High Priest who had a rich Saphire hanging by a Golden Chain at his Breast, and because the word Truth was Ingraven on it, therefore the Image it felf was called Truth. This gives a fair hint of what I affert, that the Thummim (as well as the Urim) was something written, especially if we invert what that worthy Person saith, and with Mr. Selden and others hold, That the Egyptians borrowed this Ornament from the Jews. Hence we may learn the true Nature and Quality of it, for their imitating the Jews in this matter acquaints us that the Thummim was only a written or ingraved word: This usage of the Egyptian High Priest, viz. of wearing a Jewel at his Breaft, in which 'Axis was written, shews plainly that the Jewish High Priest (from whom this usage was taken) wore in the fame place fomething written or ingraven. And this was the Hebrew word Thummim (togeEnquired into.

(together with the other word Vrim) which was thought to fignifie Truth, and accordingly the Seventy Interpreters render it 'Aniseia. Thus we may partly gather what the Nature of the Thummim among the Fews was, from the Egyptian imitation of it. Their having the word Truth written and made use of by their Chief Minister of Religion, lets us know that it was but a Copy of the Hebrew Original, and that the Thummim was no other than that very word in-

scribed in the Breast-plate.

10. The probability of this Opinion is confirmed from what was faid in the entrance into this Discourse, viz. That several of the most Learned and Inquisitive Writers have professed their Ignorance as to the matter which is before us. This feems to me to be no contemptible Consideration, but to be fomething towards a proof of what I affert. Besides R. Kimchi before mentioned, there are other Hebrew Doctors. that declare they cannot tell what the Vrim and Thummim are. | Aben Ezra is of this mind, and fo is R. Abraham Seba, and if I | In locum. had leifure to fearch into Writers upon this Subject, I might produce others among the Circumcifed Doctors. These are followed Qualer fuby many of the Christian Profession, as Car-erint vesnedinal Cajetan, a Man of great Search and mini mor-Curiofity, who ingenuously professeth he flat. In Ex. knoweth nothing of the Vrim and Thum- 28. 30. mim. | Munster acknowledgeth the same, Exercitat. and so doth *Buxtorf, and a || Learned Man ||Dr. Gellon of Lev. 8. 8.

-6 พระเม่นมอน จระเรามีร พริ-

MINIOS TUGET axer. Lib.

11. de Adorat. in Spir.

Bir' Er Hey K Tavta

of our own hath these Words, To define what the Urim and Thummim were is none of my business, nor indeed dare I attempt that which hath puzzled all the Learned Men in the World. This makes for my Opinion, for 'tis plain that these Learned Writers were not able to make any confiderable Matter of the Urim and Thummim. If they could, it is certain they would have told us of it; If These had been any Great Thing, they would not have been silent, but would have laid it open before us. But in the mean time they overlook'd that which was Plain and Obvious, they would not take notice of that which was a mere Writing, and no more, as 'tis most probable these Urim and Thummim These very Words were written, were. and put upon or into the Breast-plate, and that was all, if I mistake not.

11. That they were something written hath been a Notion not unacceptable to some Understanding Men, both Jews and Christians, as you have already heard: And particularly they thought the Tetragrammaton was the Name that was written; but there is no reafon given why that Name should be called the Urim and Thummim. However, thus far I will make use of it, to let you see was it not thought Improbable and Unreasonable, that some Writing was here meant; otherwise they would not have talk'd of the Tetragrammaton. This is a good Foundation for my Hypothesis, which I conceive I have built upon with Probable Arguments already, and am proceeding to add more. 12. That

12. That passage of Cyril Bishop of Alacandria is very remarkable, who speaking of the Urim and Thummim mentioned by Moses, hath these words, * "He (i. e. " 'Ου של בדר צו חם

"Moses) hath not plainly shew'd whether they were Stones, or rea di alous ii dis de " whether he commanded that wing wirania Raglii inscription to be made as it "were in a small Table. Again he saith, " Whether they were "Stones, or whether those Words were written down as

Albor, sir we en mivake HUOH T OVOLLETON YESφειπολυσιαγμονίσα ιδά "it were in a Golden Table, I κλίαν ο λογ Φ., Ibid.

& verit.

" will not be too Curious in en-"quiring after. You see the Learned Father was inclinable to believe that the Urim and Thummim were only those two words written in some small Table, and so deposited within the Breast-plate. And the words of this Pious Writer are the more Considerable, because he is wont to be very Sagacious in finding out of Mysteries in the Holy Bible, and if he had thought the Urim and Thummim had been any Mystical and Wonderful thing, he would certainly have inlarged upon it; whereas you see he is not averse to the Affertion I am maintaining, that These Bare Words were committed to Writing, and lodged in the Square-piece of the Ephod (when there was occasion to consult them as an Oracle; otherwise they were not put into it) and that is all.

in Exod.

* In I∫ai•

73. This Opinion was hinted of old by Qu. 117. | St. Augustine; it was obscurely glanced at by * Procopius, and by | Philo the Jew; and I find that others of late, as Salianus, Bel-Mosting, larmine, Haye, somewhat incline this way, though they are loth to speak out. But Cornelius à Lapide seems to be the most positive, though he faith very little in defence of the Opinion. He in a manner stands alone in this Cause, and that without Supporters t Wherefore I have in this Discourse endeavoured to hold him up, of the Success of which, let the Reader judgo

Lastly, This Opinion may justly merit our Reception upon this account, That hereby are avoided all the Inconveniencies, Absur's dities, Incongruitles, Inconsistencies, which accompany some of the Opinions which I have named, and which are commonly re-

ceived. Now, If it be faid that the Hebrew Do. flors and Talmidifts are the best Judges in this Controversie, and that they maintain quite another thing, the Answer is ready, viz. That some of them maintain nothing at all in this matter (as you have heard) but proclaim their Ignorance concerning it: And as for the rest, we have no reason to look upon them as Able Judges in this Affair, for any one that hath conversed with the Rabbies, knows full well that they are the most Fanciful Creatures in Nature. Though as to fome peculiar things they may be profitably consulted, yet in most they are very Deceit-

ful, and betray Men to gross Errors and Falsehoods. Maimonides was the only Man among the Jewish Rabbins that began to leave off trifling and playing the Fool, faith a Great Admirer of Jewish Learning, and Selden de *others had said it before him. It is certain "Dis Syr." that the Generality of this Tribe are the syntag. 2. most Fabulous, Conceited and Superstitious * Scaliger Writers under Heaven. Their way is to in- Causavent and feign, and to impose upon the World: And besides, they monstrously affect Obscurities, and doat on Mysteries, and indeed care not for any thing that is Plain: So that their Opinion is not to be relied upon.

Enquired into.

If any shall blast the former Assertion as Novel, it must be remembred that Antiquity is not always a Badge of Truth. Besides, you may perceive by what I have faid, that some of the Antients, both Christians and Jews, were in a fair way to receive this Opinion; but the commonly received Notion did so biass them; that they became averse to the espousing of This which is more Probable and Accountable. Interpreters and Commentators on this place have been always busie to discover some Great and Wonderful things in these words, they (as was fuggested before) look'd for some Strange and Mysterious Matter here, and that made them pass by this Plain and Ordinary thing, viz. That these bare words Urim and Thummim were written and deposited in the Breastplate, which is a frue account of the thing,

though

though there were no Antiquity to vouch

Now, if you ask how these words Urim and Thummim were written, whether upon two Precious Stones distinct from the Twelve, or on a little Table of thin Gold, or some other Matter, and whether they were placed in the middle or sides of the Rationale, I declare I have nothing to fay to these Queries, I pretend not to decide them. It is sufficient that there was room enough for them in that place. And if you ask how the Answers were made by these written Words, how Affirmative or Negative Responses were given by them, I am not Solicitous to resolve you. The Learned Dr. Spencer hath not told you how the Little Images gave Answer, or how the Greater Pendant-Image did its work: As to the Manner of the Responses he leaves it Uncertain. And I may be excused if I undertake not to acquaint you, how by these Written Words God gave Answer to those who asked Counsel of him. We are sure He did give Answer by them, and let that suffice.

But I have not done yet; I am now to shew you what These Hebrew Words Vrim and Thummim signisie; and here we shall find something worthy of our Remark. The Chaldee Paraphrase and the Samaritan retain These Original Words; so do Tunim and Tremellim, the French Bible, and our Last English Translation. The Syriac Version renders them Lucid and Perfett, the Arabick Dilucidations and Certitudes, the Septuagins Mani-

Manifestation and Truth, St. Jerom and the Δίιλωσιν Valgar Latin Doctrine and Truth, Castellio & This a-Glearness and Integrity, Coverdale Light and Anders. Perfectness. The Syriac Version and these two last give us the Truest Meaning of the words Urim and Thummim; but the Most Exact rendring of all is that of Pagnine, Illuminations and Perfections, for the Hebrew words are in the Plural Number. First, The proper Signification of * Urim is Lights of from 718 Illuminations. Now Light is in the Sacred iduxit. Scripture put for Knowledge, and therefore God is called the Father of Lights, because Reason and Understanding, and the Product of these Knowledge (as well as other good and Perfett Gifts) come down from Him. The Soul is illuminated with Divine Knowledge, the Will of God is taught and manifested by this, and so this is reconcilable both with the Septuagint and Vulgar Version, [Manifestation and Doctrine for this Light manifesteth and teacheth. Secondly, The most proper and genuine rendring of Thummim (being in the Plural also) is Perfettions or Integrities; for both these meet in one, and are denoted by that Original Word, as the Greek word riand fignifies both Perfett and Upright. This is the True account of the Hebrew words Urim and Thummim.

Now let us see what these relate to: It is certain that there is a Spiritual Meaning in most of the Mosaical Appointments and Rices. Is there not Reason then to think that These Words which were commanded to be

ρu

luceo.

put into the High Brieft's Breast-plate, that these Sacred Characters which were written at first with Gods own hand (it is probable) as the Moral Law was, represent unto us something that is Spiritual and Mystical? First then, These relate to the High Priest and the Holy Function of the Ministry. Those who are appointed to this Office are the Lights of the World: And they must be (as it was faid of John the Baptist) Burning and Anxons, Shining * Lights. They must with Zeal Ina delie vel form and Instruct the People, they must Enlighten, and at the same time Warm Mens पुरेश तार०, Minds. And the Thummim must be the individual Companion of Urim, i. e. They must be Men of Integrity and Uprightness, Faithfulness and Sincerity, which are also called Truth, and so the Translation of the Seventy is very agreeable, who render Thummim Truth. The short is, That those who are employ'd in the Sacred Ministry, must speak as the Oracles of God, 1 Pet. 4. 11. They must deliver Gods Word Plainly, Impartially, Faithfully, Truly, and they must add Integrity of Life and Mana, ners, which make them in some measure Perfest. Secondly, These words relate to the Law of God, which is so often called a Light. Thy word (faith the Pfalmist) is a Lamp to my feet, and a Light unto my path, Pfal. 119.105. The Commandment is a Lamp, and the Law is Light, faith Solomon, Prov.6.23. Therefore it is faid, The Commandment of the Lord enlightens the Eyes, Pfal. 19.8. And in Pfal. 119.130. It giveth

Light. The same Inspired Person tells us, That

Enquired into.

the Law of the Lord is Perfect, Pfal. 19.7. And it is This Divine Law which the Pfalmist calls the Truth, Pfal. 119.142. So that you fee the Urim and Thummim may relate to This. Yea, as they refer to this Law or Will of God, the Revelation of it may be fignified by Light, and the Effecting and Accomplishing of it may be fignified by Perfection. Thirdly, They have respect to a higher Matter, they represent and let forth Christ Jesus our High Priest. He is the True Light which lighteth every Man that cometh into the World, John 1.9. and therefore he calls himself The Light of the World, . John 8.12. When this arose the Shadows fled away, Darkness and Night vanished, and all became Clear and Bright. This Sacred Oracle, which was represented by That in Aaron's Pectoral, did not speak Ambiguously, but Plainly and Distinctly, we are by it taught what we must believe, and what we must do. He is Truth it felf as well as Light; he is of fuch Faithfulness and Integrity that he will not deceive us. In this Infallible Oracle Dostrine and Truth, Light and Integrity, Illumination and Perfection meet together. The Jewish Vrim and Thummim were lost at the Captivity of Babylon, and wanting at the Peoples Return, Ezra 2.63. neither do we find that God anfwered by them any more. But Christ, the Word, is a Never-failing Oracle, and shall indure for ever. In him dwelleth all the fullness of the Godhead bodily: And we also are compleat in him who is the head, Col. 2. 9, 10. Thus the Urim and Thummim were a Type

of our Lord Jesus Christ the Great High Priest, who is truly our Light and our Perfection.

You see then how Significant these Two Words are, and by consequence how worthy they were to be written by the Finger of God himself, and placed on the High Priest's Heart. It was impossible to couch more in two single words than you see is here comprehended. Whence we may conclude, That the Writing or Ingraving of these was all that Moses here meant, and consequently that those Writers have mistaken the Text, who have imagined that some Precious Stones or Images, or some such things were put into the High Priests Pectoral, and that they are here called Urim and Thummim.

Thus I have given you an Account of the Different Opinions of the Learned concerning this Extraordinary way of Revelation stiled Urim and Thummim: And I have prefented you with my Own Thoughts, which (as I conceive) are the most plain, natural and simple account of this Divine Oracle: I have likewise indeavoured to inform you what was the Higher and Spiritual Meaning

intended by the Holy Ghost.

The third Text enquired into, viz.

Judges XI. 30, 31.

And Jephthah vowed a Vow unto the Lord, and said, If thou shalt without fail deliver the Children of Ammon into my hands, Then it shall be, that what soever cometh forth of the doors of my House to meet me, when I return in Peace from the Children of Ammon, shall surely be the Lord's, and I will offer it up for a Burnt-offering.

His Remarkable Vow of Jephthah, and the Manner of the performing of it, have frequently employed the Thoughts and Pens of the Learned, who according to their different Apprehensions of the Words, have decided this Controversie in a different manner. Some considently assert, that Jephthah did not Sacrifice his Daughter, but others on the contrary maintain that he did. And besides this, there is

The

another Question on supposal that he did Sacrifice her, viz. Whether it was well done of him or no? These are the Particulars which will fall under our Enquiry at present, but especially I shall entertain the Reader with the latter of them, and there endeavour to shew what was the Rise of this Inhumane and Extravagant Action, and what Reason may be given why this Generous Commander, this Noble Warrior, was so eager of shedding the Blood even of his own Daughter, yea, when it was so absolutely contrary to the Law which this Great Man could scarcely be ignorant of. Here I hope to give some light to this Controverted Cause, by assigning the True Spring of that Strange Affion, and by difcovering what was the Over-ruling Design of Providence in it, which hath not been enquired into by others (that I have met with) on this Subject.

But first, Let us hear what those say who embrace the Negative, viz. That Jephthah did not Sacrifice his Daughter. To make this good, they hold that there are two distinct parts of the Vow: 1. Whatsoever competh forth of the doors of my House to meet me, shall surely be the Lords. 2. I will offer it up for a Burnt-offering. And they put them together thus, Whatsoever cometh, &c. shall surely be the Lords, or I will offer it up, &c. They read Or instead of And, for the Copulative Vau (they say) is sometimes Disjunctive in Scripture, and so it is here in this Vow, the Hebrew Particle which is here translated

and should be rendred or; for Jephthah's words are to be taken Disjunctively, and his Vow was no other than this, Whatever I first meet with coming out of my House, shall either be dedicated to the Lord, or I will Sacrifice it for a Burnt-offering. It was a Conditional Vow. i. e. If it were a thing fit to be Sacrificed he would Sacrifice it, otherwife not, he would Dedicate and Confecrate it to God, or something in the lieu of it. If a Dog or an Ass had been first met by him, he was not ingaged to Sacrifice them. Neither if he mer with a Man or a Woman, was he bound to offer them in Sacrifice; but only he was to act according as the Creature was which he met with. Now Jephthah, they fay, performed the first part of his Vow, and that was fufficient. He offered and Confecrated his Daughter to the Lord, he devoted her to a Virgin-State all her Life which appears from the Connection of those words, He did according to his Vow; and she knew not a Man, v. 39. One is Exegetical of the other; which sheweth that Jephihah kept his Yow in separating his Daughter to a fingle Life for ever. She was not Properly, but Metaphorically Offered and Slain, i. e. she was to keep her Virginity perpetually. This

Civil Death passed upon her. Which is confirmed by what you read in v.40. The Daughters of Israel went yearly to || lament (or, as others render it, to * talk with) the Daughter of Jephthah.

|| Letannoth, ad lamentandum, à tanan lamentari, R. Jarchi & Chald. Paraphr. *Adconfabulandum, à tanah narrare, confabulari. R. Kimchi, Jun. & Trem. Buxtorf.

Whence

Arias

Whence they gather that Jephihah did not Sacrifice his Daughter, but only made her a kind of a Nun; in some solitary place he feeluded her from all, Society, excepting that the Daughters of Ifrael were permitted to go and spend three or four days in a Year in Lamenting and Condoling her perpetual Virginity, and in Talking and Conferring with her, and in Comforting her concerning her Solitary Condition, and her being kept from Marriage. Thus her Life was spared, the fell not a Sacrifice, but was Confecrated to God and his Service, the was devoted to a single Life, and was to remain a Recluse all her days. This was the opinion of R. Kimchi and some other Jewish Expositors; and they are followed not only by some of the || Pontificians (who perhaps might think Montanus, of Celibacy and a Nuns Life) but by * feveral

of the Reformed Churches... Pagnin, Vatablus, Secondly, Others, and with more reason, Estius. Junius, are for the Affirmative, viz. That Jephthab Lud de Di- really facrificed his Daughter. For what is, ron, Per- or can be more plain, than that in v. 39. kins, Dru- He did with her according to his Vow which fius, Groti- he had vowed? What was this Yow? us, Heinstus There is all the Difficulty. And yet, if you enquire narrowly into it, you will find that Selden. the Difficulty vanisheth; for the Vow is

> eth forth of the doors of my House to meet me, shall surely be the Lords, and I will offer it up for a Burnt-offering. He faith what soever, which shews that it was no Conditional Vow,

> very plain and intelligible, Whatfoever com-

Enquired into.

(as those of the other side pretend) but an Absolute one He unadvisedly made a Yow to Sacrifice what sever he met in his return from the Battle, if he proved a Vi-Ctor. When the Vow is thus Large and General, it is ridiculous to think or fay the contrary, viz. That it was a Conditional Vow. and it is as irrational to distinguish between a Copulative and a disjunttive Vau in this places though 'tis granted that in some other Texts it is allowable, because the very Sense and Meaning of the words direct us, year constrain us to it; but here is no occasion for it in the least. Wherefore this nice distinguishing between one Vau and the other. and between Offering to the Lord and Sacrificing, is altogether groundless, and you may fee it cashiered by what is expresly mentioned in the following Narrative in this History, for 'tis positively said, that Jephthab upon his Return home and meeting his Daughter * Rent his Cloaths. What was the reason of this? If his Vow had been Conditional or Disjunctive, (as some would have it) there was no ground at all for this his Behaviour: there was no occasion of Sorrow and Distraction if the Sacrificing his Daughter were not included in his Yow, if it were in his choice to offer her to the Lord (i. e. to dedicate her to him) or to Sacrifice her on the Altar; yea if he were at liberty by vertue of his Vow to kill a Beast instead of his own Child. If the case was thus, he had no reason to lament

ment and rend bis Cloaths, to vex and mortifie himself, which we find him doing here. But it is plain by this Action of his, that things were otherwise with him, and that he had fome Dreadful and Fatal Tidings to impart to his Daughter, which were real matter of Lamentation, and that the Contents of his Vow which fo nearly concerned her Life, were the cause of his Trouble and Sorrow. This appears from what follows, * Alas, my Daughter (faith he) thou hast brought me very low, and thou art one of them that trouble me: And then he communicates the direful News to her, I blove epened my month unto the Lord, and I camet go back. It happeneth indeed to be a very Sad and Deplorable Vow which I made. but I am ingaged to keep it, and I am fully resolved that I will. Whereupon his Submissive Child uttered these words, * My Father, if thou hast opened thy mouth unto the Lord, do unto me according to that which hath proceeded out of thy mouth: Seeing thou art returned in Safety and with Victory over thy Enemies, I am willing to be offered a Sacrifice of Thanksgiving to the God of Heaven, who mercifully covered thy Head in the Day of Battle, and delivered thy Enemies into thy hand. But this Obedient Damosel had one thing to request of her Father before she left the World. * Let this be done for me (faith she) let me alone two months, that I may go up and down upon the Mountains, and bewail my Virginity, I and

my Fellows. As much as if she had said. Seeing thou, O my Father, hast determined that I shall be offered up unto the Lord for a Burnt-offering according to the Tenor of the Vow which thou madest in the day of thy Distress, I beg but this one thing of thee, that thou wouldest vouchsafe to respite me for a little time, I desire only that I may be permitted to retire with a few of my Female Acquaintance into some Solitary place, that I and they may joyn together in Mourning, and lament this unhappy Allotment of mine, viz. That I must not live to be acquainted with the Joys of a Conjugal State, nor be a Joyful Mother of Children, (as I have sometimes wished, because Barrenness is accounted a Curse) but that I must Expire a Virgin, and die Ingloriously, and leave no Off-spring behind me. Jephthah, as foon as she made known this her request to him, most willingly granted it, and wished with all his heart he could have granted her more. * He said, Go, and he sent her away * v. 31. for two Months: And she went with her Companions, and bewailed her Virginity on the Mountains. And then the History immediately after this tells us, That * at the End * v. 39. of two Months she returned to her Father, who did with her according to his Vow which he had vowed: That is, he offered her up unto the Lord for a Burnt-offering, for that was his Vow.

One would think now that there should be no Dispute whether Jephthah Sacrificed his

his Daughter; for what reason can Men have to oppose the express words of the Text? These are so plain that it cannot but create some wonder, why Expositors should vary in the Interpretation of them. Or. suppose there be some Ambiguous Words in the Relation, which seem to disagree with what is here faid; yet for that very reason, because they are Doubtful and Ambiguous, we are not to make use of them to confront a Plain Text. It is true, it is added in the last mentioned Verse. She knew no Man: And indeed how could she when the was taken out of the Land of the Living? Observe the Connection, He did with his Daughter according to his Vow, and she knew no Man: That is, She was so Unhappy as to leave the World in her Youth before she had the Knowledge of a Man. Hereupon it immediately follows, (which verifies and confirms this Interpretation) It was a cultom in Israel, that the Daughters of Israel went yearly to lament the Daughter of Jephthah foun days in a year. This doth not imply that she was Alive, and that they went duly to give her a Visit in the Mountains. No. These words plainly relate the Behaviour of her, Surviving Companions; they brought it into a Custom and Constant Practice among the Daughters of Israel, to go yearly and lament her Memory in that very place where she chose to bewail her Condition before her Death. Or, if they went to talk and confer (as it may be rendred according to the Acception

Acception of the Hebrew word) the Sense is the same, for the meaning is not that they talked with Her, but with one another; they discoursed among themselves of that Deplorable Matter, of that Unfortunate Occurrence. I joyn both the Senses of the word together thus, At that Anniversary Meeting they talked of and lamented, they lamented and talked of the Sad Fate of that Royal Virgin, who was fnatched away in her Prime, and denied the Blessing of Marriage, and of bearing Children. This was the Compliment of Condoleance which was performed upon her Death. This is the plain History without wresting it; and nothing is more clear from the whole than this, that Jephthah slew his Daughter, and offered her for a Burnt-offering, and that it was the True and real Import of his Vow that he would do fo. He vowed that he would Sacrifice to the Lord whatsoever he met coming out of his House: He met his Daughter, and accordingly he did with her according to his Vow, i. e. he Sacrificed her. The Famous | Jewish Historian gives his | Joseph. Suffrage to this, and all the Old Jews were of Ant. Jud. the same Opinion, expresly asserting that l. 5. c. 9. Jephthah vowed to Sacrifice his Daughter, and that he did so. This is the general Perswasion of the * Ancient Fathers both Greek . Justin and Latin, and their Agreement herein is Mart.

Quaft. 99. Quaft. 99. de Jephtha. Ambrof. de Offic. Nazianz. Orat. 6. de Maccab. Augustin; de civ. Dei. l. 1. C. 21.

fignifies either Persons devoted to Slaughter,

very considerable. A numerous Company of Moderns of great Learning and Judgment, Peter Mar both of the Roman and Protestant Perswatyr, Salia-fion hold the fame; And our *Great Chrinus, Serari-stian Rabbi, who had been once of another u, Meno-mind, was induced by a farther Enquiry into pide, Lud. the Reasons of this Opinion, to change his Capellus, thoughts, and to declare expresly that Jephthat's performing of his Vow, is to be under-Dr. Light stood in the plain and literal meaning of it, Chronicle viz. The real and actual Sacrificing of his Daughter. of the

Times of The next Question is, Whether Jephthali theOldTe-did well or ill in fo doing: Or, which amounts to the fame, Whether it was lawful to Sacrifice his Daughter? Some think (and what will not they think?) that it was a Good and Lawful Deed, and to this purpose they alledge Lev. 27. 28, 29. Norwithstanding; no devoted thing that a man shall devote unto the Lord; of all that he hath, both of man and beast, and of the field of his Possession, shall be fold or redeemed: Every devoted thing is most holy to the Lord. None devoted, which shall be devoted of Men, shall be redeemed: But shall surely be put to death. Jephthah's Vow, say they, was of this fort, and he could not possibly Redeem his Daughter, but was necessitated to Sacrifice her. That known Critick * Lewis Cappel runs altogether upon this, viz. That it was lawful by the Law of Cherem to Sacrifice this Innocent Maid: But this Learned Man was never so overseen and mistaken as in this Cause, for 'tis certain that Cherem.

destined to Death for their Execuable Wickedneß (as the Amalekites, and those other People and Nations which the Israelites were commanded to put to death) or it signifies Things destined to utter Destruction, as Fericho and Ai, &c. with all the Substance that was found in them, excepting some particular things which God ordered to be spared. And these Things were thus destined for the sake of the Persons to whom they appertained, who were extreamly Wicked and Abominable in the Eyes of God. This is the true Notion of Cherem (of which I shall give you a farther account in a following Discourse.) And as for the Law of Cherem, which is set down in that forecited place in Levisicus, it speaks only of that Irrevocable Vow of Destining Persons or Cities to utter Destruction (as in Numb: 21. 32. Deut. 13. 15. 25. 19. Josh. 6. 17, 18. 1 Sam. 15. 3.) for their horrid Crimes, and because indeed there was the particular Command of God for it. Now let any Man judge whether this hath any reference to Jephthah's Innocent and Harmless Daughter. The Law faith, no Person or thing devoted of Men (i. e. by Men) shall be redeemed, but shall furely be put to Death, or be destroyed. But then let it be remembred that no Men can devote any Persons to Death, unless they deserve it for their Excessive Impiety; nor can they devote any Thing to Destruction.

Dietrib. de Voto Jepht.

* De Jure

Ction, unless it be on the account of such Persons. This therefore doth no ways concern our present Business. Jephihab could not lawfully Vow the Death of any one who deserved not to be put to death. Therefore his Daughter was no Cherem, no Exe-This Law of cration, no Devoted Wretch. Cherem or Anathema gave the Jews no License to turn Assassines and Gut-throats, and to take away the Lives of their own Children: Of which * Mr. Selden and other Learned Men were fo convinced, that upon Gent. L 4. this very account they affert (and think they prove) that Jephthab did not offer up, his Devoted Daughter in Sacrifice. But, by their leave, all that they prove hence is this, that he should not have done it. Besides, this fort of Vows called Cherems, was to be made by particular Warrant from God, who is Lord and Disposer of Life and Death, and can Sentence and Devote to Destruction whom and what he pleaseth: But we read of no Warrant that Jephthah had to Vow the Death of his Daughter, much less to proceed to Execution; therefore it was direct Murder to put her to Death. And particularly as to Sacrificing her, that was a most Inhumane, Horrid and Barbarous Act, and expresly forbid of God, and hated by him. Thou shalt not do so unto the Lord thy God, thou shalt not burn thy Sons and thy Daughters in the fire, as the Heathens used to do to their Gods: For every abomination to the Lord which he hateth, have they done, Deut. 12. 31.

For Jephthah then to Vow the Sacrificing of his Daughter, was so far from being according to the Law, and Acceptable to God, that it was an Abomination to him.

Yea, some of the very Pagans themselves thought such an Act as this to be Unlawful: Thus * Plutarch tells us that Agefilaus being * In wie. commanded in a Dream to Sacrifice his Pelopide. Daughter, refused to do it; and that when Pelopidas in a Vision was bid to Sacrifice a Virgin, he look'd on it as a Severe and Impious Command. Agamemnon, it is true, Sacrificed his own Daughter, but even a Prophane and Atheistical Poet could blame him for it, crying out against his * Supersti- * Tan:um tious Religion, as the ill Motive which religio poprompted him to so vile a Practice. Yea ruit suden it is probable that This is the very Instance Lucret.l.r. which I am now treating of: Iphigenia was Jephthah's Daughter, for the Greeks mistook Iphigenia for Jephihigenia, which plainly signifies the Daughter of Jephthah: And Agamemnon was mistaken for Jephthah, for he being a known Man in the Trojan Wars, which were in Jephthah's time (as the Masters of Chronology have agreed) it was easie for the Poets to take one Warlikeman or Great Captain for another, and to represent the History of Jephthah under the Name of Agamemnon (as I shall shew at another time, it was the common use of the Poets, to disguise Passages of Sacred History with Fables and Prophane Names,) particularly as for this Sacrificing of his Daughter

Julian.

Daughter, it being so Remarkable but yet fo Infamous an Act, it is certain that it was fpread abroad and known among the Nations, and could not but be abhorred by all Persons of Sobriety and Reason: So far is it from being allowed by a Particular Law of God, as some pretend.

Again, There are Others, who that they may effectually prove the Lawfulness of this Fact, tell us, it was done by the particular Instinct of the Holy Spirit, that Fephthah

was immediately stirred up by God to Atchieve this singular Enterprize, which in * Epift. ad others would have been unlawful. * St. Jeront of old feem'd to be of this mind, and Peter Martyr afterwards was enclined to think the same, but he presently corrected himself. And truly no less could be expected from him, for it is a very near approach to Blasphemy, to say that so Wicked a Perpetration was by favourable Instinct from God himself, especially when he hath so particularly forbidden it, as you heard in the former particular. Indeed from what I deli-, vered there, this Bold Opinion is sufficiently. confuted, for if Sacrificing his Daughter was downright Murther, and was a Breach of Moses's Law, and of the Law of Nature, then it is intolerable Folly and Presumption to plead for the Lawfulness of it. Moreover, if there had been here a Divine Impulse, or a Particular Command from Heaven (as in the Example of Abraham, who was bid to do what he did, and that for Trial

Enquired into: Trial only) he would not have rent his Chilthe and been troubled, but he would have likewise check'd his Daughters Sorrow (as well as his own) by declaring that his Refo. lution to Sacrifite her was from a particular Dictate which he received from Heaven: Thus we have reason to reject the Opinion of those Men who hold that Jephilish sinned not in Sacrificing his Daughter, for neither of the Arguments which they alledge have any Truth and Reality in them; there was no Express Law of God, nor any Divine Instinct in the case. Wherefore we may fafely and confidently aver with the great Jewish Antiquary before cited, That * the * Antiq. Sacrifice which Jephthah offered was not law-Judl. 5.c.9 ful, nor acceptable to God, but that on the

contrary it was Unlawful and Sinful. And fo most of the Ancient Fathers of the Church. who have spoken of this, do affert.

But here we may be thought to be reduced to a great streight in maintaining this post; for if all Humane Slaughter was forbid by God, and is against Nature, and is utterly Unlawful and Vicious, how came Fephihah to commit this Fact? What made him act so strangely? What could be the Motive to so Horrid an Enterprize? If it was fo Gross an Enormity, how can we think this Great Man, this Judge with his High Priest and Priests about him, yea and the whole Sanhedrim to advise him, could be guilty of fuch a Vile and Notorious

G = 2

Crime as this sto -

Here then I am to give an Account why

and whence it was that Jephihah acted thus Extravagantly and (as it may feem) profilgately; and I hope it will not be offensive, if I take liberty to diffent from the generality of Writers in this matter: For though I agree with those who hold that Jephihah facrificed his Daughter, and that he did very ill in it, yet I differ from them in the Ground and Occasion of it; which is the thing I will now infift upon, and for which

I chiefly designed this Discourse.

They attribute it to the Corruption of that Age, telling us that very strange and Exorbitant things were done in those days as the Book of Judges expresly relates. And moreover they add that Jephthah herein followed the Examples that had been before him, for Humane Sacrifices were commonly offered by the Heathens that dwelt in Palestine, Deut. 12. 31. Their Sons and their Daughters they burned in the fire to their Gods, and particularly we read that the Ammonites offered their Children to Moloch in the Flames. Nay it cannot be denied that this Horrid and Bloody Idolatry was practifed by some of the Israelines a little before Jephthah's time, Judges 1. 21. compared with Pfalm 106. 37. Much less can it be denied, that afterwards there were frequent Examples of this Effulion of Humane Blood, and Sacrificing of Men and Women, of which I shall speak in another place. But though Example is strong and hath a Enquired into.

very great Empire over our Minds, yet I cannot be induced to believe that this was the Ground of Jephthah's Sacrificing his Daughter. This Good and Vertuous Man (for he is fignally represented to future Ages as fuch by St. Paul) would not be led to this Flagitious Action by the Example of some Wild Infidels, or a few Besotted Israelites, who were for saken of God, and became professed Votaries to the Infernal Dæmons, and having given Themselves, proceeded to offer their Children (who were parts of them) to these Hellish Ghosts. I cannot think therefore that this was the reason of Jephibab's committing this worst kind of Homicide: This was not, this could not be Motive strong enough to prevail upon this Worthy Man, this Noble Hero; he would easily have bassled such a Scandalous and Horrid Temptation as this. As bad as those times were, as degenerate as Israel was in those days, it is not credible that such a Person, and in such Circumstances (which could not but make him willing to be difengaged from his Vow, if it were possible) would tamely follow the Example of the most Accursed Idolaters, of the worst and vilest Miscreants in the World, and inhumanely Massacre his only Child. This must not, this cannot enter into our thoughts, unless at the same time we banish thence all sober Reason.

But they likewise impute it to the Ignonorance of that Age. The Priests, say they, G_3 were

were Strangers to their own Law, and knew it not. Hence it was that they thought that by the Law in Lev. 27. 28. Jephthal's Daughter was a devoted Person, and so could not be redeemed, but must be put to Death, I do not wholly exclude the Ignorance of that Age, which was an attendant (if not a Cause in part) of their General Corruption: But it is highly improbable that none of the Sacred Function should understand this Case that was before them, as Dr. Lightfoot represents it, The Sanhedrim was now suting, and there was the Priesthood attending on the Ark at Shiloh, and yet is Israel now so little acquainted with the Law, that neither the Sanbedring nor the Priests can resolve Jephthah that his Vow might have been redeemed. I cannot perswade my self that they could All of them err fo grosly, and that in fo plain a matter, wherein they were directed not only by the positive Law of God, but by that of Nature and Reason. But I rather think that there was more of Negligence than Ignorance in the present Miscarriage: The Priests of that degenerate Age were grown Careless and Unconcerned: They were not Solicitous to instruct this Prince aright, and to conduct his Confcience by right and steady Measures in this present Case of the Vow which he had made. They could not (as I conceive) be Ignorant of the Unlawfulness of this Vow, and of the Greater Unlawfulness of putting his Daughter to Death: But herein they were most

most shamefully defective, that they neglected to inform this doubting and Misguided Man, and to convince him of the Unreasonableness of his too forward Zeal.

Which brings me to that which I intend more largely to infift upon, viz The True Source and Original of this Extravagant and Bloody Act of our Renowned Fephthah. It was, as I apprehend, his too Forward Zeal that pushed him on to this unhappy Undertaking. To make good this Affertion, I must tell you that I have this Idea of him. That he was a Man of a very Religious and Pious Disposition; which manifested it self at his first publick appearing for his Country-men; for I observe that he then applied himself to ask Council of God, Judges 10. 17. 11. 11. When he undertook to fight their Battles, this was the first thing he did. He opened the Campagne well, for he began with God. Likewise I take notice that he shewed himself very Consciencious in his Treaty with and offers of Peace to the Ammonites before he proceeded to any Acts of Hostility, Chap. 11. 12, &c. He was pleased to give them some account of his marching against them, though he needed not have done it: He laboured to convince them that it was a Just and Lawful War which he was undertaking, and accordingly he facredly appeals to the Lord as Judge in this Quarrel, v. 27. When he had thus quitted himself like a Religious and Just Man, it is expresly said, The Spirit of

the Lord came upon him, v. 29. That is, he was extraordinarily stir'd up by God, and animated to engage the Enemy, and to reduce them to Obedience and Submission. A Person of so Holy and Pious Inclinations was affifted and bleffed by God in a fignal and eminent manner. But behold yet another Argument and Demonstration of his Godly Mind, viz. His Solemn Vow that he made; for this proceeded purely from a Good and Religious Heart, from an ardent Defire and Intention of giving Honour to God upon his obtaining a Victory. All these Instances are Proofs of what I asserted. That this Mighty Man of War (as he is *ch. 11.1. * called) was a Man of as great Religion and Goodness. Which is farther confirmed [Heb.11.32 by the Testimony of the Infallible | Apo-

ftle, who reckons this Jephthah among the Holy Patriarchs, Prophets and eminent Servants of God, whose Faith had made them known and Famous in the World.

Having thus laid my Foundation (which

Having thus laid my Foundation (which is grounded on the History of Jephthah both in the Old and New Testament) I am to raise my Superstructure, which is this, This Consciencious and Good Man having with a Rious Intention made a Vow, but having been Rash and Indiscreet in the Wording and Framing of it, was unhappily drawn into a Snare, and his own Religious Temper and Active Zeal hastned him into it: And this I take to be the true Spring and Motive of his strange Acting, i.e. Of

his bereaving his Daughter of her Life. He being a Person of a very Sensible Conscience, of a Soft and Tender Spirit, thought verily that he ought to perform his Vow, and accordingly did fo. In this he shewed a very Singular Zeal, but not according to knowledge: So that we have reason to conclude, that he finned out of Blind Zeal to perform his Promise and Vow which helhad folemnly made to God. This was a great Fault, an heinous Error, but it was one on the right hand, and therefore the more excusable. This invites me to mention his Name and Memory with Honour, and to remember that he was one of those who are righteous overmuch (as the Wise Man fpeaks:) He was too Zealous in pursuit of his Vow, although it was a Rash and unadvised one as to the manner of it, and hereupon his Innocent Daughter became a Victim, viz. for the fake of his Vow. Indeed his case was to be pitied and lamented, for his Fault was the product of his Wellmeaning, and of his Great Care to keep a Good Conscience. He saw it was usual with Good Men to * make Vows, and in a * Gen. 28. mistaken Imitation of them he turned a 20. . Solemn Votary, and in the fight and hear-Num. 12.2. ing of all Persons that were about him, as well as before the All-feeing God, promised the Sacrificing of his Daughter, for it was so in effect, she being included in whatsoever cometh forth of the doors of his House to

meet him. The Sense of this most Solemn

Act

Act of Religion (for such a Vow is, which is a Promissory Oath made unto God) was so vigorous on his mind, that he could not possibly divert the thoughts of it, nor perswade himself that he could any ways be excused from acting according to what he had vowed. This is to be imputed to the Reverence of an Oath, which hath ever been

very Great and Awful.

We see in that Noted Instance of the Gibeonites what was thought concerning this kind of Obligation, though it was by Craft and Imposture: We have sworn unto them by the Lord God of Israel: Now therefore we may not touch them, Joshua 9. 19. It is not improbable, that Jephthab bore this very Instance in his mind, and indiscreetly made use of it on this occasion which I am now speaking of: He thence confirmed himself in the Apprehensions he had of that Inviolable Tye he was under by reason of his Vow. He thought it was fo far from being a Sin to keep his Promise made to God, that he reckoned it his indispensable Duty: And this false Perswasion hurried him on to this vile Act. So in other Examples in the Sacred History, we see what a Regard and Reverence Men had of an Oath or Vow, . yea though it was in it felf unlawful: Thus Saul having rashly but solemnly vowed in the day of Battle, that the Person should die who tasted any Food before the Pursuit was quite over; his own Son Jonathan, who had fo fignalized his Valour at that time,

time, by vanquishing Threescore thousand Philistines, had like to have been a Sacrifice (as Jephthah's Daughter here) after the Victory, because Saul was so Religious and Austere (for so he would be thought to be) in observing his Oath, notwithstanding he was fo plainly excused from the Obligation of it, as to his Son Jonathan, by reason of his known Gircumstances, which were his Ignorance of his Fathers Oath, and the Necessity which he then lay under of taking fome small Portion of Food to support him when he was so Faint and Hungry. The like Erronious and Superstitious Conceit of an Oath, the Jews, but especially the Pharisees, had in our Saviour's time, who therefore sharply reproves them, Mark 7.11. They imagined that their Vow of Corban extinguished their Obligation to other Commands, as Honouring their Parents, and the like. . So we read that Herod, by a lavish Oath, promised Herodias to grant her whatever she would ask, and therefore for sooth * for his Oaths Sake, he must needs kill St. * Mat. 14.9. John. This, though it was a mere Pretence in Herod, shews that a Vow or Oath hath always been held Sacred; elfe he could not have made use of this Pretence, viz. That he was bound by his Oath, and therefore could not be loofed from it. hath in all Ages been held a most Sacred Tye; especially Vows, which are Oaths more immediately made to God, have been esteemed such. Whence we find that the Beft

Best and Holiest Men have always been very observant of the Religious Obligation of a Vow, and have been exceeding careful to pay God their Vows which their Lips have uttered. It is no wonder then that Jephshah, a Person so Religiously disposed was very careful, yea even to an Excess, to do the same. He had read in the Law, * When thou shalt vow a Vow unto the Lord thy God, thou shalt not slack to pay it: And he was fensible that the willful Neglect of this is a Crime of a very heinous Nature, and that God is a severe Exactor of Vows, and is wont to avenge the Breach of them, by inflicting the greatest Judgments and Plagues imaginable. He knew that the Violation of Vows was no other than a Mocking of God, a Dissembling with Heaven, and an Act of Injustice and Unfaithfulness towards our Maker.

Wherefore it is likely he thus argued with himself, though I know that the performing of my Vow will be accompanied with Murther: Yet I consider likewise, that the not performing it will be attended with down-right Perjury. Seeing then there is a Necessity of Sinning one way or other, I resolve to choose the former, for though that be an Injury to my Daughter, yet the latter is a plain Affront to God. My Child is dear to me, but my God, my Father, is much more dear: Therefore 'tis better to be Cruel than Impious, to be Guilty of Bloodshed, than to

be Perjured and False to the Lord of Heaven and Earth. I bave opened my mouth sunto the Lord, and I cannot go back, I must not reverse, I dare not revoke the Sacred Promise which I have made to the Almighty, but my firm and unshaken purpose is to perform it. Thus the mistaken Sense of the Indisfoluble Obligation which his Vow had laid upon him, ran him upon this fatal Rock. Jephthah could not but know, if he had feriously considered, that no Vow is Obligatory where the matter of it is unlawful; that what we Vow must be Honest, and in our Power, whereas this of killing his Daughter was neither; That the Force of that Obligation which goes along with the Vow or Oath, is from the Lawfulness of that thing which is vowed or Sworn; and therefore that he could not oblige himfelf to lay violent Hands on his Dear Relative, but that he having vowed it, and it being Unlawful in it telf, he was obliged not to perform the Vow; besides that the Law of Natural Reason and Equity was a prior Obligation upon him. He should have considered that an Unlawful thing cannot possibly be made Lawful by the Interpolition of a Vow; yea That it is a Double Sin to act unlawfully by vertue of a Vow, for there is not only the Sinful Vow, but the Sinful Act that follows it. But so blind and partial is good Mens Zeal sometimes, that they are not in a Capacity to attend to, at least not to regulate

regulate themselves by the most Rational Principles that are offered them. This was the Lot of our Unhappy Prince and Warrior, he had conquered the Ammoniter, but could not vanquish his own Erroneous Conceptions, his Mistaken Zeal, his Mista

Yea, I am inclined to believe he Sacrificed her with his own Hand; for it is not probable that the Priests would comply with him in fo Extravagant and Inhumane a Demand as this, of Sacrificing his Daughter: And besides, he that was so Precise to keep his Vow according to the very Exact Wording of it, would not think himfelf excused from acting this part himself, seeing he had exprelly vowed the doing of it in his own Person, if you rigorously interpret the Words: I will offer it up, saith he, for a Burnt Sacrifice: By which Words this Curious Man might really think he was obliged to be the Sacrificer himself. Which could not but be a great Aggravation of his Fault, because he was a Father, and so acted Unnaturally; because she was his Daughter, nay, because he had * no

* no other Child but her, which made it * 1. 34 vet more Unnatural and Cruel, because he was a Layman, and so plainly usurped on the Office of the Priest. But mention none of these things to me, saith he, say not I am her Father, and she my Child. fav not I am no Priest, and that I invade the Sacred Function: I have opened my mouth unto the Lord, I have Sacredly engaged to offer up for a Burnt-offering whatsoever cometh forth of the doors of my House to meet me, and lo! this my Daughter met me, and therefore must be offered up by my hand. Who knows not that the Regal and Priestly Power have resided in the fame Person? As I am a Ruler and Magistrate, I have Authority to use the Sword: And why may I not make use of it to flay a Sacrifice as well as to cut off Offenders, especially when I have facredly promised to do the former? My Vow makes me a Priest: I need no other Ordination than this: This alone Capacitates me, nay necessitates me to discharge this part of the Sacerdotal Office. Thus our wellmeaning Bigot is Punctual in purfuing his Solemn Vow, this he urgeth and infifteth upon, and the thoughts of it are fo Rampant in his Breast, that he will not be beaten off from it. Though he had | two | V. 38, 39. months time to consider of this Case, yet he remained inflexible, and would by no means be prevailed with to call back his Rash Vow, but he broke through all to

keep it. The Cause of it was no other than what I have often suggested, namely, too Nice and Curious a Conscience: This thrust him on to act against the undeniable Laws of his Religion. Lest he should violate his Sacred Promise to God, he puts off the Nature and Pity of a Man, to make good his fingle Vow, he difregarded all the other Obligations of Reason and Religion. Such, such is the impetuous Force of a Misguided Mind, of a Disordered

Zeal. Having thus discovered the True Spring and Motive of Jephthah's Sacrificing his Daughter, I will offer fomething concerning the Design of Providence, which ruled in this, as in all other Events and Actions, feem they never fo Strange; and I will fuggest what I think is the Proper Use that is to be made of this Extraordinary and Surprizing Occurrence. Though this Great Judge and Prince of Israel behaved himself thus unworthily. and no ways fuitably to his Character. yet God was pleased to suffer this for Ends not unworthy of his Divine Wisdom and Holiness. For by this Remarkable Example he thought fit to admonish us concerning our making of Vows, that if at any time we enter upon this Solemn Act of Religion, we be careful to do it with great Circumspection and Consideration. God permitted Jephthah's Daughter to be Sacrificed, faith an Antient Pious Father.

Father, * To teach Men for the future, not to make Vows to God indefinitely, as you remember Jephthah's Vow ran. These Large, Unlimited and General Vows are dangerous. and prove a Snare unto us:

* Theis Diduonanian में देशिंद वंगजेद्वं म्ला गर्ड שוושלביו סדב ביספוקשו צינצמי οις τῷ Θεῶ. Just. Mart. Quait. & Resp. ad Or-

Wherefore in this respect we ought to use great Caution and Prudence. With this agrees that of Theodoret, God (faith he) to remind others to be careful of their Vows, and to teach them to make them Discreetly, hindred not Jephthah from putting his Daughter to death. By this Notable Instance in Sacred Story, he would condemn all Precipitancy and Temerity in Vowing and Swearing, and in making Solemn Promises before him: And he would warn the future Ages of the World to perform these Acts of Religion with previous Confultation and ferious Premeditation. For here he sheweth us what is the Punishment of Rash Oaths, and Undue and Unlawful Vows, that we may learn to avoid them. Here we fee that the Fault of Jephthali's Rash Vowing was punished in the Untimely Death of his Daughter.

And as we are by this Example taught to avoid all Rash and Unlawful Oaths and Vows, so, when we have made them, not to keep them. There is a great deal of Jephthah's Blind Zeal in the World, too, Nice a Conscience in some things, and too Rash and Bold in others. Nothing is H

more

more Sacred than an Oath, and yet there may be a Superstitious and Undue Reverence of it. This appears plainly in Mens fondly pretending the indifpensible Obligation of some Oaths, whilst at the same time they have no regard to others which are certainly Obligatory to them. They speak the same Language that Tephthah did, telling us that they have opened their mouths unto the Lord, and they cannot go back, i.e. They plead the Force of their Solemn Engagements and Tyes, and refuse to unbind themselves (though it be in their Power) and thereby plunge themselves into Mischief, and endanger not only their own, but (with our Resolute, yet Nice Fephthah) other Persons Lives and Fortunes. This Rash Juror speaks to us all to take warning by his Fatal Circumstances, and to be at great Pains to enlighten our Minds, but especially to invoke the Divine Light and Aid: He calls to us not to Debauch our Consciences by entertaining False Notions and Conceptions of a Vow. He remains an. Example on Record of an Imprudent and

Unlawful Votary, and likewise of the Dis-

mal Effects of his being fo. He not only re-

minds us that we ought to be extreamly care-

ful not to make any Rash Vows or Unlawful

Oaths, but that we ought not to think our

felves tyed by them when we have made

them. He is a constant Monitor to teach

Men that their Unlawful Oaths and Promises

· oblige them only to break them, and that this

is acceptable to God, and just and equitable in it self.

Lastly, This notable Instance informs us, that Real Vertue and Goodness do not always exempt Men from doing some very ill things. Sometimes we shall see Vertuous Persons undertake and pursue with great Warmness what their Missinformed Consciences have put them upon, though it contradicts the Laws of God and Men. What Jephthab did was out of Simplicity and an Honest Mind, and therefore it was not inconfiftent with Faith, which we find him praised for in the Catalogue of the Ancient and Famous Worthies by the Author of the Epistle to the Hebrews: And truly there are other Great Offenders besides our Jephthah mentioned in that Panegyrick. So it is, the Sacred History acquaints us by enumerating fundry Instances, that Persons beloved of God, and of the greatest Integrity, have been guilty of the most heinous Miscarriages. Especially it may be observed of those that are very Active and Warm in their Religion, that they fometimes are subject to some Unwarrantable Bigotry, which unhappily leads or rather drives them to something worfe. Particularly we fee this in the Example before us, and let us mind the Defign of Heaven in it. This Renowned Warrior and Judge was suffered by the most Wise Disposer of all Events to commit this great Folly, that we may be convinced of the Infufficiency of Humane Strength, that we H_{2}

may fee that the best Men egregiously offend in some things, that they are a Compound of Spirit and Flesh, half Angel and half Brute, and that it may appear to the World that there is no Perfect and Consummate Vertue in this Life. This is the Conception I have of Jephthah's Case; but every one is lest to his Liberty to frame what other Notions of it he pleaseth.

The fourth Text enquired into, viz.

Dan. IX. 24, 25.

Seventy Weeks are determined upon thy People, and upon thy Holy City, to finish the Transgression, &c.

THIS is another Famous Prophesie concerning the Time of our Lord's Coming, but more especially (as I shall shew in the sequel of this Exercitation) concerning the Time of his Paffion and leaving the World. My defign in treating on these Words, is to offer what I conceive is most Observable in them, to assign what is most proper and pertinent towards the leading us into the true Meaning of this Noble Prediction, to remove the Difficulties and Obscurities that lie either in the Text or Context, to correct the Mistakes of some Writers upon both, to render the Words Clear, Plain and Intelligible (as to which most Expositors have been Desicient,) to prefent you with a Particular Computation, or Chronological Calculation of the Years contained in the Seventy Weeks, and in the whole Attempt to lee you fee that this

٠.

Prophesie was spoken of our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ, the True Messias, that it is really fulfilled, and that this fulfilling of it is a Demonstration of Christ's being come, and confequently of the Truth and Certainty of the Christian Religion.

In this Chapter you read that the Holy *V. 1, 2. Prophet Daniel foreseeing * in the first Year of Darius, that the Seventy Years Captivity was now coming to an end,

V. 16. prayed for the Restauration of Ferusalem: And whilst he was directing his Prayers

*V.20,21 to Heaven, * the Angel Gabriel (the same Divine Messenger, as you may observe, who appeared afterwards to the Virgin Mary, and told her that the Time of the Messias's Coming was accomplished) came and informed him concerning that Important Matter, and told him, That as the Israelites had been Captives Seventy Tears, and were now to be delivered, so within Seventy Weeks of Years the Messias should come, and be a Deliverer and Saviour. This I conceive is the reason of this Mystical way of speaking, and describing the Time: It alludes to the Captivity of Babylon, which was to last Seventy Years. That was the determinate Space of the Captivity, after which they were to be delivered, and to return back to their own Land. So in like manner the time of the Administration of things until the Redemption from the Captivity of Sin and Satan's Tyranny in the World, is circumfcribed

scribed in a Number that answers to that, viz. Seventy Weeks of Years, instead of Seventy Tears. Seventy Weeks are determined upon thy People, and upon thy Holy City, to finish the Transgression, and to make an end of sins, and to make Reconciliation for Iniquity, and to bring in everlasting Righteousness, and to seal up the Vision and Prophesie, and to anoint the most Holy. It hath been intimated already that by Seventy Weeks here are to be understood not Seventy Weeks of days, but of Years; each day for a Year; which Seventy being multiplied by Seven (every Week confifting of Seven days) make 490. Now that it is usual in Scripture to signifie Years by Days, I need not be very laborious in proving. Life is measured by Days; Years are meant when Days are expressed, as in Gen. 5. 5. All the days that Adam lived were nine hundred and thirty Years: And so of Seth, v. 8. and Enos, V. 11. and Cainan, v. 14. and Enoch, v. 23. And that a Day is put for a Year, and confequently that a Week is taken for a Septenary of Years, or the space of Seven Years, is most evident from Lev. 25.8. Thou shalt number Seven Sabbaths (or Weeks) of years unto thee, i.e. Forty nine Years. So in Gen. 29.27. a Week is interpreted Seven years in the same Verse. But we need not be very Solicitous here, because as to this there is no Controversie between us and the Fews, who are the Persons we are concerned with in this Prophesie. * They * Seder O. willingly grant that Weeks not of Days or !am, R. So-Months, but of Years are here meant, and cop. 9. Dan. H 4

that the Seventy Weeks are seven times Se-

venty Years, that is, 490 Years.

112

It is faid, Seventy weeks are determined upon thy People, and upon thy Holy City, i. e. There is a Certain Determinate Time set, viz. Four hundred and ninety Years, within which Period the Jewish People and Nation, and the City of Jerusalem in a special manner shall experience very Strange Alterations: Within that space of time the Messias shall come, and the Wonderful Effects and Fruits of his Coming shall be such as These: 1. There fhall be the finishing of Transgression, and the making an end of sies: By the Light of the Gospel which shall be preached at his Coming, Men shall see the Error of their ways, and be convinced of their Sins, and shall leave off their former Evil Courses. 2. There shall be a making of Reconciliation for Iniquity; The Blood of Christ shall make an Atonement for the Sins of the World. 3. Everlasting Righteousness shall be brought in: The Legal and Mofaical Services shall cease, and a more Pure and Spiritual Worship shall take place, and continue for ever. 4. There shall be the Sealing, (or the finishing, for 'tis the same Hebrew word which was used before in this Verse, and was translated making an end of) the Vision and Prophesie, i.e. When these things before mentioned shall come to pass, there shall be a Fulfilling and Accomplishing of the Prophesies concerning the Messias and his Kingdom: Then it shall appear to the World, that they are Ratified and and Verified: The Seal is fet upon them. 5. There shall be the anointing of the most Holy: Then shall be set up an Eternal Priesthood, excelling that of the Law, then Christ Jesus shall be the High Priest, and he shall be folemnly Appointed and Confecrated to that Work and Office. The Messiab, the Anointed One is meant here, and that by the Confession of the Jews themselves. Thus far, I think,

we have made all very plain.

It follows v. 25, 26, 27. Know therefore and understand, that from the going forth of the Commandment to restore and to build Jerusalem unto the Messiah, the Prince shall be Seventy weeks: And threescore and two weeks the street shall be built again, and the wall, even introublous times. And after the threescore and two weeks shall Messiah be cut off, but not for himself: And the People of the Prince that shall come shall destroy the City and the Sanctuary, and the end thereof shall be with a Flood: And unto the end of the War Desolations are determined. And he shall confirm the Covenant with many for one week: and in the midst of the week he shall cause the Sacrifice and the Oblation to cease, and for the over-Spreading of Abominations he shall make it de-Solate, even until the Consummation, and that determined shall be poured on the desolate. Here, before I Paraphrase on these words, I am to obferve to you that the Angel divides the Seventy weeks into three parts, viz. Seven weeks, and fixty two weeks, and one week; which he doth to give a more Distinct Account of what was to happen in that Period of time. The

The Seven weeks of Years (i.e. 49 Years) were fulfilled when the Building of the City of Jerusalem was compleated: The Sixty two weeks of Years were fulfilled in the space of time between the finishing of the City and Christ's Manisestation at his Baptism: In the one Week, viz. The last Week of the Seventy Christ was put to Death. Thus the Time is reckoned by Parts, as it is usual among the Hebrews to express a Number by parts, which might be done altogether in whole: Twenty Shekels, five and twenty Shekels, and fifteen Shekels shall be your Manch (or Mina) Ezek.45.12. So here in the Weeks of Daniel, the Seventy Weeks or former Summ of 490 Years, is divided into Seven weeks (i. e. 49 Years) and into Sixty two Weeks (i. e. 434 Years) and into one week (i. e. Seven Years) in all Seventy Weeks or 490 Years. Having premised this, I will briefly descant on the words, From the going forth of the Commandment to restore and to build Jerusalem, i.e. From the going forth of the Word of God, or of the Edict of the Perfian Princes concerning the rebuilding of Jerusalem, and the Finishing of it unto the Messias the Prince (i. e. To the time when Christ will manifest himself, and shew himself to be the Prince, Lord, and Head of the Church, the Saviour and Redeemer of the World by his Dying for it) shall be seven weeks (i.e. Forty nine Years, in which time the Jews rebuilded their City and Temple peaceably: For to this must those words in this Verse be referred; The street shall be built again, and the wall, even

in troublesome times) and threescore and wot meeks (which make in all 69 Weeks, or 483 Years.) And after the Threescore and two Weeks (which, immediately succeeded the Seven Weeks; that is, after 69 Weeks, viz. in the Seventieth Week, being 484 after the restoring of the Temple) shall the Messiah be cut off (he shall suffer Death upon the Cross) but not for himself (which Clause plainly testiffes that this is spoken of Christ, who suffered and died not on his own account, but for us, that he might deliver us from Death and Damnation.) And the People of the Prince that shall come shall destroy the City, &c. Which is a brief Description of the miserable Condition of the Jews, when Titus Vespasian the Roman Prince came with his terrible Army and befieged Jerulalem, and took it, and utterly destroyed it: Which was the Fruit of their putting to Death the Lord of Life. And he shall confirm the Governant (the new Covenant spoken of by the Prophet Jeremiah) with many, even with all true Israelites who believe in him, And this he shall do for one Week, or in one Week, viz. in the remaining Week, which is the Seventieth in Number. Then in a more fignal manner the Gospel-Covenant shall be ratified and confirmed. And in the midst of the week be shall cause the Sacrifice and Oblation to cease, i. e. In the last Week, and in the fourth Year of that Week (which may be called the middle of it) all Sacrifices and Oblations shall be abolished and nulled by Christ his once Offering up Himself on the Cross,

hence it is Difficult to tell from which of

them we must date our Account. We know

that the Seventy Weeks begin from the going

forth of the Commandment (or Word) to re-

fore or build Jerusalem. But the Word or Com-

Cross, for in the midst of this last Prophetick Week Christ made the Oblation of himself. And in the next words, For the overspreading of Abominations he shall make it defolate, &c. There is fet forth (as in the former Verse) the dismal Consequence of the Jews putting Christ to Death, viz. The Besieging and Sacking of Ferusalem by the Roman Armies which were Abominable and Detestable, and are called by our Saviour (with Allusion to this place without doubt) the Abomination of Desolation, Matt. 24. 15.

This is a brief and plain Exposition of the Text and Context. And from the feveral particulars here mentioned, any unprejudiced Man may fee that Christ, and none else is meant here. It is impossible with any shew of reason to apply the words to any other, though some lewish Writers have attempted it, but in a very forry and ridiculous manner, as you may briefly fee in * Huetim. It is certain that this Prophesie directly points at vang. Prop. Christ, and his Coming and Manifestation, and lets us know that there shall be Four hundred and ninery Years between the going forth of the Commandment to rebuild Jerusalem, and his full Manifestation in the World.

> But now Great Disputes arise about the True and Exact Beginning and Ending of these 490 Years, which for the Satisfaction of the Curious, I will undertake to give some account of. The Greatest Dispute is about the Beginning; for there were several Orders and Commands about building Jerusalem; hence

mandment concerning that matter was both Divine and Humane, from God and from the Persian Kings. Which of these is meant here? As for the Word of God touching the building of Jerusalem, That was at several and very different times. You have the Divine Promife about it from the Mouth of Isaiah, ch. 44.26.and 45.13.and of Baruch the second and fourth Chapters, and of Jeremiah 25.12. and 29. 10, &c. From this latter Promise especially *fome have dated the Seventy Weeks, * Lyranns, but therein are much mistaken, for they go Vatablus, back too far. Baruch and Jeremiah prophesied Galatinus, fix hundred, and Isaiah above seven hundred and many Years before Christ; so that it cannot be brews. that the Seventy Weeks should begin from their Prophesies, because these were long before that Time can be fixed. Therefore it is impossible these Divine Predictions and Promises should be meant here. Others look to that Divine Word from the Mouth of the Angel to Daniel, At the beginning of thy Supplications the Commandment came forth, Dan. 9.23. These Supplications were made in the *first Year of Darius the King of Babylon: *Dan. 9. 1. Therefore the Commandment went forth in the first Year of this Darius, which was just

about the end of the Captivity, and confe-

quently the Seventy Weeks began at the end of

* Demon-

frat. E-

119

the Seventy Years Captivity, when the Angel brought that Message to Daniel in the entrance of Darius's Reign. Hence Calvin confidently averreth, That those Seventy Years and the Seventy Weeks are joyned together. If we interpret the going forth of the Commandment concerning a Divine Word, this is a very probable Opinion; but if you compare Verse 23 and 25 you will find that they speak of two Different things. The Commandment that came forth in the former place, is not the same with the going forth of the Commandment to restore and to build Jerusalem, in the latter place. The first only signifies that a Word or Mellage from God came to the Angel, and that He was to discover it to Daniel, as it follows in that Verse, I am come to shew thee, I am come to tell thee that Word and Commandment which I have from God. But the fecond speaks of something which was to be afterwards, in pursuance of the foregoing Message. There should be within the compass of a few Years a Formal Command or Decree for the re-edifying of Jerusalem, and from that time (and that only) the Seventy Weeks were to take their Rise. It is probable then that the Word of Man, i. e. The Command or Edict of some Prince is here to be understod by the going forth of the Command-Now there were several Edicts of Princes which went forth for the restoring of Ferusalem, and that at different Times. . There are no less than four Edicts mentioned by Ezra and Nehemiah; we will enquire to which

The fourth TEXT

which of these the Inchantion of the Seventy Weeks belongs.

The first Edict we read of, is that of Cyrus, the first Emperour of the second Monarchy, in the first Year of his Reign, when he fet forth a Proclamation for the Jews Return under their Commanders Zorobabel and folhua, 2 Chron. 36.22,23.1 Ezra 1,2,&c.5.13. 6. 3. which is confirmed by the Apocryphal Writings of 1 Ezra 2. 2, 3, 4. Accordingly * fome begin the Edict, or Going forth of the * Origen. Commandment, from the first Year of Cyrus, Clemens A. i.e. of his Reign in Babylon, for he had reign-lexandried near Thirty Years before in Persia. But nur, Tertulthe beginning of his Reign in Babylon was vin, L'Emcontemporary with the end of the Seventy percur, Be-Years Captivity. The fecond Edict was made roaldus, by Darius, in the second Year of his Reign, Willet, Ezra 6. 1, 2, &c. Hag. 1. 1. For Cyrus being Lightfoot. employed abroad in the Wars, and at last treacherously cut off by the Scythians (against whom he then warred) and the Enemies of t Scaliger, the Church being very vigorous at home, Petavius, the Work was stopped for a time: But this Mede. King renewed it, and fet it forward by his Eusebius, Decree; therefore + fome begin the Num-Ciril of her of Deriel's Weeks from the Deriel's Weeks from the Deriel of Jerufalen, ber of Daniel's Weeks from this. But here Percrius, it is disputed by some whether this Darius Petavius, was Darino Hystaspis or Darius Nothus: * Some Lively, hold it was the former, and | fome contend Uffer. it was the latter. For there is a great Con-Sulpiting, troversie among Historians, about the placing Scaliger, of these Persian Kings, their Names being so Helvicus, often confounded by those that write of them. Juniue, Drufius. But Mede.

Street

But the former of these Opinions bids fairest for the Truth: Yea indeced it will be found upon a strict Search, that Darius called Nothus was not Emperor or Persia till a considerable time after, for there were 140 Years from Cyrus to this Darius, whereas the Temple was built in less than a third part of that time: Whence we may conclude, that this Darius spoken of by Ezra, was not, nay could not be Darius Nothus. The third Edict or Decree was of Artaxerxes, in the seventh Year of his Reign. This is the Subject of the whole seventh Chapter of Ezra; therefore fome are of the Opinion that the Computation of Daniel's Weeks commenceth from this time. There is some Dispute whether this Artaxerxes was Artaxerxes Mnemon or Longimanus, but it goes generally among the * Learned for the latter, and there is good Basil, Fanc- reason for it, because this Artaxerxes Mneeiui, à La-mon did not reign till several Years afterpide, Ludo- wards. The fourth and last Edict went forth vicus Ca-in the Twentieth Year of the Reign of this pellus, &c. in

whicus Cain the Twentieth Year of the Reign of this
pellus, &c. in the Twentieth Year of the Reign of this
Artaxerxes Longimanus, when he gave Nebe*Chrysomiah a Commission to build and repair Jerustion, Theosalem with Gates and Walls, Nehem. 2. 1. to
daret, Julisalem with Gates and Walls, Nehem. 2. 1. to
daret, Julisalem with Gates and Walls, Nehem. 2. 1. to
daret, Julisalem with Gates and Walls, Nehem. 2. 1. to
daret, Julisalem with Gates and Walls, Nehem. 2. 1. to
daret, Julisalem with Gates and Walls, Nehem. 2. 1. to
daret, Julisalem with Gates and Walls, Nehem. 2. 1. to
daret, Julisalem with Gates and Walls, Nehem. 2. 1. to
daret, Julisalem with Gates and Walls, Nehem. 2. 1. to
daret, Julisalem with Gates and Walls, Nehem. 2. 1. to
daret, Julisalem with Gates and Walls, Nehem. 2. 1. to
daret, Julisalem with Gates and Walls, Nehem. 2. 1. to
daret, Julisalem with Gates and Walls, Nehem. 2. 1. to
daret, Julisalem with Gates and Walls, Nehem. 2. 1. to
daret, Julisalem with Gates and Walls, Nehem. 2. 1. to
daret, Julisalem with Gates and Walls, Nehem. 2. 1. to
daret, Julisalem with Gates and Walls, Nehem. 2. 1. to
daret, Julisalem with Gates and Walls, Nehem. 2. 1. to
daret, Julisalem with Gates and Walls, Nehem. 2. 1. to
daret, Julisalem with Gates and Walls, Nehem. 2. 1. to
daret, Julisalem with Gates and Walls, Nehem. 2. 1. to
daret, Julisalem with Gates and Walls, Nehem. 2. 1. to
daret, Julisalem with Gates and Walls, Nehem. 2. 1. to
daret, Julisalem with Gates and Walls, Nehem. 2. 1. to
daret, Julisalem with Gates and Walls, Nehem. 2. 1. to
daret, Julisalem with Gates and Walls, Nehem. 2. 1. to
daret, Julisalem with Gates and Walls, Nehem. 2. 1. to
daret, Julisalem with Gates and Walls, Nehem. 2. 1. to
daret, Julisalem with Gates and Walls, Nehem. 2. 1. to
daret, Julisalem with Gates and Walls, Nehem. 2. 1. to
daret, Julisalem with Gates and Walls, Nehem. 2. 1. to
daret, Julisalem with Gates and Walls, Nehem. 2. 1. to
daret, Julisalem

Vorstius, Pererius, à Now of these four Opinions concerning Pererius, à Now of these four Opinions concerning Lapide, Bi- the Beginning of the Seventy Weeks or 490 shop Mon Years, this last seems to be the most probable, tague, Pererius, Bi- yea 'tis more than probable, for this is the savius, Bi- yea 'tis more than probable, for this is the shop Ujher.

, thing which I offer here to be noted that the Rife of the Seventy Weeks is to be taken precifely from that Royal Decree, and no other, wherein 'twas ordered that the City Ferufalem should be built. This one thing being attended to, will lead us to a right understanding of the true and only Epoche of Dawiel's Weeks. Indeed the main reason why the Expositors, who have undertaken to give an account of these Prophetick Weeks have been mistaken, is because they overlook'd this. They saw they were to take their beginning from the going forth of the Commandment, but they were not folicitous to observe which of the Commandments or Decrees it was. They did not take notice that the Seventy Weeks were to begin from the going forth of the Commandment to restore and build Ierusalem. As for the other Decrees, viz. in the Reign of Cyrus and Darius, and in the seventh Year of Artaxerxes Longimanus, they were for rebuilding the Temple only not the City, and therefore cannot be meant here. Consult the Book of Ezra, and you will find that the first Edict of Cyrus, was only for the lews to go back, and to build the House of the Lord. And afterwards Darius renewed the Grant for building the Temple only, and no more. And it is faid expressy, That Artaxerxes in his feventh Year gave leave to the Jews to take care of what soever was needful for the House of their God, Ezra 7. 20. There is not a word in these three Commissions, toncerning the building of the City, the

Street and the Wall: Therefore I infer that

the last Commission is meant here, which was

expressy for rebuilding the City, and the Walls,

and Gates. Accordingly Nehemiah, to whom

the Commission was given, raised the Walls,

and fet up Gates, and so finished the Building

of the City, which was in the twentieth Year

of Artaxerxes. This hath not been taken no-

tice of by several Learned Men, and hath

caused them to mistake the True Æra of this

Prophesie. But certainly this is a very useful

and necessary Key for the opening of the

Text. You see plainly that the Prophesie begins from that time when Nehemiah was

fent to effect this, and not before; for there

was not before this Time any Licence or

Power given to the Jews to restore and build

the City. Artaxerxes, and he only, was the Prince that did this; he gave order in the

Twentieth Year of his Reign, for the Re-

storing and Re-edifying of Jerusalem, and

fetting up the very Walls of it, which was

the last thing to be done: Therefore in the

Twentieth Year of this Artaxerxes was the

Beginning of the Seventy Weeks of Daniel.

I think this matter is very evident in it self.

And besides, as to the forementioned Edicts,

the first of them, viz. That of Cyrus cannot

be the beginning of the Seventy Weeks, be-

cause there are above 560 Years from Cyrus

to Christ's Death; for Cyrus's Edict was

about the Sixtieth Olympiad, and Christ's Death was about 202 Olympiad. And the

- three other Epoches are also too much back-

ward

ward to be thought to be the times when the Seventy Weeks began.

There are * some that hold they com- "Isac Volmenced from the Compleating and Finishing siur, De la of the Building of Jerusalem, which was in Hoye. the Three and twentieth Year of the Reign of Artaxerxes Longimanus, when Nebemiah made a Feast of Dedication of the New City, Nehem. 12. 27, &c. which is called the Sacrifice of Dedication and of Finishing the Temple, 2 Macc. 2. 9. That this was in the Three and twentieth Year of Artaxerxes may be gathered also from Josephus in his * Anti- *lib. 11.0.5 quities. At that time all was compleated, the Work was at an end; and to this purpose the Authors of this Opinion understand the going forth of the Commandment in a Sense far different from what was represented in the foregoing Opinions. They maintain that דבר, v. 25. is the going out or ending of the Word, and accordingly is translated 12.00 by the Septuagint, and by the Vulgar Latin Exitus, and so signifieth the Fulfilling and Accomplishing of the Commandment or Edict concerning Building Jerusalem: Or this Motze dabar is Exitus rei, the finishing of the Thing (for a word instead of a thing; is an usual Idiom in the Hebrew and in other Oriental Languages) and so the meaning is, that from the Actual and Real Compleating of the Building of the City unto the Messia's shall be Seventy Weeks; therefore from that time the Weeks begin. But this is but a Critical Notion, and hath no confiderable weight

weight in it, especially if you remember that this Phrase [the going forth of the Commandment] was used once or twice before in this Chapter. I ask then the Anthors of this Opinion, whether it is to be understood so then as well as now: If they fay not, then they must give a reason why it should be understood fo here, and not before; which I believe they are not able to give an account of, neither did they think of this when they espoused the former Notion. But if it be understood here as before, then there is this palpable Absurdity to be granted, that the thing was finished in the Twentieth Year of Artaxerxes's Reign, and yet that it was not finished till the Twenty third Year of his Reign, whereas there can be but one finishing. To which I add, That it is evident from other places of Scripture, that Morza dabar is no other than the Promulgation of the Command or Decree, for fo this going forth signifies in Dan. 2.13. and Esther 1.19. When the Edict is published and made known, then 'tis said to go forth. Wherefore the forefaid Opinion is a mere straining and perverting of the Hebrew Phrase. So much for the Beginning of Daniel's Seventy Weeks: It is most clear and evident that they began from the Decree of Arraxerxes Longimanus in the Twentieth Year of his Reign. For this must be remembred, That the Edict here spoken of, is meant of the rebuilding of Jerusalem, the Streets and the Walls, but mentions not the rebuilding of

the Temple. I grant that the City was begun to be built before this Edict came forth, as may be gathered from Ezra 4. 12. but there was no Royal Command and Authority for this; only the Jews were necessitated to build some part of the City, that they might have a Habitation and Shelter to be in whilst they were erecting the Temple. But afterwards Nehemiah came with Authority from Artaxerxes, and with a particular Order and Express Command to build what was wanting in Jerusalem, to erect the Walls, and effectually to finish the whole Work. There was no Edict for doing this, but this Particular one in the Twentieth Year of Ar-

taxerxes's Reign.

In the next place I am to speak of the End and Period of these Weeks. Hippolyeus the Martyr placed it in the Birth of Christ, others in his Baptism, and some in his Preaching, but * most terminate the Seventy * Africa. Weeks in his Death; for then those things nui, Bede, were fulfilled which the Prophese men-Lyra, Eurtions, viz. Finishing the Transgression, making cator, Func-Reconciliation for Iniquity, &c. These were cius, Buthe proper Fruits of the Passion and Death choltzer, of Christ, and therefore it is most reason- Lamberable to believe that the Seventy Weeks rius, Beroor Four hundred and ninety Years expired aldus, Jawith our Saviour on the Cross. But though cob. Lud. this in the Latitude of Cooking he was true Capellus, this in the Latitude of speaking be very true, Broughton, yet if we would be Exact, we must say willer, that the Four hundred and ninety Years Lightfoot. ended not just at Christ's Death, but Three

Years

Years and a half or thereabouts after it. This I will make evident to you thus, The Angel expresly faith, That after the Threescore and two Weeks, joyned to the Seven mentioned immediately before (which together make Threefcore and nine Weeks) shall Messiah be cut off: Whence it is plain, that Christ's Death falls within the Seventieth Week, for if it be after the Threescore and nine Weeks, it must necessarily be in the Seventieth Week, when there are no more reckoned beyond that, as is the present case. This Seventieth or last Week, may be divided into three parts: and we have ground for this Division in the Prophesie it self, which mentions the midst of the Week. If there be a Middle, there is also a Beginning and an End. In the beginning of this Prophetick Week (which you know confifts of Seven Years) the Messias confirmed the Covenant, i. e. The New Testament by his Holy Doctrine, Life, Laws and Miracles, and now his Apoftles preached the Covenant of the Gospel. The Week began with his Baptism, Three Years and a half before his Passion, in the fifteenth Year of Tiberius; at which time Sixty nine Weeks, or Four hundred and eighty three Years were accomplished, and the Seventieth Week succeeded. The Beginning or Former part of this Week confifted of above Three Years, and it is distinguished into four Passovers. The first Passover of Christ's Publick Ministry

is mentioned in John 2. 13. from whence begins the first Year of the Seventieth and last Hebdomade of Daniel: The second Passover you find in John 5. 1. compared with John 4. 35. Here begins the second Year of the Seventieth Week. The third Passover, whence the third Year of Daniel's last Week takes its Rise, is in John 6. 4. The fourth Passover, when Christ our Paffover was flain, about three Years and a half after his Baptism, produced the fourth Year of the last of Daniel's Weeks. This is that which is called the Midst of the Week (as you have heard,) in the midst of the Week he shall cause the Sacrifices and Oblations to cease. This was verified when the Messias by his Death abolished the Old Law and Covenant, when the Sacrifices ceased by this High Priests making an Oblation of himself on the Altar of the Cross. Though the Middle in the Stile of Scripture be not always properly and exactly taken, yet here in this Prophesie it is to be understood in the Strict. and most Proper Sense, for Christ Suffered and Died in the fourth Year of this Prophetick Week, which is the very Middle of Seven, yea in the Middle of that forth Year, which is yet more Exact and Precise. Now if Christ was put to Death in the Middle of the last Week (as the Angel foretold) then the Seventy Weeks cannot be terminated in Christ's Death, because there were but Sixty nine Weeks and a half comcompleated at that time. And if there was half a Week behind (for the Middle part of the Week was but short, Christ's Death taking up but little time) then the Latter part or End of the Week must contain in it Three Years and a half, or thereabouts, and consequently the Seventy Weeks or Four hundred and ninety Years ended not just at our Saviour's Death, but about Three Years and a half after it; which was the thing to be proved. This is the most Exact. Account that I can offer to you.

I know there are * Learned Writers * Tertullian, Chriso- and Chronologers who extend the Period stom, Sulpi-of the Seventy Weeks to the Destruction of tius Seve Jerusalem by Titus Vespasian, but they were ut, Scali- unwarily drawn into this Opinon by fixing ger, Calvi-their Minds on those words in the Pro-

phelie, The People of the Prince that shall come, shall destroy the City and Santtuary, and the end thereof shall be with a Flood, and unto the end of the War Desolatians are determined: And again, For the overspreading of Abominations he shall make it desolate: Which without doubt are a Prediction of the Overthrow of the Temple and City of Jerusalem by the Roman Armies: And because this is joyned with the Seventy Weeks, those Persons think that the Weeks end at this time. But this is no necessary Consequence, because here is only mentioned what shall follow upon the expiring of the Seventy Weeks, the direful Recompense of the Jews cutting off the Messias is foretold. This horrible Devastation shall happen not long after the 490 Years are compleated; but you cannot conclude thence that these Years were just fulfilled at the Devastation and Ruin of Jerusalem in the

Enquired into.

second Year of Vespasian.

It remains now that I give you the Particular and Distinct Computation of these 490 Years; which yet is fomething difficult to do, by reason of the Uncertainty in Chronology, as must be confessed by all Persons that have consulted variety of Authors, (and those that have not done so are no Competent Judges here) but the Difagreement among these Writers is not so great, as wholly to discourage us. I have laid their Calculations together, and have duly compared them, and upon an impartial ballancing of the whole difference among Chronologers in computing these Years, I fettle the Accompt thus, The Twentieth Year of Artaxerxes's Reign was in the Year of the Worlds Creation 3497, at the end of the &1 Olympiad; and the time of Christ's Passion was in the Year of the World 3983, at the beginning of the 203 Olympiad, and confequently the fourth Year after Christ's Passion was A. M. 3987, and the beginning of the 204 Olympiad. This Calculation is indifferently fixed, and will be agreed to by all Parties that are moderate. Now, if you reckon the Years that were between these two Periods, viz. between 3497 and 3987, you

you will fatisfie your selves that they were just 490 Years, and no more, which is the very Number that the Seventy Weeks amount to; and consequently from the Twentieth Year of Ariaxerxes to the time of our Saviour's Passion were no more, nor no less than 490 Years. This might be particularly made good from the Distinct Ara's between these Great Periods, and which are of note among Chronologers; but I think it is supersuous to undertake this, seeing in Helvicus and other Chronological Tables they

are very plain.

But I will go another way to work for the satisfaction of the Reader, I will trace these 400 Years through the several Reigns of the Kings that were in those times, and thence I will demonstrate, that from the Twentieth Year of Artaxerxes's Reign (at which time the Decree came forth for the Rebuilding of Jerusalem, as hath been sufficiently proved) to our Saviour's Passion were 490 Years, or according to Daniel's Sacred Arithmetick Seventy Weeks. Take it thus, Artaxerxes reigned Twenty Years after his sending Nehemiah to build the City and Walls: Then succeeded Xerxes and Sogdianus, two Brethren, Artaxerxes his Sons, but it feems they were of no account at all, and are therefore omitted by some Historians; but others own them, and fay they reigned a very short time: We may allow them (as Writers generally do) a Year. After these Darius Notus **fat**

fat on the Throne Twenty three Years. then Artaxerxes Memnon reigned fifty Years. Some fay more, but I imbrace their Opinion who fay he reigned but fifty, because I have before exceeded fome Mens Accompt when I set down Twenty three Years for Darius Nothus, whereas some assign him but Nineteen. Then reigned Darius Ochus Twenty fix Years, Arses or Arsanes Four, and Darius Godomannus Six: So that the whole time of the Reign of these Persian Kings was 130 Years from the time of the Decree to rebuild Jerusalem. Upon the Death of Darius, (the last Persian Monarch) Alexander the Great fucceeded in the Monarchy, and reigned fix Years: After whose Death reigned the Prolomes or Legida in Egypt (for I will trace the remaining Years by this Line, and not by that of the Kings of Syria or Macedon, these being more intricate) in this order, Ptolomaus Lagi Forty Years, Ptolomaus Philadelphus Thirty four, Ptolomeus Energetes Twenty five, Ptolomaus Philapator Sixteen, Ptolomaus Epiphanes Twenty four, Ptolomaus Philometor Thirty five, Ptolomaus Eurgetes Twenty nine, Ptolomaus Physcon Seventeen, another Ptolomee Ten, another called Soter Eight, Ptolom. Dionysius Thirty, Cleopatra Twenty two, at which time the Greek Monarchy ended, after it had held out 296 Years. After her Death Augustus (who was properly the first Emperor of Rome, and began the Roman Monarchy) reigned 43 Years, whom succeeded Tiberius, in whose Eighteenth Year our Blessed Lord suffered; which two Numbers, viz. Eighteen and Forty three put together make Sixty one Years. Now then, reckon all these Summs, viz. 130 Years of the Persian Kings Reigns, 296 Years of the Successors of Alexander, 61 Years of Augustus and Tiberius, and you will find that they amount to 487 Years, which wants Three Years of the Compleat Summ, viz. 490 Years or Seventy Weeks. Wherefore to supply this, we must remember that Christ suffered (as the Prophesie expressly saith) in the midst of the last Week, and so by adding Three Years we shall make

the 490 Years compleat.

I cannot fay this Calculation of the Years of the Kings is Exact, nor can any Man say that any other is; for there is no little Obscurity in the History relating to those Monarchs, and the right Order and Succession, the true Number and Names of them, and the time of their Reign. Wherefore the Accompt must be taken and fixed with fome Latitude, and we must be content with this, because we can attain to no other. But from what I have offered, we are as to the main certain of the Truth of this Prophesie, and we cannot doubt of the Evidence of the Accompt in general. It is most plain that the Seventy Weeks are to be reckoned from the Twentieth Year of the Reign of King Artaxerxes Longimanus, in which Year (and not before) the Royal Decree came forth to reftore

ftore and build the City, and to finish the Temple which Zerobabel had begun; and it is as plain that these Seventy Weeks had their Expiration about the time of our Saviour's Passion and Death: Or if we exactly and precisely make the Computation, we must say that his Death sell in the middle of the last Week.

After all this, I must freely declare that we need not be Dogmatical and Punctual in the Interpreting this Prophesie. Though I offer that Calculation before specified, as the most Probable; yet this is certain, that if you take the Beginning of the Seventy Weeks according to some of those other Opinions, and fix them to their respective Endings, you will find that they do not fall much amifs; they do not confiderably come fhort of Seventy Weeks of Years, nor are they much redundant. Which truly is as much as we can rationally expect in the Present Case, considering that the Chronology of that time is Difficult and Intricate, and Historians hugely differ in the Account of the Years of those Monarchs. Therefore * some of the Ancient Fathers Hieronym. shun the Question about the Eeginning and in loc. Au-Ending of the Seventy Weeks, and hold guffin. de it too Difficult to solve. Yea, a Modern 1, 18. Father of the Protestant Churches abroad declares, that here is place only for * Con-*conjettujecture, and accordingly he propounds his rautendura But this is too Loofe and Lavith. And of Calvin. much more is that of another † Writer † Reineld.

who 128.

who abfurdly imagines that the Seventy Weeks are to be taken indefinitely, as if a certain Number were here put for an Uncertain one. This no Man of deliberate Thoughts can swallow down, because this great Number is afterwards divided into lesser and more distinct Portions, as much as to affure us that a Determinate Number was meant, for if the parts of the Number be definite and determined, if they be fixed for fuch particular Transactions, then it must undeniably follow, that the whole Number is definite and fixed also: But yet it must be acknowledged that it is very Difficult to determine the Exast Beginning and Punttual Ending of these Prophetick Weeks. I have (after the Attempts of many others) propounded that way which feems to me most probable, and I submit it to the Censure and Judgment of the Reader. Some take the beginning of the Calculation from one thing, and others from another, but the general Reason of them all is the same, for at that Time they think the word went forth for the Restauration of Jerusalem. But I having fixed the going forth of the word, i. e. The Royal Commandment or Decree, I having by unquestionable Arguments determined this, I hope there is no farther occasion of disputing about the True Beginning or Epoche of the Seventy Weeks. And having gained this Point, we have secured the next also, for the End of these Weeks depends upon their Beginning, and mult

The fourth TEXT

must be regulated and determined by it; when we know one, we are not to feek for the other.

However, though some place the End of this Computation at one time, and some at another, yet they all agree in the main, that is, they all confess that the Seventy Weeks are placed in some Period between the Return from Babylon and the last Overthrow of Jerusalem: And consequently they agree in this, that these Seventy Weeks are long since

past and gone.

And that our Saviour is meant by Messiah in this Prophese, no Man can have any reafon to doubt in the least; for who can these Descriptions and Characters which you meet with here agree to but to Christ? The Messiah was to be cut off for the sins of others. which can be understood only of Christ Jesus, who was the Lamb of God that came to take away the sins of the World. So likewise the making of Reconciliation for Iniquity is the alone Office of Christ, our Messias: And therefore the Old Jews used to say the Messias should be Isb caphar a Man of Propitiation, a Man that should make Atonement and Satisfaction for Sin. To Christ alone belong the bringing in and confirming; the Covenant, the New Law and Religion of the Gospel. And the causing the Sacrifices to cease, i.e. The Abolishing of all the Mofaick Rites and Ceremonies can be meant of none but Him. Particularly as to the last of these, it is plain that Christ was to come whilft

whilst the Jewish Temple stood, and whilst Sasrifices were offered, for he could not make them to cease unless they were in being. Now then the Sacrifices being ceased, it follows that the Messia is come. This is an unanswerable Conclusion, and this is the grand thing I urge, viz. That from this Prophesie of the Seventy Weeks it is undeniably evident that the time of the Messias's Coming is past. Yea, whensoever you begin these Seventy Weeks, it is plain that they are expired. That is enough to Bassle and Consute the Jews: That is enough to prove that the Prophesie is sulfilled, which is the Principal thing I designed.

The fifth Text enquired into, viz.

Matthew XXVII. 1.

He departed, and went and hanged himself.

Compared with Acts I. 18.

Falling headlong, he burst asunder in the midst, and all his Bowels gushed out.

Hen I take notice of the Dimensions of Judas's Sin and Guilt,
when I consider what he was,
and what he did, I am apt to think that his
Punishment was answerable to his Horrid
Fact. Of all the Great and Notorious Sinners mentioned in the Bible or in any other
History from the beginning of the World
to this time, there is none like him, and
therefore I am enclined to believe that as
his Crime was unparallelled, so the Recompense of it was of a resembling Nature.
Judas the worst and vilest of Traitors became his own Executioner, but not in a

The

way that was Usual and Ordinary, but such as was as Remarkable as his Damnable Treachery. The Confideration of this hath invited me to enquire into the Manner of his Death, and to find out of what Particular Kind it was; whereby I hope to Reconcile these two places of Scripture, which have not a little exercised the Brains of Interpreters, because they seem to be Different, if not Contrary Relations of the Fatal Exit of this Curfed Traytor and Apostate. From St. Matthew we are ascertained that he went and hanged himself: By St. Luke we are no less assured that he falling head-long, burst asunder in the midst, and all his Bowels gushed out. Both these passages I will examine, and compare together, that by this means we may the better understand what Kind, or rather Kinds of Death justly happened to this Execrable Wretch, and also that hereby the Inconsistency of the Relations concerning the Death of Judas, may not be alledged (among other things) by Atheistical Men to disparage the Holy Scripture, and the Authority of it.

First, The word a wing alo may signifie to us' that he choaked and stifled himself with immoderate Grief and Anguish of Mind, as the word analyzed denotes among the Best and most Authentick Writers; and there is the same signification of the simple Verbs and and anguish from whence the Latin ango is derived, and both of them from the Hebrew nin sufficient, gemuit cum angore cordis, with which the Verb DIN strangulavit, sufficavit, hath

hath Affinity. Here then in the first place we are told by the Evangelist, that this Vile Wretch went and macerated himself with Grief and Melancholy, which was partly mentioned before in the word usraushands. v. 3. for pelaperating is post factum angi, to be very much grieved and troubled in mind for what is done; and the Devil, who had entred into him, followed this close, and made it a Prologue to something more Tragical. For though it was his own Act, yet he was hurried to it by the special Instigation of the Devil, who was now become his intimate Guest. Such a Devilish Distemper, but not in fo great an Excess, King Saul laboured under, saith * Origen, when he was forsaken & Philocal. .This was the evil Spirit that came. of God. upon him, and strangely disturbed him in his Body, insomuch that he seemed, faith + fo- + Antiq. Sephus, to be choaked and strangled .: Hein- Jud. 1.6. fus (with whom agree Grotius and Ham- 6.9. mond) understands κπηγξωτο of this sudden Sacr. in Suffocation: 'Indas was choaked or strangled, Mat. 27. i. e. faith he, He was struck with a sudden Disease in his Throat, he died of an Angina Syninancy, or some such Violent Distemper that unavoidably stop'd his Breath; fo that being swell'd within by the Air or Spirits thut up, he fell down, and broke his Belly, and the Bowels gushed out. So this Excellent Gritick, he thinks Judas expired, as * Achi- * Agrica tophel is said by some of the Jews to have \$a70. Sepdone, viz. Of some Suffocating Disease that tungint. was bred by some great Grief of Mind, 23.

Ovid.

The fifth T B XT

which is implied (fay they) in the word Chanet which is there used. This Suffocation or Stifling of the Spirits, is that Machanas which the Hebrew Doctors talk of, viz. That sudden stopping of the Breath which is caused by an inward Oppression of the Mind, that sudden Death which Job was tempted to wish for, Job 7. 15. My Soul, faith he, choofeth strangling, i.e. In the heighth of my Temprations I could even desire to be utterly bereaved of Breath and Life by this Suffocating Melancholy which my Miseries bring me into. This was Judas's Distema per at present, when he had resected on what he had done, he was swallowed up of Sorrow and Remorfe, he was oppressed with the Load of Guilt, he laboured under the Pangs and Horrors of Conscience, he was terrified with the Dismal Apprehensions of the Divine Nemesis, and thereby his Spirits even stifled, his Breath obstructed. * Strangu- and himfelf in a manner * Strangled and lat inclu- Suffocated. I subscribe then to those Learned fus delor- Criticks thus far, that anny fare is meant of a Melancholy Suffocation, but I cannot go with them so far as to grant that this only is here meant, and that Indas died of this Suffocation, which is the thing that Heinsius and Grotius assert; for as for our Learned Amotator he leaves them here, and is, inclinable to attribute Judas's Death to. fomething else, as you shall hear presently. And truly Grains likewise, though he follows Heinsus in his Interpretation, that

by indyxing is meant Grief of Mind, adds this of his own, that Judas had a sudden dangerous Fall, and therewith burst himself. I grant that this Suffocation was a Preparatory Death, this made way for the Halter and all that follow'd it: But that which I affert here is, That this Suffocation here spoken of, was not to that Excess that he imediately died of it; for it is Idle to think that this Suffocating Grief alone was the Cause of his Death, when we read of his falling down head-long, and of all his Bowels gushing out. These are never known to be Concomitants of that Distemper; no History gives us any Instance of this Nature. And therefore it is fond and groundless to imagine that this Suffocating Passion was his Fatal End, that this was it which dispatched him.

What then did? You will fay. I answer, his hanging himself, i. e. His Strangling himfelf with a Halter or some such thing, and his falling head-long from the place where the hung, and thereby bursting as under. Some indeed tell us, That that which dispatched him was his fall from a Precipice; for being Melancholick and Vexed, he took the Course which fuch Perfons are commonly wont to do, i.e. He threw himself down from a Rock, or some such place, and so ended his Life; and upon this fall his Bowels gushed out. The Criticks who run this way (the chief whereof are Pricaus, Vossius and Dr. Hammond) indeavour to prove out of several Writers, that Persons overwhelmed with Grief and Despair chose this way of Dying,

К 3 . viz.

viz. Casting themselves down from some Precipice. This is freely granted, and moreover no Man, I believe, will' question that upon this precipitating themselves their Bowels were broken. This is no Wonder, but it would be one indeed, if their Members were not broken and shattered with fuch a Descent. Well, but then what shall we do with the word amingare? How could Judas go and hang himself, and also throw himself down from a Rock or craggy Hill, or some place of this kind? I suppose no Man will say he did this before he hanged himself: And I scarcely think any Man will fay he did it after; neither was it possible for him to do it at the very time when he cast himself down: Therefore I conclude that he did it not at all. But the abovesaid Criticks evade this by under-Itanding andyxes in another Sense wholly; one of them interprets it of Melancholy Suffocation only, as you have heard; and the other tells us that drayxed is as much as mori cupere or mori velle, to be weary of Life, and from a consciousness of Guilt to be restless till we are rid of it. But this Great and Worthy Writer assigns a Sense of this word which was never heard of before, and he doth not so much as attempt to shew, that this word is taken so in any Author. : A great Overfight certainly! To fay the Truth, these Professed and Resolved Criticks have all of them at one time or other this Fate, they deliver things without any ground; ground; being warm in the Notion they have taken up, and having a great Opinion of their own Authority, they think 'tis enough to youch the matter; or being in Profecution of farther Notions depending on it, they wholly forget to establish what they have asserted.

As for the Rise of this Mistake in these Learned Men, I conceive it was from this, That they found in Authors (whom they plentifully quote to this purpose) that it was usual with Discontented and Melanchalick Persons, to put an end to their Days by throwing themselves down from Precipices, and they taking Judas to be fuch an one in the highest degree, conclude that he dispatched himself after this manner, and that this is the meaning of his falling head-iong mentioned by St. Luke. Especially when they read that all his Bowels gushed out, they think there is good reason to affirm, That this was caused by his falling from fome high place. But all this is mere Conjecture, and hath no ground to support it, for if Judas had procured his Death by throwing himself down from a Precipice, as Melancholists have been wont. to do, then furely instead of bursting afunder, and his Bowels gushing out, we should rather hear of broken Bones and Limbs, which are the most usual Consequences and natural Effects of such a Precipitation (for these Commentators speak only of such.) So || Sophocles expresseth Lichas's throwing | In Trahimself from a Rock into the Sea, and chin.

K 4

so Precipitation is generally described in Authors. Wherefore seeing we read of fomething else, which is not commonly mentioned as the Effect of a Great Fall, we may be induced to infer that fome other thing is intended and meant. Besides, there is nothing here mentioned, nay there is nothing implied or hinted concerning Judas's dispatching himself from a Precipice, unless it be in the word mende. But it is well observed by Heinsius (for we must make use of one Critick to confute another) that Praceps is not the genuine or primary Import of this word, but that it ought rather to be interpreted Pronus. However, this is sufficient to invalidate the aforesaid Opinion, that we cannot infer from the word wennis a voluntary. Precipitation, which is the thing that Author- contends for. But on the contrary, mentile being joyned here with whome, shews that Judas did not throw himself down, but that he was altogether Passive as to this thing, and confequently that this place of St. Luke is not to be understood of a willful flinging himself down from the brow of a Hill, or the top of a Rock, as the old Melancholick Desperadoes used to do.

The Truth is, the word remis is of an ambiguous use, and may be rendred not only praceps but pronus (and 'tis likely this Latin word came from that Greek one) and fo we may take it here; for I am for interpreting words in their greatest Latitude, because

Enquired into.

because by this means we shall find out the full Sense of the Scripture. Though Judas did not cast himself from a Precipice, yet he was precipitated, and he was in a prone pofure: Both these, but the latter especially, feem to be signified here by agricult Michigo: being in a prone posture, leaning forward, bending downward (that is the primary Denotation of the word) he fell to the Earth, and this declining Posture that he was in contributed to it. This word then gives us some light into the manner of his Death; it fairly intimates to us, that (after he had immersed himself in most profound Grief and Anguish of Mind, whereby he choaked and stifled his Spirits) he hanged himself, i. e. he willfully intercepted his Breath by Strangling himself. For this prone Situation, this bending of his Head and Face, and this pressing down of his whole Body were caused by this way of dispatching himself. This gives us sufficient ground to believe that this perplexed and despairing Wretch ended his Life with a Halter, for this was the very Posture which his Hanging himfelf put him into. Menrie Moules. being with his Face downward or forward, stooping and declining with bis Head, was as fitly and properly said of such a Person, viz. One that hanged himself, as could have been, and accordingly 'tis very observable, that reneals Author is rendred suspensus by the Vulgar Latin; which Version, although it doth not take in the full Extent and Latitude

tude of the words, yet it confirms the Interpretation which I am now infifting upon viz. That Hanging, and not voluntary Precipitation, is here meant.

For the Proof of this we need only alledge the Greek word aningere used by St. Matthem, which signifies this particular way of Dying, and answers to the Hebrew Chanak, which is to kill with Strangling, and is used after the same manner that Talah suspendere is, which is rendred by the Septuagint nesualer and saveir. It is acknowledged by * In locum. * Grotius, that analy East is the word commonly used in Greek Authors, to signific a Persons Killing himself by Hanging himself. And the words and and any are of the same import, from whence cometh our English word to Hang. It is true, this word επήγξατο bears another Sense likewise, as I have faid before, but that doth not exclude this, but is very confiftent with it, which is the thing that I desire may be observed here. It seems good therefore to the Holy Ghost, in this place to make use of an Ambiguous word, which may be taken either or both ways. Our Translation is very well, be hanged himself; but the word in the Greek is of a larger meaning, and signifies Strangling or Choaking, which may be either by a Man's being Stifled and Choaked with Grief, or by his Hanging himself, in the more strict way of speaking. Let it not then be wondred at, that I take the word in both Senses in this place: I am induced to

do fo by the different signification of the word which the Evangelist useth; and therefore I advise that it be translated thus. He strangled himself, for this takes in both Senses of the Greek word. For in this, as in feveral other things, the Holy Scripture differs from other Writers, it hath sometimes a double Sense couched in it, yea a double literal Sense; such is the Pregnancy of the Sacred Stile above all others. Therefore feeing this Inspired Writer hath here used fuch a word, I see no reason why I may not interpret it in the double meaning which it carrieth: And accordingly I understand by it, that this Vile Despairing Traytor first choaked his Vitals with Grief, and then to ease himself of that intolerable Pressure, wholly stifled them by hanging himself. This latter Sense is that which I am now treating of, and you will find it acknowledged and

imbraced by feveral * Ancient Fathers, and other Writers of Origen, contr. Cellil.2. the Church. An || Eminent Primitive Martyr is very politive in it: And St. Ferom (from vencus, Arator. whom the Vulgar Latin hath it) renders the Greek word thus, Laqueo se suspendit. These thought it reasonable to understand anny gare in this Sense, viz.

for Suffocation by Suspension, and thence conclude that Judas laid violent hands on himfelf, and strangled himself with a Rope or Halter, or fomething that was fit for that

Epiphanius contr.Heres.l.1 Heref. 38. Chryfostom. Augustine, Bede, Sedulius, Ju-

Μετάμελον εμδαλλί τω περθότη ο βερχον wind Rikvendy χόνω Adioxi. Ignat. Epift. ad Philipp.

Paulan.

Ælian

that purpole. If you ask what Tree he *Hist E hung himself upon, * Juvencus will tell wangl. 1.4 you that it was a Fig-tree; but the more Vulgar Tradition is, that this was done on † Fungus an Eldern-tree, whence the † Excrescencies Sambuciabout the Root of it bear the Name of mu Auriculs Jude. Jews or Judas's Ears, and are a Vulgar Medicine against Squinances, and Sore Throats, as if it had some reference to that Traytor's Strangling himself in that part. But this we may allow to have little weight in it, and no more able to evince that he hanged himself on that Tree than Veniat illi laqueus in Psalm 35. 8. (which fome make use of) proves the manner of his Death before spoken of. But this use may be made of this Fabulous Report (as some account it) that we may thence gather it was the general Belief of old, that Judas hanged himself, (for why should they talk of a Tree and a certain Tree, on which he did it, if he did not do it at all?) which questionless was grounded on this Record of the Evangelist concerning him, The went and hanged bimself. T For the * Herodot. Word & way x: S both among * Historians Thucydid. and f Poets (as well as other Writers) commonly fignifies the applying a String or some such thing to the Neck, and there-Polybius. + Ariftoph. with compressing that part, and stopping the Theorit. Breath. Epigram,

But how shall we reconcile this with his falling headlong, and bursting asunder in the midst, and his bowels gusting out? How

are

are these consistent with his Fatal Suspension? If he fastned himself to a Beam, or a Tree (or whatever else it was I am not folicitous) how came he to fall thence, and upon that Fall to break in pieces? The Answer is easie, it was the Will of Heaven that the Punishment of this Notorious Varlet should be Remarkable, and that we might see in the Strangeness of his Death the Extraordinary Judgment of God upon him. He thought to deprive himself of his weary Life by Hanging himfelf: But it happened, and that by Divine Disposal, that the Fatal String wherewith he did this, was not ftrong enough to hold him any confiderable time, and thereupon his cursed Belly fell to the Ground. This is a plain and easie Resolution, and without this it is impossible (whatever some have enterprized) to reconcile St. Matthew and St. Luke, the first who faith Judas hanged himself, the latter who tells us that falling head-long he burst asunder, &c. Not is this without good Authority; Oecumenius acquaints us that it was received as a true Narrative from Papias and others that lived near the Apostles times, that Judas hanged himself, and that the String or Cord with which he did that execution broke afunder, and so he dropped down, and was run over by a Cart or Wagon that came by at that time, which crush'd his Guts out of their place. And you'll find Theophylatt also afferting his Suspension,

150

Gerhard.

fon, only with some Variation as to Circumstances, for he is of opinion that the Tree whereon he hung did bend or break, and thence this Curfed Man fell to the Ground. I cannot be positive here, only this I suggest, that either the Fatal Instrument of his Execution, or that on which it was fastned might slip, or break, or some other ways be loofned; and then he falling upon a Stone, or a sharp piece of Timber (as we may suppose) unless we will admit of the other Conjecture before named, it is not to be admired that his swollen Belly did burft, and that his Entrails came forth. Here is nothing difficult or improbable, nothing harsh or strained. We may easily affent to what I here offer, without the least Violence to our Faculties. The Proposal is reasonable in it self, and it hath the Suffrage and Approbation of those Writers who have treated of the Particular Manner of Judas's Death. Nor must we think it strange that some Writers of the Church are filent as to this, for they did not concern themselves in the giving a particular Relation of this Fact, and the Manner and Events of it. And what though Papias and others, lard the Story of Judas's hanging himself with several Fictions, as that of the Cart, and his Hydropick Swelling, &c. These we are to flight and difregard, but the plain Truth we must retain, viz. That he ended his Life by that Fall which was occasioned by the breaking breaking of the String or Noose with which he had thought to dispatch himself. This hath been believed by the Antients (as we have said) and is embraced by several of the * Moderns; though of late some have gone * IsaacCa-another way, and have invented new Opini- saubon.

ons of their own.

So then the full Meaning of both the Calixt. Di-Texts put together is this (as I conceive) Ju-eteric. &c. das, after he had thrown down his Money, the Curled Reward of his Treachery departed and went (both which words are very obfervable but are not sufficiently distinguished by our Translators) arexwinou he retired into Tome solitary place (for so the word is used in Matt. 14. 13. John 6. 15. and in other places, and it is the frequent Denotation of the word in Prophane Authors) thus he departed, or rather (as it should be rendred) went apart, he betook himself to Solitariness, as is the Custom of deep Melancholists; and then areador is added to signific his Wicked Design, he went, i. e. he went away with a Delign and Purpose of putting a Period to his Life, and accordingly first he pined himself with excessive Grief and Melancholy, and thereby fell into fuch Fits of Suffocation as had like to have proved Mortal to him. In the next place he betook himself to an Halter, as an infallible Remedy of his Grief and Anguish; but the Load and Pressure of his swell'd Body sqon gave him a Release from his Noose, and he fell headlong to the Ground, or rather on something that

that lay between that and him: And thus his remaining Breath was quite beaten out of his Body by its violent dashing on that which lay in the way; and at the same time by that wide Ruputre whereby his Entrails were poured forth, his wretched Soul made its way, that it might go to its own place, i.e. To the Infernal Regions of the Damned, and there have its Portion without Hope and Possibility of a Redress: For I think there is no Man in his Wits will give heed to what is mentioned by * fome, that Judas knowing Origenin Christ would shortly descend into Hell, and 35. Theo deliver all Persons thence, went and hanged phyladin himself before our Saviour's Death, that he Mat. 27. might be fure to be in Hell when he came Theophan. thither, and thereby have the Benefit of being bomil. 27. thither, Zonar. E. saved by him.

pift. 56.

This is the Compleat Interpretation of the words which I offer, wherein I not only take in the full Sense of both Texts (which I see most Expositors are Desective in) but I put an end to most of the Disputes that have been raised about the Interpreting of these words. For it hath been warmly controverted, whether Judas's Death was by Grief, or Suspension, or by a Precipice : Some have held the first, some the second only, and others defend the last as most accountable But I maintaining that his Death was procured by all these three, (for in the way that I have explained them, they are all three very consistent) I do hereby silence the particular Quarrels and Pretences of Criticks against

one another on these places of Scripture. The word annygare which St. Matthew useth, having a double meaning, hath rendred the Manner of Judas's Death uncertain among these Authors, but I have made use of the Ambiguity of that word to another end, viz. To fuggest to me that the double Sense of the word is to be attended to here, i. e. We are hereby informed that this Wretched Caitiff was first sufficiented with Fits of Defpairing Sorrow, and foon after attempted to end his days with a Halter: But as he hung and pressed himself down, this crack'd or otherwise was unfastned, and so he came tumbling from his heighth. Then, to this Suffocation, Suspension and Precipitation (for it may be called fo, though it was not that Precipitation which some, as you have heard, fancied he expired of) was added a Rupture and Effusion of his Bowels (the last Act of this Tragedy) for by being thrown down he for wounded and tore his Body, that these Entrails foon found a passage by which they came forth. This is the Exposition which I give of the words, and you fee it is confiftent with it felf (which cannot be faid of some of the Interpretations which have been mentioned) and it is fully adjusted to the Grammar and Criticism of the words; it is also comprehensive of the full meaning of the Relation which we have of Judas's Death; and is effectual to the filencing of those Quarrels that are among Interpreters. But chiefly it may merit the PrePreference to all other Interpretations:
Because,

1. Hereby, and not by any other way St. Matthew and St. Luke (or St. Peter rather. for 'tis he that gives that Relation in the Alls concerning Judes) are thoroughly reconciled. For the first saith he hanged himself, i.e. by an inward Grief and an outward Application he strangled himself: The second saith he fell head-long and burst asunder, i. e. His fwollen Corps by its Mighty Pressure and Gravity got loose from the place where it was truffed up, and by its violent fall broke afunder. Here is nothing contradictory in both these passages, for in the one is set down what Judas willfully attempted and did towards the procuring of his Death: The other acquaints us what was the Direful Sequel of it: The former relates the beginning of this Fatal Tragedy, the latter the end and Catastrophe of it. Thus both these Inspired Authors agree: But if you understand the words either wholly of Precipitation properly fo called, or of Melancholick Suffocation, you fet these Writers at Variance, and you will never be able to bring them to an Agreement: Yea you make them fay that which was never fo much as thought of. For as for Judas's flinging himself from a Precipice, there is not a single Syllable in the Relation given by these Holy Men that looks that way, or gives the least Hint of it. Besides, hanging himself is expresly mentioned in one of the Texts, and we are fure that this is inconsistent with casting him-

felf down from a Precipice. And as for Metancholy Suffocation, it was never heard that this alone produced a Total Rupture of the Body, and an Exclusion of all the Bowels: These must undoubtedly be the Effect of another Caufe: That * Physician who pre- * The Galtended to folve this by the Instance of a dot, M. D. Bursten Child, whose Malady is caused by keeping in the Breath, whereby the Diaphragm is born down, and so by that violent Depression the Entrails are broken and displaced, was as wide from the purpose as Bath is from Terusalem. What is this to the burfting afunder in the midst, and all the Bowels gushing out, and that by falling head long? Was it ever known that the itopping of the Breath, the mere hindring of Respiration procured such an Effect as this, viz. A total Exenteration? No. It is to be ascribed to another Cause, and I have assigned what it is. Thus the feemingly different Accounts of fidas's Death are reconciled, which could not possibly be done in that way which Expositors generally have taken.

2. This Exposition which I have prefented you with, is an undeniable Proof and
Demonstration of that which I suggested in
the entrance into this Discourse, viz. The
Remarkableness and Singularity of this Execrable Traytor's End. Of all the Criminals
Recorded either in Sacred or Prophane Story,
there is none equal to this Judas, and therefore it was sitting that the Recompence of
his black and foul Demerit should be as

1

Match-

Matchless as that it self: And this we see accomplished in the Wonderful Manner of bis Death. Or rather, it was not a Single Death, but a Complicated one, which is the thing that makes it fo Strange and Observable. The first thing he attempted, was to abandon himself to excessive Melancholy. When he resected on his Fact, he was overwhelmed with Vexation and Despair. It is impossible to relate or to imagine the Horrours of his Soul, and the Tortures of his Conscience, which he underwent on this occasion, This only we can fay, That this Load was so Great and pressing, that it even choak'd and smother'd his Vitals, it strangled and fifled his Spirits, and almost bereav'd him of Life. To compleat this fully, he proceeded yet farther, and willfully hanged himfelf, that he might be freed from his present Misery, although this did but let him into greater. The Memory of this more effectual Strangling of himself (the everlasting Badge, and Infamous Memorial of his Guilt) regiven him (as our Learned English Rabbi

From 16- mains still in his Name * Iscariot, which was sio. Lud.de thinks) after his Death, or (as others con-Dieu in jecture) before it, with a Prospect of this Mass. 10.4. direful Fact.

It is farther remarkable in this Singular foot, Hor. Hebraic. in Instance of God's Vengeance, that this Trayeund, loc. tor's hanging himself, was not, as he intended it, his last Punishment: This Miserable Criminal fell head-long, before he was altogether deprived of Life and Sense, from the

place of his Suspension, and his almost Breath-

less Carcass shook out his loathed Soul and his Bowels together by a Fall. This Evisceration is very remarkable, for 'tis emphatically faid, his Bowels yea all his Bowels gushed out. St. Luke speaks here like a Physician, as he was, and means by * only yea, in the usual * Ending Acception of that word among those of that year single Faculty, all the Viscera of the middle and invala, is lower Ventricles, the Heart and all the other Ta 247 6 Inwards belonging to both these. This sets Helych. forth the Rarity and Wonderfulness of this Judgment, this calls upon us to take special notice of it. Let me observe to you, that in his being thus wholly disbowelled, we may plainly read the Punishment of a Traytor: For not only with us, but other Nations, Eviscerating hath been part of the just Penalty inflicted on such Malefactors.

Moreover, I might take notice of St. Luke's other word [inaxios] which we have not fully translated when we English it [he burst asunder] for it properly signifies fuch a Rupture as is accompanied with a Noise, and therefore in the Vulgar Latin Version 'tis rightly rendred crepuit.' This Terrible Crack which attended Judas's Fall, was so loud, that it was heard at a great distance: And accordingly we read in the next Verse. That this was known unto all the Dwellers in Jerusalem.

It is no wonder, faith our * Christian * Hor, Hebr Rabbi, that this sudden and violent Ex- in loc.

 L_3 plofion

158

plosion of all his Entrails, made such a mighty and horrid Sound, for the Devil, who had entred Bodily into him, and had inhabited there three days, now broke forth: And upon this violent Eruption of that Evil Spirit, a great and amazing Noise was heard, such as must needs affright the Neighborhood. For though I do not approve of the Learned Doctor's Interpretation of the word in yzare, which he takes in a Passive Signification wholly, and tells us that Judas was fnatch'd up by the Devil into the Air, and there strangled by him, (whereas 'tis expressy said he went, which is an Active word, and shews that what immediately follows, was a proper act of his own; whence I have reason to gather that he strangled and hanged himself, that he was a Felon of himself, that he willfully procured his own Death) yet I do verily believe that the other Act of the Tragedy was immeadiately by the Devil's Procurement, This Infernal Dæmon struck him down to the Earth and Hell together, whither his Body was enclining. And truly he might justly have his last and fatal end from the Devil's own Hand, who was immediately flirred up by this Diabolick Spirit to commit that cursed Fact.

Thus all the Circumstances of this Miserable Caitist's End make it Stupendious; that we may be convinced of this Great Truth, that this was a Notorious and Exemplary Punishment

nishment, and designed by God to be Peculiar and Remarkable. Here were feveral Deaths met together in this One Horrid Example. that we may be invited to observe and admire the Extraordinary Hand of Providence in it, and that we may take notice how God hath inflicted a Judgment worthy of such a Miscreant, that this Unusual and Unheard of Manner of his Death, may appear to be the iust Desert of his unparallelled Villany, namelv. his Betraying of Inocent Blood, even that of the Lord of Life and Glory. One way of Death was not thought sufficient for him, and therefore his curfed Life was torn from him by many, viz. by Macerating Grief, by Violent Hanging and Strangling himself, by a sudden Precipitation, by a Disruption of his whole Body, and by an Effusion of all his Entrails.

As to what is suggested by a * late Wri-* Gronov. ter, that he was not buried but cast into the Exercit. place destined for the Carkasses of Beasts Academ. and all manner of Filth and Dung, and that the throwing his Dead Body into this Barathrum, where he was burst asunder, is meant by his falling head-long, I cannot apprehend any ground for this Conjecture, and therefore I cannot insert it as a Remarkable Attendant of his Death, and as part of that Severe Judgment which befell him here. I have gone as far as the words of the Evangelists have authorized me, in explaining of which, I have fully set forth the Tragical

End of this Hypocritical Actor in Religion, this Mock-Disciple, this Apostle in Masquerade, this Execrable Deferter and Vile Betrayer of his Master.

But I have not yet done, for I am to add that as the Just Judgment of God upon him here, was Signal and Remarkable, fo the fame Divine Vengeance pursued him to another World, and made his Fate there as Singular and Observable. This is that which' I conceive is the true meaning of those words in Verse 25. of the first Chapter of the Acts, That he might go to his own place. I know fome interpret this of Death or the Grave, but very improperly, for this is not in ting to a proper place, but a Common one, and therefore is rightly called fo by * Plautus; and Dying Qui nunc is going to that place which is Common bineincome to all, which is the Receptacle of all Manmunem lo. kind. Others understand this place of Hell, cum. InPro- that being (fay they) Judas his own place : log. Cafine. But, fo far as I apprehend, this Acception of the word for Hell in a general Notion is not the meaning of the Text (because Hell was not so Judas's own place, as that it was proper to him alone, and none else, for Hell is the Common place for all the Damned. But though I am ready to grant that Hell is meant here, yet I take leave to understand it in a more Emphatick way than it is usually taken: For 119 70 + 9 his own place is his peculiar place,

place, the place in Hell proper to him, and no other. This I am perswaded is the true and full Import of the words. Judas went to his own place, i. e. That Individual Peculiar Place in the Regions of Hell, which was particularly allotted for him, and him alone. All Impenitent Sinners have their Portion in that Infernal Lake, but this Curfed Traytor and Apostate was confined to his own Apartment, to his proper and peculiar place in that Universal Receptacle of the Damned. To establish this Opinion, and to confirm the Sense of the words on which I ground it, I defire it may be considered, that as 'tis generally acknowledged by Divines, that there are Degrees of Happiness in Heaven, fo 'tis also granted, that there are Degrees of Torment in Hell. This is the general Ground and Foundation of my interpreting these words in this Sense. In the Kingdom of Heaven are * uprai nowal * 70/1.14.2. many Mansions, and in the Infernal Kingdom are ide vones proper distinct places, fingular and peculiar Torments according to the Quality of Sinners, and confequently Judas was configned to his; he had, and he hath, and shall ever have a Peculiar Proper Punishment, distinct from that of other Sinners. Again, I find that there is a Particular Individual Woe denounced against that Man by whom the Son of Man was betrayed, Matt. 26. 24. Tu das

* o 20ew-Judas is * that Man, remarkably fet TO one forth, and distinguished from all other Men, by that inimitable Fact of his, the Betraying the Son of Man, which assures us of the Peculiarity of the Woful Curse here affixed to him. This is as proper to him as his Crime. Moreover, to strengthen this Affertion, I might take notice that he is emphatically stiled the Son of Perdition by our Lord, John 17. 12. which fignifies not only that he is inevitably Lost and Damned, for this is true of every profligate Man that dies in his Sins, but it must necessarily include something else in it, something Greater and Higher, viz. That he is Lost and Damned, and consequently that he is the Son of Perdition in a Sense different from all other Persons, that his Punishment in Hell is Singular, his Place or State of Torment is his own, it is proper to him, and different from that of all others. Lastly, I defire you to observe the particular wording of this Text: St. Luke faith not that *Num. 24. he went As & Tonor aure, (as * Baalam is 25. 70 In faid to do,) but he useth the word in & instead of wire, to let us know that this place which he went to was fo his, that it was no Bodies else: For this is the true import of 199. Nor doth this suffice, he inserts here the Demonstrative Article & twice, he went of A Toxov & idler; which remarkable Duplication of the Article

ticle fixes and appropriates the Sense, and underiably proves that the place and Stare allotted to this Arch-Traytor in the Regions of the Damned, were bis in a peculiar manner, i. e. They were so his, that they were not common to any others. This is \$ 767 9 \$ 70 . And thus I have given you the Reasons of my Exposition of these words in that way which I have propounded. I do not find that Commentators interpret them in this Sense, but vet I think that from what I have offered, it is evident that this is the true and genuine Acception of that Expression. All the Criminals recorded in the Old and New Testament, are but Puny Sinners, if compared with Judas, especially if we consider that he was placed in so high a Rank, and was so highly favoured by his Lord, that he was one of the Sacred College of Apostles, and Blessed with Extraordinary and Miraculous Gifts. and admitted to the Holy Sacrament of the Eucharist with the rest of his Brethren. It will not feem Strange and Wonderful, that this Ungrateful and Perfidious Parricide, who acted fo contrary to his Profession and Privileges, and betrayed his Master with a Faithless Kiss, was rewarded with a proper place of Torment, a peculiar State of Damnation, not common to him . with others. It was fitting that his Future Penalty should be answerable to that

that here, (of which we have fully treated in this Discourse) namely, That it should be Singular and Unparallelled, and disfering from all others. It was sitting that as no Man ever committed such a Crime, so no Man should have the same Punishment with him, either in this or in the other World.

The

The fixth Text enquired into, viz.

Enquired into.

1 Cor. XVI. 22.

If any Man love not the Lord Jesus Christ, let him be Anathema Maran-

HE Task which I fet my felf at prefent, is to make enquiry into the true Sense and Signification of the word Anathema, that understanding the right import of it, we may grasp the meaning of this Dreadful Execration of the Apostle, Let him be Anathema: For as for the ensuing word, or rather words Maran-atha, I shall offer but little about them, which shall be in the close of all. That we may have a true Notion of this word 'Avallena, I must in the first place take notice of a great Mistake among some Authors, which is this, They are wont to confound these two words 'Avallena and 'Avallinua, whereas they are really distinct, and of different Significations. This we are to observe before we proceed any farther, that we may form right Apprehensions of the word, as well as of the thing which is before us. The former of these, which is the word in the Text. is always taken in a bad Sense, but the latter is generally understood in a good and favourable one, and simply and absolutely denotes

any thing that is fet apart, dedicated to a Deity, devoted to the Honour of God, or of the Gods, for this word is used by Prophane as well as Sacred Writers. As this is the Acception of the word in the best Classical Authors, (which none will deny that are acquainted with them) so this is the use of it in St. Lake 21. 5. Some Spake of the Temple, how It was adorned with goodly Stones and Gifts, 'Avabipage, i. e. with Presents and Oblations brought to that Holy Building, and there bestowed toward the Beautifying and Enriching of it, not without some Relation certainly of Devotion and Reverence to the Lord of that House And all Gifts and Presents whatsoever that are confecrated to God, are called by this name, and accordingly the usual rendring of 'Andhua is donarium or donum, a Gift confecrated to God; for 'Anadina or 'Avant Strai is reponere, sursum powere, autollere, suspendere, and thence is very appolitely applied to these *Inde apud Sacred Gifts, which being *offered to God

Platon Po were laid up, and hung up in the Temple for lit.2. Ara the Service and Honour of the Numen to Onuara which they were dedicated.

Tolk Beoile

And here let me have leave to offer my Conjecture which is this, That the Greeke Beredian, giving that name Avashuara to those Gifts 'Avilage which were thus Confecrated, is an Allusion 2) avabis to the Hebrew Name which that kind of Holy Presents hath in the Old Testament, and which was given to it by God himself, as in many things it might be proved, that the Prophane Grecians borrowed from the Sacred Book

Book. This fort of Gifts was stiled Terumah. from elevatus, exaltatus fuit, which we translate an * Heave-offering, because it was * Numb. 15. heaved or lifted up before the Lord. And 19, 18, 24. with this is joyned Tenuphah, another Holy Oblation which owes its Original to the Verb DIJ which signifies to shake and move to and fro, as well as to elevate, and thence that fort of Prefent is called a * Wave-offering, it being . Lev. 7.30. the particular naage it feems, at the tendring 8, 27. of it, not only to lift it up (which was the main thing) but to wave it. Now, to this lift. ing up (whence Terumah more signally had its name, and was properly an Oblation lifted up) Availinus among the Greeks exactly answers. and according to the forementioned Theme whence 'tis derived, may be rightly rendred donum elevatum or suspensum. In the Pagan Devotions 'Αναθήματα were fuch Prefents as were bestowed on the Gods, and set up on some high and eminent place, as generally upon the Walls and Pillars of their Temples. This is well observed by a † Learned Critick +Salmasia who tells us, that Not all those Gifts which were solinum. dedicated to the Gods were called by the Grecians 'Avabinara, but those only which could be hung up, or set upon some high and and conspicuous place. And this is the true and simple Acception of this word 'Aváluua, which is different from And sense with an Epsilon, although you'll find that several Writers observe not this difference. But 'tis certain that 'Arabina is of a quite contrary fignification to Avaluate, this latter denoting a Holy, the former an Unholy

thing or Person; this signifying some thing or Person Confecrated to God, that on the contrary some thing or Person Cursed of God and Man, and destined to be destroyed, yea sometimes it signifies the very Cursing it self,

as you shall hear afterwards.

But here it is objected that the Septuagint use the word in a good sense, to signific that which is Holy to God, and dedicated to his Service, as in Lev. 27.28. where the 'Avdeua or devoted thing is said to be Holy unto the Lord. So in Fos. 6. 19. we read that all the Silver and Gold, and Veffels of Brass and Iron that the Israelites should find in Jericho, when they took it, were to be consecrated, or (as 'tis in the Original) to be Holine & unto the Lord, which very things have the name of 'Ardlina given them in v. 18. whence some infer that the word with an sas well as with an sis taken in a good sense, and signifies a Sacred Gift offered to God. But this is a palpable Mistake, for if you confult the place in Leviticus, you will , find that it speaks of the Cherem properly so called, the thing or Person that was devoted to utter Destruction, and therefore could not be redeemed, as you read there. How then could this be a Gift to God, how could it be an Offering confecrated to God, and separated to Sacred Uses when it was utterly destroy'd? But yet it may be faid to be Holy to God, in this sense, viz. That the Destruction of it, which was appointed and commanded by God himself, was for his Glory and Honour. The curfing of the Canaanites, the devoting them

them to Ruin, yea and the Actual Destroying of them redounded to the Honour of God. whilst thereby he shewed hemself the Sovereign and Absolute Disposer of the World. and at the same time gave undeniable proof of his irreconcilable Hatred of all Idolatry and Idolaters. Thus the Persons and things devoted to Destruction were Holy to the Lord. This is the true and genuine meaning of this place, and no Man that looks into it and considers it well, can interpret it otherwise. And hence perhaps it is, that in a remote Sense the Verb Charam (and consequently the Noun Cherem) may be taken, as in Mic. 4.13. to fignifie Consecrating or Holy Dedication. Not that the word hath any fuch genuine fignification, for it is not Charam but Kadash that is the word constantly used to denote Sanstifying or Confectating, i.e. fetting a thing or Person apart to a Pious use, to God's peculiar Honour and Service. I fay Kadash is the proper word for this every where in the Old Testament; yet because even Cursing of things or Persons is to God's Glory, and so may be faid to be Holy to the Lord, hence Charam and Cherem, and accordingly Anathema come to have that Sense fometimes, but in a very improper and forced manner.

The other Text in Joshua speaks not of things that were to be destroyed, but to be preserved, and therefore it is added there, they shall come into the Treasury of the Lord, and consequently they are said to be Holiness into the Lord. But this is Foreign to the present

M Bulinels?

Buliness, for we are speaking now of the Primary and Proper Meaning of the word, not of any Improper and Secondary one. I grant that 'Avadepa is improperly and as it were at a distance applied to the things which are consecrated to God, and are feserved for his particular Use and Service: But thence to infet that this is the First, Genuine, and Native Signification of the word is Unreasonable and Illogical. The things spoken of in the forenamed place, viz. The City Jericho, and all that was in it, are called' Avidoua in the Seventies Translation, not because they were to be referved for the use of the Temple, or because they were consecrated to the Lord: No, this is another Consideration of the things, and is nothing at all to the true Import of the word. for this consecrating to the Lord, refers to some particular individual things only, and not to the rest spoken of in that place. For observe what is there said, v.17. The City shall be (Anathema) accursed, even it, and all that are therein, all things as well as Persons, for בל takes in both, and the Seventy Interpreters particularly restrain it to things, narta one, &c. Now that by 'Avaleua or being accursed to the lord, is meant here that they shall be destroyed to the Glory of God, is evident from the words immediately ensuing, Only Rahab the Harlot shall live, she, and all that are with her in the House. These particularly are excepted from the general Anathema or Curse which was to end in Destruction: Therefore it is undeniably true, that all other Persons and things were curfed

curfed by God, and destined to Destruction? The whole City, and every Person and thing in it, were thus an Anathema, yet so as God was pleased to spare the Silver and Gold, the Brass and Iron for the Service of the Tabernacle. But still these are included in the general Notion of 'Avassuara or accursed things, and fo are called in v. 18. because they did belong to that accurled City Jericho, and should have been involved in the actual Curse and Destrution, if God had not in a peculiar manner exempted them, and thought good to referve them for Holy and Religious Uses, and thereby to cut off as it were the Curfe entailed upon them. But if we consider the things in themselves, i. e. as they appertain'd to that City, which was devoted by God to Perdition, they are Avaluate Accurred things and are so stilled, as you have heard. The words in v. 18. run thus Keep your selves from the accurfed thing (viz. The Gold and Silver, &c.mentioned in the next Verse) lest you make your felves accurred when ye take of the accurred thing and make the Camp of Israel a Curse: Or, you may read it according to the Septuagint, thus, Keep your selves from the Anathema, lest you make your selves Anathema, when ye take of the Anathema, and make the Camp of Israel an Anathema. Here is you see nothing contained in the Primitive and Genuine Sense of the word 'Ardlena but a Curse and a devoting or being devoted to Mischief and Perdition, for there is no colour at all of reason to take 'Avallina here in contrary Senfes, but all Men mult acknowledge, ledge, that the general Sense is the same in every Clause of this Verse, or else 'tis impossible to make any Sense of it. Which shews us what is the true import of the word, viz. Abeing set apart and destined by a Solemn Curse to Destruction, and consequently that the word is not to be taken in a good Sense, but the contrary viz. for fomething Execrable and Detestable, and (as the consequent of this) that it is far different from 'Avdonua, yea is another word, which is the thing I was to prove.

But notwithstanding this, we shall find that fome Writers are very defective here, and mistake one word for another, and thence they tell us, that 'A alkuara are things dedicated to

* Resp. 21. God. Thus * Justin Martyr confounds the ad Orthodi words, and so doth || Chrysoftom, whatever a Home 16 late & Writer fuggests to the contrary. Nor in Epift, ad to * Theodoret or | Oecumenius distinguish be-† Suicer, tween them, as appears from some part of their Writings. And Balfamon and Zonaras often confound the words 'Avagena and 'Avagena Ecchf. In cap. 13 in their Comments on the Councils. The

9, ad same is done by some Modern Commenta-1 tors, as + Cotterius, * Gomarus, and others. But m,23 · P you may observe that all the Exact Masters † In R. v of Grammar and Criticism make a real Discrimination between these two: Thus ac-22. 3. InGal. 1.8. cording to Helychius Avallua is indeal G, Axor

rarifo, maledictus, excommunicatus: But'Araθηνα is κίσμημα donarium, ornamentum Templi. The like Distinction is made by Suidas and

Annotat. Pollux, and other Grammarians. || Budaus, a in Pandett. sufficient Judge in this case, afferts this difference.

rence, and by no means suffers them to be confounded. * Estius, that Judicious Commonta- Vertical. tor, tells us that it is most certain the Antien. Greeks made a Distinction between 'Arabapa and 'Avannua. * Heinsius wonders that any have . Exerci afferted the promiscuous use of these words, tat. Sac. in for they are (faith he) not only diverse but cop. 4. ad contrary. The Learned | Salmafins agrees with Rom. him, and makes a real difference between Exercitat. these words. So Hofman in his * Universal p. 1089. Lexicon carefully fixes the Distinction be- In Verbo tween them.

Anathema

If it be asked what was the reason, or oc-P. 99. casion rather of the forementioned Mistake about these words, whence was it that the forenamed Authors, and feveral others, whom I might have produced, confound these two. I answer I conceive this is the ground of it, namely because Offerings and Gifts are sometimes consecrated to God with the addition of a Curle: As Darius's Decree for Building and Dedicating the Temple ends with an Execration, Ezra 6. 12. Thence perhaps Anathema hath been used to signifie a Gift dedicated to a Temple, and an Accurred thing, or fuch a one as hath a Curse laid on it, viz. a Curse to him that shall meddle with it to alienate it. Thence Anathemata were faid to be those Gifts that were consecrated to God, and which 'twas not lawful to convert to another use, because the Consecration was under pain of a Curfe to those that alter'd the Property of them. And it is indeed generally faid by the Tewish Doctors, that one fort of their Cherems were so fet apart from common use, that M_3 there

there was implied, and sometimes expressed a Curfing of them who should attempt to alienate them. I conjecture it is on this account (viz. because of this Execration annex'd to the Donation) that these words have had a promiscuous use, and have been thought by some to fignifie things confecrated to Holy uses, and also those that are destined to Destruction. Hence, as I suppose, Anathema, with a little Variation in the Writing, and sometimes without it, is of an ambiguous meaning, and hath been taken by some in a good and a bad Sense, namely for the Gifts and Offerings which Religious Votaries present to the Deity, and appropriate to Sacred Uses, and likewise for such things and Persons as are wholly alienated from God, and are devoted to Destruction by him. But the former acception is fecondary and improper, and the Rife of that Promiscuousness of the words which we have observed, is only from that Curse which is sometimes annexed to the Confecration. Hence it is that the primitive meaning of the words 'Avadena and 'Avadena is perverted; as we see there are many Instances of the Like nature. For there are some good words (as Tiggro G, odipuano, &c.) which afterward are used in a bad Sense, and on the contrary fome words of ill meaning (as medday &c.) become good fometimes. Thus it is here in the words before us, they are distorted from their proper sense and primitive use, and one is made to fignifie the same with the other, whereas they really differ in themselves, and are of a contrary Signification.

Having

Having thus cleared the way to what I intended, by shewing the Difference between these two words 'Ava 3 nua and 'Ava 3 sua, I come now to speak particularly of this latter, and to offer a more distinct account of the genuine meaning of it. We must know then that 'Ard Sepa hath its original from the same Greek word that 'Avadnua had, yet with this difference, that 'Avadava, or 'Avaded's fignifies here seperare, removere, auferre, as also renuntiare, rejicere, (for these are the known signisications of the Greek word in good * Classick . See Con-Authors.) And confequently as 'Avadnua pro- flantine's perly fignifies that which is kept or laid up in Lexicon, some eminent place, so 'Avasena properly denotes which in that which is separated, removed, taken away and many plarejected: Briefly, as the former lignifies the eth all Preserving of a thing, so this latter imports others. the utter Destroying and Consuming of it. But not to rely wholly upon the Etymology (which I know generally is but an uncertain proof,) I will make this good from other Considerations, and offer the reasons why I fix this interpretation on the word. First, Let it be remembered that our Apostle often alludes and refers to the Old Testament, and makes use of several Words, Phrases and Expressions which he finds there. Those that are conversant in the Stile of this Hebraizing Writer will not shew any Averseness to acknowledge this, and therefore I need not infift upon it. Now, it is probable that he doth the like here, i.e. That he refers to that noted word often mentioned in the Old Testament, which hath this very Signification, and M = a

is applied in the same manner that this word is: So that any differning Person may perceive that this Greek word 'Avadeua answers exactly to the Hebrew Cherem, and that the Apositle hath respect to it in this place. Secondiv. This is made more probable from the Famous Version of the Seventy Interpreters, who constantly render the word Cherem by this word which the Apostle here useth, viz. 'Ava Jeua, and from them without doubt this word was taken by this Sacred Writer, who in above a hundred places (as might easily be shewed) hath borrow'd words from those Greek Interpreters, and applied them in the fame Sense and Meaning that they do. Wherefore it is reasonable to think that he doth the like here. Thirdly and lastly, this may be call'd an Ecclesiastick word, because it is most used by the Fathers and Church-Writers, and from their rendring and explaining of the word, we may infer that it runs parallel with Cherem.

the true import of this word Cherem, because upon this depends the right understanding of the word Arddena. First, we shall find that the more general signification of the Verb whence this Noun cometh, is separavit, excommunicavit, and consequently Cherem is a *Cherem, *Separation, or the Sentence of Excommunicavit sion whereby Persons are separated and cut sipple aria off from Holy Communion. This is the usual Avenar meaning of the word in Tewish Writers, they lib. Radio understand by it an Ecclesiastical Punishment, or a Solemn Interdiction or Proscription whereby Contumacious Offenders are forbid the

Here then we are concerned to look into

theuse of Holy things, and debar'd the Communion of the Church, and the Benefits of it. But in the next place, the more particular import of the word is Separating with a Curfe. For devovere, execrari is the usual signification of the Verb Charam in Scripture, as in 1 Kings 20. 42. Isa. 34.5, and other places; whence Cherem is not only a Curfed thing or Person, but the Cursing of them. This is that which is threatned by God to the Jews, if they reject the Messias, and is the Conclusion of the Old Testament, That he will come and smite them with a Curse, Mal. 4.6. Cherem is the word, and thence 'Avallema is frequently used to denote a Curfe, and Avadematican and Kataradematican, as in Acts 23.12. fignifie to Curfe, or to Swear with the Addition of a Curfe. It is a Separating and Devoting of things or Persons by a Solemn Execration; it is a Vowing, Wishing and Pronouncing their Destruction and Perdition. Therefore thirdly, Charam among the Hebrews is desolavit, destruxit: and Cherem is desolatio, destructio, perditio omnimoda. As to the Verb, 'tis acknowledged by all, that there are great Numbers of Texts, wherein it fignifies to destroy utterly, as in Ex.22.20 Deut. 2.34. where the word can have no other Sense than this. and therefore is rendred by the Seventy 'Eξωλοθράθων and 'Εξωλοθράθως. So in Isa. 11.15. it cannot possibly signifie any thing else (as is isplain from the words themselves, and from the Context) and therefore 'Epople' devastare desolare is the Version of the Septuagint. Again, in Jer. 51.3. and Dan 11.44 it cannot be understood otherwise than thus, and accordingly

178

dingly it is rendred in both places 'Asavigan, abolere. And I question not but this is the meaning of the word in Ezra 10.8. though we translate it to forfeit; but the plain Sense and rendring of it is this, [his Substance shall be destroyed,] as in the case of Achan; wherefore 'Aradinationation is the word used here by the Greek Interpreters, because 'Aradina answers to Cherem, the proper meaning of which word

is Destruction.

And we may further learn and be afcertained of the true and genuine meaning of this word from the Law of Cherem, fet down in Lev. 27, 28, 29, which there fignifies a thing or Person devoted to Destruction, and also from the particular Instances of this Cherem, as those Idolatrous Cities mention d in Deut. 13.15,16. Which were to be utterly destroy'd, and all that was in them. Neither Inhabitants nor Cattle were to be spared, nay all the Spoil of them was to be gathered into the midst of their Streets, and both City and Spoil to be burnt to Ashes. The Cities shall be a heapfor every they shall never be built again, and there shall cleave nought of the accurfed thing (the Anathema) to thy hand, v.17. Jericho was a Cherem, Cursed and Destined to Destruction: So Amalek was devoted to be a Cherem, I Sam. 15.3. Utterly destroy all that they have, and spare them not, but slay both Man and Woman, &c. Charam and 'Avasimation' are the words here used. And hence perhaps were the Kada'euara and Devota capita among the Greeks and Romans: For (as I have faid before) it was not unusual with the Gentiles to borrow some Practices from the Jews. They had a report among

among them, it is likely, of this Remarkable Usage, viz. The Devoting of certain Cities, and the Inhabitants of them, to Slaughter and Destruction, and that this was executed by the special Command of God: Whence it is not improbable that in an Apish and Superstitious manner they took up such a Practice as this, and devoted certain Men to Death and Destruction, to please their Gods. And this is the more probable, because the very word *'Ara3i- "'Ara3iματα was used by the Ancient Greeks to fig-ματα, honifie those Execrable Wretches who were cho-mines quofen out to be put to Death, and to be devoted disinferis to the Infernal Demons. The 'Avadinara an-devota fwer to the Jewish Cherem, i. e. Things or Per-Sunt. Confons devoted to utter Destruction, for the word fantin. Cherem retains the Sense of the Verb Charam, Budeus. which signifies utterly to destroy, and that without Mercy: Whence the Critical Hebrews tell us, that Charam is directly opposite to the Verb Racham misereri.

From Charam the word Chormab derives its Pedigree, and so should be translated Destruction in Numb. 14.45. though you find it otherwise, for the Vulgar Latin keeps the Hebrew word it self, and our English Translators follow it, rendring the place thus, The Amalekite discomfited them even unto Hormah, whereas I conceive Hormah or Chormah denotes not a place, but Death and Destruction, and the words should be rendred thus, The Amalekite discomfited, or * pursuad them even unto utter de-* Persecustruction, i.e. Death. Those Pagan Victors push'd two est. on their Conquest even to the utmost Extre-Valg. Lat. mity, according to what Moses had foretold,

v. 43.

v.43. that the Israelites should fall by the Sword. Thus they were destroyed, as you expressly read in Deut. 1. 44. where this same passage is related again. And from fuch a Fatal Event as this (but upon the contrary Party, the Israelites afterwards overthrowing the Canaanites) the place where the Execution was done was called Hormah, and not before. Numb. 21. 3. They utterly destroyed them ('AvaSemation is the word used by the Septuagint) and their Cities, and called the name of the place Chormah, or (according to the Greek Translation) Anathema: So it is as much as Cherem, you fee. But in the other Text before mentioned Hormah is no Proper Name, but an Appellative; which is farther evident from this, that there is an n before it, whereas there are no Prefixes to Proper Names. I am enclined to think that Harmageddon, Rev. 16.16. (a word that hath much exercised the Critical Wits) is of the same Derivation, Charmah denoting Destruction, and Gad or Geddon an Army, and so it signifies the Destruction and Fatal Overthrow of that Army of Antichrist which was to Rendezvouze in that place: It takes its name from the Event which you read in v. 19. This I conceive is preferable to the other Derivations that are ufually assigned of the word Harmageddon.

I will also observe to you, that this word Cherem in a Metaphorical way signifies a Net, in which Fishes are taken to be killed and eaten, i.e. destroyed, Ezek. 32.3. Mic. 7.2. Hab. 1.

15. All which I alledge, to shew you that Cherem, if you respect the word from whence it is extracted, or the words that are of near Cognation

nation with it, denotes killing and destroying. This is the proper fignification of it (for as to the Catechrestick Acception of it, I have nothing to do with it here,) yea, this is its * First * Brixian and Original fignification. By Cherem we are Thefaur. principally to understand Utter Destruction and Lingue 5. Perdition, Final Extirpation and Excision, and Avenar; withall the Wishing, Vowing and Denouncing of these. Thus 'Ava'9:44 in the New Testament is borrowed from Cherem in the Old. and answers exactly to it. I know some Authors have taken notice of the Reference of the one to the other, but I thought fit to fuperadd a full and distinct account of the Remarkable Agreement that is between them, and this I have done by laying open the Origination of the words, and the particular use of them. אדש 'Aradena is as much as והיחרם let him be a Cherem, that is to say in the full meaning of it, Let him be a Person separated, cursed, destroyed.

So that by this I have conducted you to the true and compleat meaning of the Apostle's words: Here is a Tripple Judgment denounc'd against those that love not the Lord Jesus Christ, those who were so far from loving him, that they disown and deny him. For 'tis certain here is a Meiosis, less is expressed than is intended; by not loving is meant hating and remouncing of the Lord Jesus Christ. Let him that is guilty of this horrid Sin be Anathema, saith the Apostle, that is,

1. Let him be separated. In which these two things are included; First, That he be separated from Christ's Church, that he be excluded from being

Keyu-

TEVTOV1

&3₩ _

being a Member of that Body. 'Avadence, answering to Cherem, is rightly understood, as that was, of Excommunication, which is a Prohibition of Communion with the Church, and a cutting a Person off from the Benefits which accrue from it. Thus our Apostle in Holy Zeal for the Jews his Country-men and Kinsmen, professes he could be content to be Rom. 9.3. for their fakes * an Anathema from Christ, i. e. to be separated from Christ's Church, and the Communion of Saints: He could even wish himself excommunicated from the Assemblies of the Faithful, if this might any ways redound to the good of his Brethren. So here, the

least Punishment that can be inslicted on him who loves not the Lord Jesus Christ, is that he be deprived of the Fellowship of the Church, of which he professed himself to be a Member. As he renounceth Christ, so it is but just he should be cast off by the Church, and debar'd of all andles Society with it. So St. Chryfoftom understands

154 7av- this place, Let him be Anathema, that is, faith he, ray. Ho- * Let him be separated from all, let him be estrait Epist. ad ged from all, | Let him be a stranger to the common Body of the Church, faith Theodoret. + And. Rom. thema is Separation or Alienation, faith Theo-∦ **Αλλ**όphylatt. And indeed among Christian and Ec-જદાં જિ જેંદ **พอเ**หชื ฮณ่า clesiastical Writers it hath this peculiar DenoματΘ tation, and is used to fignifie Excommunication.

RAndia. In And as he deserves to be separated from the Church, so likewise from Christ Himself. Seμαίσι χώ. condly therefore Let him be Anathema, i. e. Let him be separated from Christ, let him have no

endorein- part in Jesus. So indeed the Apostle's words before mentioned may be farther meant, Rom. 9-3-CONIA

Enquired into.

could wish that my self were Anathema from Christ, i. e. separated from Christ himself. If it were possible (for it is but a Supposition, and a strain of passionate Love and Indearment,) if it might any ways promote my Brethrens Welfare, I could willingly be excluded from all Benefit by our Lord lefus Christ. I could methinks endure any loss, part with God's Fayour and my own Bliss for their sakes. But what the Apostle here utters only in a high strain of Affection to express his Great Concern for his Kindred (for you must look upon it as no other,) he most truly and directly wisheth and denounceth against all those that willfully and obstinately renounce Christ, that fall from the Faith they once professed, and abjure the Holy Jesus. Let such a one never in this World enjoy his Favour, or share in any Advantage by his Blessed Undertakings for Mankind.

2. Let him be a Person Accursed of God and Men, for that (as I have shewed) is contained in the Notion of Cherem, and confequently of Anathema; and thence among the Writers of the Church, to Anathematize is to Curse, or to denounce Accursed. If any Man love not, i.e. bate the Lord Jesus Christ, it is a most equal Recompense of that his Hatred, that he himself be detested and abhorred, and that he be Cursed upon Earth. If he calls Christ Anathema (1 Cor. 12.3.)i.e. looks upon him, and speaks of him as an Abominable Execrable Person, he certainly himself ought to be an Anathema, an Execration, a Malediction. As he is justly banish'd from the Communion of the Church (which C.S.

we spoke of before,) so moreover he is to be delivered to Satan, which in the Apostles times accompanied Excommunication, 1 Cor. 5. 5. 1 Tim. 1.20. This is a farther Punishment of the Apostate here spoken of. He is to be accounted a Devoted Person, he is to be consigned over to the Evil Spirit as a most Detestable and Cursed Wretch, forsaken of God,

and destined to Destruction. Which is the Third thing contained in this word. Let him be Anathema, i. e. let him be destroyed; for that also is comprehended in the Notion of Cherem, yea is the primary import of it, as we have fully proved. Now, this Destruction includes in it both the Temporal and Eternal Punishment which are entailed on these cursed Miscreants, the Haters of Christ. First. it is probable the Apostle means here those Temporal Inflictions, as Sicknesses, Diseases and Torments of Body which attended Excommunication and Delivering to Satan in those days, and which are called the Destruction of the Flesh, 1 Cor. 5.5. which also may be included in the Apostle's Wish before mentioned, That himself were an Anathema from Christ, i. e. That (besides what hath been said before) he might feel all those Corporal Punishments which usually were inflicted on Sinners when they were delivered to Satan. I could be content, faith he, out of his excess of Affection and Kindness, to undergo all those Severities and outward Hardships of Body, on condition that I might be really Beneficial to the Souls of my Brethren, and reclaim them from their Unbelief and Impenitence. This the Learned

Enquired into.

Learned Dr. Hammond (as well as Grotius) takes to be the Anathema of the Text which is before us; it refers to the Primitive Discipline of Anathematizing or Excommunicating, which was followed with most dismal Effects, particularly with remarkable Judgments on their outward Man, which are called by Ignatius Kónans vi Irabóns, The Plagues which the Devil inflicted. Let these Execrable Sinners, who renounce their Lord and Saviour, be plagued with all those bodily Maladies; being thrown out of the Communion of the Church, and debar'd of Spiritual Benefits, let them suffer all the Temporal Evils and Calamities of this Life.

Secondly, A future eternal Punishment in another World is here implied; which makes this Denunciation of the Apostle to be most Astonishing and Dreadful. If any Man love not the Lord Jesus Christ, and persists in this vile Temper and Practice, let a Curse pursue him into the next World to his Everlasting Perdition and Confusion. This is the highest Sense of this Anathema, this Cherem, this is a devoting to Death and utter and final Destruction. So then in brief the meaning of the words in their full Latitude is this, If any Man love not the Lord Felw Christ, so as not to confess him, but to renounce and deny him, especially in time of Persecution (as some at that time, the Gnosticks saith our Annotator, affirmed it lawful to do) if any Man hate and vilifie the Lord Jefus Christ, and disown and reject that Faith which he once embraced; let his Reward be to be separated

braic.

rated and cut off from Christ Jesus the Head, and from his Body the Church, let him be Accurfed in Body and Soul, and be given up to the Prince of Darkness, and suffer all Evils in this World and in the next, let him be destined to Eternal Misery, and perish Everlastingly

without remedy.

ThusSpiritual, Temporal and Eternal Evils, but more especially these last, are comprehended in this Apostolical Execration; therefore to this Anathema the Apostle adds Maran-atha, which is thought by fome to answer to the Third kind of Excommunication among the Jews, the Severest and Dreadfulest of all, which they stiled Shammatha, a final Separation from the Church without hopes of returning. And fome that embrace this Opinion tell us that Maranatha is a Talmudick word, and frequent in the Rabbies, and that the greatest and most fearful Excommunication among the Jews was called by this name from the first words of the Writing or Instrument of Excommunication. But our great Christian Rabbi gainsays this, * Hor. He * " That this (faith he) is a Form of the high-" est Excommunication, and is the same with cc Shammatha (which some assert) is utterly " without the Warrant of any Jewish Antiquity at all. Nay, the words Maran-atha are not to be met with in the Jewish Writings. I believe we may take it upon the Doctor's word (who knew as well as any Man)that they are not there. However, this is certain that if we look into both those words [Shammatha and Maran-atha] we shall find that they are of

he same signification, for Sham or Shem is nomen, which in ordinary Speech among the Jews fignifies God, and atha is venit, and so the compound word is to be resolved into this, God cometh; which is the same with Maran-atha. for Maran in the Syriack and other Eastern Tongues fignifies Dominus (and thence, as Grotim observes, the Syrian Maronites have their name, because they call Christ Marani, i.e.our Lord) and atha, as was faid before, is rendred venit he cometh; and fo both Shammata and Maran-atha exactly answer to that direful Menace of Enoch, *The Lord cometh, which was a Pro- Jud.v. is phesie as well as an Execuation, that was very Famous in the Church of the Jews, and from this (it is very probable) those Forms of Execration were taken. Behold, the Lord cometh with ten thousands of his Saints, to execute Judgment upon all, especially on such wicked and profigate Sinners that deny the Lord who bought them, and shamefully renounce the Christian Faith and Profession. These are they that love not the Lord Jesus Christ.

Here then all that I will remark is this, that this Dreadful Execuation which the Apostle here useth, hath peculiar reference to God's Final Judging the World, and his executing Eternal Vengeance on the Wicked. For though we may grant with the Learned Lightfoot, that the Apostle here primarily speaks to the Unbelieving Jews, and accordingly expresent himself in the very Language which they used at that time, viz. Syriack, (whereas in Hebrew it should have been Adonents bas

N 2

*Bor. Hebr. not Maran-atha, which, * faith he, evidently theweth that this Speech refers more especially to the Fewish Nation) and though we may grant that the words have some reference to our Lords coming to destroy Jerusalem and the Jewith Polity, yet (as this Worthy Doctor afterwards acknowledgeth) they may have a larger Sense, as many other passages in Scripture have, and be understood more signally and eminently of the Last Doom and Curse which shall be pronounced not only against the Unbelieving Jews, but all those that love not the Lord Jesus Christ of what Nation soever. This thali be at the General Judgment of the World, which (we know) is by way of eminence called the Lords coming in the Sacred Stile of the New Testament: And we read that in that day Go ye Cursed is the particular Language used towards those miserable Creatures. To this therefore I am of opinion that St. Paul's Execration in the Text doth more particularly and especially refer. The short is, those that love not the Lord Jesus Christ, those who willfully hate and oppose him and his Laws, are Accursed Persons in this Life, and are devoted to Destruction; and likewise when our Lord shall come to judge the World, they shall be Curfed more openly and solemnly; when they shall stand before that Impartial Judge of the World, they shall receive from his infallible Mouth a Final Malediction, an Irreversible Execuation, which shall immediately be succeeded with the Eternal Punishment of Hell.

The Seventh Text enquired into,

V 1 Z.

Coloff. II. 8.

Beware lest any Man spoil you through Philosophy and vain Deceit, after the Tradition of Men, after the Rudiments of the World, and not after Christ.

Hough this whole Verse requires an Explication, which I shall briefly offer; yet the main thing which seems difficult in it is this, That St. Saul here enters a Caveat against Philosophy, and joyns it with vain Deceit, whereas he that is acquainted with the Apostle's Education and Accomplishments cannot imagine he would speak any thing to the Disparagement of true and sound Philosophy, which is the Guide of our Faculties, the great Improver of our Reason, the main Conduct of all rational and considerate Minds, and that which teacheth us the right Knowledge of God as it arises from the Nature of things in the World. To Moses's Honour it

is recorded by St. Luke, and related by the holy Martyr St. Stephen, (in which he was directed *A8.7.22. by the Holy Ghost) that he was * learned in all the Wildom of the Egyptians, bred up in their Myflick Hieroglyphicks, and acquainted with the natural Magick of their wife Men. And therefore tho it is one of the Documents of a Jewish Rabbi to his Disciples, + Suffer not your Children to learn Logick: And tho some of mynn the *Christian Fathers have spoken freely R. Judah. against Logick and Philosophy, (and particularly Ben Bethe- St. Ambrose on the 118th Pfalm is taken notice of for his nihil nobis cum Philosophia) because they observ'd that a sophistical way of * Tertullifin, Greg. Reasoning and Logick was made use of by Nyssen & Hereticks, and because the Study of Philofophy had introduced innumerable Conten-Naziantions and Quarrels, as also because it somezen,Bafil. times meddled with and incroached upon Divinity, (for which cause a || Learned Father Greg. Naz Orat gives no better name to Philosophy, than that of Egyptian Plagues, and * another calls them de modo *Augustin. the Egyptian Frogs that have crept into the de conv. Church;) tho I fay, for these Reasons the Fathers sometimes thus inveighed against Lodecem gick and Philosophy, yet at other times they Præcept. shew the Usefulness and Necessity of both; 6, 3. and that the Sophistries of Hereticks cannot be confuted without them. Clemens of Alexandria of proves that Philosophy and Human + Strom. *l.* 1. Arts are from God, and are useful in Divinity. N'Ouxa- | It is not absurd (he faith to assert that ชอสอง น้ गोप कार्रे कार्रे का मार्थ है। वार्ष में के वार्ष महत्वार्थ की किया महत्त्वार की विकास की वार्ष की वार Thy Sta Xers Texelwory. Strom. 1. 6. PhilosoPhilosophy is the Gift of Divine Providence, and was designed to prepare Men for the perfect Doctrin of the Gospel. Accordingly he tells us that Philosophy was given to the Greeks to be the Foundation of Christianity. As the Law and the Prophets were to the Jews, so (saith he) was Philosophy to the Greeks, viz. to fit and dispose them for the preaching of the Gospel, * to purge and . prepare their Souls for the reception of the ofa Se is Christian Faith. A Proof of this we have Exampled in that great and learned Platonist, Justin ofor meo-Martyr, who (in his Apology for the Chri- xx bales xx) flians) acquaints us, that by reading a Sentence Thy Juin Plato's Works he was excited to leave Pa- who is ganism, and to embrace Christianity. Theo- magadbdoret, another Greek Father, in that known xhv mist-Treatise of his wherein he addresses himself Strom.1.7. to the Grecians, makes it evident to them that the Evangelical Truth is confonant to and may be confirmed by the Gentile Philofophy in some parts of it. St. Ferome, a Latin Father, altho once in a Dream he thought he was beaten for reading Tully and fuch like Authors, yet in his Epistle to Magnus a Roman Orator after he had shew'd what allowance Human Learning hath in Sacred Scripture, fets down in order the Fathers who made use of the Writings of the Gentiles in confuting their Errors: and in his 84th Epiftle he gives an account why he himself in his Writings made use of secular Learning. And as I related before, how Justin was guided to Christianity by a Sentence in his Malter $N \cdot 4$

Master Plato, so you may be certified from + Confes. St. Augustin himself, + that he was very much fion. 4. 8. helped forward toward imbracing the Christian Faith, by reading one of Tully (that great 6. 7. Philosopher) his Dialogues, which is put out in Hortensius his Name.

These things thus alledged represent to us the Usefulness and Serviceableness of true and fober Philosophy. This certainly is a great Help to Religion, yea, a part of it. Fora Philosopher (as Tully defines

him, and not amiss) Is one A Philosophus is est qui omnium rerum divithat enquires into, and is acnarum atq; humanarum naturam caufafq; noscit, & omnem bene vivendi rationem tenet ac profeguitur. De Oratore 1.

quainted with the Nature and 'Causes of all things relating 'to God and Man, (i.e. fofar as Natural Reason will con-'duct him) and he is one that both knows and purfues all the ways of living well.

Or take the Description of the rectified Philosophy in the words of Maximus Tyrius, * 'It is (faith he) *Τάυτην δέτὶ ἄλλο fuch an accurate knowledg of 'Divine and Human Things, as 'leads to Vertue, and condu-'ceth to excellent Reasonings, and causes a Melody and apt

'Proportion in a Man's Life, and

υπολη Τέμεθα β έπισημην ळे.x हा ि हो विसंक्षण प्रदेश करें है . के v-Bearlyau, Zapnjovace-Τίις, κέ λαγισμών καλών, Ri demorias Bie, ni 871. Indeunator Azior. DIIfertat. 15.

†Φιλοσο· "teacheth us Moderation and Right Conduct Cid esi of our Manners. Hierocles is short, but very Zwiis dv-'full, i Philosophy is the Purgation and Perfe-Bewatuns Radagoi; 'Ction of Man's Life. This clears the Mind κ) τελμό- of Pollution, this enlightens and confum-'mates Humane Nature: This I may call the 3115. Urim.

Enquired into.

Wrim and Thummim of the Heathen Mora-Iists, the Light and Perfection which they fattained to. Philo's Definition of Philosophy agrees with this, but advanceth it yet higher, "it is that (faith he) by which Man tho he be mortal, attains to immortality. And that is a high Flight of Cicero, who, as it avegano were, speaking to Philosophy, saith thus, ana 3 ava-**One single day well spent in the Observance De Opis. of thy Dictates and Rules, is to be preferred Mundi. before an Eternity of living after the vicious * Est unus way of the World. But if we should speak dies bene moderately, certainly what the Apostle saith of & ex prathe Law, is the least that can be said of true actus, pec-Philosophy, viz. It is good if a Man use it law-canti imfully. It may be ferviceable to excellent mortalita-Ends and Purposes if it be rightly made use if antepoof, and in a subordinate way to the revealed Tusc. qu. 2. Truth of Scripture. We may be assured thereof, that St. Paul here condemns not Philosophy and Human Learning as they are considered in themselves, but only with respect to the Abuse and Corruption of them. St. Paul himself was bred up first at Tarsus, a noted School of Learning. and afterwards fat at the feet of a famous Doctor of the Law, who was Nephew of Hillel Prince of the Sanhedrim, and was (if we may credit our learned Antiquary) created by him a Jewish Elder, and a Member of that venerable Council. He was the greatest Master of Logick and Reason of all the Apostles, a profound and accurate Disputant, and one no less seen in the Syriack, Greek, and Latin Tongues,

Tongues, than in the Hebrew Traditions and Tewish Constitutions. His citing of the Greek Poets affures us of his Skill in that fort of Learning. And who now will believe that he wholly neglected the Philosophers? none surely who considers that he was signally called to be the Apostle of the Gentiles, and that he chiefly repaired to those Countries where there was the greatest number of these, (as St. Peter most of all applied himself to the Jews) our Apostle being the sittest Person to preach to them who were Professors of Reason, and Lovers of Arts and Ingenuity: Among these he would by no means inveigh against Philosoplry as it was pure and innocent; but finding it to be miserably corrupted and perverted, and to have espoused many fond and absurd Principles, and to have abetted many undue Practices, there was reason he should declare against it. And indeed this Corruption had been of a long date, infomuch that + Grotius in the days of the Asmonaans + it was decreed, in locum. That that Parent should be pronounced accurfed, who taught his Son the Philosophy of the Greeks. Now if the Jews thought it would corrupt and debauch their Children, it is no wonder that St. Paul faw it would be injurious to the Christian Proselytes, and undermine the Principles of the Gospel. Yea, it is very probable, that at this time

when the Apostle writ to the Colossians, the

Christians began to mix their Christian Di-

vinity with the Subtilties of Philosophy, and

fo to corrupt the Mysteries of Christianity

with

Enquired into.

with those Sophistries, and by that means to bring in Heresies, Thereupon the Apostle remonstrates against this Abuse of Philosophy, as a thing of very dangerous Confequence, fuch as would pervert the Minds of Christians, and prove hazardous even to Christianity it self. Wherefore he warns his Christian Converts of Colofs against this corrupt way of Philosophizing. Beware (saith he) lest any Man spoil you through Philosophy and vain Deceit.

Observe the Apostle's manner of expressing himself, Least any Man spoil you. The Greek word ounaguyen is a Military Term, and sometimes is used in the Imperial Laws, particularly concerning Reprifals, More generally it fignifies Pradam ambigere, to carry off the Prey or Booty, either at Land or Sea, The Apofile then doth very emphatically express his meaning, when he faith, Beware left any one spoil you, i. e. take from you by Force, prey upon you, make a Prize of you, rob you of your Christianity through Philosophy and vain Deceit, i. e. through the vain deceit of Philosophy, or the Philosophy which is both vain and deceitful. This is a common Figure, not only used by our Apostle, but by other accurate Writers. The Apostle proceeds in the latter part of the Text, to tell us the Rife of the Grecian Philosophy, which was fo vain and deceitful. It is after the Tradition of Men, i.e. it is the result of Humane Wit only, it is the meer Institution of Man, and hath nothing Divine and Heavenly (i. e. of supernatural Revelation) in it: And therefor e

4.3.

The seventh T E X T

fore this Claufe cunnot be understood (as it is by most Expositors) of the Legal and Mosaical Ceremonies, which we know were instituted by God himself. The Apostle adds, that it is after the Rudiments of the World, i. e. it is a meer doting on the Custom and Prescription of the Men of the World: It is an adhering to the Opinions of the chief part of Mankind, who are pleas'd with the Philosophy as well as the Religion of their Country. It is true, the Rudiments of the World, in 2 Cor. 2. 20. and in Gal 4.3. are the Ceremonial Law, which was as the first Rudiments or Alphabet in which the World was instructed at first, and train'd up, and taught a Religion, which was to make way for a more perfect One. Or the Jewish Rites and Ceremonies are call'd the Elements, or Rudiments of the World, faith * Grotius, * In Galat. because the chief of those Ceremonies, viz. Temples, Altars, Sacrifices, First-Fruits, &c. were common to all the World. But though the Rudiments of the World are to be understood in those fore-named places of the Jewish Ceremonies, yet it doth not follow thence that those Expressions are to be understood so here; for the same words may be diversly taken, according to the different Matter they are applied to. It is probable that the Rudiments of the World, mention'd in the 20 and 22 Verses of this Chapter wherein this Text is, are meant of the upstart Doctrines of some Heretical Christians. If ye be dead with Christ from the Rudiments of the World, (i. e. if you have no regard to Errors and false Opinions.

which

which worldly Men hold in opposition to Christ's true Doctrine) Why do ye dogmatize (fo it is in the Greek) after the Commandments and Doltrines of Men? The Mosaical Ordinances seem not to be intended here, (as our Translators import) for the things which the Apostle speaks of are * Doctrines of Men. + Alder-This cannot be applied to the Ordinances and maximum Rites appointed by God from Heaven, as the Sewiner. Indaical Ceremonies were. Therefore the Rudiments of the World here, are the Inventions of worldly-minded Hereticks, who were at that time crept into the Church; and the Apostle finantly demands of the Colossians. Why fome among them did fo stifly and dogmatically adhere to the Opinions of those Seducers, who bid them touch not, taste not, handle not ? i.e. Superstitiously forbad them to eat certain Meats, and perswaded them to abstain from Marriage as a thing unlawful.

And as Heretical Opinions are referr'd to in this place, fo fomething elfe may be meant by these words in another, and particularly in the Text, where it is plain, that the Rudiments or Elements of the World, refer to the Philosophy iust before mentioned, the Apostle seeming to allude to the soix ρια το κόσμε, the four Elements of the World, those simple Bodies of which all mundane Things confift, and which are generally the Subject of Philosophers: And thus the word sorx na is taken in Wild. 7. 17. where you read that Wisdom teacheth Men the Knowledge of the overses xosus & ingy na Stolkhar, the Composition of the

World,

V. 9.

World, and the Operation of the Elements. It is likely then that the Apostle hath an Eve here to the Mundane Philosophy, or to the Philosophers who doted on the several Elements (as Thales on Water, another on Fire, and the rest on the other Elements) as the sirst Principles of all things. Thus you fee how reasonable it is to apply the Rudiments of the World to the Philosophy here spoken of, and not to the Mosaical Ceremonies, as Expositors generally have done. So that Ancient and Learned Father Clemens Alexandrinus declares that Philosophy, and the Rudiments of the World, are in this place the same. But he gives this * EXAMPI- Reason why the Apostle * calls it the Elements wir oine of the World, viz. because it teacheth the First ovoiavisot- Elements, and is but a preparatory Discipline to XHA 78. Truth. These first Rudiments and Elements Anyogov are weak and beggarly, as the + Apostle saith of staxmoli- the Jewish Institutions; they are weak, beziv Tiva cause they cannot thoroughly purge the Soul of Vice, and teach it to master its evil Habits: Shar The they are poor and beggarly, because they cannot anniag. enrich the Soul with any of those Graces which † Gal 4.9. are requisite to Eternal Happiness. In this respect they are not after Christ, (as 'tis said here) i. e. they are not like the Excellent Doctrine of Christ which was from Heaven; and is ever accompanied with extraordinary Efficacy.

The Apostle goes on in the Verses after the Text to give the Reason of his Caution against Philosophy. * For in Him (i. e. in Christ) (saith he) dwellerb all the Fullness of the Godhead bodily. As if he had faid, Let no Man impose upon you by a lame and imperfect Philosophy, for now there is introduced an absolute and compleat Doctrine, namely, that of our Lord Jesus, who hath the Fulness of all Wisdom incorporated into him. Or, the dwelling of the Fulness of the Godhead bodily in him, may fignifie the perfect Glory and Majesty of the Divine Nature display'd in the Flesh, when the Word became Incarnate, when God was made Man. Bodily denotes the Hypostatical Union, whereby the Divine Nature is united to the Humane, and both concur to make up one Person. The Godi.ead dwells in Christ, not only Spiritualb, (as in all Saints and Believers) but so that the Divine and Humane Nature are joyned by a Personal Union. Or, you may consider that of our * Learned Annotator, who tells us .Dr. Ham. that the word of Gnetzem, which is the He-mond. brew word for Body, signifies oftentimes no † Essentia, more than Being or Effence, and imports the fubfiantia, Existence and Reality of the thing spoken of idem ipse, And accordingly he faith, that the Body of Sin identitas. and the Body of Death, mention'd in the New Testament, are no more than Sin and Death; the real Being of them is denoted, and nothing else. Thus here also the Greek word ound answers to the Hebrew one, and is akind of Expletive, for nothing but the real Being of the thing here spoken of is to be understood

by it. But I conceive there is fomething more than this meant by the word Bodily, for it signifies not only the Real, but Sulfian-

tial

20I

tial and Solid Fulness of Christ. The greatest Masters of Humane Wisdom attain'd to the Shadow only, but he is the Body, the Substance.

And so it follows, * Ye are compleat in him; Ver. 10. faith the Apostle, you have all Things by Christ; there is nothing wanting and defe-Etive in the Christian Doctrine, it is an exact Model of Moral and Divine Wisdom. But the Philosophy which is now in vogue in the World, is another kind of thing; it is weak and imperfect, short and deficient; and as it is at this time abused and corrupted, hath nothing of folidity and substance in it. St. Paul had often caution'd against the Legal and Tewish Institution, and bid the Christians be-

ware that none spoil them by that.

Now he comes to direct his Caveat against the Impostors of Philosophy. He had without doubt repeated and inculcated that of our Saviour, Except your Righteousness exceed the Righteousness of the Scribes and Pharisees, (the most exact Observers of the Mosaick Law) ye can in no wife enter into the Kingdom of Heaven. Now he thinks fit to urge this upon them alfo. That unless they go beyond the Bounds of the most inproved Wisdom among the Gentiles, they are never like to arrive at that place of Happiness. Neither the Ceremonious Precepts of the Jews, nor the Dogma's of Philosophers, were able to conduct them thither. All the Men of Tradition could not effect it, the numerous Rabbies, and the Families of Hillel and Shammeai were defective, notwithftanding'

standing all their peculiar Dictates and Willworships. And here and elsewhere, the Sons of Reason, the great Searchers into the Law of Nature, are charged by the Apostle with the same Deficiency. Their Philosophy, which they brag fo much of, and value themselves so much upon, if it be rightly scanned, is but Kun drain; Philosophy and vain Deceit. are but Terms convertible. As Christianity outdoth the Law of Moses, so it outstrips that of Nature and Morality, especially as it is depraved and corrupted by the Grecian Philosophers and others, as it is made up of Fallacies and feeming shews of Arguing, but re-

ally void of folid Reason.

Against these the Christians of Ephesus were warned, Let no Man deceive you (faith the Apostle) with vain words, Chap. 5. v. 6. These κινεί λόγοι, exactly answer to the κενη απάτη, and they both strike at the fallacious and deceitful Mode of Philosophizing in St. Paul's days, who was the first that planted the Gospel at Ephesus, (the Metropolis of all Asia) but it feems, in his absence from that place, some attempted to pervert his Converts, by pressing upon them the Jewish Rites; and others endeavoured to debauch them by Philosophy, either that of Heraclitus who was an Ephesian, or of Pythagoras who had many Followers there. This coming to St. Paul's ear, who was then in Bonds at Rome, he presently dispatched away this Epistle to the Ephesians, wherein he undertaketh to shew them, that the Gospel far exceeds both Judaism and Philosophy

losophy, and that Christ's Doctrine is more Sublime and Heavenly, more Powerful and Efficacious than either of them. In most Divine and exalted Language he extolls the Evangelical Doctrine, and convinces them, that all Learning and Wisdom are mean and vile in comparison of it. And this Epistle to the Colossians was written, it is likely, at the same time with that to the Ephesians, even whilst the things he wrote to them were fresh in his Memory: for you will find that it is of the same strain and tenour with that, and the very Phrase and Expression are the same, as I might shew you in several particulars besides that in these words. The Argument and Defign is the same in both, viz. to oppose the Gospel-Wisdom not only to the Jewish Dispensation, but to the Accomplishments of the Gentile Knowledge so celebrated at that time in Greece and Asia. of this latter it is that St. Paul speaks in the Text, and in that parallel place to the Corinthians, 1 Epist. 3. Ch. 18. v. (and it is well known that Corinth was famous for Philosophy, one * of the seven *Periander Wise Men fell to their share, and Diogenes lived and conversed there. Let us hear then what the Apostle faith to these Men of Corinth); Let no Man deceive himself, (where the deceitfulness of Humane Philosophy is no less afferted than it is in the Text) upon which Grosius makes a Gloss, † All Humane Phimana E- losophy which is repugnant to the Doctrine of Christ in the Gospel, is a meer Cheat and Delusion. Let therefore no Man deceive and

gull himself with it. But (as it followeth) if any Man seem to be wise in this World, (iv μίωνι τέτω, i. e. according to the Wisdom of this World; if he thinks himself to be a great Philosopher) let him become a fool (renounce those deceitful Principles, and imbrace the Gospel, which is accounted Folly) that he may be wife, (really so, i. e. wise to Salvation); for the Wisdom of this World (i.e. Humane Reason and Philosophy abstracted from Revelation) is Foolishness with God. i. e. it is reckon'd by God, what it is really in it felf, a weak and shallow Thing, blind and imperfect, and unable to conduct a Man to Bliss and Happiness. Mark here how the Pretenders to Wisdom are shamefully bassled. and their perverse Judgment is here justly retaliated. As the Gospel is no other than Foolishness in the Accounts of the Men of the-World, so their Wisdom is esteemed no better than Folly by God. And this it must needs be likewise in the esteem of all truly wife Men, who with St. Paul, making a right Judgment of Things, differn that Vanity and Deceit are entail'd upon it.

And thefe are the two Heads that will ingross my Discourses on these words: 1. The common Philosophy of the Greeks and others then in request in the Apostles days, was vain and empty. 2. It was Fallacious and Deceirful. It was Vain, because it profited nothing to Piety, and making them better. It was Deceitful, because it hazarded their Souls, and robbed them of Happiness. The Philo-

fophy

† Omnis Philosophia huvangelio .

repugnans Deceptio oft. in lose fophy at that time in force had these bad Quallities. It was in all things short and imperfect; in many erroneous and vitious. It was not only desective, but corrupted; whereas the facred and inspired Doctrine of the Apostles was compleat and sound, fraught with infallible Truth, and the exactest Rules of living well.

I betake my felf to the first part of the Task propounded, i. e. to shew you that the Philosophy of which St. Paul here speak's, was Vain and Empty. Which I shall evince, by letting you see that it was, 1. Uncertain.
2. (Which was the Effect of the former) Quarrelsome. And, 3. (which made it yet

vainer) it was Trifling.

I. The Pagan Philosophy was dark and Uncertain. The Title which Aristophanes gives his Comedy, wherein he lasheth the Philosophers, may-agree to the Philosophy it felf. Negenal might be prefixed to it, it was meer Clouds and Obscurity. The Philosophers spoke as doubtfully and ambiguously as their Delphick Oracles. The Ephesian Philosopher was called Sxolesods, and it might as well be fixed on some others of the Philosophick Tribe, for they are wonderfully dark and dubious. It is confess'd, they light upon many things true and excellent, but they only guess'd at them; and their Conclusions of them were fickle and unfteady. A fhort perusal of Stobaus, and of Plutarch's Treatise of the Placits of Philosophy, or Diogenes Laertins of their Lives, are sufficient, I think, to satisfy

any Man of the Uncertainty, both of their Physicks and Ethicks. It fares with Philosophers, as it did with those Mutineers at Ephelus, some cry one thing, and some another. Varro reckons 188 Opinions of the Summum Bonum. or the chief Good of Man: And their Opinions of other things labour'd under vast Uncertainties. The World furely had very mifaken and diforder'd Conceptions of things, when every thing was a God with them when (as the Apostle observes of that strange way of Metamorphosis which their Idolatrous Fancy led them to) * they changed the Glory of * Rom. 1. the Incorruptible God, into an Image made like to 22, 23. Corruptible Man, and to Birds, and four footed Beafts and creeping things, and any thing else which their wild Imagination fuggested. The Learned may fatisfie themselves how uncertain Notions they had of their Gods, from the divers Accounts which Plutarch (who was one of the most intelligent Persons among them) gives of the Egyptian Deities Isis and Osiris. And if they were in the Dark about their Gods, it is no wonder they had fo little Light in other things. This made Hermins, the old Christian Philosopher (in his Piece which he

Intitled the if Irrision of the Genile Philosophers) cry out at last, if "Truth hath left the "World, and the so much Ce-"lebrated Philosophy hunts "rather after Shadows, than "lays hold on any true "Knowledge of things. And

† Διασυρμ 🗗 📆 🢥 ο είλο πέρου:

agaia

ayrora.

again thus, (in very fine words) "* All this that the Philosoof, is but the "Darkness of Ignorance, and " black Fraud and endless "Error, and imperfect Ima-"gination, and incomprehen-

"fible Ignorance. Indeed the first and best Enquirers into the Knowledge of things were sensible of this; particularly Pythagoras, not withstanding the seven Celebrated Men of Greece went under the Name of Wife Men, assumed to himself only the Name of a Lova of Wildom. To him the Philosophers owe that Modest Name, whereby they tell the World that they are only Well-willers to Wildom not perfect Masters of it. And Socrates (who was voted by the Oracle the wifest Man of his Age) was yet more felf-denying, when k fincerely professed (for why should we take it as a Complement?) that all that he knew was this, that he knew Nothing.

The Reasons of this Ignorance and Dark ness in Philosophy, I take to be these two especially; 1. Because Humane Reason was corrupted and vitiated, loaded with Prejudi ces and Prepossessions, darkned with Sensua lity, and perverted in many by a constant course of Sinning. Hence it was disabled from framing right Apprehensions of things, and from directing Men into a certain Knowledge of God, and of Themselves, and of their Duty. 2. Eccause they wanted superpateral Revelation, which is absolutely neEnquired into.

ressary to give Men a clear Discovery of the Nature of God, and a full Representation of all the Offices of Religion. This was another Reason of Philosophick Darkness and And on the contrary, that Uncertainty. which renders the Christian Laws so certain and indubitable is this, that they are derived from the immediate inspiration of the holy Ghost; this is the Foundation of that Institution which we are honoured with. This makes our Religion unquestionable and infallible, and fuch as we can confidently rely upon. For Divine Testimony commandeth Assent, and forceth an Acknowledgment, and will not fuffer onr Minds to hang in uncertain Doubtings and Hesitations: this is the Excellency of Christianity. Whereas the corrupted Philosophy of the Gentiles discovered its Vanity in its great Obscurity and Uncertainty.

2. Another Instance of the Emptiness and Unsatisfactoriness of the Gentile Wisdom is, that it was intolerably quarrelsome and vexatious. And this is the refult of the former; for it was Uncertain among the Philosophers which made so many Setts among them. The two capital ones were * the Italick and Ionick; * Dioz. La. of the former Pythagoras (who after his Tra-ert in Provels philosophized in Italy) is reckoned the amio. chief, and next to him Democritus and Epicurus. Of the latter Thales was the first Author and Institutor; and this was divided into several Sects, as, 1. The Socratick, of which Socrates was the Head. 2. The Platonick, which took its Rise as well as Name from Plato;

04

though the Retainers to it were also called Academicks. 3. The Peripatetick, of which Aristotle was the Founder. 4. That of the Cynicks, of whom Antisthenes was the Father. 5. That of the Stoicks, which was fet up by Zeno and Chrysippus. And to these we may add the Scepticks (if they may be thought to deserve the Name of Philosophers who que stioned, and in a manner denied all Philosophy) who were also called Aporeticks, i. e. Doubters, and Zeteticks, or Seekers, of whom Pyrrho was the Head. I am not to now to speak of and relate the Opinions of the Barbarick Philosophers, as the Magi among the Persiana the Chaldim, or Chaldees among the Affyrians, the Gymnosophists among the Indians, the Druide among the old Galls and Celta, for (besides that the account of these is impersect and dark) it is certain, that all that was eminent in their Philosophy was translated into that of the Greeks, and reduced into some form, and made more intelligible, for which reason, I suppose, it is concluded by Laertius in his Proem to the Lives of the Philosophers, that Philosophy had its beginning from the Greeks, and not from the Barbarians. Wherefore I will wholly speak of the former, only I will make bold to insert the Epicureans (named fo from their Master Epicurus) tho their Philosophy most properly belongs to the Italick: but even this may be called part of the Greek Philosophy, because the Founders of it were Graojans.

These were the several Sects of the Greek Philosophy, which as they differed in Names, fo in Opinions. Nay, they fought with themfelves as well as with one another. Tully is observed to be sometimes of one Sect, and another time of another: He often delights in the Academick analantia, but when he speaks his own Mind, he defends Plato's Opinions; yet so that he favours the Peripateticks, and he is also a Friend of the Stoicks when he pleaseth, witness his Paradoxes. There was a perpetual fquabling among thefe Philosophers about their divers Placits and Opinions; they grew angry and waspish, they made it their business to brawl and wrangle, to cavil and scuffle, to start Objections, and to make everlasting Repartees. Socrates, who was one of the best of them, was called & eger, one that acted a part, that dissembled and prevaricated. He affected all his Life Ironical Dissimulation. This was his way of Rhetorick, and his Philosophy was tainted with it, for you may observe that his disputing was in the way of Dialogue, or Interrogations, which favoured of a Mind disposed to bickering and contending. The old Academicks, who imitated Plato and Socrates, their first Founders, used a Problematick way of Philosophizing, and made a Trade of disputing Pro and Con, and were unsufferably litigious: So that Socrates's Philosophy feem'd to bear

the Character of his Xamippe, i. e. to be cla-

morous and brawling. The Stoicks and Cynicks

(who were the fliffest and most peremptory

fort.

+ Heei

ZTOBODY

Eyar | 16-

MÉTOY.

Enquired into.

fort of Men, yet) were given to snarling and contradicting. It was observed of the Stoicks *Plutarch. by a * grave Man, that they were so given to adv. Stoi- Contradiction, that they exercised it on themselves, they oftentimes ran from their own Notions. And he writ a + Treatise on purpose to shew the Repugnancies of these Men; especially his Work there is to run through all Chrysippus's Writings (which were many) and to shew how he consutes himself, and fpeaks Contradictories. Who knoweth not that the Writings of the Stoicks are full of fingular Strains, Wonders, Nice Sayings, and Riddles? (And Lucian very wittily jears them on this account.) It was a fign they affected to maintain Paradoxes, to weather out Difficult Cases, and to dissent from the rest of Mankind.

But the Aristotelians (of which Tribe there was plenty in Greece) were the most Disputing People of all, they were never at a Non-plus, but had always fomething to fay. The great Stagirite, who was the Founder of them, fet up in the World by refuting the Doctrine of all that went before him: Like one of the Race of the Octomans, he thought he could not reign safely except in the sirst place he slew all his Brethren Philosophers. And he could not but look for the same fate himself, and fo it happen'd, for he was dealt with after the same rate that he treated his Predecessors and Competitors. And as for the New Academicks, they disputed of course, and were for and against all things; nay, they held an univerfal

versal duarantie, an Incomprehension; or, as some of them stilled it, and exoxil, an utter Suspension, which is as much as to say, they held nothing. So that it feems Dubitation was the first Principle of their Philosophy, as of Des Cartes his. This was called by others indima, which according to Sextus Empiricus is an * Equilibrum between believing and * H x ?? diffenting. Falshood and Truth were equally wish & probable; for which Lucian deservedly de-anisian rides the Philosophers in his Ballance, where in the he brings them in weighing Truth and Falshood, and making them of equal Poize. At last out of the different Disputes and Contentions of Philosophers sprung up the Scepticks, those Schismaticks in Philosophy, who held no Communion at all with the Assemblies of Philofophers, but doubted of, or denied whatever they said. Indeed these Scepticks were but a bolder fort of Academicks, or we may fay, these set up first the Doctrine of Indisferency and Acatalepsie, and the others improved it. Their Assertion was that nothing can be defined and determined concerning any Obiect, that to encline to one part or other, or to affirm or deny any thing, is unphilosophical, and that all is meer Appearance only. Pyrrhonism was a Calumny to the whole Profession of Philosophers, a bassling of all Science and Argument. They professed a Liberty of Enquiry, and, as they thought fit, rejected the Arguments of all Men by virtue of that Liberty. Some of these Pretenders to Philosophick Modesty and Self-denial reason'd

reason'd themselves out of their Senses. Some Philosophers denied Motion whilft their Tongues wagg'd. Nay, there was an odd Fellow (Anaxagoras they call'd him) who professedly gave the Lie to any one who said Snow was white, for he was pleafed to maintain that it was as black as Soor. Mow certainly Tully's words prove true, * that tam absur- there is nothing so absurd but one Philosodum quod pher or other afferted it. And that brief ritaliquis Character which Tertullian gave of the Phinon dixe-

lotophers is verified, that I they had a Gift Philosophorum of fetting up or pulling down what they De Nat. Deor. 1. 3. pleased. This was the best of it, seeing no-+ Quidvis thing was afferted by any of them but some eruditi. De Anim.

6. 3.

* Nihil

struere ac one would stand and oppose it, by this means delfruere Falshood was opposed as well as Truth. But this was not to be attributed to any good design in them, but it proceeded wholly from their love of dispute and quarrelling. This is that which an observing Hittorian takes notice of

Tas you evisavesas LICESMS TY DIX COOREN H-TIS EXCELSES EZETECOI, જ ત્રેલું ટેંગ ફેલ્સ ફેલ્સ કોલρεγέσαι Ελλήλαν, κὶ τοῦ ชั้ม แรวเราห ออรี วิท รักสมτίως εδξα(έσας. Diodor.

* "Εςις Απολλή παρ' AUTOIS, Y NOYOUA XIATIS armerebs. De Cur.Græc. Affect. Serm. 1.

concerning the Philosophy of the Grecians, | 'If any one '(fays he) examines the most famous Sects of Philosophers, 'he shall find that they very ' much disagree with one ano-'ther, and in the greatest Points are clearly contradictory. This Theodoret expresseth in sew words, faying, * ' Among the Greek Philosophers was al-'ways a great Contention and an implacable fight of words. And

And he that will confult the 14th and 15th Books of Eusebius's Evangelick Preparation; shall find this abundantly proved, viz. that the Gentile Philosophers all fight with one another, and there is no Consent and Harmony amongst them. But if we had all the Books and Writings of the Philosophers which Diogenes Laertins particularly mentions, we should see this much more evidenced.

But fee now how Christianity runs counter to all this. It openly declares against Disputes and Wranglings, and enjoineth us to speak all the same things (i. e. to agree in all matters of Faith) and that there be no Schisms and Divisions in the Church, but that all be perfettly joined together in the same Mind and in the same Judgment, i. e. that we believe, approve of, and profes the same necessary Truths. The same Apostle bids us avoid foolish and unlearned Questions, knowing that they gender Strife; and the Servant of the Lord must not strive. Some have observed that when the Pharisees fought out our Saviour to wrangle and contend with him about what he had done, he flipp'd aside in the Throng, and purposely evaded them. The design of the Gospel is to put a period to Contests and Debates, which it happily effecteth by taking away the occasion of all Uncertainty. The Apostle assigns this to be the end of Christ's ascending to Heaven and giving Gifts to Men, and constituting Pastors and Teachers in the Church, I that we henceforth be no more Children Ephel. 4. toffed to and fro, and carried about with every Wind

Wind of Doctrine, by the slight of Men and cunning Craftines, whereby they lie in wait to deceive (to which belongs the Vain Deceit in the Text) but speaking the Truth in Love (i.e. agreeing together unanimously to profess and maintain the same things) we may grow up unto him in all things, which is the Head, even Christ. This is the Noble Design of the Evangelical Dispensation, it aims at Agreement and Concord, it banisheth fruitless Disputes and Controversies, it is void of Sophistical wrangling and caviling. This is the true and natural, the real and proper Effect of Christianity considered in it self, and in its excellent Principles: But if we fee fomething else in Christendom (as with great Regret we may) it is not to be attributed to the Nature and Genius of the Gospel, or of those that are the true and genuine Profesiors of it. Whereas the Phi-Tofophers were naturally contentious and brawling; they delighted to amuse and puzzle the World, they dealt in Sophistry and Fallacies, and were conversant in Shiftings and Windings, like those fort of Combatants in the Roman Spectacles, whose aim was to catch their Adversaries in a Net. These Men too studied to intangle; which was no small Argument of the Vanity and Emptiness of their Philosophy.

3. Their Philosophy was Idle and Trifling. Had their Controversies been about great and weighty Matters, they might have met with some Excuse; but that which stigmatizeth Enquired into.

tizeth them for foolish and vain Persons was this, that they jangled about mean and worthless Propositions, they were at Daggersdrawing about idle and vseless things, and fuch as were not for the real Profit of the World, conducing nothing to the bettering their Minds or Manners, to the advancing any folid Truth, or the reforming of Mens Lives. The Apostle observes of them that they * became vain in their Imaginations, & Tois . Rom. I. Auxonopoles, in their Reasons and Arguings 28. (fo it may be rendred.) These were of no use, their Discourses were childish and foolish, they were conceited and capricious, they affected Curiofities and Niceties, they purfued Shadows, and neglected substantial and useful Inquisitions. This Humour of the Gnosticks, who were considerable Retainers to Philosophy, was charged upon them by St. Paul in his first Epistle to Timothy Ch. 6. v. 4. where he deciphers them to be proud, knowing nothing (though they fo much affected to be esteemed knowing Men, and accordingly had their Name from their monopolizing of Knowledge and Wisdom to themselves) doting about Questions and strifes of Words (voo Evress they were fick about Questions, as the Greek word imports, they were not well till they were disputing) whereof cometh Envy, Strife, Railings, perverse Disputings, παισοδοπειβαί. Διατειβαί was the word to express their i Philosophical + Dieg. La-Exercitations: And the perverse and simister use ent. in Arof those Disputes and Exercises is fignified stippo. by the Preposition week. They had unworthy

megist.

+ Biwy

tur.

worthy Conflicts and Scuffles among their Differtations: Therefore the Apostle gives them their own Word with a little altering, to denote the perverse fondness of their Disputes, which in the same Chapter he stiles Keroparias vain Bablings, which is part of the Keen awarn in the Text, the vain and trifling way wherein they purfued their Delusions. An Ancient Author had made this Remark betimes of the Greek Philosophy, * It is, faith he, but a meer noise and clatter of στοία λό-Words. This was it which Lucian in his Sale of the Philosophers, (where he fets them to be fold) jears all the Philosophers for. oG. Trif-And not only scoffing Lucian, who had a Pique against them, derides them for this ரூஞ்ளர். Maxima Folly, but the ferious Plutarch. || Cicero obpars stor- ferved it of the Stoicks, (who were the gravest and manliest Sect) that the greatest num circa part of their Disputes was spent about meer Names and Words. Their Philosophy was Nomina a Head of Impertinencies. In pursuance of confumithis Hierocles writ a Book of Philosophical Jests, where he gives a Catalogue of Philofophical Bulls, or Absurdities, and lashes them for it. They are contemptible and ridiculous for this at last; and a Philosopher (as Epittetus faith) was * a Creature that all' Men laugh'd at. Of these was true what * Zãoy 🏗 παγτές my Lord Bacon faith of the Schoolmen, that office Nove ov. Arri- by their petty and childish Questions, they marred the folidity of all Sciences. Their Philosophy an.Disfert. was made up of idle and useles Disquisitions, of verbal Contests, of unedifying Disputes. They

Enquired into. They puzled their Auditors, and perplexed themselves with unnecessary Subtilties and vain Curiofities: they contented themselves with dry and fapless Notions, with jejune and frigid Speculations.

But these are unworthy of a Christian, whose Religion forbids all foolish Bickerings and Degladiations about Mean and Inconsiderable Matters. This Laborious Idleness, this Solemn Trifling becomes him not. We are bleffed under the Christian Dispensation with folid and substantial Truths, we are entertained with things that are Material and Weighty, and which are worthy of a Rational Enquiry. All the Evangelical Discoveries are useful and profitable, of vast Concern and Necessity. We are not put off with Trifles, but are invited to study and converse with serious and manly Doctrines, such as are fraught with Great Sense, with High Matter, with Discoveries of vast Moment and Importance, which cannot be faid of the Philosophy which the Apostle speaks of, which I have proved to be Light and Vain, as well as Uncertain and Quarrelsom.

The second part of the Task I undertake is to convince you of the Deceitfulness of the Gentile Philosophy; for the Apostle acquaints us, that it is not only Vain, but that there is a Deceit in it also. I will make it appear then that the Pagan Philosophers deceived and deluded Men, by instilling into their Minds Erroneous Principles, and by promoting Vicious Practices in their Lives. Error and

Vice.

Vice, Unfound Maxims and Evil Manners, Falfity and Immorality where the issue of that Philosophy which our Apostle here cautions the Colossians against. And, that I may touch at the particular Sects which he here intends, I shall ransack the Principles, 1. Of the Pythagoreans and Platonists, whom the Gnosticks affected. 2. Of the Epicureans. 3. Of the Stoicks. 4. Of these and other Philosophers together: For after I have enquired into these distinctly and separately, I will consider them.

all jointly. First I begin with the Philosophy of the Pythagoreans and Platonists, which was at that time taken up by the Gnofficks, who by the confession of all were Philosophically diposed, and gave no small Trouble on that account to our Apostle and others to convince and refute them. And indeed the Learned Hammond understands the Text wholly of the corrupt Doctrine of Simon Magus, the Simonains and Gnosticks, who borrowed their Wild Notions from the Pythagorean Philosophy, and withall Judaized in imposing the Observation of the Mosaick Law, which that Learned Person thinks is meant by the Rudiment of the World. They made a Medley of Philosophy and Divinity, a strange Rhapsody of the Jewist Cabala, and Platonical and Pythago-They affected to introduce rean Conceits. the Theology of Orpheus and Hesiod into Christianity. But a Particular Instance of the Deceir of their Philosophy is mentioned in the 18th Verse of this Chapter. Man

Man beguile you of your Reward in a voluntary Humility, (or, more exactly according to the Greek, pleasing himself in Humility) and worshipping of Angels. For it seems these Gnosticks were disposed to worship Angels, and thought it no little Specimen of their Humility and Modesty to do so. They would not be so bold as to offer Prayers to God immediately, but they begg'd of the Angels to present them to him. It is certain that Celfus, and other. Pagan Philosophers used to defend their Polytheism this way. And 'tis plain that it was a spice of Gentilism, because the Heathens had their Dii Medioxumi, Spirits that were Mediators between the Supream Deity and Men, whose Office was to offer the Prayers and Obligations of Mortals to the Gods. To this questionless the Apostle refers in his Ignorata & dypinar, morshipping of Angels. This part of the Gentiles Theology. concerning Demons or inferior Deities, whose Office was to Mediate between the Sovereign Gods and Mortal Men, is that Philosophy which the Apostle admonisherh the Colossians to take heed of. To this purpose Mr. Mede interprets that Prophecy in 1 Tim. 4. 1. The Spirit speaketh expressly, that in the latter days some shall depart from the Faith, giving heed to seducing Spirits, and Doctrines of Devils. By these Idagnatias Sumovier, Doctrines of Dewils are not meant, (faith * he) fuch Doctrines as sprang from the Devil, or from Devilish postacy of Teachers and Impostors, but the Doctrines a- the latter bout Demons or deceased Spirits. And he ex-Times,

plains

plains this by a like Speech, in Acts 13. 12. Daxi re Kugis, the Doltrine of the Lord, i. e. the Doctrine about the Lord. So that, according to this Learned Man, here is meant that Paganish and Idolatrous Doctrine of worshipping Demons or departed Heroes. And this part of the Gentile Theology the Apostle foretells shall creep into the Church, and be received among Christians, i. e. worshipping and invocating of Saints (who anfwer to the Pagan Heroes) shall be practis'd among some of them, (as it was by the Gmflicks): And that it is in use among the Papists is evident to all the World, and there are few of that Church that are very follicitous to deny it. This worshipping of Saints and Angels, though it was reckoned a piece of Modesty, was (as the Apostle tells us in the next words) a fingular Instance of Boldness and Impudence; for the Man who worshippeth them intrudes in those things which he hath not seen, vainly puffed up by his fleshly Mind. He fawcily presumes to determine of Matters not known; he peremptorily afferts what he pleaseth of the Orders and Ranks of Angels, whose Oeconomy is not discovered to us below. His Humility therefore which he talks of is but a Pretence: as meager and lank as he feems to be, he is really swell'd and puft up: Whiles he pretends Humility, he hath nothing of it; or if he hath any fuch thing, he is proud even of that. The Gnoftick Philosophy then is deservedly stiled Knowledg falsly so call'd, it makes a shew of being Humble, and at the same time dictates the highest Arrogance. Their Disputes therefore are called prophane Janglings, because they savour only of their own insolent Humour, and proceed without any ground of Revelation. For this is it we are to rely upon in this Matter. namely, That there is but one Mediator between God and Man, even the Man Christ Jesus. Invocation and Worship are proper to God only, and Christ is the only Intercessor to the Father, and therefore to apply our Addresses to Saints or Angels, is an absolute dishonour to the Merits and Intercession of our Saviour. From whence you may take notice how abominable the Doctrine and Practice of the Papists are. They blaspheme whilst they pretend to Worship, they are grosly Idolatrous in attributing that to the Creature, which is only due to the Creator.

And thus you see how the Gnosticks, (from whom the Church of Rome borrowed her Doctrine as to this Particular) by Pretences to a higher and sublimer Knowledge than others had attained to, corrupted and spoiled Mens Minds, and by their bold and daring Notions adulterated the Simplicity of the Gospel. Of which the Apostle was justly Jealous, when he said, I fear lest by any means, as the Serpent beguiled Eve through his subtility, so their Minds should be corrupted from the simplicity that is in Christ, 2 Cor. 11. 3. And this is that which it is likely, the Apostle speaks of in his Epissels to Timothy and Titus, where, by Fables and endless Genealogies he means the idle and sa

P 3

bulous

bulous Pedigrees of Angels, which under the Name of Lones the Gnosticks talk'd so much of, which they partly borrowed from the Platonists and Pythagoreans, of which you may read a particular Account in Irenaus, Tertullian and Epiphanius. They will fatisfie you, that the Gnostick Hereticks received these things from those Philosophers who were Adorers of Demons and Angels, and made them Mediators between God and Man. I will quote only one Passage out of Plato for

* छहरेर हैं बंगीरजंत्रक है עוץ עטדמו באאם לוב דצי उस महावसे दिना में क्षार्थ की ii Adaen G Beois Tess ανθεώπες. Sympol.

the satisfaction of the Learned, * "God (faith he) is not ming-"led with Man, (i.e. hath no "converse and intercourse " with him): Therefore by these "is held all that Communica-

"tion and Intercourse which is between God " and Men, these carry Mens Prayers to God, "and God's Commands to Men. Therefore Plutarch representing this Philosopher's

Opinions, tells us, that he held it to be the Office of Demons, + Euras My इसम में वहां! one di Bed rov avantuni. e. Angels it to convey Mens TOVTES. De Ofir.& Ifid. Petitions to Heaven.

And here by the by it might be observed, that many Old Herefies rose from Philosophy, especially from the Platonick Philosophy in the three first Centuries, as the Heresies of Simon Magus, and of the Valentinians, and of the Marcionites, and of the Manichees, as the Fathers who confute these Hereticks let us see, and romplain of it often. Tertullian || particularly fcript Ha- sneweth, that many Heresies in Christianity,

came retic.

came from Philosophy, particularly the Do-Arine of the Æones and Forms came from Valentinus who was a Platonist; and so other Errors from Marcion who was a Stoick, and others from the Epicureans, &c. "All these " (faith he) are made up of the Fables and Fan-" cies of Philosophers. Athens and Jerusalem " were blended, the Academy and the Church " were unhappily mixed: there was a Stoical and Platonical Christianity. Hence he faith that + Hereticks are begot of the Seed of + Apol. Philosophers, and that || Philosophers are the Pa-c. 47. triarchs of Hereticks. Nay I might farther re- Adv. Here mark, that not only the Theology of some mogen. of the Hereticks, but likewise of some of the learnedest Fathers was almost spoil'd by Philosophy, and particularly by that of the Platonists. I might instance in Origen, Justin Martyr, and Clemens Alexandrinus, about the Do-Ctrine of the Eternal 1629: they spoke as Heraclitus, Plato and Socrates did, and thereby gave scope for the Arian Heresy. More especially it may be observed, that Origen borrowed his Divinity from Plato, and that corrupted him, as Gaudentius proves in several Particulars. It was from his following of Plato that he propagated the Opinion of the ceasing of Hell Torments. Though this, I must say, we learn rather from other Fathers than from the Works of Origen himself: but we have no reason to disbelieve so many Fathers, and to think that they represent him falfly, especially when we know that Origen was a great Platonist, and in other things fol-Iowed

Test-rus idby-

lowed his steps. So likewise he borrowed the preexistence of Souls from Plato's School, and therefore one faid rightly, * He taught according to the Greeks (meaning the Platonists) that Souls did exist before their Bodies. The Reason of this is well known, Tus enan- Origen, Justin, Clemens, and other Fathers, were Platonick Philosophers before they Harmeno- were converted to Christianity, and therepul. de fore tis no wonder that they brought some of their Philosophical Errors into Cristianity. I need not tell you that the Church in its first Times explain'd and defended its Principles of Religion by the way of Plato's Philosophy: besides that I might suggest this (which is a great Truth) that Platonism of all the Sects of Philosophy, came nearest to Christianity. Hence the Platonist who read the first Verses of the first Chapter of St. John's Gospel said that Barbarian had stollen from his Master. Yea I could add what Clemens of Alexandria in his Stromata tells us, that they made the Christian Divinity as like Gentile Philosophy as they well could, and they caus'd the Holy Doctrine of Christ to approach to the received Notions of Philosophers, and made the Holy Scriptures speak Platonism, that they might by that means gain Profelytes to the Christian Religion. I appeal to you now upon these things, whether these good Men were not in danger of being spoiled through Philosophy.

Secondly, Leaving the Platonifes, I come to examine the false and pernicious Principles of the Epicureans, with whom we are * in- Ads 17. formed our Apostle encountred. Their Phi-18.

losophical Deceits were such as these.

their Masters, Democritus and

Epicurus) they agreed to give

the World its Date from those

capering | Atoms, as if that

would folve the Business. But

indeed according to their Hy-

1. They held that Chance and Fortune were the Rife of this World, and all things in ir: That the World was not made or created by an Understanding Being, but commenc²d by astrong Juncto of Atoms, which clubb'd together (though without Counsel and Intelligence) to erect this great and stately Fabrick. These Men had no Notion at all of a Creation, for their old Saw was, † Nothing is made of Nothing: And therefore (according to

+ 'Oully sire Tau ch TE μὶ ὄντ . Laert. in Epi-

אַ אַפּאָמֹּג אינים אין פֿאַטאַ אַן אַ פֿאַניים אַ אַניים אַניי בדים אול דו לא דו בא לא μί δοί @ piredz. Laert. in Democrito.

pothesis, it had no Date at all, for all this Atomick Buftle was from Eternity. As the Platonists held the World was Eternal by Necessity, so the Epichreans afferted its Eternity, but faid it was by Chance. The World, according to them was a strange By-blow of Nature, begot by meer Fortune. These were the wild Conceits they took up, rather than they would be beholden to God for the production of the World; that is, rather than they would acknowledge a Wise Omnipotent Being that was the Author of it, rather than they would own the Principle of the World's Beginning, and that by a Power Divine.

Enquired into.

Divine. As for their Notion, it is so poor and precarious, that no Man of any confistency of Thoughts can give credit to it. So excellent Order and Composition as we see in the World and all the Parts of it, could never arise from a fortuitous Confluence, from a cafual jumble of Matter and Motion without any Guide, but must needs be concluded to be the Contrivance of Infinite and Superlative Wisdom. That thin and subtile Particles blindly tilting against one another, (without any Mover to fet them on work) should at last jump into so exact an Order, is an Assertion unworthy even of a Rational Pagan, and fit only for such a Christian Philosopher as he of Malmsbury. Not to speak of the monstrous Absurdities which follow upon afferting the Eternal Existence of Matter: Nay, not to speak of the utter Impossibility of the thing it self; for what is made cannot be from Eternity, i. e. can't be without a beginning, for its being made, necessarily supposes one that made it to have been before it; and if he was before it, then it was not from Eternity, for there is nothing hath the priority of Eternity. But it is enough that this Epicurean Doctrine is confronted by the Christian Philosophy. Through Faith we understand that the Worlds were framed by the Word of God, so that things which are seen were not made of things which do appear, Heb. 11.3. And, as the same inspired Author argues in

another place, Every House is built by some Man,

but he that built all things is God, Heb. 3.4.

As much as to fay, there is as great Reason, nay greater, to be perswaded that this vast Structure of the Universe was built and erected by a Divine Hand, than that we should believe that the stately Habitations and Palaces which we see, were made by some Artists. The World is the Fabrick of Divinity, the Temple of God,

— Mundi magnum & versatile Templum.

So far Lucretius went, but we may go farther. It is a wonderful System contrived by an All-wise and Omnipotent Being. It is a TEMPLE made by GOD, and dedicated to him. As the World had a Beginning, so it had its Beginning of existing from God. In the beginning God created the Heaven and the Earth: In the Beginning, therefore the World was not from Eternity; God created, therefore it was not by Chance.

2. As the Epicureans affirmed the World was by chance, so (consistently enough with that Principle) they held it was left to shift for it self. And truly it was no absurd Consequence from the sormer Assertion: For if the World made it self, it might as well be thought to look after it self. These Men in their most sober Determinations would not suffer God to intermeddle with the Government of the World: They complemented the Godhead out of its Jurisdiction over Mankind and things here below, by saying he should not give himself the Trouble of having

having any Resentments of things on Earth, and taking care of Human Affairs. Epicurus his God (as * Seneca describes him) was reserved

nefic. 1. 4. 4 and carelefs, he turned his Back on the World, and took no notice of it; he either did fomething elfe, or was wholly idle.

And therefore he afterwards calls such Gods † Surda as these if deaf Deities, Gods of no Virtue and numina. inefficaces Power. Thus Tully tells us of those Philosophers who || held, that God had nothing to Deos. do himself, and that he set no others on i Qui Deum nihil habere ne- work. Not unlike to these were those abgotii di- furd Atheistical People, in Zech. 1. 12. who cunt,& ni- said, The Lord will not do good, neither will he do The Reason of this was that aragagla, hil exhibere alte- evil. which the Epicureans fay is in God; he is at

* Si in ipfo Mundo Deus inest aliquis qui regat, qui gubernet, - næille est implicatus molestis negotiis & operofis. Cic. denas deor. l. 1.

+ To maxaeiov xì aplae-POPETS QUE à DES YPUTA $\mathbf{\tilde{z}}_{\boldsymbol{\chi}}$ \mathbf{H} , $\mathbf{\tilde{z}}_{\mathbf{\tilde{z}}}$ $\mathbf{\tilde{z}$ &c. Laert, in Epicure.

Quis non timeat omnia providentem,& cogitantem, & animadvertentem,& omnia ad se pertinere putantem, curiosum. & plenum negotii Deum? Cic.de Nat. Deor. 1.1.

quiet in himself, and it would *disturb him to provide for the World. The Being which is happy (fay they) + hath no Employ of its own, and it creates no Business to others; for Bufiness and Care would distract, and render unhappy. They yet more blasphemously add, I that fuch a busie God would cause perpetual Dread in the Minds of Men, and they must always itand in awe of him if he constantly took notice of them and their Acti-These were the vile Blas-

phemies of the Epicurean Philosophy. Christianity hath rendred it clear and undeniable deniable, that God hath an Inspection into all Human Actions, and disposes and directs all Occurrences to his own Glory. At his Beck and Command are all Men and Devils, glorified Angels and departed Souls: Nothing comes to pass in Heaven or Earth, or Hell it felf, without his Cognizance and Controul. Like a prudent Master of a Family he suffers nothing to be done by any Member of it without his License or Permission. Providence is spread as wide as the Universe; nor is there any thing, be it never so little and mean, exempted from its Tuition, no not the fall of a Sparrow, nor of a Hair of the Head, as our Great and Infallible Instructor hath ascertain'd us. Well therefore doth Octavius (who represents the Christian in Minutius Felix) in answer to Cacilius's Objection against Providence (viz. that God is in Heaven, and cannot fee all things below) well doth he affert, 'That

*-all things are full of God; that he is not only most near to us, but infused into us: we not only act under him, but live with him; we are only in his Eye, but in. This was the

his Bosom. rate of the old Christianity, as it was derived from the Prophets and holy Men, and particularly from our Apostle, who excellently afferts the Providence of God, saving, He is not far from every one of us; for in him we live, and move, and have our Being, as he tells the

Athe∸

* Ubiq; non tantum

nobis proximus, fed infu-

sus est; non tantum sub

illo agimus fed cum illo

(ut prope dixerim) vi-

vimus. --- Non solum

in oculis ejus sed & in

Sinu vivimus.

Athenian Philosophers, Atts 17. 27. intend. ing thereby directly to confront the Doctrine of the Epicureans, with whom he encountred at that time. All that I will add under this Head is this, that the Epicureans exempting God from all Employment and Administration of things was futable enough to their known Hypothesis of an idle, lazy and pleafurable Life. They thought it a happy thing to be free from Cares and Business, and to indulge themselves in all Pleasure; and accordingly they attributed the same Happiness to God which they desired and liked themselves. And this reminds me of the

3d Deceitful Opinion of the Epicureans, which was this, That Happiness consisted in Pleasure. Clemens Alexandrinus speaking of these words, Beware lest any Man spoil you through Philosophy, saith, the Apostle meant them of the Epicurean Philosophy, and especially, that part of it which denies Providence. and deifies Pleasure. These Men's avowed "'Aexil's Principle was, that sensual Delight is *the eila way- source of all Good, and the Sovereign Blessed-106 78 de ness of Mankind. Tho I must needs say, it pased, i is very strange, yea even prodigious, that there should be such a Sect, that any Men pretending to Philosophy, or the study and love of Wisdom, should espouse such a monitrous Opinion, that Persons of Reason and Knowledge should make the Body, which is the worst part of Man, his best and only part; and that the Animal and Senfual Life, which is fo base and groveling in comparison

of the Rational one, should be thought to be the chief and leading Principle of Man. There are not transmitted to us the Names of many that held this wild Opinion. As for Epicurus himself, + he that gives us an + Dior. Low account of his Life, tells us, that some re- ert in Epipresented him a very abstinent and mortified curo. Man, others as great a Glutton and Drunkard. But from what he farther adds (when he distincty sets down his Principles and Perswassions) it is evident that this Philosopher placed not Happiness in bodily Pleasure, i.e. not in that only, for his avowed Opinion was, that | Hap-મિં જે જાંદ્રની છે ઇ પ્રસંદ Rin & Luxus arapagia piness consisted both in Mind TETO TE HAKACIUS (HV & and Body, in the Peace and TEAG. Ibid. Tranquillity of the former, and in the Ease and Health of the latter. He held, that the Pleasure which arises from both these is the beginning and end of a Happy Life. But that he might not be misconstrued, he adds farther, * When we say יייסדמע ציע אַ נֹאָשׁעִנּע אַ-'(faith he) that Pleasure is the Jovin TENG UT de Xer, & ⁶ End and Happiness of a Man, Tas Al acoutar I deverate we do not mean the Pleasures of the luxurious, and fuch as are placed in the fruition of Worldly Delights (as some 'ignorantly or maliciously interpret our words) but we say this Pleasure consists in an absence of bodily Pain and Perturbation 'of Mind. Nay, he goes on fur-† 'के प्रवंधाहरू हमले क्रीड में-

ther, and declares, That if Ver-

tue alone, abstracted from bo-

dily Pleasure, is never segara-

Enquired into.

ted

deins the agethe moror.

Athenæ. Deipnofoph. 1.

ted from Pleasure. And many other Excellent Notions he hath, which discover him to have been a Good Man, confidering he was but a Heathen. Which may induce us to think, that some of those other things, which he is reported to have held, are not a just Reprefentation of his own Opinions, but rather of what some of his deluded Followers took up. But if I may guess at the Reason of his being thus misrepresented, I conceive it was this, because he was singular and different from the rest of the Philosophers, as to his School or place of philosophizing, which was a pleasant Garden. Some might think that this had fome Influence on his Studies and Opinions, and that he was a light Airy Man, addicted to bodily Pleasure, and that he placed Man's Happiness in it, especially when it was his real Opinion and Profession, that Happiness consisted in Pleasure. This Philoso. pher's case methinks was like that of Nicolai the Deacon, who though he was himself of a pure and blameless Life, yet from him the filthy and dissolute Nicolaitans took their Name. So through Epicurus himself might be a Man of Abstinence and Sobriety, yet it is certain that his Followers, i. e. those who call'd themselves after his Name, did roundly maintain, that sensual and bodily Pleasure was the only Good to be fought after, and passionate. ly profecuted by all Men. By Brutes they there is no future State, after this, * Phytarch * De Plathe Happinels that those Creatures are capa. All human Souls to be mortal. And another 1. 4. ble of or concern themselves for. But Man's

chief part is his Soul, which was made and deligned for nobler Pleasures, and cannot find any folid Satisfaction but in them, and therefore God hath provided him such to be his chief Entertainment. The Apostle takes potice of that Epicurean Strain * Let us eat. and drink, for to morrow we die. I and replies to 15.32. it 1. With an Intimation of the vain Deceit of these swinish Philosophers. Be not deceived. 2. With a fober, Check borrowed from one of their Poets, Evil Communications, corrupt good Manners. And adly, He answers yet more Apostolically in the words following. Awake to Righteousness and sin not, for some have not the Knowledge of God. These Men. (laith he) are downright Atheifts, devoid of the true Knowledge and Sense of a Deity, and of another Life. Which brings me to

4th. Pernicious Opinion of the Epicureans. viz. That there is no Life after this, that there is no future Existence or State of Souls to be expected. And herein again these Men are consistent with themselves; this is a natural Consequence of their former Assertion. Iffenfual and bodily Pleasure be all the Happinels which is the Portion of Mankind. then there can be little or no Entertainment for the Soul, if it should be separated from the Body, and therefore it is fit to believe that the Soul perisheth with the Body, and

should have faid, for Corporcal Pleasure is all testifies concerning Epicurus, that he afferted cit. Philos.

Enquired into.

affires in that it was his Opinion, that Meni vainly trouble themselves with the fear of *'Andrew some * terrible thing in another and everalistic. in Death. Therefore when the Resurression was prescribed to the Enigrees by St. Paul which

preached to the Epicureans by St. Paul, which implies a future Life, they were startled at it. and looked upon it as a new and strange Doctine. Acts 17. 19. All is concluded, faid they, in this World, and therefore they made much of themselves whilst they were here, and lived as they lifted, not looking at all for any Punishments or Rewards hereafter. But this is fo diametrically opposite to the natural No. tions and Dictates of rectified Minds, which are not debauched with Prejudice and Senfuality, and so fully baffled by the Principles of the Christian Religion, that it will be but lost labour to offer at the Confutation of it. I shall only defire you to reflect upon this and the other dangerous Sentiments of the Epicurean Philosophy, and to consider how reasonable and necessary it was that the Apo-

ftle should caution his Followers against it

and intimate to them that this was a Philosophi

by which they would certainly be spoiled and

*Certain Deceitful Ethicks of the Stoicks, who were and Philoso ther fort of Antagonists our * Apostle grapi Epicareans led with. From what Topicks he disputed and of the with them, may be gathered from the Knowsteicks in ledge of those things which that Sect was sometical bim. Als most considerable for. And we read they 17. 18. Were noted for the Notion of Fatal Necessity,

ruined if they adhered to it.

for their Proud and Conceited Humour, and for their Doctrine of Apathy.

Their First beloved Notion was that of Fatality; they held that God and all things are tied up by Fate, that the same irrevocable Necessity hampers all Beings: Divine and Human; and that particularly Men are to restrain'd by the Destinies that they cannot act freely, but all their Actions, whether internal or external, are forced. Yea, Chrysippus openly professed, that * there is no Intemperance, * Plue.de no Fraud, no Sin what soever of which Jubiter Contrais not the Architect. All comes from him of dict. Scoic. Necessity, without any Design or Intention. All Events are to be attributed to the necessa-17 Make and Constitution of the World. Hence it is that God distributes Poverty and Advertity to good Men, and Prosperity to the bad. It must be so, saith Senera, for * the great * Non po-Artificer cannot change the Matter he works tell Artiupon; and therefore things must needs be fex muthus, and he cannot help it. This was the tare mate-Opinion of the severest fort of Steicks, which Provid. cramps all Religion, and enervates all the cap. 5. Attempts and Enterprizes of Vertue, and tobs Man of his Rational Nature, and indeed makes him a Stock rather than a Man, and therefore is a Doctrine unworthy of Mankind, and consequently of the Christian Inflitution, which is no ways repugnant to the Reasonable Nature of Man. Why therefore May not this be thought to be part of this Deceitful Philosophy which the Apostle speaks against? But to do the Stoicks right, this was the Sentiment but of some of them, and as

The Seventh TEXT for the more Intelligent and Sober fort of them, they were of another Persuasion: or rather, to speak more impartially, they ran counter to their own Persuasions. rd is whir no less than it sinaeulu's were cried up by them. Seneca, Antoninus, Epille. tus, who every where inculcate Fate, yet de-* Phys. fend the Liberty of the Will. And * Lipsius Stoic. I. r. (who was well acquainted with those Mens Differrat. Writings and Notions) declares, that the Sto-

icks Fate is no more than the immutability of the Divine Decrees, which takes not away the Freedom of Man's Will, or the Contingency of Events as to Us. Fate and Freedom were Reconciled, and there was no Hurt done to Christianity. And by the by, this Doctrine of the Stoicks Fatal Necessity and Free-Will being Confistent, may teach us to moderate our Disputes, and to Reconcile the Divine Decree with the Freedom of Man. It was no Absurd Doctrine among them, and I do not fee why it should be among Us at this Day. But I cannot so easily acquit the Stoicks of the Second Thing they were Noted for, vir.

Their Pride and Arrogance, their infuffera-. ble Infolence and Oftentation. Three Instances, among others, I will give of their Proud and Haughty Spirit (referving the Philosophical Pride to be spoken of more ge nerally afterwards, but now I will confine my felf to the Stoicks Pride.) Their Morality exceedingly administred to The Vice, and this was it which made thefe Men, of all Sects, the most averse to the Christian Religion, which is Humble, and Meek, and Self-denying.

1. They held that their Wife Man was not indebted to God for any Vertue or worthy Accomplishment which he was Master of, but that he was furnish'd out of his own Stock. He was beholden to himself only that he was Good and Vertuous. The Stoicks Wife Man was Upright and Just of himfelf, and stood not in need of others Helps: his Happiness was all from what he had by bis own Power and Will. Let us observe a little how he boasteth and vaunteth:

----Sapiens uno minor est Jove, Dives, Liber, Honoratus, Pulcher, Rex deniq, Regum.

Their Wise Man is the only Possessor of Riches, Freedom, Honour, Beauty: he is a Prince Paramount, and Commands all the Kings and Monarchs of the Earth: he is inferior only to Juziter. But this Description of the Poet is Low and Groveling, and a meer Degrading of the Stoick. For Seneca in severe Prose tells you concerning himself. that * This is the thing which is promifed him * Hoc est by Philosophy, to be made Equal to God. Which quod Phithough I am very willing to understand in the losophia best Sense, as if he meant no more than mihi prothis, that he expected to be made like unto me parem God by the Principles of Moral Philosophy, Deofaciate yet I find that he explaineth himself in ano- Epist. 49.

† Sapiens cum Diis ex pari vivit. Epist. 59. * Hoc est Summum Bonum, quod fi occupas, incipis Deorum socius esse, non supplex. Epist. 31.

ther Meaning in an Epistle of his where he hath these words, + The Gods and Good Men are Fellows. And in an *other place he tells his Wife and Happy Man that he is a Companion of the Gods, not a Suitor to them.

But other Stoicks indulged this way of Talk-*Eclog. ing. this vain Rhodomontade. It is quoted † De com- by * Stobeus and † Plutarch, as a Saying of mun. No- Chrysippus, (a Man that was in High Esteem among that Sect of Philosophers, as be-

* N'A nathe Bein aftill Ala Ta Alaro, ags. Att 34 75 epolos wood da-Andort fie is to flour.

ing one of their Founders) * Jupiter did not exceed Dion (a Wise Man of theirs) in Vertue, (which is yet better and more fmartly expressed

with an Equivoke in the Greek;) yea, Jupiter and he were equally helpful to one another.

* Rate & Adyou willy त्रसंदूषण 👫 उदयंग, चेडाहे 🕮 μούτες G el Atian. Differtat. I. cap. 12.

And that of Epictetus is something like it; * If thou art a Wise Man, thou art not inferior to the Gods in point of Understanding and Reason. And Seneca

relates the like Huff, among others, of a Chief Man of that Perfuation (and of whose Writings, I remember, he faith somewhere, Vivit, viget, Liber est, supra hominem est). It was a usual faying of Sextius, faith he, (that was his Name)

A Solebat Sextius dicere, lovem plus non polfe quam bonum virum. Tupiter quò antecedit bonum virum? Diutius bomis est. Epitt. 73.

+ Jupiter cannot do more than a Good Man. Jupiter excels a Good Man only in this, that his Goodness is of a Longer Date and Duration. And Seneva himself is

Enquired into.

25 Presumptuous and Daring, gathered from that Passage of his, * A good Man differs from God only as to Time. And again, God surpasses not a Wife Man in Happiness, though he doth in Age. Which amounts to this Blafphemy, that God hath Preheminence of Man only as to his This is Existence before him. also afferted by Cicero to who not only in his Paradoxes, but in other Places of his Writings, is pleased to Play the Stoick. But the Demure Stoick, before cited, blasphemes at a higher rate, when he faith, * There is something wherein a. Wise Man excels God: God is Wife by Nature, and cannot help it, but the other is so by his own

as may be

* Bonus Tempore tantum a Deo differt, De Provid. c. 1.

Deus non vincie Sapis entem felicitate, etiamfi vincat ztate, Ibid. E. pift. 73.

+ E virtutibus vita beata existit, par & fimilis Deorum, nullà re nist Immortalitate, qu'z nie hil ad bene vivendum pertinet, cedens Cœleflibus. De Nat. Deor.1,2.

* Est aliquid quo 84piens antecedat Deum. Ille natura beneficio, non suo, sapiens est. Epilt.53.

Power and Industry. What think you now of Seneca, doth he not shew himself a right Spaniard? Or rather hath not that Haughty Nation learn'd to speak big, to buff, to rant, and blaspheme from this their Haughty Countryman? What think you now of these Stocks? Can there be more manifest proof of their unmeasurable Arrogame and Elation of Mind? Are not they, as well as the Epicureaus, horribly prophane and blasphemous, though in a different way? The one denies a God and Providence, the other makes his God to come short of his Wise Man; or, which is the

fame, he makes his Wife Man much better than his God. Some indeed have thought that Seneca retracts what he

Bonus vir fine Deo nemo est. Epist, 41,

+ Deus in humano corpore hospitans. Ep. 31.

* Activer to the Yu-Sext. Empir.ady, Math-

faid, when he tells us that * No Man is vertuous without God: But perhaps by God he means the Conscience, for this he calls a God in another + place; and 'tis usual with the Stoicks and Platonists to stile * the Mind a

God. We have no reason then to think that Seneca corrected himself, but rather to be perfuaded that this was the inseparable Genius of that Sect, viz. to be guilty of those Im-

pious Boastings, and to break out into horrid and impudent Blasphemies. You see therefore how reasonable it was that our Apostle should caution against this Sect of Philosophy, lest the Minds of Men should be perverted by it. This is the Philosophy that will spoil a Man, or else there is none in the World that will do it. The Christian Religion there-

fore is in direct opposition to it. Those Arrogant and Blasphemous Boastings are repugnant to the Christian Simplicity and the Tenour of the Gospel, which every where beateth down all High and Lofty Thoughts, and bids us Pray, and Intreat, and Humbly

Sue for the divine Assistance, and be throughly Scalible of our own Weakness and Disabllity. This acquaints us that every good and per-

felt Viftis from Above, and that there is nothing which we have that was not received thence, that

we cannot think, nor speak, much less act any thing that is Good without the Assistance of the Divine Power; that we are constantly beholden to God; that we depend upon him for all things, especially as to Grace and Goodness. These are wholly derived from him, and we are ever to acknowledge that he is the Author and Finisher of them in us. The contrary Doctrine to this I have the

Enquired into

more largely shewed to be the Sentiment of the Stoicks, because this is the Chiefest and most Dangerous Indication of their Pride and

Arrogance. I will be the more brief in the other Instances of it.

2. They held that it was below a Man to do any Good Act, with any respect to a Reward to be received for the doing of it. These Soaring Souls would needs maintain that Vertue is to be loved, and Good to be done merely for its own fake: They declared that the forelight of a Recompence did not influence upon them at all in what they Did or Suffer'd; and that no Good Man ought to make any thing a Motive to Vertue and Goodness, but these themselves. Seneca, Epictetus, Arianus, Antoninus and other Stoicks will furnish you with Passages to this purpose. But Christianity (which is the Sublimest Doctrine in the World) is not of this strain, if we may credit one of the greatest Profesiors of it, who freely declares, that if in this Life only we have hope, we are of all Men 1 Cor. 15. most miserable. From whence it appears that 19. there must be an expectation of another Life,

there must be the assurance of a Future Recompence join'd with the Pleasure and Intrinfick Goodness of a Holy Life. I is true, it is Servile to fix the Eye altogether on the Wages: but it is Disingenuous and Ungrate-

ful not to take notice of the Reward which God hath purposely set before us. True Love is destroyed if God and Holiness be

not imbrac'd for themselves: But then on the other hand Christian Hope (which is also an Eminent Grace) is annulled if the Opinion of

the Stoicks be valid. It is clear therefore that their Doctrine is False and Erroneous, and is the Result of their Vain Thoughts of Them-

selves, and of a counterfeit Zeal to Vertue. and a mere shew and ostentation of it. For if we could examine things truly and fully, it

may be there would be found no fuch thing under Heaven as a Vertue wholly and per-

feelly disinteressed, such as hath no Advantage, no Benefit, no Emolument belonging to it, or so much as supposed to be consequent

of it. Therefore when these Men tell us emousi that i no Reward is to be look'd after, when um preti- like the Love-Pharifees (call'd so in the * Tal-

em in ipfis mud) they pretend to obey the Law merely cft. Sen. and only out of Love of Vertue, without Epift. 81. the least respect to a Future State, we may

reasonably conclude that in this, as in other things, they affect Chimæra's and Fictions,

and are ready on all Occasions to give Proof of their Self-Conceit and Haughty Imagina-

tions.

Enquired into

3. To give another Instance of these Mens minferable Pride, they grew up to fuch a pitch of it, that they were inclined to throw off Magistracy and Government, and to withdraw themselves and others from the Jurisdiction of the Powers they lived under. Their Wife Man was a King, nay King of Kings, (as you heard before), and thence they would infer that he was Above all Allegiance and Subjection to Authority. This Notion made them so Domineering and imperious, that they broke out into Tumults and Seditions, as Tacitus observes of them in his Annals. It went against the Philosophick Grain to be Subjects and Servants. But this is contrary to St. Paul's Politicks, Let every-Soul be subject to the Higher Powers; and to his Oeconomicks, Art thou call'd a Servant? mind it not, i. e. do not think that That Condition renders thee the worse in the sight of God: ferve God and thy Master at once, and therein thou wilt shew thy self a Good Christian. So much of the Stoicks Pride.

The Third Thing they were taken notice of for, and which was a piece of Singularity in these Philosophers, was their Apathy, as * La-* in zenertius acquaints us. And we are told by fan-ne. other that Diogenes the Cynick (for you + Plin.lib. must know the Cynicks were but over-grown 7. c. 19. Stoicks) was a great Maintainer of it. This put an unnecessary Restraint and Violence upon the Natural Affections of Mankind, and would not allow Humane Nature either to laugh or weep. Their Wife Man that they made

3. To

made was but a Statue, (and fo indeed he was as good as some of their Gods): he was stupid and fenfeless upon occasion: he was not permitted to resent the Occurrences of the World, were they never fo furprizing and admirable. He was to be filent upon the Rack; and when the Stone or Gout fortured him, he was to force a Smile: and it was a Mortal Sin (for you must remember all Sins were alike with these Gentlemen) to wax pale at the fight or feeling of the Incifion-Knife. He was to play with Torments, and to fport with Pain and Misery. Thus they believed the Senfe of Morality was to devour all Sense of Humanity. But this was the Doctrine of fome only of that Rigid Sect. The Soberest of them did neither speak nor think thus: the Apathy or Indolency which they maintain'd was a very Laudable and In-

*Nonedu nocent thing. Let Seneca speak for them, * I so sapien do not (saith he) draw out a Wise Man from tem exhominum. amongst Mankind, and place him out of the Nummero, &c. ber of Men; for he that is Senseless is no Man. Epist. 71. And this Philosopher's Practice was suitable to

And this Philosopher's Practice was intraple to this Principle, for we find him in his Writings often resenting the Evils which befel the World, Himself or his Relations. So Antoninus Sirnamed the Philosopher, shed Tears upon occasion of a Friend's Death; and his Father Antoninus Pius (for he was his Son by Adoption) excused him, saying, Permit him to be a Man. Arianus

L.3. c. 2. † professedly declares against that Apathywhich takes away Natural Affection. "It becomes a Man (saith he) not to be Sensless and "Im-

"Immovable, but to keep and preferve his "Natural and acquired Affections and Re-"lations, as a Father, a Son, a Brother, a "Citizen. The Affections are not to be Eradicated and Expelled, but the best way is to Change them and Turn about, and Fit them for our Purpose, and so make them Easie and Advantagious to us. Reason, and Nature, and Christianity approve of the Emotions of our Affections fo far as they + Melaare Instrumental to Vertue, and are restrained nausaver within their due Limits. The Passions are Mulledagnot wholly to be Rooted up, but to be Di- urlen verted; they are not to be Banished, but to mus 75be Curbed and kept under: These Gibeonites eraporlas are not to be Kill'd, but to be made Service- ? 5,6400able, and kept in Obedience. Jesus wept over las. Plut. the Grave of his Friend, and thereby for de CurioL ever Hallowed all Tears, and Confecrated all Natural and Humane Affections. It is certain that the Passions are of great use in Religion, and may be subservient to the noblest Ends and Purposes of it. Therefore our Apostle might justly enter his Caveat here against those Hard and Flinty Philosophers, who talk'd of an utter Dispassion, and would make Men to be Iron and Stones.

Thus I have acquainted you what were the Opinions and Practices of the Epicurean and Stoick Philosophers with whom St. Paul grappled: Thence you may guess what the Apostle and they disputed about, and thence you may gather likewise what the Apostle means when he warns the Christian Colossians.

that they be not spoiled through Philosophy and Vain Deceit. I will only make this Remark or Reflection in the Close, that these Epicureans and Steicks among the Pagans Answer to. the Sadducees and Pharifees among the Jews. There is a great Affinity between these two forts of Antagonists. The Epicureans, like the Sadducees placed all their Hopes in this Life, and therefore would be very Merry and Frolick: The Stoicks, like the Pharifees, were Demure, and great pretenders to Austerity and Sublime Vertues, though they came but little short of the others in point of True Morality. And this indeed might be observed further, that when Men are not Masters of True and Solid Vertue, when they are not really Changed and Mended in their Minds, they pretend to greater things than usual, to make Men admire and applaud them to be thought Singular Proficients in Religion: they pretend to do more than others, when they are Conscious to themfelves that they do not so much. This is the very Guise of our Quakers, (thôse Modern Stoicks and Pharisees) they make as if they were exceeding great Observers of Morality, and had attain'd to a Higher and more Spiritual way of Christianity than others, yet these People, of all the Sects that we know, are the most Defective in Religion, and have run the farthest off from the Principles of Morality and Christianity.

I have hitherto shewed the Deceitful Opinions which were peculiar and proper to those partiEnquired into

particular Sects of Philosophers I have named. Now in the next place, I will fet before you those gross and mistaken Notions, which for the most part were common to them all. I will discover the Deceitsumess of the Pagan Philosophy, by instancing in some Principles and Practices which were not only maintained by the particular Sects above named, but also by all the rest of the Philofophers. I will reduce them to these two Heads, 1. Their deceitful Opinions and Practices relating to some particular Vices and Vertues. 2. Their false Sentiments concerning Happiness.

In pursuance of the first, I shall present you with their Pride, their Revenge, their Self-Murder, their Lewdness, and other Mistakes

in their Morals.

1. Pride was the Catholick Blemish, the general Stain of all the Philosophers: Yea, it seem'd even to be congenial to them to be immoderately proud of their Attainments. There could not be a more visible Discovery of this than their defire of Applause and Acclamations. By their Subtilty and Sophiftry they thought to conciliate a great Fame and Honour, than which nothing was dearer to them, even when they feem'd to shun them. Excellently to this purpose Plutarch obferves that the Author of that saying, Adh Buleus, live retiredly and unknown, would not lie hid himself: He would be known by that Motto; whilst he affected an Obscurity, he reached at Fame. Those that give such

the

*Dowe Precepts (faith he) * follow after Glory wassequ- and yet at the same time seem to turn away who rive from it: Whilst they look full at it, they Dretend to look another way. Some of them, even whilst they writ against de occulte Glory, ambitioned it. Our own Philofovivendo. phers (faith Tully) + fet their Names to + Tulc. those Books which treat of despising of Quzft, Glory. And the same Observation you

The feventh TEXT

Seria nè ab his qui. negligitur : quoniam quidem ipfis voluminibus nociunt. lib. 8. 6. 14.

Animà cap. 1.

nale rumorum mancipium. Epift. ad Paremach. Popularis auræ vile mancipium. Epift, ed Julian. Apolog. cap. 46.

dinosi.

meet with in Valerius Maximus, *Glory is not despised, no dem qui contemprumi e- 'not of those who endeavour jus introducere consutur, to introduce the Contempt of 'it; for we see they are very mina fra diligenter adji- careful to affix their Names to their very Books that they put forth. A Philosopher. + Animal Gloriz. De faith Tertullian, is a + vain-glorious Animal; and St. Jerom faith the very same of him, and * Gloriz Animal, & ve- addeth, that he is a * Mercenary Slave of Applause. Tertullian. speaking of the Philosophers in another place, faith they † Negotiatores famz. were † Traders for Fame, and this was the Commodity they were most eager to purchase. Another time he faith they. * Homines Gloriz libi- * were Men that lusted after Glory, and made Fame their Miltress. This was the noted

Badg of the best of them, to hunt after Glory with too great an Impatience; and they would do any base and servile thing to get a Name. Name. We may truly fay of them that they lived upon Applause; and if Fame did not trumpet them, they could not breath. But to instance in the arrogant Humour of those who were thought the modestest and most Self-denying Philosophers, viz. the Cynicks their daily business was to decry the Pride of others. But whilft they did fo, and profes'd to be Masters of the greatest Humility, they were the boldest and proudest Fellows imaginable: Tho' they were the greatest Censors of Pride, yet they were certainly most haughty, and under the very Garb of Humility there lurk'd an intolerable Arrogance. They were rudely clothed, to witness outwardly a Contempt of the World; but yet if a Man looked narrowly into them, he might obferve they were very proud of what they wore, 'tho' 'twas never fo coarfe. Their Beards and their fullen Looks, their affected Gestures and Grimaces were Ensigns not of their Gravity, but Singularity. This made them harden their Bodies against all Injuries of Weather; this made some of them beg of Statues, and provoke common Women to rail with them, which were Instances of Affectation, not Humility. Diogenes in a frosty Morning stood naked in the Marketplace, to shew (as he pretended) his Patience; it happened that $\hat{\Gamma}lato$ passed by at that time, and knowing his vain-glorious Humour, fpoke to the People that came about him to leave him alone, and then my Cynick would foon retire, for he was buoyed up only by the Peoples Applause. Diogenes another time thought to be even with Flato for this, (for you must know they understood one another well enough, tho they deceived the People) and trampled upon a Neat Couch, or some fuch Trim Furniture which Plato had in his House, and cried out, I trample on Plato's Pride; to which Plato adjoyned, but with greater Pride. Thus they were conscious to themselves of the Distemper which they so much laboured under. As for the Academicks, who openly professed they knew nothing: it is too evident from their Carriage and wild Contrasts that they were conceited they had an Infight into all things; for those that undertake to dispute against every one, do thereby proclaim their Universal Knowledge, The fupercilious Temper of the Stoicks I have partly given you an account of before, and I might here further do it by shewing you how they (above all Sects) delighted in high Strains and Parodoxes, which is an Argument that their Philosophy was starch'd and formal; that it was more for Shew and Oftentation, than to cherish in Mens Minds found and profitable Truths.

The Arrogant and Vain-glorious Spirit of the rest of the Philosophers, and of all the Men of Parts and Worth among the Gentiles, is fufficiently obvious. -- Volito vivus per ora viram, is Ennius's Rant. Demosthenes declared himself mightily pleased with what he heard from an Ordinary Woman, a Tankardbearer, as he passed by her, 370 infine i

Anuadiene, There goes the great Demosthenes, faid she. And his Brother Orator and Philosopher may feem to have been a great Affecter of popular Glory, when * he claimed Kindred * Tu'c. with Servius Tullius, the fixth King of Rome ; Quaft. he calls him his Cousin meerly because he was his Name-fake, but he was nothing a-kin to him. The famous Pliny, who was a Philofophical Man, and a grave Magistrate and Conful in Trajan's days, hath left a whole †Epistle to tell the World that he was infinitely transported with Applause; particu- † Epist. larly (among other Instances which he there 23. lib. 9. mentions) when one pointed at him, and faid, That is Pliny, he professes he was never so well pleased in his Life. After these Grave Men 'tis no wonder to hear of Martial's

Sed toto legor orbe frequens, & dicitur Hic est.

And in another place, his

Ille ego sum nulli,&c.

And of Ovid's

Jamq; opus exegi, &c.

And Horace his

Exegi monumentum ære perenniss

And his

– Monstror digito prætereuntium Romanæ fidicen lyræ. R 2

All

252

The seventh TEXT

All which shew that they were tickled with Applause, and impatiently thirsted after Fame and Repute. But Christianity allows not of this, it permits us not to gasp insatiably after the Acclamations of Men. I do not fay it is simply unlawful and against the Rules of Christianity to desire or accept of Honour and Praise; for itis certain that he who doth vertuously, cannot hate the Reward of doing fo. But to look after these chiefly, and in the first place, and to make them the great End of our Actions, is altogether blamable and vitious. To love the Fraise of Asen mer than the Proise of God; to do good Acts not for the Acts fake, but only for the Applause that follows them, is immoral as well as unchristian. Our chief End must be to contribute towards the Honour and Glory of God in the World, to be beneficial to Mankind, and to promote the defign of our Master upon Earth. If whilst we are doing this, Repute and a Good Name accrue to us, it becomes us not to be averie to them, but to receive them as the due Attendant of Vertue; yea, as that Reward or it which God himself hath pleased to annex to it. Moreover, Christianity acquaints us that it is a fign of a mean and vulgar Spirit to act only out of a desire to be commended, and that it is truly noble and generous to despise the World, and to glory in the Cross, and to look for the Euge's of Angels, and the Applause of Heaven: This is a worthy Ambition. The Advice of our Apostle is, Let nothing be done through vain Glory: And

again, Be not defireus of vain Glory. Christianity leads Men to a true knowledge of themfelves, and that is the only Spring of Humility, and of fober and becoming Thoughts. Whereas it is the nature of all other Knowledge (as the Apostle observes) to puss up them that are Peffellors of it, to fwell them into high conceits of themselves. And this was the very case of the Philosophizing Gentiles, they grew big, and were ready to burit with this Tumour, or rather this Poison. Their Carriage was observed to be most abfurdly proud and lofty: Fame and vain Glory principled all of them, and the aggrandizing their Names was the main thing they look'd after.

2. Their insatiable desire of Fevenge, and their Averseness to forgive Injuries, was another deceitful and unsound Princip'e that they had imbibed. Their very Philosophers too often spoke the Language of the Poet,

--- Nos hæc patiemur inulti?

Must we suffer such Affronts, must we undergo such Wrongs; and yet not revenge our selves? Vim vi repellere, was accounted good Morality: But it was held the mark of * 78 st a low Spirit to pass by Injuries and Wrongs. Selvator It is a service and slavish thing, when we are visious of used contumeliously, to suffer it without discovery making Returns. *This was the Sense of discovery Aristotle. And that Tully, the great Master of At Nicomoral Offices, placeth not Forgiveness amongs mach. 14.

R 3 them, c. 2.

them, and that he reckons it not among nat De Ve tural Dictates, Grotius † will inform you in
ric, Chust three or four Quotations out of that PhiloRelig. fopher, tho' certainly it is a Dictate of right
and unbiass'd Reason. Nay, you will find
that this famous Moralist || reckons Revenge
in the number of those things which belong
to the Law of Nature. He doth but invite
*Veterem Persons to the commission of a new offence,
ferendo
iniuriam
invitas no
vam. Te.
who passed the was thought the best way to defend themselves against Injuries for the suture, to take Revenge on those that were

* Όμιως αιχείν ν'μεζε των έχθεω, νικάθωι
τοις κακοποίτως, κ' των
φίλων ήτβάθαι ταις ένεςγεσίως. Ποςται.

past. And hear what a great Moralist saith, || Think it as base a thing to be out-done by thy Enemies in ill turns, as by thy Friends in good ones I know this is not the constant Language

of the Pagan Philosophers; they have better Apprehensions of this thing at other times, and speak very laudably. But you see how easily their Sentiments are altered and perverted, and to what corrupt Maxims their unstable Philosophy betray'd them. But Christianity on the contrary inculcates nothing more than Patience and Self-denyal, and a free forgiving of Injuries. We are bid by

4. Christ to forgive not seven times, but seventy usual Practice to forgive Offences. We are enjoyn'd by the Christian Morals to overcome our Enemies with Patience, or (which is more heroical) by Obligations and Courtesies

telies. * When they hunger, we are bid to feed * Rom, 12 them, and when they thirst, to give them Drink; 20. that by so doing we may heap coals of Fire on their Heads; that we may, if it be possible, foften and melt them, tame and charm them by our Tenderness and Benevolence; that we may by the Flames of Charity in our own Breafts, kindle a refembling one in theirs. Our Master hath commanded us to + forgive, + Luke 6. that we may be forgiven, to pardon others, as we 37. hope to find pardon and mercy at the Hands of our offended God. It is truly Christian to imitate him, who mikes his Sun to shine on the evil and on the good, and sendeth Rain on the just and on the unjust, who lets the greatest Delinquents share in his Favour, and communicates his Mercies and Blessings to the vilest Sinners. In short, it is the excellent and generous Nature of Christianity, to forgive Offences when the Criminal acknowledges them: It is yet a greater degree and height of it to take no notice of them, where the Community is not concerned, but to pass them by as not worth our observing: But the greatest and highest Glory of it, is to love our Enemies, to pray for them that persecute us, to return Good for their Evil, and to be kind and obliging even to the worst of men.

3. Self-Murder (which is a notorious Affront and Injury to Humane Nature) was defended by the chiefest Philosophers of them all. They held that a man need not ask leave before he went out of the World; that when they saw themselves in Danger, they

R 4 migh

* Tulc:

Quart.

might be as cruel to themselves as the Gods were; yea, that it was Religious to dispatch that Life which the Gods were resolved to destroy. It was determined by the Stoic s, that a man might kill himself rather than endure Servitude, Reproach, or long and grievous Diseases: And this Cato defends, if Tully doth not belye him, as we have no reafon to think he doth. That Stoick it feems was a fevere Common-wealth's-man, and could not fit himself to the turn of the Times. The Gods must give him a Reason why Cafir vanquish'd Pempey; and because they would not, he fell upon his own Sword, and dispatch'd himself. This the Great Roman Philosopher approves of, and thinks it was not without God's leave that he departed hence. He expressly faith, * God gave him a just occasion of dying, as he did Socrates: and he reckons him among those who are discharg'd and dismiss'd by God. Some others of the most Philosophical men, either directly flew themselves, or were wilfully accessary to their own Deaths. Thus Lycurgus, the Great and Renowned Lawgiver of Sparta, + In via pined himself to death; which Fact + Plu-Lycurg. tarch approves and applicads with a Jest, viz. that he made an end of himself by a total abstinence from Meat, to teach his Country-||Cic. Tusc. men Temperance. || Cleombrotus a Platonist, Qualt. 1.1. having read Plato's Phado, where Socrates being about to die, discourses of the Immortality of the Soul, went and threw himfelf down head-long into the Sea. And other Great Great Men of Morals, as Empedocles, Demoft enes, Anaxagoras, Chrysippus, yea and Zeno the Father of Stoicism, were Felons of themselves. Those who pretended to be great Despisers of Pains and Sufferings, ran away from them as foon as they felt the Anguish of them. Observe it, the very Stoichs who were fuch Unpassionate and Immoveable Moralists, were for leaving the World before their Time. This they call'd Withdrawing themselves, and aftir Retiring out of the World. And the Famous Seneca, in no fewer than Four Epistles, maintains this Practice. In one of which he plainly tells us, (whatever he had faid at other times concerning the Great Extremities which might put a Man upon killing himself) that * his Wife Man * Nec hoc need not stay till Extreme Necessity urgeth tantum in need not may the extreme recently in good necessitate him to such a violent Action, but as soon as pitima sahis Fortune begins to be suspected, as soon as er, fed he perceives there is some likelyhood of his cum pribeing brought into ill Circumstances, he may mum illi prevent them all by going afide. He hath suppeda

But Reason and Christianity (and some of Epul. 70. the Philosophers too in a better Mood) oppose it as a Rash and Foolish Attempt, nay as a vile and wicked Enterprize. It is an usurping on God's proper Right and Authority, who only hath Power to dispose of Man's Life. When God calls for our Lives, then we are to part with them submissively and willingly, but not before. In the mean

by the Platenijls, and other Philosophers.

this leave given him by the Stei ks, as well as effe fortu-

timo

time we must entertain Poverty, Sickness, Difgrace, or whatever Crosses befal us, with invincible Patience and Resolution. We must not shamefully relinquish our Stations, and like imprudent Pilots quit the Guidance of the Vessel in the midst of a Storm. We must bear up undauntedly against the briskest Assaults, and resolve to grapple with all forts of Hazards and Extremities. We must prepare our selves to look new Dangers in the face, and by the Divine Assistance make way through the thickest Troops of Opposition. When we are assaulted with Sufferings, we must not crouch and fneak and fly like Cowards, but we must resolve to maintain our Post, and weather out our Miseries with a Courage becoming Christianity. Be the Way we pass through rough or smooth, difficult or easy, 'tis the Divine Appointment, and God hath not made any Calamity insupportable; it may soon wear off of it self, however Time will take it away, But we must be careful that we do not by any means put an end to it by doing so to our Lives. This is a high Offence against God. against the Community (of which we area part) and against our selves, and Humane Nature it felf. This is a manifest token of base Fear and Cowardice, and Abjection of Mind; it argues unmanly Precipitancy and Unadvisedness, a distrust of Providence, a defect of Faith, and Hope, and Christian Courage, and even black Despair it self. But how brave and noble is it, after all our hard Service

service to go off honourably, with the Comfort of having fought a good Fight, and finished our Course, and kept the Faith, and of having persevered in our Duty to the end, maugre all Discouragements and Hardships?

This is true Ciristian Philosophy.

4. Lewdness, i. e. Immodest and Obscene Speeches, Ribaldry and loofe Talk, with Lascivious Gesture and Behaviour, with Lewd and Filthy Practice, were countenanced by the greatest Pretenders to Deep Notions and Morality; yea, and were not thought contrary to the Principles of Philosophy. As for the Stoicks, they professedly held that there is no Obscenity in Words. And Chrysuppus more particularly is named by Sextus Empiricus as the chief Assertor of this: Chrysigns, who was the very Prop and Buttress of the Stoicks Porch (as Tully tells us) was a Great Defender of this Opinion, and propagated it among his Disciples. They were wont (as the fame Author faith) * to call every thing by its Name, hereby excusing and pallia-quaniq; ting their Obscenity; and he seems to take rem nomiting their Obscenity; and he their part, + calling this Lewdness of Speech lare cie. Libertatem loquendi, a Liberty of speaking. Epifl. 1. 9. From tolerating of Obscene Words, they pro- Ep. 22. ceeded to license the lewdest Actions, and there- + Ibid. in were justified by the concurrent Practice of other Philosophers. He that rifles Plato's Politicks, shall find that Plurality of Wives, and even a Community of Women are allowed by him. The wifest Philosopher shews himfelf here most absurd, for thus he argueth:

HTeel A1- | Because all things in a Common-wealth are naive, seu to relate to the Common Good and Publick de Repub. Advantage, therefore to have all things in lica. I. 5. common doth so; yea, to have the promise. Lart. in ous use of Women. We are * told that Zenone. Diogenes, Zeno and Chrysippus (topping men among their Parties) were of the same mind. † Plutareb. Adultery was allowed † the Lacedemonians by in vit. Ly the sober Lycurgus. Common Whores were curgi. permitted | to the At'enians by the wise Solen. If Idem in We are told that the Cynicks blush'd not to act openly the most uncomely and lewd

Yea, Sodomy was approved of and practifed by the greatest Pretenders to Wisdom

* Ille etiam Thracum Populis fuit audor, amorem in teneros transferre marcs. Ovid. Metam.l.10. among the Pagans. * Orpheus, who was the Head and Prince of them all, taught the Thracians this: and Socrates is taxed of this unnatural Vice, not only

by Juvenal and others in Railery, but by Authors of Great Gravity and Good Credit, as Pluturch, Liodorus Siculus, Minutia † Apolog. Felix, † Tertulliun, | Lithenaus. I know fome are inclined to think that this Charge against Deipno. Socrates is a Slander, and that he was clear and foph. 1.13. innocent as to this matter, and that these Authors were too rash in borrowing such a report from some Poets and light Heads. And truly I am not averse to think so too, since I have made some Enquiry into the Business. I conceive this may be given as the fairest and truest Account of this Brave Man, that he was taken with the Society of ingenious

and fweet-natur'd Young Men. Their Intellectual Beauty was that which was courted and loved by him; and this is that Manly Beauty which some of his Dialogues (as Philabus and Phad) commend. He having made choice of the Spritliest Youths he could meet with, took great Pains with them to ripen and improve those Seeds of Vertue which he faw in them, and to fit them for the Service of their Country. Among many others, an Example of this was Alcibiades, a Young Nobleman of Athens, who was shaped and formed in his Manners by this Philosopher, and owed the Excellent Conduct of his whole Life to the Early Instructions of fo wife a Master. Hence some took occasion to tax him as guilty of Unnatural Lust toward this and other Young Men, and of corrupting (in the worst sense that can be thought) the Youth of Athens. Some were conicious to themselves of their own Guilt, and knew that musheggia was the Vice of the Country; and therefore concluded Socrates to be like themselves, and that he made no other use of Young Mens Company than they did. Aristophones was the Chief Man that fet this on foot, who wrote his Comedy called the Clouds, only to abuse Socrates: You must know then (as the True Ground of this) that Socrates inveighed against the Sophists and Mock-Philosophers of that Age, men who had nothing in them of true and fober Philosophy, but were a Disgrace and Reproach to it. Hereupon these men got him him jeared by Aristophanes in that Play of his before-named. Belides, Socrates had dilobliged all the Poetick Tribe by that part of the Model of his Common-wealth, wherein he would have all Poets banished: Whence it is no wonder that the Poets were fet against him. Indeed they were these who had the greatest hand in the Impeachment of Socrates, especially the Comedians, because Socrates had a more particular diflike of the Comick Poetry: for he being grave and composed, distelished that Light Humor of the Stage, which was Vain and Drolling, but at that time most taking. Yea, Socrates was sometimes present at, and applauded the Tragedies of Euripides, but would not honour with his Presence Aristophanes's Comedies. Whereupon this Poet-Laureat of that Age conceived a Difpleasure against the Philosopher, and seeing he would not approve of the Comick Way, he must fall under its Lash, to make the people Sport. Now Socrates is every-where laugh'd at; now Virulent Tongues say any thing of him; now they report he used the Company of Young men to Vicious and Lewd Purpofes. But that would not suffice; a Formal Indictment was drawn up against him. The Poets take to them Melitus and Anytus, and other Conspirators, who, because out of Extream Hatred to the Philo-Sopher, they fought his Death; intifted not much on the former Accufation, as not Criminal enough, but accused him for perver-

ting the Laws, by introducing new Gods and

Enquired into

a New Religion. If you look into the * Story, you will find that Socrates had the Honour to be impeached upon the same Athenian Law that St. † Paul was when he was convented before the Areopagites, viz. that the Ancient Gods were to be wor-

#"Ous whi is mans voi pages of voingar, treea de usiva daipavia eiony έμεν Φ. Laett. in Socrate. †"On ζένων δαιμονέων έδακει καθαγγέλευς και ναι. Acts 17. 18.

fhipped, and no new ones to be introduced contrary to the Custom of the Country. It was the breaking of this Law which procured his Death; he had discovered the Unreasonableness of the Pagan Idolatry, and the Shameful Deities which were set up among the Athenians. This proved fatal to him, though to make him doubly guilty, they inserted the Accusation before-mentioned, which no Man believed, of the Tris who knew either Socrates, or his Enemies Maries Stapplice. It was this which at last bereaved him of his Life, after they had robb'd him Socration of his good Name by fixing the Crime of Unnatural Lust upon him.

But the Socrates for the Reasons above alledged, may be thought not to be guilty of that Foul Vice, yet some other Philosophers of Great Name cannot be so cleared. I am loth to think that Plato was one of that number, but Diogenes Laertius saith he was, and that he disguised this Vice, calling it and equality faith Athermans; so was Zeno the Father of the Stoicks, who, as both Athermans and Diogenes Laertius testify, had a Male Sweet-heart whose Name

was

was not stained with this Foul Enormity.

was Cremonides: And this was so frequent as * Dialog. mong the Philosophers, that * Lucian would have this Love of Boys left wholly to that fort of Men. But Graver Authors may be alledged (belides those already mentioned) as † Pyrrh. † Sextus Empiricus, who proves that this Fil-Hyp. 1.3. thy Practice was look'd upon as a thing lawful, at least indifferent, among the Men of | De Cur. the greatest Philosophy. Theodoret | faith it Grac. Af was approved of by Lycurgus's Laws: the l fed. 1, 9. know some are of Opinion that Sparta

æ 250 7670 ⊕:is.

* Æschin Orat. contr. Timarch. Demosthenes & Lyfias, in Orationibus.

But the truth is this, there was *'Ei Si Jis maish's on at one time a Law * against this ματος έρερομεν & φανείκ, Lewd Practice, but this was foon abrogated by common Confent. Thus there was a Time when there was a Law †at Athens against prostituting of Boys if they were free, and against hiring them to filthy

Purposes But it is not to be doubted that the Athenians afterwards (as well as before) were generally guilty in this kind, and the Law of that place allowed it. This is exprefly testified by Flutarch, who was well acquainted with the Laws and Constitutions of that Country. He || reports that Solon,

Solon Æi. their Great Law-giver, tolerated by Law that chin orat. Unnatural kind of Luft, that he indulged it contr. Ti- to all but Slaves; as much as to fiy, it was fit only for Free-men, and those of Quality.

Yea, this Plutarch himself (as Grave an Author as he is, and fam'd for his moral Writings)

tings) hath writ shamefully * on this Sub- * 'Fpallject. And in his Piece of the Education of 200, E-Children, he feems to allow of it in Plato and college other Philosophers. Other Credible Au-

thors youch this I for a known Truth, that the Laws of most Cities in Greece did not suppress that Libidinous Usage. And St. Paul's Testimony may be added to all these, in Rom.

t Ev cromais of mo-Aswy of vouot ax travillar-नक मांड कलंड महेड ऋवां शब्द οπθυμίαις. Xenoph. de Repub. Lacedæm.

1.27. The Men, leaving the natural use of the Woman, burned in their Lust one toward another. Men with Men, working that which is unfeemly. Which words are meant of that Filthy and Preposterous Lust, and are spoken of the Wife Men and Philosophers among the Pagans: which is a farther Proof and Confirmation of this Head of my Discourse, that . the Gentile Philosophy patroniz'd Obscenity and Lewdness, and even Unnatural Acts of Lusts. Thus I am glad I have rid my Hands of this Ill Subject, which yet it was requisite to stay a while upon in pursuance of my Undertaking on these Words, which was to shew the Corruption of the Pagan Philosophy, together with that of Philosophical Men. And you see the Apostle himfelf thought fit to make particular mention of this flagitious Usage among them, and at the fame time to reprove and condemn it. as he doth fife in 1 Cor. 6. 9. For our most holy Religion forbids even the least Tendencies to Lewdness, and the least Indi-

cations of it; | Inordinate Affection, Evil Con- || Col. 35. cupiscence.

cupisence, Lascivious Thoughts and Desires are criminal by the Evangelical Laws. We are ascertain'd by our Saviour himself, that orblind, or any ways deform'd, * Mat. 5. an Unchaste Eye, a * Lustful Look is Adulte- 14y be cast out, and without 28 ry. All Words and Speeches that favour ity or Care exposed to the + Ephel 4. of Lust, all + corrupt Communications, and side World: And he faith it 20. Discourse are condemned by the Apostle. And the fame Inspired Writer, with others, who knew very well what was Vice, and what was way maimed and imperfect. Thus two of Vertue, and who fully understood the nature of the Christian Religion, and what it allows, Paught People to be cruel to the Fruit of and what it forbids, strictly commandsus I Pet. 2. that we abstain from fleshly Lusts, that we wral to their own Flesh, (nay, the worst of 11. * make not Provision for the Flesh, to fulfil the Brutes are seldom found to do so). The *Rom. 13. Lusts thereof; that we flee Fornication; assure Practice of the Pagans was according to 14 ring us of the Everlasting Penalty which is

and lascivious Flames shall certainly end in those that are Eternal. I might proceed, and instance in other Allowances incorporated into the Body of those Morals which are left us by Philosophers, as the destroying of the Child in the Mother's Womb (if it be fafe and possible for her) when she thinks she hath had a full and fufficient number of Children; this

4. and Adulterers God will judge. Those wanton

| Heb. 13. trary to these Prohibitions ; | Whoremongers

* Polit. 1. you will find suggested by * Aristotle. And 7. c. 16. the exposing of Children, is another Allowance. Plato would have this done whenever Parents have exceeded the Bounds of getting Children, and when they grow too numerous. Aristotle is of Opinion,

that a Child which is lame

+ Hee' A Emolionus ען דפיסחור און אין איסוטיףמיי EST VOLO, MES EV TEATERS METON TREETEN. Ibid.

rould be well if there were a Law to forbid he bringing up of Children that are any he greatest Philosophers that ever were, heir own Loins, to be brutish and unnahis Doctrine, as several Writers inform us. † 1 Cor. 6. to be awarded against those that act conof Infants was usually among many Nations, gib. Spe-Hoodotus relates * that the Parents in some *L. 2. c. Countries were not bound to bring up their 35. Children if they did not like it. The Indian Brachmans, tho great Pretenders to Philosophy, cast off their Children if they did not like their Humours and Conditions. It was lawful according to the Athenian Laws, † for † Petit. in Parents to expose their new-born Infants, to Leges Ataft them out of the Family, and to deny ticas. them Food. Hence among the Greek and Latin Comedians, || when they represent the || Terent. Manners of the Athenians, the exposing Heautonof Infants is commonly brought in. This tim. A&.4 Custom hath spread it self into many Regions Aristo. of the World, infomuch that the People of phan. Ra-Madagascar throw off, and never have to do na. with any of their Children that are born on

cupifence, Lascivious Thoughts and Desires are criminal by the Evangelical Laws. We are ascertain'd by our Saviour himself, that *Mat. 5. an Unchaste Eye, a * Lustful Look is Adulte-

28.ry. All Words and Speeches that favour tephel of Luft, all the corrupt Communications, and 29. Discourse are condemned by the Apostle. And

the fame Inspired Writer, with others, who knew very well what was Vice, and what was Vertue, and who fully understood the nature of the Christian Religion, and what it allows, and what it forbids, strictly commandsus

| 1 Pet. 2. that we | abstain from fleshly Lusts, that we 11. * make not Provision for the Flesh, to sulfil the *Rom.13. Lusts thereof; that if we flee Fornication; assu-

† 14 ring us of the Everlasting Penalty which is † 1Cor.6 to be awarded against those that act con-

| Heb. 13. trary to these Prohibitions; | Whoremongers 4. and Adulterers God will judge. Those wanton and lascivious Flames shall certainly end in

those that are Eternal.

I might proceed, and instance in other Allowances incorporated into the Body of those Morals which are left us by Philosophers, as the destroying of the Child in the Mother's Womb (if it be safe and possible for her) when she thinks she hath had a full and sufficient number of Children; this Polit. I. you will find suggested by * Aristotle. And 7. c. 16. the exposing of Children, is another Allowance. Plato would have this done whenever Parents have exceeded the Bounds of getting Children, and when they grow too numerous. Aristotle is of Opinion,

that a Child which is lame or blind, or any ways deform'd, may be cast out, and without Pity or Care exposed to the wide World: And he saith it would be well if there were a large of the world in the world in the world be well if there were a large of the world in the world be well if there were a large of the world in the world be well if there were a large of the world be well if there were a large of the world be well if there were a large of the world be well if there were a large of the world be well in the well

† Πεεί δί δποθέσευς εξ τεκρίτε τη μγνομόλου έτω νόμ. Φ., μεδίν σεπεία: μένον τρέφειν. Ibid.

Pity or Care exposed to the METON TESTEIN. Ibid. would be well if there were a Law to forbid the bringing up of Children that are any way maimed and imperfect. Thus two of the greatest Philosophers that ever were, taught People to be cruel to the Fruit of their own Loins, to be brutish and unnatural to their own Flesh, (nay, the worst of Brutes are seldom found to do so). The Practice of the Pagans was according to this Doctrine, as feveral Writers inform us. Thus Philo || the Jew tells us, That exposing | De Leof Infants was usually among many Nations. gib. Spe-Herodotus relates * that the Parents in fome *L. 2 c. Countries were not bound to bring up their 25. Children if they did not like it. The Indian Brachmans, tho great Pretenders to Philosophy, cast off their Children if they did not like their Humours and Conditions. It was lawful according to the Athenian Laws, i for + Petit. in Parents to expose their new-born Infants, to Leges Atcast them out of the Family, and to deny ticas. them Food. Hence among the Greek and Latin Comedians, || when they represent the || Terent. Manners of the Athenians, the exposing Heautonof Infants is commonly brought in. This tim. Ad.4 Custom hath spread it self into many Regions Aristo. of the World, infomuch that the People of phan. Ra-Madagascar throw off, and never have to do na. with any of their Children that are born on

S 2

The seventh TEXT

a Friday. Nay, this exposing was heretofore accompanied with downright Murder; for the .Lacedemonians had an Unnatural Custom * clut.vit. (and it was by the Decree of Lycurgus) * that Lycurgi. if Children were deform'd and unhealthful, they should be cast into a deep Caven of the Earth near the Mountain Taygetus, This People (who were the Civilest of all Greece) erected an Office on purpose for this: they appointed fo many Searchers, whole business it was to examine every Infant as foon as it was born, to fee its Limbs, who ther they were strong and firm, to make Infpection into the feveral Parts, and to fatisfy themselves whether there was any Lameness Blindness, or any other Deformity. If there was, they presently ordered them to be thrown into the Barathrum before-mentioned; or else those Children that were at a very great distance from that place, were to be carried and left in Woods, or exposed on Riversia Baskets, and fo to be left to the Providence of Heaven. And Plutarch who relateth this. approves of this their murdering their lafants; for he faith (in the close of their Constitutions and Laws which he sets down that he doth not fee any thing amiss in them. I could observe to you also, that Theft was approved of by that wife Lawgiver Lycurgu, who allowed it to the Spartans on condition train (faith the fore-named Author) yea ten joyned by the Law to steal, but it was looked on as a base and dishonest thing to be found

guilty of it: The being taken was criminal, the not stealing cunningly was the thing that was faulty. Lastly, I ying had the Approbation of the Chief Philosopher among the Pagans. It is lawful to Lie for the Good of the Common-wealth, faith Socrates, as he is quoted by his Scholar # Plato. Thus you * De Re fee what were the corrupt Ethicks of the pub. 1.2. Heathen World: For tho' many of these things which I have mentioned are repugnant to True Philosophy, yet being maintain'd, and fometimes practifed by the Masters of Philosophy, they are justly reckoned among the Deceits of Philosophy. However, if these latter Instances be not so home to the purpose, it is certain that no man can pronounce so concerning the others before-mentioned, which were some of the chief Ingredients and Principles of the Gentile Philosophy.

But tho' I have given you this large Account of their mistakes in Morality, yet I will purfue this matter a little farther, and (according to what I propounded) shew you in the next place, that the Philosophy the Apofile speaks of was Deceitful, in that it was grosly mistaken about the Happiness of Man, It was mistaken, and consequently deceived Men as to this Grand Point. 1. By not affuring them of a Future Life. 2. By giving no notice of the Eternal Duration of it. 3. By not determining wherein True Hapthey could keep it close. It was tolerated piness consists. 4. By not directing them to the Right Way to it.

1, It deceived them by not assuring them

μή λαθέιν esxegy Tr. Plut.

guilty

of a Future Life. The Future Existence of the Soul (tho it was a Notion dictated by the Light of Nature and Reason, and sometimes politively and plainly afferted by some of the Philosophers, yet it) was disputed and doubted of among them at other times by reason of false Principles, which they had wilfully taken up, and thereby clouded their Reasons and the Natural Dictates of their Minds, as also because of Interest and Senfual Pleasure which stifled the Rational Upon these Ac-Actings of their Souls. counts a Future State was hardly believed by fome of the Philosophers, and wholly opposed by others. The Epicureans (as you have heard)flatly denyed it: And it is no wonder, feeing their Language was

* 'Oux of Hollsen 73 देन्ध्रंप्रदीवर क्रिमेर यह प्रदेशहर Lacrt. in Epicuro. † Οι λέγονθες ασώμε-Joh हां का उक्त कि प्रम मित्रीयाά(εσιν. lbid.

270

after this rate, * We can have no Notion of an Incorporeal Thing. unless it be a Vacuum: † Ties therefore that say the Soul is Incorporeal, talk vainly and idlely. || Plutarch attests that Democritu De Placit. Philosoph. 1.4. held the Soul to be corruptible,

and that it perished together with the Body. It is true, the Pythagoreans and Platonists afferted that the Soul went on Pilgrimage, and flitted from one man to another: they held, that mens Souls passed into Brutin as well as into other men; and he that wasa man a while ago, is now an Afs, a Wolf, a Dog, or some other Animal: But this is vain Philosophy indeed, and all that we can build upon it (if it were true) is this, That

the same man is often begot, and as often born, and dieth. Indeed Plato * brings in * In Phz-Socrates before his Death, treating of the done, seu Immortality of the Soul; he makes him speak some things that are Admirable, Excellent, and Divine, but other things are Poor, Mean and Frigid; he prefents him as dubious and uncertain, wavering, and inconfiftent with himself. That Socrates doubted of a Future State and the Soul's Immortality. may be gathered from that Passage of his, which Plato inserts, + If these things we speak the danof be true. Again, Socrates faith, One of herouse. thefe two is absolutely necessary, either Death ut- Plat. App. terly deprives us of all Sense, or we pass from logia Sohence to another place. It feems he looked cratis. upon Death either as a found Sleep, or a || loid. long Journey, but he could not certainly * De Contell which. Plutarch * had this very Noti-folatione on of it, and on that account concludes that ad Apol-Death is not Evil. After this wavering lon. manner speaks the Great Roman Philosopher, + " If the "day of Death be accompa-"nied with the Change of "Place only, and not an ut-

Enquired into.

"What is better than when Qu. l. 1.

"we are wearied with the "Labours of this Life, to fall asleep, and "never to wake again? In like manner Seneca.

"ter Extinction, What can be

"more desirable than Death?

"Or, if it makes an end of

"us, and quite annihilates us,

+ Si supremus ille dies non extinctionem, sed commutationem affert loci, quid optabilius? Si autem perimit ac delet omnino, quid melius quam in mediis vitæ laboribus obdormiscere, & ita conniventem fomno confopiri sempiterno ? Cic. Tusc.

* Mors nos aut confumit aut emittit : emitlis meliora restant, onere detra-Ro; consumpris nihil restar. Epilt. 24.

Seneca, * "Death doth either "confume, or fends us out of "this World into another: If " the latter be true, there are "better things remain for us-"when we are fent out hence,

"and have laid aside our Terrestrial Clog " and Burden; but if the former be true, "then there is nothing remaining for us, being "utterly confumed, and confequently no "Hurt can befal us; that is our Comfort,

Again, he thus faintly and am-* Fortasse si modò sa. biguously talks, * Perhaps if pientum vera fama est, the Report of Wise Men be true, recipitqinos locus aliquis. and if there be any such thing as Epill. 63. a place hereafter to receive us, &c.

Thus Antonine (the Royal Philosopher) cannot tell whether Death be ofen; or uswigans, an Extinction, or a Translation; whether it be an Annihilation, or a Change of

→Siquis modò extinctis fenfus ineft. Va!. Max. 1.4. c. 6.

our Condition. And many † others have thus expressed their Doubtfulness about another Life. But most remarka-

ble is that Passige of Xenophon in the Life of Cyrus, whom that wife Hiltorian represents as a Great Hero, and fingular Pattern of Vertue. We must expect therefore that he will make him speak like a brave Prince, furnished with true and found Notions of things. He tells us that this Great Man lying on his Deathbed, and having certain Presages of his approaching Departure out of the World, commanded his Courtiers to come to him, and called his Sons and Friends together,

and spoke to them to this purpose, You ought not to think "you know certainly that I "shall be nothing after I cease For let me "to live here. "tell you, the Soul whilft it 1.8.

|| 'Ou > S SHAR TETO 34 ouoùs dexeire eineral al 8 8 8 10 mar 6 300 6 12 Calt-र्वत पर्व के प्रीर्ध्याप हो है एक λευτήσω, &c. Inflit. Cyr.

"is in this Mortal Body, doth " not live, tho it gives Life to the Body; but "when it leaves the Body, then it proper-"ly lives, then it acts, and is truly knowing "and wife. The Tortures and Punishments

"inflicted on Murderers by Souls thrust out "of their Bodies, and the Honour and Re-" wards of Good and Innocent Minds, prove "that Souls still subsist. When Man is dif-

" folved, it is not probable that all things "belonging to him go to their particular "Kind, except the Soul only. You may ob-

" ferve that nothing is more like Death than "Sleep: but even in Sleep the Soul difco-

" vers its Divinity, and never more than then, " for it hath a prospect of things to come, "the Soul being at that time more free than

"ever. If these things be so, reverence my *"Oniv " Soul when I am dead, and do according To asex. "to my Commands. But if these things be Act non &

" not so, but the Soul perisheth with the "ua, os "Body, yet reverence the Gods who are undir an "Immortal. And a little after, he faith, in water, mis

"Call all the Persians and my Fellow-Soul-"diers to my Funerals, that they may con- 78 3618 24-

" gratulate with me * that I am got to a fafe yours, wi-Place and State, wherein no Evil can befal n hy un-

me, sir iti a.

Laert. in

"me, whether it shall be my lot to be with "God, or whether to be reduced to nothing. A fair Speech indeed! This was the faint Refult of all the Knowledge which his Wife Tutor could let him have of another State. He had not determined whether after Death he should be taken to the Gods, or be annihilated. Thus Philosophy, as it was corrupted and depraved, was unfteady and doubtful about a Future Life and Happiness. Much lefs.

2. Had they any notice of the Eternal. Duration of them. Those of them who held that the Soul was long-lived, had no firm Apprehension of its being Immortal. But especially the Stoic's failed here; Zeno, the +Th, to Mafter of that Sect, + expresly afferted that the Soul remains after Death, but at length Savator is corrupted. The Generality of this Sect Empirer went fo far as to fay, the Soul furvives the Sugar Body a good while. But if you ask, How rive. Diog long? They tell you 'tis only till the Conflagration of the World. Yet here they were Zenone. divided; for there were fome among them who held, that the weaker fort of Souls (viz. of the unlearned) perish with the Body; but the stronger ones (viz. of Wise Men) hold out till the Conflagration. And with this agrees that Account which | Cicero

mansuros gives of them; and Seneca relates the very aiunt ani same of this Sect of Philosophers. But the mos; sem-certain Knowledge and Assurance of the Endper, ne- less Duration of Souls, and the Eternal Reward gant Tusc. of Vertue, is the Purchase only of Christ's Appearg

Enquired into

Appearing, who hath brought Life and Immortality, i.e. Immortal Life, to light by the Gospel. There was but a faint shadow of it before; the Discoveries were dark and obscure, but by the arifing of the Sun of Righteourness, this Darkness is dispelled, and we have gain'd a clear Manifestation of the Everlasting Sublistence of our Spirits in another World. For Christ Jesus, whose Soul was of the same nature with ours, commended his into God's Hands, and so did the Holy Martyr St. Stethen in imitation of Him; which assures us that the Souls of the Righteous are taken into God's Custody at their departure out of the Body. This together with the Resurrestion of our Saviour and his afcending into Heaven, gives us an absolute Assurance and Demonstration of our rising again, and living immortally in another World.

3. Their Philosophy proved Deceitful in not determining wherein the True Happiness of Man consisteth. The Different Notions of the diverse Schools of Philosophy about the Chief Good, proclaim aloud that they only gueffed at it, and were not able to tell wherein it was plac'd. Their Mistakes were never so numerous and (which is worse) so dangerous as here. It is of infinite Consequence to understand what is the Chief Felicity of Man, what is the perfect State of Bliss, what is the Principal and Last End of Man, wherein there remains nothing further to be defired. Now, He only can acquaint us with our True Happiness who is the Author of it, the

Lord

Lord of Bliss and Glory, who purchased Immortal Life for us, and is Himself the True, Soveraign, Chief Good, the Ultimate Object of our Wishes and Desires, Studies and Endeavours, the only Rest and Center of our Minds. This is Life Eternal to know, and in knowing to enjoy the only True God, and Jesus Christ whom he hath sent. It is the Utmost Happiness of Man to have, in the discharge of his proper Duty, the Favour of God, to know and love him, and to be loved of him. This is the highest Felicity our Nature is capable of, and it is no where sully discovered

but in the Holy Scriptures.

4. Philosophy was mistaken, and thereby proved Deceitful in not discovering the True certain Way to this Hipiness. And indeed how could it? It was impossible for the Philosophers to know how to regain the Favour of Heaven whilst they understood not how they lost it. They could not come to the full understanding of the true Cause of the Degenerate Condition of Mankind. Whence should they know that Man was at first created pure and holy, spotless and innocent, able to serve God with an unwearied Obedience, and that he voluntarily abused his Power and Freedom, and disobeyed the Command of his Maker, and so by an Act of his own Will apostatized from God, and plunged himfelf into unspeakable Misery? They could not reach this by their Natural Light and Moral Reason. The most Philofophical and Inquisitive Brains, tho they have mad∉ ∙ made some guesses about the Corruption of Mankind, were not able to arrive to a clear account of this matter. They were apprehensive that Nature was vitiated; they perceived a strange Disorder, a horrible Shatter, but they were ignorant of the Original Spring and Source of it. And thus not knowing the Cause of Human Corruption and Depravation, it is no wonder that they light not on the Right Remedy of them. In the Gospel alone is set forth the Way for the recovery of lapfed and degenerate Souls; here is discovered the certain Method of obtaining the Pardon of our Sins, and the Aslurance of God's Favour, and our Everlasting Welfare. The Contrivance of Man's Redemption by the Blood of Jesus was too high a Flight for the most improved Reason, and Light of Nature. And when it was revealed to some of the most knowing Pagans, they were loth to truckle to fo low and mean a Dispensation as the Gospel, which teacheth us to trust and rely upon another's Merits. They all agreed in this, * that there * Unum is this one good thing which is the cause and est, quod Foundation of Happiness, viz. a Man's trusting beate vito bimself, and resting upon what he can do by ta causa his own Power. These lofty Sons of Reason & firmacounted it abfurd to be beholden to another's mentum Undertakings for their Felicity; especially fidere. it founded as the most ridiculous thing ever Sen. Epis. heard of, to hope for Life and Happiness by 31. the Death of another. St. Augustin's Complaint of Tully's Works may be the just Impeachment

corum.

cultu,

peachment of all the voluminous Discourses of Philosophers, that the Name of Christ is not to be found there. There is nothing in them of the exalted Morals of our Great and perfect Lawgiver, of the great Mystery of Godliness manifested by a Redeemer. and of the Knowledge of Jesus Christ, and him crucified. Yea, in the account which the Philosophers give of the ordinary Moral Vertues and Vices, they are very wavering and uncertain. He that is acquainted with the Writings of the Chief Moralists among them, knows that they frequently confute themselves: their way is to set up their Wise Man, and then foon after to pull him down; which made an understanding Person declare + Es A that the Stoicks Wife Man + is no where to be Fro vide- found upon Earth; yea, and that he never με γης, ε was in being. He is a Chimera, a Fiction, Plurarch. made up wholly of Paradoxes, Riddles and de contra. Impossibilities; so that there is nothing real dia. Stoi- in their Description of him but their Pride and Conceit. The false and erroneous Conceptions which these and other Moralists had concerning Vertue and Vice, we have in feveral particulars laid open in the preceding part of this Discourse; and it is that which De vero | Lattantius long ago hath very largely proved. viz. that the Philosophers mistook Vertue for Vice, and Vice for Vertue. I remem-* Przfat. ber the excellent * Des Cartes compares the ad Ethic. Moral Writings of the Heathens to Splendid and Magnificent Palaces built upon Mud and Sand. They extol, faith he, Vertue to the Skies,

and prefer it before all other things; but do not fufficiently explain to us the True Nature of it, or lay the ground of it right: nay oftentimes that which is called Vertue by them, ought rather to be stilled Vice. Now. these ill Foundations cannot but be followed with as bad Superstructures; and both of them will promote vitious Practices in Mens Lives. So that upon this account we might conclude the Pagan Philosophers were very defective in shewing the way to Happiness; for

how could they do this, fo long as they were

Enquired into

not able to build Men up in True Godliness, and to make them really better?

But their greatest Blemish was that which I have already mentioned, viz. their Ignorance of the way of Life and Salvation by Jesus Christ. They knew not that there is no other Name under Heaven given among Men whereby they must be faved. They understood not that + "Es no in the great and universal Deluge of Man-ovn cinokind, this is the only Ark we can be fafe in oogia ui-They were unacquainted with the Mystery of 2501 Min. Faith and Justification, and the absolute ne- und un ne raith and justineation, and the adjointe ne- μώναπην cessity of the Assistance of the Holy Spirit, Θεφ, ώνα and other fuch Divine and Saving Truths, the acting to Discovery of which is peculiar to the Christie original an Religion, which is the only true Philosophy. or huas For this Name you may observe it bears in the same with the same you may observe it bears in the same you will be a same you the Writings of the Ancient Fathers: Thus and is & Justin Martyr, speaking of the Christian In- 1001 flitution, hath these words concerning it, Applia 789 "I Philosophy truly is the greatest Good and ver cere-"most acceptable to God, it being that musting alone Dialog. cũ Tryph

c. I2.

"alone which leads us and commends us to "him: And they are really holy, who apply their Minds to this Philosophy. And he || Taorny tells us that he found this to be || the only certain and useful Philosophy. So the Barbarous LCOTHE EVeister of Philosophy with Clemens Alexandrinus, is the NIO GETA Christian Religion, or the New Testament 71 κ, σίμ. composed by those whom the Greeks stiled Desr. bid. Barbarians. This according to Isidore is * the H 142 & New and Evangelical Philosophy; and sometaysial times it is called by him the f Heavenly 20. ειλισο- Pilosophy. And in several other Fathers this is the word for Christianity; and the + Cups Doctors and Eminent Professors of the 918 9100 Christian Church are stiled | Philosophers, in opposition without doubt to those among Epift. 1.5. the Pagans who boasted of this Title.

Thus I have attempted to shew how the men Eccl. Hift.1.5. Apostles words are to be understood; I have let you see what those things are which were blameable in the Greek Philosophy, and why the Apostle cautions against it. I have particularly discovered how this Philosophy was abused of old, and thereby became most prejudicial to Christianity, and how the Professors of it did συλαγαγών (which is the word here used) forcibly carry away, and make a Prey and Booty of too great a part of the World by it. Whence it is that the Apostle here couples Philosophy and Vain-Deceit to-

gether.

A Discourse on

1 S. John Chap. 3. v. 8.

- For this purpose the Son of God was manifested, that he might destroy the Works of the Devil.

Wherein is shewed What the Works of the Devil are.

Will enquire into these words, not that they contain any Difficulty in them, but because I would lay open the full and compleat Meaning of them: for though I grant that by the Devil's Works is in the general meant all Sin and Vice (as is evident from the foregoing Verse, He that committeth Sin is of the Devil) yet I conceive there is fomething more Particular intended here by these words. Some Particular Works are to be understood, wherein the Power, Subtilty, or Malice of that Evil Spirit are more fignally exerted, and therefore are Emphatically here call'd the Works of the Devil. And this if that which I now design to offer; and I will

will be the larger in infifting on it, because it is

of very great moment, and is not like some other Subjects which I have treated of be-

fore (that are Controversial and Disputable) and likewise because I see this is not ta-

ken notice of by Commentators.

First, Superstition is a remarkable Work of the Devil, and without doubt is meant here. This is a Reverencing and Adoring at a venture, as those Religionists at Athens did, who creded an Altar to an unknown God: It is a yielding of unreasonable and groundless Homage, and (to define it more generally) it is attributing in a religious way, more than is due to Things or Persons. It is in this large sense, a vain and groundless Fear where no Fear (i. c. no true cause of Fear) is. And on the other hand, it is a fond and unwarrantable Expellation of those things from creating Beings which they cannot afford us, and which they were never defigned for. The Evil Spirit took care to employ the Minds of Pagans about these Matters, that he might thereby divert them from Objects of a better Nature, and take off their Thoughts from True Religion, and the Divine Author of it, and that he might hold them in a constant dependance on himself, whilst he persuaded them that these Foolish Fears and Hopes should be of singular use and advantage to them. Hence of Old they had their Lucky and Unlucky Days, on which they made superstitious and fond Remarks. The Observer of Times mentioned by Moses, Deut. A Discourse on 1 John 3.8.

Deut, 18. 10. and join'd with him that useth Divination was, I conceive, of this fort: his proper Talent was to tell what Days were Fortunate and what Unfortunate, what Events should happen on such Seasons, and what on others, and which of them should be Successful. And thus R. David Kimchi understands the place. The Persians of old had this Notion of the distinction of Days, as appears from their casting Lot from Day to Day. and from Month to Month, (Esth. 3. 7.) when the Design was on foot of Massacring the Jews. They were folicitous to enquire what Lucky Days and Months of the Year would happen to favour that Bloody Conspiracy. From those first Ages of the World, this Superstitious Practice was derived to the Greeks and Romans; the former of whom (as Hefiod, Pythagoras, and others acquaint us) were very great Observers of Days, critically dividing them into Good and Bad ones. and calling one kind of them * Mothers, and * Axx de the other Stepdames. Hence we have Hesiod's Herpound Days, which he stiles so, because he treats mixture there of the Difference of Days, some whereof unns. are Good, others Bad, and a third fort of a Hefiod. middle Nature. And the latter (i. e. the Ro- Huig. mans) out-did the Grecians in this vain Observation of Days, marking the Lucky ones (as they took them to be) with White Characters, and the Unlucky ones with Black, to fignify the different Nature of them. They grew at last so observant of these Distinct Times, that (as Macrobius and others relate)

relate) they dared not keep Court, or engage in Battel, or so much as invite their Friends to supper, or do any thing else in the course of their Lives, upon those Times which they had fondly mark'd out as Unfortunate.

To this were added many other Superstitious Usages, as Ariolation, Omens, Auguries, and a vast number of other kinds of Soutbfarings and Divinations. A very remarkable and ancient instance we have of these in King Nebuchadnezzar, who when he would be determined whether he should go against the Jews or the Ammonites, and be prosperous in either Expedition, betook himself to the known and usual practice of Divination, (for they generally used before the Wars to divine about the manner of it: They writ down the Names of the Countries or Cities which they designed to invade and make War against; and according as the Divination directed them, they fell upon such a particular * Ezek. place): * The King of Babylon flood at the 21. 21. parting of the way, at the head of the two ways to use Divination : he made his Arrows bright, he confulted with Images, he look'd in the Liver. Where we see that (according to what we read in Profane Authors, who speak of the manner of Divination and Inchantment) he is careful of that Circumstance so much obferved by that fort of Men, viz. to chuse a place where two or three ways meet, and there to go about their Buliness, for they thought this was very advantageous to their Defigns. Having thus made choice of a convenient Post, A Discourse on 1 John 2.8,

he falls to the work of Divining; and that he may be fure to speed well, he uses three forts of Divination, that by one of them at least (if the other two should fail) he might arrive to a fore-knowledge of the firmre Occurrence he was prying into. First, He made his Arrows bright: from whence fome would gather, that the Chaldean Kings had a way of exercifing their Magick Skill upon their Wea. pons before they went out to Battel, to give them Edg and Force when they came to Fight. But this is a gross mistake, because this place in Ezekiel represents only the Chaldeans way of Divining, and therefore the Arrows are not mention'd here with any respect to the Battel, but only they are used in order to Divination: Which was thus, according to St. Jerom on the place; they put feveral Arrows into a Quiver written with the Names of those things which they confulted about, and that Arrow which was taken out first, was the Lot; and they determined by this which City to Beflege or Invade. Which comes near the Divination in use among the * Romans, by cer-*Liv.lib.; tain Letters and by Rods. But I rather think that the Chaldee Version affords us a better Interpretation, which renders that Clause thus. Jacet Sagittas, he casts, he throws, he shoots his Arrows up into the Air, (where they are feen bright and glittering, and therefore are faid to be made bright, which the other Account, given by St. Jerom, takes no notice of) to fee which way they would fall, and thence to know which way to lead his Army. This

I take to be the Benounde's used by Nebuchadnezzar before he went out to War: He stood in bivio, at the parting of the two Ways, (as was the custom of Diviners) intently obferving towards which of them the Arrows fell, that he might know thereby whether the Ammonites or the Jews were to be fought with good Success. This was the very same mode of Divination which was used by the Greeks and Romins, this was the very sagsouarfile, the divining by a Rod or Staff, so frequent of old, for jags is a large Word, and fignifies a Rod of Iron or Steel, or Wood, or any fuch slender thing like a Wand, or Staff, or Arrow. The Jews (who at one time or other imitated all the Pagan Follies in the World) took up this Soothfaying, Their Staff declared unto them, Hof. 4. 12. i.e. they made use of this Rhabdomancy which was so frequent among the Pagans, and thereby they prognosticated concerning things to come. The Arabians of old (as Christopherus à Castro tells us) consulted their Gods by Rods or Staves: and (if I may be permitted to offer my Conjecture) this practice among them and others was borrowed, either from the Egyptian Magicians Rods, Exod. 7. 12. or from the Rods mention'd, Num. 17. where the Israelites were commanded to write the Names of the Princes of their Tribes; for it is certain, that the Jews and other Nations mutually borrowed from one another. The Gentiles it may be, in a fond imitation of this Ceremony, (which without doubt was grown famous, and spread abroad in the World) made use of Rods or Staves A Discourse on 1 John 3.8.

in Divination. This I propound in way of Conjecture and Probability, it being undeniable that the Gentiles aped the Ifraelites (as well as these aped them) in several things, as I shall have occasion at another time to make good in abundance of Instances, and those

perhaps not hitherto taken notice of.

Secondly, He consulted with Images, or (as 'tis in the Hebrew) with Teraphim, so often fpoken of in the Old Testament, which are no other than Images for Divination, to forctel future Events. These were a fort of Magical Images (for you must know that Divination (of which I am now speaking) and Magick (of which afterwards) generally. went together) used by the Gentiles; the Egyptians and Arabians especially, called by these latter Tallisman, * made at a certain * Selden time when they knew fuch Stars and Constel-deDis.Syr. lations were most active. The Diabolick 1. Syntage Spirits entred at such a time into these Images, these Teraphim, and possessed them as they used to take possession of Humane Bodies, and by them and in them they spoke, and moved and acted, and did strange Feats, and gave Answers to those that enquired of them. fo that they may be faid to be the Devil's Oracles. These Images were very early in the World, if we may credit the † Jewish +zoar,&c. Writers, who tell us that they were used by the profligate Sinners that lived about the time of the Flood, and afterwards by the Babel-builders. But this we are fure of, that these Magical Instruments were made use of

queque,

datis ore lonos.

by the King of Bahylon, and that they were confulted by him in the way of Divination, under which notion I have confidered them at prefent.

A Discourse on 1 John 3. 8.

Thirdly, He looked into the Liver, or the Heart, (for the word Cabed fignifies both) and thence this fort of Augur is stilled Roeb baccabed by the Jewish Masters, an Inspector into those parts of Animals: whereby we are to understand that common kind of Divination used by all the Pagans, especially the Romans, (who perhaps had it from the Chaldeans viz. the looking into the Entrails of Beasts that were to be facrificed, and from that Critical Inspection. gueffing at what should come to pass.

These were the three sorts of Divination which Nebuchadnezzar applied himself to upon fo great an Emergency; and besides these, there was an incredible number more which the Pagan Nations (i. e. almost all the World) addicted themselves to. But indeed the Divination by the Fowls of the Air was of the greatest account; for it

was believ'd by the Vul-–Nam Dîs, ut proxima gar, that * these were well acquainted with the Gods, and Nunc penna veros, nunc knew their Minds better than Qvid. Fast. 1.5. other Creatures, by reason of their greater nearness to them. I

will produce some particular Instances of this their Superstition, which consisted in Auguries and Auspicies, i. e. (taking those words strictly) divining by Birds, foretelling by the flying, or fitting, or feeding, or by the Voices of these Creatures, things that should happen afterwards. - Thus * Calchas from the * Homer. number of Sparrows which he faw, foretold Il. A. how many Years the Trojan War was to last. The Swallings that were feen by Darius when he was in his Expedition against the Scythians, were look'd upon as an Unlucky Auspice, and presaged his Death. Alexander the Great was assured by his Augur of a Victory before the Battel, from the flight of an Eagle. + Vection (a famous Augur) from the Vultures + Varro, that appeared to Romulus, gathered, that the Ennius. Roman Empire should last twelve hundred Years. | The crowing of Cocks was Au- | Plin. Nat. fpicious, and presaged Victory to the Boetii Hist. 1. 10. against the Lacedemonians. So likewise The- c. 21. mistocles's Army were assured of Conquest by the fortunate Crowing of those Animals. But the fightings of Cocks, it feems, were an ill Omen, and * foretold Seditions and Civil * Erdor. Wars. The Rees that were feen on the Al- av muar. tar before the Fight at Pharfalia, were rec- TREEL. Arkon'd Unfortunate, and portended Pompey's temidor. fatal Overthrow. Several other signs and Omens, Good and Bad (as they accounted them, and verily believed them to be) were frequent among the Heathens, and they were strangely affrighted or encouraged by them. both in their Journeys, and at Home. Augustus Cæsar was a Great observer of these, as a + Credible Author informs us, and therefore I will mention one pretty Passage that concerns him: || When he was upon his + Sueton. March to Actium, and prepar'd to engage the in Octavic Enemy, there met him an Ass with the | Idem. Owner cap. 96.

Owner of it: the Name of the former (for it seems Asses had their Names then) was Nicon, which signified a Conqueror; and the latter's Name was Eutysbus, the import of which was Fortunate. Hereupon the Emperor took the Omen to be good, and promis'd himself Victory; and after the Victory he call'd the place Nicopolis, and the Image of an Ass was set up in the Temple there in remembrance of the Happy Omen.

I might add that Sneezing was accounted a Sacred Sign, and was call'd so by some of the * Hom. * best Pagan Writers, and that it was held one Odyss. 6, of the Greatest Omens (either for Good or Evil, Aristot. de according to its Circumstances) by the gene-Piutarch. rality of the deluded World. Thus for soth vir. Home Sneezing in the Morning was held Unri. lucky, but at Noon or afterwards it was thought to be of another Nature. If it was the Hist. 1. 28. heard from the left hand, it was doom'd Unfortunate, but not so on the Right: wherefore from this latter it was that Themistocles and Xenophon, two great Warriors, took their

Plur. in Omen of Success; the || one was encouraged rica The-by it to fight the Enemy, the * other was missel.

*Xenoph. chosen Commander of the Army by it.

Hist. 1. 6. To speak more generally, viz. of the whole Set of Pagan Divinations and Auguries, they were look'd upon as certain and

undoubted Prognosticks of Future Occurrences. Whenever they busied themselves about the flight, and chattering, and the pecking of Birds, and made inquiry into the Intrails of slain Beasts, or used any other A Discourse on 1 John 3. 8.

way of Divining, they were so besotted as to think, that they could thence infallibly sorted Futurities. To which purpose there was a particular Office and College of Augurs, who (though their Name be from one Species only) were skilful in the whole Art of Divination, and interpreted all the Signs of the Gods, as they call'd them. So great

Reverence was paid to this Art, that * nothing was done at Home or Abroad without it. The old Romans could neither create Magistrates, nor make Laws without the Prefence and Approbation of the

* Nè quid inauguratò faciunto. Lex Papir. Aufpiciis hanc urbem conditam esse, auspiciis bello ac pace, domi militiæq; omnia geri, quis est qui ignoret? Liv.lib.6.c.41.

Augurs, as is clear from Tully's Books of Laws. Ave sinistra populi magister efto, was a Law of the Twelve Tables, by virtue of which all Offices and Places were disposed by Augury. Hence we are told, that some + Magistrates were reputed and stiled + A Gell. Greater, and some Leffer, because the one 1.13.0.14 was created with Greater, and the other with Lesser Auguries. We read likewise that the Lacedemonian Kings admitted Augurs into their Councils, and constantly advised with them. Yea, among the Perfians and Parthians of old, their Kings and Greatest Princes were skill'd. in Augury, for they thought it was a necesfary Qualification of a King to Divine. The noblest and choicest Citizens of Rome, were preferr'd to this Priesthood, (for so it was stiled by them); of the Authority and Dignity of which Tully discourseth in his Books of

way

जार्येशीय प्रवे हे नेगा तीर्थ प्रकृतिन

มาร เพรายาวัต ชอง (Gens

नंग अशो प्रवा मां है अने

+ Quæch autem gens,

aut quæ civitas, quæ non

aut extis pecudum, aut augreum, aut

prædictione moveatur ?

meier. Sympol.

De Divinat. I. 1.

Divination, and therefore in the beginning prefaceth to them in excuse of what he was to fay, because he was an Augur himself. There he reckons up the several forts of Divination used by the Gentiles, some of which I have rehearfed here: And from the whole we may observe, (which is the thing delign'd by me in mustering up these Pagan Follies) that Satan bore a great sway in the Minds of Men, and deluded them after a strange manner, to that purpose making use of all sorts of Creatures (as well as the Serpent of old) to deceive Mankind. Satan, I say, did this, for I suppose the Conceit of those Persons who think he had no hand in thefe things, will be exploded by Considerate and Wise Men, who cannot but fee how greatly his Cause is promoted by these Pagan Superstitions. It is evident that whilst the Minds of the Gentiles were detain'd and busied with these gross Vanities, a Habit of Superstition was contracted, the Great Disposer and Over-ruler of all Occurrences and Events was forgotten, Divine Providence was banished out of the World, groundless Fears and Jealousies were created, and all the true and found Principles of Religion were destroyed. Whence it is reasonable to conclude, that the Hellish Damons had a hand in all this, and that from them these Auguries *DeDod. had their Original, (as * St. Augustin long Christian since determined); and, in a word, that these were the Noted and Signal Works of the Devil. He took care to have these spread over over the whole World, that his Dominion and Power might be thereby enlarged. Every where it was the custom to consult their Gods, as they call'd it, i. e. in plainer and truer terms, to enquire of the Devil, by applying themselves to the Arts of Divination and Soothsaying. This is re-

presented by * Xenophon as the general practice of the Pagan World; and so it is by † Tully.

In all Places (as hath been fuggested already) Auguries were ned when-ever any thing of

used when-ever any thing of Consequence was to be under-

taken and done, that thereby they might know (as they

fincied) whether it should prove Successful. And as for the rest of the

Omens and Signs stilled Lucky and Unlucky; the use of them was as Catholick, and (which is worse yet) they were in esteem

even among some Persons of no mean Understanding. Thus || Plutarch, as serious a || In Pro-Man as he was, is of Opinion that there were blem. really Good and Evil Auguries: And * Pliny *Nat Hist.

afferts (which is very strange, if we consider 1.28.c. 2. the Genius of the Man) such Divinations to be valid. And we shall find that Caras, Or-

pheus, Amphiaraus, Tirefias, Amphiction, Melampus, and others, were the great Authors and Promoters of all forts of Omens among

the Credulous Gentiles.

Yet we may take notice of this also, that the most Noble and Generous Spirits, though

not

náteds.

Il. μ.

not enlightned with Christianity, slighted

these things, and look'd upon them as Delufions. Thus we read how the Gallant Hellor

answer'd Polydamas, who was inclined to put

off the Battel, because of some Ill Omen he

had receiv'd from the Augurs; he plainly told

* Els at him, That * the only best Augury, and that

ards de which was of Divine Authority, was to fight va-

5 aμυ liantly for bis Country. It was a memorable

vidat de Speech of M. Varro, a most Wise Roman, who, perceiving the Errors and Forgeries of

the Augurs Divinations, from their inspection

into the Entrails of Beafts, freely faid upon

this Occasion, That the Gods were both Idle and

Sordid, in lapping up their Counsels in the unsa-

vory Bowels of Brutes, to be raked out thence by

the mad Priests. Eusebius relates out of Hera-

taus, an old Greek Historian, that when one

Mossolanus, (or as others, Mysonianus) a Great

and Notable Captain under Alexander the

Great, and Eminent for his Skill in discharg-

ing the Warlike Bow, advanced with the

Army near the Red Sea; a Soothsayer bid

him stop, and make an Halt: Whereupon the Captain made bold to ask him, What

was the matter? and why they were not fuf-

fered to March? The Officious Augur pre-

fently pointed at a Bird on the Way, and

told them. It was necessary to consult that

Animal, and to fee which way it would fly.

that fo they might follow her flight by march-

ing that way; or if she flew back, that they

might return too. The Warrier being thus

hindred on his March by the Augur's trifling

itay.

A Discourse en 1 John 3. 8.

flay, to Divine about the Success of the War by that Fowl that fat on the Way, filently

drew his Bow and shot it dead upon the spot;

and when he had done so, he uttered such

words as these. How was it possible that filly

Creature could read us our Fortune, when

(you see) she could not foretel her own?

How could that Animal which foresaw no-

thing of the Arrow, foretel us any thing of

our Journey? If the had known Futurities,

the had not come in the way to be kill'd by

Mylonianus. And so he march'd on, and we do

not read that he was e're the less successful

for this Attempt. In relation to this, I re-

member Q. Curtius, in the Life of Alexander

the Great, condemns that Prince for his Va-

nity, in minding the Superstitious Observa-

tions and Omens of the Augurs. The Senti-

ment of Cato in this Matter is well known;

It was a Wonder to him if one Soothfaver

did not fall a laughing when he faw another.

they being all of them fuch a Cheating Tribe.

and designing purposely to abuse the People.

Cicero, who was an Augur himself, and one

of the chief of that Worshipful College,

fometimes feriously confutes the Follies of

these Divinations, and at other times makes

himself merry with them, and laughs at those

fond Men who direct their Lives by the chat-

tering of a Crow, by the Entrails of a Sheep,

by Oracles, by Dreams, by Lots, and by

Fantastick Prodigies: This is the Task of

that Great Wit, in his Second Book of Divi-

nation. Thus among the Wifest Men, the

Folly

Folly of Sooth-saying was despited and rejected, and the Augurs themselves were look'd upon no other than impostors; and many of the other Pagan Superstitions began to be disesteemed and laid aside.

Now, if this was done by those that made free use of their Reasons and Judgments, it is no wonder that it was back'd by the Author of the Christian Religion, whose Design it was to rectify and improve those Faculties. We cannot but observe therefore, that what was hitherto faid and done, was far short of what was afterwards effected towards the Time of our Saviour's Coming into the World. This Day-Star from on High, even before it actually visited us, and blessed our Horizon, darted fuch a Light into the World. that they were inabled to discern, tho in an imperfect manner, the groß Fooleries of that Superstitious Religion which was among them. And when the Glorious Light of a. Saviour and Redeemer shined forth unto a perfect day, when the Son of God manifested himself in the Flesh, then the dark and difmal Night of Superstition wholly vanished in many parts of the World, and the Follies which they once embraced, grew odious and abominable. And it is most certain that the Principles and Maxims of Christi nity do eminently overthrow the fond Surmifes and frightful Observations of Superstitious Men. Nothing indeed could do it so effectually as the Gospel: for now under the Evangelical Oeconomy and Christian Dispensation, our Adoration

is confined and determined, and we know whom we Worship; and therefore the Deinstelleria of the Gentiles can have no footing here. And as for the Dread of Future Occurrences, Christianity hath utterly removed it by the Right Apprehensions of things which it blesseth us with, and by teaching us to place Religion in that which is truly Religion. It effectually extirpates all Superstition, by stifling the Principles of Enthuliasim and Fanaticifin, by suppressing False Fears, and Childish and Groundless Terrors, by superseding all Fantastick Devotion, by putting an end to all Foolish Rites and Idle Ceremonies, all Superfluous and Needless Observances, which proceed from a Causses Timorousness in Religion, and from False Representations of God and his Worship. All this we owe to the Truth and Doctrine revealed by Jesus Christ: For though considerable Discoveries were made by fome Wife and Serious Perfons among the Heathens, as well as Jews, yet this was nothing in comparison of what followed, when the Laws of Christ prevailed in the World. And particularly as for Divinations and Sooth-fayings (wherein a great part of the Gentile Religion confifted) as they were reckon'd to be Fanciful and Groundless things, and not founded on any True Reason and Bottom, even by some of the Pagans themselves (as you have heard) so they are much more rejected, confuted and bafled by Christianity, which is our reasonable Service, and requires nothing of us but what is

A Discourse on 1 John 3.8.

298

tiq. 1. 2.

Jays.

just and accountable, and every way correspondent to the Dictates of our Rational Nature. This acquaints us, that all those Superstitious Omens are really what some of them were called by the Pagans themselves, Bruta Fulmina, Infignificant Flashes, meer Mormoes to fright Children and Fools, things that have no other Existence than Imagination, actuated by the Devil the Author of Delusion, especially of all Superstitious Cheats, which Christ Jesus came to discover and destroy.

Secondly, It is reasonable to understand by the Works of the Devil, the Pagan Oracles which were so famous of old at Delphos, and in other parts of Greece, as the Trophonian Oracles at Thebes and Lebadia, (both in Boetia); and those other more remote ones in Lybia and Fgypt, and other Countries, where were these Habitations of the Devils, those Dens of Sat m, who loved to be enquired of and courted by the ignorant and befotted Pagana. I know there are fome who think there was nothing of the Diabolical Spirit in these Oracles, but that they were only for Gain. Ca. lius Rhodiginus professeth himself to be of this Opinion; but yet before he ends the Chapter (where he afferts it) he ingenuously con-Led. An-fesseth, That * this Business was not altogethe managed without some correspondence with and † Sir Tho. help from the Levil. And there is a | Gentle P. B's. Ef- man of late very much concern'd and moved because we attribute it to him: The setting w of Oracles, faith he, was merely for the Interes

A Discourse on 1 John 3. 8.

of the Priests, and that was all. They were a Juggle to get Mony and Repute, especially among the Great Men and Princes: Therefore he concludes. That they are Superstitious Christians who think they were from the Devil. But who fees not the Vanity of fuch an Inference as this, as if the Oracles could not be from the Devil, and yet for the Priests Interest too? These are no ways inconsistent, and therefore whilft this Gentleman makes one exclude the other, he uses a Fallacy, but no Argument. I deny not but there was Interest in the case, yea, double Interest, that of the Devil, as well as the other of the Priests. I grant him that Oracles were a Juggle, but a devilish one; and he must acknowledge the fame, if he pleases to remember that there were sometimes such things foretold by them as could not possibly be foreseen and known by Humane Skill. But the Knowledge and Sagacity of the Evil Angels might reach them; because these Invisible and Active Spirits can fly up and down the World with infinite swiftness, and inform themselves of all Occurrences whatfoever, and are present at the most private Consults, and have learn'd, by long Experience and Observation, to dive into the Designs of Men, and to see the very Disposition and Tendency of Causes before they begin to act: Besides that, they are always caballing together, and holding Correspondence with one another, so that it is probable what one of them knows, the whole Herd of them is acquainted with, and u_2 that

that in a few moments time. Hence, hence it is that the Oracles spoke such shrewd things fometimes, which it is impossible to give an account of, unless we assert that this Office was fet up and maintain'd by those Invisible Demons: For 'tis certain, that the most Cunning and Subtilest Priest of them all could never have foretold those things. Again, there is ground to believe that these Ill Spirits were Managers here, because we are ascertain'd from those who describe the Manner and Circumstances of the delivery of the Oracles, that there was something more than Man in it. The Place was fill'd with amazing Noise and Horrour, loud Shrieks and Howlings were heard, and fometimes the Temples were torn with Thunder-claps; the Earth trembled and quaked, and so did the Priests: These now appeared with erected Hair, with distorted Eyes, with foaming Mouths, and unufual, but trightful Voices; they beat and knock'd their Breasts withan Inhumane Fury; they raged and raved, and ran about like possessed Persons, as indeed The Subterraneous Demon, they were. whom they consulted and dealt with, put both the Earth and their Bodies into this Motion and Disorder. This looks like the truell Cause of them, and therefore we have good reason to assert, that those Pagan Priests were acted by those Evil Spirits, who generally brought them their Intelligence, and help'd them to give Answers. And this was done (if I may be permitted to offer my Conjecture)

A Discourse on 1 John 3.8.

cture) in imitation of the Celebrated Oracle of Urim and Thummim, and of the Divine Inspirations and true Prophetick Spirit which the Holy Scripture speaks of: for 'tis certain that the Infernal Spirits did in many Particulars emulate the things and practices which were in use among the People of God the Jews. and which are recorded in the Sacred Wri-

tings.

From what hath been faid, I think we may cross the Learned Gentleman's Assertion, and with Confidence as well as Reason aver. That they are no Superstitious Christians, who think the Pagan Oracles were from the Devil: For we find apparent Marks and Signs of his acting in them, we see those things done which we cannot impute to any other Caufe. and therefore here is no reason to cry out of Superstition. But on the other side, we may suspect there is something worse, and that those who so briskly oppose the Devil's acting in the Heathen Oracles, are perswaded there are no fuch Beings as Devils. However, 'tis certain, that these Persons shew themselves ignorant of the Devices of these Diabolical Spirits, whose Business it is, by all Arts and Methods imaginable, to hurt and mischief Mankind; and this of their Oracles was none of the least effectual to this purpose. For hereby they eclipsed the Glory of the Divine Majesty, setting up an Infallibility to confront his, out of a proud and faucy Emulation of the True God, and an insolent Ambition of being like him: They Uз obscured on, they erected a False Worship in the

bus.

World, they confirmed Men in their Errors and Superstitious Perswasions, they extinguish'd the Sense and Remembrance of their Duty, by nourishing in them false Fears and Fond Credulity, yea, a Diffidence in God's Providence, and a Trusting in the Enemy of Mankind. Hereby likewife (as hath been intimated) they abused and prophaned the most Sacred Things of Divine Institution and Appointment, viz. by an impious and prophane aping of the Holy Oracles, the Revelations, Visions and Dreams which were vouchfafed to God's own peculiar and chosen People. Thus it appears that the Delign of the Pagan Oracles, was not wholly for the Interest of their Priests, but that the Devil got much more by them than they. I fee reason therefore to subscribe to Lastantius and other Pious Fathers, who expresly tell us that they were the Invention of Satan. And indeed this was not only the belief of 4 Lib. de Christians, but of Pagans themselves. * Por-Dæmoni- phyrus (who was a very Inquisitive Man, and had diligently fearched into the Nature of the Oracles) ingenuously confesses that the Dæmons were the Authors of them. And + Lib. de the same is acknowledged by + Iamblicus. Mysteriis, And though (it is true) some of the greatest Philosophers among the Gentiles had not attain'd'to this Notice, (or if they had, they would not let the Vulgar know it); yet I wonder that any Man who understands the

true Nature of Things by the Light of the Gospel, where the Deligns of the Malicious Spirits of Darkness are so fully discovered, can be a Stranger to this, and publickly tell the World that the Oracles were Cheats of the Priests, and not of the Devil. This I have endeavoured to disprove, and to shew that the Cursed Dæmons made use of these on purpose to deceive and delude Mankind, to uphold their own Kingdom, and to weaken and destroy that of the Lord Jesus.

A Discourse on 1 John, 3.8.

Having thus made it evident that the Pagan Oracles were the Works of the Devil, (which it was necessary for me to undertake in the first place under this Head) I proceed now to prove that our Saviour destrey'd those impious Works. This he effectually did, by curbing and lessening the Power of this Infernal Spirit, by detecting his Cheats and Forgeries, by filencing his Priefts, and by striking the Devil himself dumb. It is true, even before Christ's Birth, the Histories of those Times tell us, that the Oracular Spirits did not make Answer, but began to faulter, and could not play their accustomed Pranks. The Oracles did not presently cease just at our Saviour's Coming; for that they did de-

cay before that time, is plain from those words of * Tully; "What is the meaning of the "Cessation of the Delphick "Oracles, not only in our "Time, but a good while a-'go, insomuch that nothing

* Cur islo modo jam Oracula Delphis non c. duntur, non modò nostra ætate, sed jamdiu, ut nihil possit esse contemp-

"is

" is more contemptible now than they are? Neither is it denved that even after Christ's Death fome Oracles were enquired of, and returned Answers, if Suetonius in the Life of Califula may be credited. And Plutarch speaking of the Cealing of Oracles in Greece. excepteth that of Lebadia. And other Histories, I know, mention some kind of Oracling in force till Julian's Time. But it is undeniable, that about the time of Christ's arrival in the World, and when he was arrived, and foon after upon the preaching of the Gospel, most of the Heathen Oracles were struck speechless, and delivered no Anfivers to those that came to enquire of

* Excess re omnes alytis, arijque relictis fleterat, &c. † --- Delphisoracula c: Tunt.

nat caligo futuri.

them. This is testified by * Lucan who lived in Nero's Time; and by † Juven il who Dit quibus imperium hoc flourished in Demitian's Reign. These and other Writers complain, that Apollo's Oracles were Et genus humanum dam- ceas'd, and would tell them their Fortunes no more; that the Demons were all pack'd

away; that the Forlorn Spirits had quitted their Beloved Territories, and with horrid Groans religned up their former Mansions. And this is it which was foretold by one of the Sibyls in her Mystick Verses, that a Little Child, even the Bleffed Base Jesus, should throw down Idolatry with his Hand, and stop the Mouths of the Delphick Devils. And here by the way I appeal to you, whether it was not a wonderful Fore-runner and Prefage

A Discourse on 1 John 3. 8.

Presage of what should afterward be effected by Christ in this Matter, that one of the Sibyls was of Delphos, and prophesied concerning our Saviour there, in the City where the Oracle of Apollo was, whence she bears the Name of the Delphick Sibyl, because she had her chief Seat at Delphos, and there delivered her Oracles several Years before the Trojan Wars. This was a happy Prognostick of the Bleffed Change which was to be made, i. e. that Christ Jesus should be preached, and the Prophecies concerning Him and the Gofpel be fulfilled, in those very Places where Paganisin and Idolatry so mightily prevailed. But we need not fly to the Poets: It is evident from other Writers, that upon Christ's manifesting himself to the World. yea, sometime before he actually appeared, the Oracles were generally suppressed and quashed. I will mention only Plutarch, a Grave Historian and Philosopher, who lived in Trajan's Reign, and writ two Treatifes, wherein he positively attests the Cessation of the Oracles in those Days, and purposely fearcheth into the Causes of it: What a strange Account doth he give there of it? You will find that he is hard put to it, and utters many Ridiculous and Absurd Things. But he is to be excused, because he could give no other, he being so unhappy as not to own the Author of Christianity, who was the Cause of this and greater Wonders in that Age. This Writer will have the Cesfation of Oracles to be the Effect of Natural

his Book of Divination, who imputes it to the Earth become Old, so that the Fatidick Virtue

was worn out through length of time; As

we fee, faith he, many Rivers are Dried up and

turned into another Courfe for the same Caufe.

Just so Plutarch Philosophizeth; The Oracles (faith he) depended upon the Nature of the

Soil, that is, a particular fort of Ground in

those Parts, sent up a peculiar sort of Va-

pours and Exhalations, which with their

Steam affected the Priests Brains and Spirits, and so moved them to prophesie, and

made them capable of giving Answers concerning future Events, to those that came

to confult them: But after a long time, this peculiar Quality and Temperament of the Earth

vanished, and could not any longer supply the Priests with such a fort of Vapours as

would cause Predictions; and so farewel Oracles. A very goodly Account, you'l

fay, especially from one of Great Learning.

This is Vain Philosophy indeed; but let us not

upbraid Him, but blefs God in behalf of our

felves, that we have attained to greater Light

and Knowledge, and are acquainted with the

True Caufes of things, and are assured that those Oracles were Cheats and Delusions of

the Prince of Darkness; and in plain terms,

that they were the Works of the Devil, and

therefore it was no wonder that they were

destroyed by Him, who was manifested for that

very Purpose. But that which I alledge this

A Discourse on 1 John 3.8. from him of the Matter of Fact, and be throughly convinced that things did really happen according to our Saviour's Defign, and that these Works of the Devil were destroyed. Accordingly therefore this Author tells us, that in his time the Oracles generally were cashier'd. Indeed the Pythian Oracle would now and then Tattle a little, but very forry Stuffit was, he faith, and it *was in Profe. * Kathle-The Oracular Dæmons could not afford, it rashy. feems, to verfify as they had done before; the Vein of Poetry flagg'd. And in Baotia, a Country which before swarm'd with Oracles, there was (he faith) but a fingle One left. Those that would be farther fatisfied in this Matter, may confult of Eusebius, who +Prapa.

hath fully treated of this Theme, shewing Evang.lib. not only the Vanity and Falshood of the Ora- 4 & 5. cles, but particularly relating how they ceas'd at Christ's Coming: This being so largely handled by him, I remit the Reader thither.

And now I might observe concerning these Oracles, (as I did before of the Superstitious Divinations) that many of the bravest and wisest Heathens cared not for them, but manifestly slighted and jeer'd them, as || Demosthenes || Plutarch could fay the Delphick Oracle did GINIATION, doto. had learn'd to favour Philip King of Macedon; that is as much as to fay, they were Arrant Cheats, (for the Devil could Cheat and Flatter as well as the Priests) they could be corrupted with Gold, and take what part they pleas'd. The People began at last to

see.

Writer for is this, that you may be satisfied from

fee through these Impostures, and confequently their regard to them was confiderably abated. They arrived to this Notion (which Minutius Felix infifts upon) that the Observers of these Oracles were not successful, and the Despisers of them prospered. They found out at length the Reason why Apollo gave fuch Dark and Ambiguous Answers, why they were deliver'd by the Priests mutteringly and with a low Voice, so as not to be perfectly heard, namely, because by this means they could best salve their Cheating, and the Enquirers should not be able to know whether they spoke Truth or Falshood. For these Reasons Apollo was Sirnamed nosim, because he oftentimes put them off with Oblique and Doubtful Responses: For the Devil in these Oracles of Old professedly equivocated, and plaid the Jesuite betimes. I could set before you what the brave Cato thought of these Oracles, as he is represented in Lucan. I might transcribe a great part of Tully's fecond Book of Divination, which discovers the Vanities of these Responses, and proves them to be meer Gulls. But you know my Buliness at present is to evince (and I hope I have done it sufficiently) that these Lying Oracles were confuted at last by a Greater than Cato or Tully, even Christ Jesus our Lord. It is a known, but remarkable Pasfage in Plutarch, that the Dæmons complain'd aloud that their Great God Pan was dead: That was the lamentable Voice which was heard in the Grecian Sea in Tiberius's Reign,

when

A Discourse on 1 John 3.8.

when our Saviour was Crucified. Then Christ through Death destroyed him who had the Power of Death, the Devil: then the Prince of this World was judged: then our Saviour, having spoiled Principalities and Powers on the Cross. triumphed over them in it. No wonder then that the Cursed Dæmons howled and lamented, when they faw their Kingdom was shaken, and began to fail; when they experimentally found that He who cried with a loud voice on the Cross, had drowned the Noise of their Juggling Oracles. And in a short time, as other Writers will inform us, this Diabolical Trade was clearly put down in the most considerable Countries of the World, which we must attribute to the sole Power and Soveraignty of that Jesus who came to destroy the Works of the Devil.

Thirdly, I understand by these Works the Diabolical Obsessions of Mens Bodies, which our Saviour did actually defeat and defirey, when he ejected the Evil Spirits out of those poor Wretches who were thus possessed by them. It is evident that at the time when Christ was on Earth, great Numbers of Persons laboured under this grievous Calamity; and we do not read that at any other Season such numerous Legions of them molested Mankind. But the Evangelists acquaint us, that our Lord did frequently rescue the Bodies of the Pofseffed from the Power and Dominion of those Foul Fiends. For though the Word Sum. victories fo often used in the Gospels, may perhaps

perhaps not be understood in the rigour of it in every place (for Lunaticks and Epileptick Persons, when they were extraordinarily distempered, were said by the Jews to be possessed with an Evil Spirit; and in this kind was Saul troubled, fay the Jewish Doctors). yet the Relation which those Inspired Penmen give of the Formal and Solemn Casting out of Devils by our Saviour, is a fufficient Proof to any observing Person, that, if not all, yet most of those who are call'd Jamors Condros, were really Possessed. For the that Man who is stilled a Demoniack in Luke 9. 39. is faid, σιληνιάζεδαι, to be a Lunatick. in Matth. 17. 15. yet all that can be gathered thence is, that this Man, and those others that were possess'd with the Devil, had Periodical Fits, that they were either Epilepticks, or Distracted at certain times; and that, as it is usual with such Persons, they were worse when the Moon increas'd. Therefore the Arabick Version of the forenamed Place in St. Matthew is very remarkable; He hath a Devil, and he is exceedingly vexed in the beginnings of the Full Moon: as much as to fay, the Devil and the Disease met together, and the former was the Author of the latter. Or, we may fay, the Impure Spirits chose to en--ter into those crazy and Diseased Bodies. when they were most disposed to Illness by the powerful Influence of the Moon. This is no Argument that they were not really possessed with the Devil; but it is a plain Proof of the contrary, and of fomething

elfe,

A Discourse on 1 John 3.8.

else, viz. that Satan doubled his Malice, vexing and tormenting them at a time when they were least able to bear it. As for the Reasons why such vast Numbers of Men were thus vilited when our Saviour was on Earth. these may justly be assigned; it was an Age much given to Magick and Inchantments, and the calling up of Spirits was a frequent thing in those Days: Besides, Divine Providence might so order it at that very juncture of Time for an Occasion of the more Glorious Cures. It might happen by the Particular Disposal of the Almighty, that thereby it might appear to the World, that Christ had Power over the Devils, and could, when he pleased, dislodge those mischievous Spirits, and rescue Mankind from their Tortures. The Reality and Greatness of his Soveraignty over those Infernal Powers, were to be demonstrated by those Atchievments. Moreover, it may rationally be thought that the Devils would be most busy then when Christ came into the World, and would be more interessed to disturb and torment Mankind, because they saw their Kingdom was going down, and Christianity was to be exalted in the World. Now therefore it was time for the Messias to exert his Miraculous Power; and behold, as an effect of it, the Devils submitted to him, and at his Command prefently quitted the Bodies which they had taken pollellion of. One Person among the rest was a famous instance of this fort, out of whom a Legion of Devils was ejected by Christ's Christ's Holy Exorcism: With one Word he vanquish'd whole Regiments of the Kingdom of Darkness; he routed Armies of Infernal Dæmons. I remit you to the Evangelical Records, where you have abundant proof of the casting out of these Hellish Spirits, not only by our Saviour himself, but by his Disciples, who (as you read) came to him with these Words in their Mouths,

* Luk. 10. * Lord, the Devils are subject to us: This be-17. ing an Effect of that Mighty Power which Christ had invested his Followers with, Luke

† Contr. 9. 1. And therefore † Origen rationally in-Celf.1.3. fers, that Christ's Power was Divine, because at his Name the Devils left the Bodies of the

ivegyémeres.

And this was a thing not unusual in those very Days in which this Father lived, as well as before. We are ascertain'd that the ordinary Christians, meerly by their Prayers and . Invocations, ejected those Spirits, and made them confess what they were. That they had this Power in Justin Martyr's Days, who flourish'd in the middle of the Second Century, appears in his known Dialogue with Trypho, where he expresly faith, The Devils tremble at the Name of Christ, and being adjured by that facred Name, they become subject to us. That they did the same in Irenaus's Time, which was some few Years after, is cleer * Lib. 2. from his * Writings. And the same Miracap. 58. culous Gift continued till Tertullian, at the end of the second Century, as is evident both from his Apology and his Book to Scapula.

A Discourse on 1 John 3.8.

And St. * Cyprian testifies the like of his time, Idolorum. about the middle of the 3d. Century. So Minutius Felix and Lattantius relate how the Christians controlled the Evil Spirits, and tell us, that not only their Words and Commands, but their bare Presence shut the Mouths of the Possessed, and made the miferable Ghosts quake and tremble. Gregory Nazianzen in the next Age gives this Testimony; The very Devils at this time Orat. A: tremble when Christ is call'd upon; mather is the pologet. Power and Vertue of that Name diminish'd and impaired by our Sins. And if I designed to expatiate, I could derive it lower, and also shew you that these and other Christian Writers commonly appeal to the Pagans concerning the Truth of these things, and alledge this Ejection of Devils as a great and undeniable Proof of the Authority of the Christian Religion, as certainly it was. But I add no more, having faid enough for my purpofe, which was, to make it appear, that among other Works of the Devil, Christ destroyed this

Fourthly, Is there not reason to think that St. John refers here to what Christ did, when by his coming he rooted out Magick and Sorcery, Dealing with the Devil, either by Praching Witchcraft, or Confulting with those that did, and the like Works of the Black Art, which the Curfed Spirit taught the World? These were the Devil's Opera which he shewed of Old, and got so much by, whereby

in particular.

And

A Discourse on 1 John 3. 8.

whereby he pleas'd and gratified, and at the fame time bubled the eafily deluded People. Not to attend to the perverse Folly of those meer Matter-Men who disown Spirits, and confequently deny a God as well as Devils. (for they will rather profess Atheism, than acknowledge any fuch Rank of Beings.) Not to gratify these Gross Atheists so far as to take notice in this place of what they are wont to fav in Defence of their mad Opinion; I shall take it for granted, that Magical Operations (properly so call'd) are the Works of the Devil, and that he was the first Founder of them, defigning and endeavouring thereby to bring Men under his Dominion, and (fo far as he can effect it in the Minds of Men) to exclude God and his Rrovidence out of the World. This questionless was his Design, and was never doubted by the

unt dæmones, sed etiam quicquid miraculi edunt, per damones faciunt: illis afpirantibus & infondentibus prastigiasciunt, &c. Adv. Gentes.

314

Ancient Christians, as appears *Magi non tantum sci- not only from * Arnobius, but feveral other Primitive Writers, though some of late are fo extraordinarily civil to him, that they will not impute the Practice of Magick to his Assistance. Good Men! they are

afraid to flander the Devil. But from the History of several Ages, we are certainly informed, that there was nothing more usual than to make a Compact with Satan; which the Magicians and Wizards effected with many direful Ceremonies. And when there was not a Formal League with the Devil,

A Discourse on I John 2. 8.

yet there was a Communication with him, because the Persons gave themselves into his Hands by their immoderate Curiofity, by their affecting to know more than was fitting, or by an impatient coveting after Wealth and Riches, or by a greedy defire of having an Ability and Opportunity to fatisfy their Revenge. From that infallible and infpired Testimony in Deut. 18.10, 11. we are assured that there were Enchanters, Witches, Charmers, Consulters with Familiar Spirits, Wizards and Neuromancers: for these Persons, and their Practices, would not have been condemned and pronounced an Abomination, (as they are there) unless there had been really fuch. This I think is very plain and unanswerable. And truly I am apt to believe, that the Holy Ghost makes use of so many different Words here, on purpose to obviate and refute the vain Cavils of the Men of our Days, who are Infidels as to the Point of Witchcraft, and with great Confidence tell us, that there is no fuch thing, and that the Foundation of it, viz. a Compact with Evil Spirits, is a meer Forgery. Here are fix Words to press and inculcate the same thing, (though if we be Critical, there may be found some difference, because one word may be more expressive of a particular Act of that Curfed Art than another; but I speak now of the General Notion and Import of them) that we may be thereby convinced of the Truth and Reality of the Thing, viz. that there were and are Enchanters, Witches, &c. Persons holding Correfpondence []

* Cato de re ruftic.

Cato prodidit luxatis

membris carmen auxi-

cap. 160. Plin. Nat. Hill.

1.28. c. 2.

liari.

spondence with Infernal Agents, and by their Help and Assistance effecting strange things in the World. The last in Moses's Catalogue, are Necromancers, fuch who by Magick Inchantment raised the Souls of the Dead, or the Devil rather to represent those Souls, and then confulted with him, and enquired concerning some Future Events which they were exceeding desirous to know. Of this we have an Ancient and Famous Instance in the Sacred Records, viz. the Witch of Endor, who by this Devilish Art caused the Appearance of Samuel, i. e. of some Evil Spirit in his Shape. And of these Hellish Ne. cromancers, perhaps that of Job (Ch. 3. v. 8.) is to be understood, they curse the Day, (for the Night is the time proper for their Black

* No le's Persons than Gregory the Great, Hugo Cardinalis, and M. Luther interpret Leviathan to be the Devil, or rather apply mbat is faid of bim so the Devil.

Work) and raise up Leviathan, i. e. the Devil, who most fitly is compared to * the Leviathan. Or, in a more literal sense, to raise up the Leviathan, may fignify, to charm and tame that Sea-Monster, and so it sets forth the Great, but Devilish Power of

Magick and Incantation. As Plutarch faith of the Egyptian Friests, that by Art Magica they could tame the Crocodile, fo as to make him come to their Hand. This Necromantial Practice is spoken of in Isa. 8.19. and is called Seeking unto them that have Familiar Spirit, and unto Wizards that peep and mutter. To which is added, a Reprehension with a Direction; Should not a People feek unto their

A Discourse on 1 John 3.8. God? Yes furely; for the Living to the Dead should they go? No certainly, that is abominable and execrable. And I question not but the Idolatrous Jews, who used Necromanty, are meant by those who remain among the Graves, and lodge in the Monuments, Isa. 65.4. This, and the other parts of this Science, were very frequent in the World heretofore; especially they made use of it to enquire beforehand what Success they should have in their Affairs: and thus the practice of Divination

and Magick was often coincident. But their

Charms and Spells, and Mystical Characters, were the most frequent of all, whereby they helped and eafed Fe-ple in cafe of Sickness, Bodily Pains, * Luxation of Members, and all outward Calamities that befel them: whereby also they were able to inflict Diseases, and all

manner of Bodily Evils, and (in brief) to produce very furprizing and aftonishing O-

perations.

I am very ready to grant, notwithstanding what I have faid, that many things have been ascribed to the Devil which he was never guilty of, or was any ways concerned in. I question not but many things have pass'd for Magick of the worst fort with vulgar and unthinking People, which were the meer Effects of Natural Philosophy improved and The Times have been fet forth by Art. fuch, that a Mathematician and a Magician have been esteemed the same. But though

W¢ X 3

Mat. 21.

we pity the Mistakes of the Ignorant, yet we are not to attend to the Dictates of the Perverse, who avouch there is no Diabolick Magik, and therein destroy the Faith of all History, both Divide and Humane.

The Ancient Greek Masters in this Impious Art and Practice, who are mention'd in the Sacred Story, were the Egyptian Sorcerers, (of whom James and Jambres were the chief, and accordingly they are call'd in the Talmud 1110 Princes of the Magicians) Gen. 41. S. 2 Tim. 3. S. Baliam the Mesopotamian Soothfayer, Numb. 22. 5. the Cafdim or Childean Ma, i, Dan. 2. 2. And the Ancient Practifers in this Art mention'd in Prophane Writers, were Zabulus, Zamolxis, Abbaris, Zoroaster, Pasetes, Pancrates and Zachlas, (both of them Egyptian Magicians, spoken of by Lucian and Apuleius) Numa Pompilius, &c. Pythagoras may be taken into the Number, for from feveral Circumstances of his Life (as * Tis 3'19 well as from the Precept, * to worship the In-Tax Bookes fernal Gods; he may be thought to be a Magician: And perhaps in his Travels into Egypt and Chaldea, he pick'd up this Ill Art; for 'tis certain that from those Countries the Magical Practices had their Rife. Yea, many of the Jews, the Chief Men especially, were given to Majick, and even the Elders of the Sanbedrim studied this Art, saith our † Her. | Learned Lightfoot: And he farther observes, Hebr. in that the nearer the Jewish State approach'd to

its ruine, the more were they addicted to

A Discourse on 1 John 3. 8.

Thus have I given you a brief Account of this Curfed Art, of the main Limbs of it, of the Authors that supported it, and of its spreading and prevailing in the World. But (which is the next thing I am to make good) by the coming of our Lord these Wicked Practices began to decay, Sorcery and Witchcraft lost their Power. Christ by his Appearing, and afterwards by his Apostles and Followers, defeated such Hellish Works. * Orat. de At what time, saith * Athanasius, did the Magick Incarnat. Arts and their Schools begin to be trodden down, Verbi. but when God the Word appear'd among Men? It feemed to be an early Presage of Christ's Power in this kind, that the Eastern Magi came to him, and proftrated themselves at his Feet in his very Infancy : for I could produce the Testimonies of Ignatius and Justin Martyr, of Origen and Tertullian, of Terom and Bafil, and other Greek and Latin Fathers, to prove that these Wife Men (as our Translation renders it) were no other than Sorcerers and Inchanters, the worst fort of Migicians, who dealt with the Devil. These submitted to the Babe Jesus, and owned him to be the Lord and Soveraign of the World. Though the Pharistes were so malicious, impudent and blasphemous as to represent our Saviour himself as a Magician, as one that did his Miraculous Works by help of the Devil; yet nothing is more clear, than that in all his Difcourses and Practices, his Design was to defeat the Devil's Projects, to ruine his Interest, and to destroy his Works, and consequent-

Thus

ly This which is the groffest of all. So for Necromancy, raising the Dead, and enquiring of them, he came to destroy this Work, and did it effectually, by informing Men aright concerning the State of the deceased, by fixing and determining the Place of their Abode after this Life, by fending us to Moses and the Profb.ts, and bidding us confult and telieve them, and not expect any Tidings from the Dead. The Acts of the Apostles acquaint us, that Simon the Sorcerer, who Lewitched the Fcorle of Samaria, was soon reclaimed by Philip's preaching the Gospel there, and that he believed and wis battized, Acts 8. 9, 13. And another noted Sorcerer, was not only rebuked by St. Paul after this fevere manner, Thou child of the Devil, (a fit accost to such a one as He, for Magick is properly the Devil's Work) thou Enemy of all Rightecusness, wilt thou not cease to pervert the right Ways of the Lord? Acts 13. 10. but he was fruck blind by the fame Miraculous Hand, and disabled to purfue his impious Practices. St. Peter likewife undertook the former of these hellish Practitioners, and in the midst of his Magick Attempts and Adventures, brought him down headlong and defeated him, as we are informed from very Ancient Writers. When St. Paul preach'd at Fphefus, where feveral of the Inhabitants were given to this Vile Art, he as it were, by more Holy Charms and Spells, made them throw away their Books of curious Arts, that is, of Inchantment,

A Discourse on 1 John 3. 8.

and then bring them forth, and burn them,

Alls 19. 19.

Because this is so famous an Instance of the destroying these Works of the Devil, and because it may be enquired how the using of curious Arts is the same with practifing of Magick, I will examine the Greek Word Heelegya which St. $Lu^{l}\epsilon$ here makes use of, and let you fee how fitly it is here applied. This word being no where to be found in the New Testament but in this place and in one other, we must consult other Writers, and observe how 'tis taken in them. Theregies is reckon'd by * Quintilian among the Faults * Inflit. of Speech, namely when Persons are too Orat. 18. nice about it, and take too much care in c. 3. the ordering of it; and thence perhaps Apion had the Epithet given him of Tienes >6 m/9, the most Curious and Quaint Grammarian. This Over-curiousness in speaking, leads to Adulation and Fawning, and therefore ase. egyia is defined thus by Theophrastus in his Characters of Morals; † It is a kind of a dif- † Hefembling in Words and Actions, joyned with a Pre-ominon tence of Benevolence: And according to him, 75 x6249 doth aft that Part with much Affectation and Fol-volas.

The word refers to A Dione on well-volas. The word refers to Actions as well as Cap. 14. Speeches, for Talkative Persons generally are de de-Pragmatical; therefore we render meelepyot egyim. Bufy-bodies, 1 Tim. 5.13. who there are joined with pauage, Tatlers, those that exceed in Words, as the other in Doing. So it feems that Preposition &, in this Composition, imports

imports that which is too much, that which is Superfluous and Unnecessary. And this Unnecessary and Pragmatical Over-doing, doth casily tend unto and end in Superstition; which indeed, according to the true Denotation of the Word, is an Over-doing; and the Bigotry of Superstition commonly ends in Unlawful Arts, and degenerates into Magick. Wherefore it was well observed by an Historian.

ש עומע דם ביולם מודעש חמיםσε είδεναι μθελεν, αλλά υ) को विसंद्र में विद्यामध्यात Τολυπεσγμανών. Herodian I. 4. de Antonino.

concerning one of the Roman * Thereging 9 28 dr Emperors, * that being given to a Foolish and Immoderate Curiofity, he was enclined not only to fearch into all those Occult Things which relate to Men, but he was very bufy in

gima.

prying into those Secrets which are Divine, yea, and those which are Diabolical and Magical. Thus you fee the Connection between these two, Curiosity and Magick, and why the using of curious Arts here is to be understood of Magical Practices, viz. because Mens Unlawful Curiofity leads them to these Wicked Enterprizes. Having discovered the true Origine of this word seigna, and thereby fettled the meaning of it in this place of the Acts, it remains that I farther establish this by letting you fee that this is the meaning of the word, both in Ecclesiastical and Profane * Irenzus Writers. Thus an + Ancient Father speakadv. Hæres. ing of the Simonians, a fort of Hereticks that 1. 1. c. 24 took their Name from Simon the Sorcerer, and who also were vers'd in his Art, saith of them, that the Eroticks, (or Philtres) the Agogima, the Paredri and Oniropompi, and whatever other Periorgathere are, (i. e. whatever other Magick Tricks there are) are studiously pra-Hised by these Persons. And another Ancient Writer of the Church useth the word

Curiositas, which answers to recepyia, for * the Magick Art, and particularly for the Unlawful Divination by the Stars. To which we may add that Sanction of the Emperor Constantius against these Evil Pra-

* Incantationum vires promulgaverant, & omnem curiofitatem ufq;ad flellarum interpretationem designaverant. Tertol. de hab, mulier. c. 2.

ctices which is inferted into the Imperial Law, and is express'd thus; * Sileat * In Lege omnibus perpetuò divinandi curiositas, &c. cont. Ma-Among Prophane Authors, † Porphyrius teficos. may be cited, who tells us, that the Philo-ninent. fophers used to give this Name to Magical 11b. 2. Operations, and that regierou was of the fame import with them that annia, neg-TEPT izi, cislea & igaliza were. In * Catullus * Epi. and & Horace we shall find that Curiofus gram. 7. (which answers to registry) is the Epi- Hepod. thet from him that pries into the Magick Secrets, or rather is the same with a Magician. Thus you fee what ground there is from the denotation of the Word it felf, and from the use of it among Writers to fix that sense upon it which we have done. But besides this, if we take notice of the particular Place and Perfons that St. Luke here refers to, we shall be yet farther confirmed in this meaning. We may observe, that the Scene of this Action is Ephesus, as is clear from the 1 /t.

andro.

Ist and 17 verses of this Chapter. They are the Ephefian Converts whom this Text speaks of, these are they that had heretofore used Curious Arts. By minding of this (especially after what hath been faid already) we may certainly gather what these regliga are. for Ephesus before all other Cities was addicted to these Impious Studies. Not only *In Alex. * Plutarch, but other very credible Writers

(whom the Reader may confult) particularly take notice that the People of this place were in a more than ordinary manner enclined to, and converfant in these Arts. This was fo common and notorious, that it became a Proverb, Εςίσια γεάμματα was used as a known expression to signify Magick Learn-

dre, &c.

Plutarch ing, as feveral + Ancient Writers testify. Athenæ. From this old Proverbial way of speaking, us, Hefy. we may gather what St. Luke here means das, Alex- by mieliena, viz. those Ephesian Characters ander ab or Charms, those Magical Notes and Rites. Alexan- which that People were fo famed for, and by which they did fuch great Feats, or feem'd to do so at least. The Ephesians had a certain fet of Letters and Words which they used in Enchantments, and they never failed; fo greatly were they befriended by the Author of them. The Christians call'd these Diabolica!, but St. Luke here useth the word, which perhaps was receiv'd at Ephefus as well as in other Places; and he tells us that many of them who used these Curious Arts, were now weary of them, and brought their Books together, and burned them before all Men. When they

A Discourse on 1 John 3.8.

they came to be acquainted with the * i:34 * 2 Tim.3. γεάμματα, they foon disliked those Ephesian 15. Letters, and in a pious Revenge, used them in the like manner that Books of that fort used to be dealt with: for from the † Rom.in + Liv. lib. Writers and others, we are informed, that 39 Sueton the Punishment which was wont to be exe-inAugusto cuted on Books of fuch a Nature, was Burning of them: fo that the very Penalty here voluntarily inflicted on these Books, acquaints us of what kind they were. That which particularly and fignally shews the Efficacy of the Gospel in destroying these Diabolical Werks, is this, that these Ephesians who were so addicted to Devilish Practices. and were Magical even to a Proverb, that these (I say) were reclaimed from these damnable Studies by the Preaching of St. Paul among them, and prefently gave ademonstration of their hearty Repentance for their past Enormities, by producing their Books of Inchantment, and committing them to the Flames. And that which makes this yet more remarkable is, that these Books which they thus confumed to Ashes, were of so great a Price, no less than five Myriads of Silver, (for so 'tis in the Greek, and I do not know why it should not be rendered so by us. This Translation is more exact than [fifty thousand Pieces of Silver]) These Ethesians shew'd the Truth and Sincerity of their Conversion by this one generous Instance, by parting with that which cost them so dear, and by which they could have gain'd fo much. So

So much for this Notable Passage in the Alts, which is as great and Convincing a Proof of the Matter in Hand as could be offer'd, and therefore I thought good to infift upon it. I might go on, and let you fee out of Ecclesiastical History, that Conjurers were not able to play their Magical Pranks in the presence of Holy Christians. Tertullian and Lastantius agree, that the Heathen Gods, or rather their Priests, complained that their Rites could not be performed fo long as any Christians were in their Temples, or present at their Sacrifices. The Astrologers and Soothfayers of Alexandria cried out, that they could do little or nothing in their Wicked Art till Athanasius, that Good Father, was removed out of the City. And 'tis the Universal Testimony of Writers, that when Christianity waxed Great in the World; Magick dwindled, and grew lefs and less. It will, I think, be confessed by all Men, that the Transactions of the Devil, with Witches and Persons of a resembling Quality, are neither fo frequent nor fo powerful as they have been heretofore. The Light of the Gospel dispels these Works of Darkness. This we find foretold by the Prophet Micab concerning the Mellias, and his appearing in the Flesh, I will cut off Witchcrafts out of thy Hand, Ch. 5. ver. 12. And it follows in the next Verse, Thy graven Images also will I cut off, and thy standing Images out of the midst of thee, and thou shalt no more worship the Work of thy Hands. Which re-

minds

A Discourse on 1 John 3. 8.

minds me of the next thing contained in the words.

Fifthly, By the Works of the Devil, we must needs under stand Idolatry and Polytheisin; than which there was nothing more ferviceable towards the promoting his Kingdom in the World. I grant, that the Pagan Priests were no Lofers by Idolatry, yea, that they were Gainers by it; but 'tis abfurd to infer thence, (as I have shew'd before in the like Case) that it was not the Work of the Devil, that it was not fet up and managed by him to advance his Interest in the World. Therefore you may know how to judge of that Gentleman's Doctrine, who faith, That * the * sir Tho. fetting up many Gods among the Gentiles was only P. B's Effor the Priests Gain, because the Sacrifices and lays. Wership done to them were so profitable to them; whereas the Worship of one God would not have brought in fo much Gain. This was the fole Clause of Idolatry, faith he. Still he is wonderfully civil and obliging, extreamly courteous and friendly to the Great Enemy of Mankind, and will not harbour any ill thoughts of him, either as to the Pagan Oracles, and I suppose as to Sorcery and Magick, and we are fure as to Idolatry. He is perswaded, (but hold there, I do not know that, for it may be he speaks not his inward Sense, I may rather fay he tells us) that those most Cursed Inventions and Deligns of that Hellish Impoftor were none of his, and that they were only contrived by the Covetous Priests to get

a Penny. I see the Devil is a very Innocent and Harmless Creature, according to some Persons; it will be well for them if they find him fo. But we have more reason to believe that he is very Spightful, Malicious and Destructive, and that he not only seeks for. but makes use of all Occurrences and Opportunities to endamage Mankind, and that he is pregnant in his Inventions to that purpose. And fuch we may justly reckon these to be which I have mentioned, and therefore I take them to be from another Spring than what some imagine. It is evident that they are properly the Devil's Works, and carry his Mark upon them: particularly as to Idolatry or Polytheism, it is plain that it is of his setting up. Ye shall be as Gods, was the early Infinuation and Suggestion of that Evil Spirit to our first Parents in Paradise: The Notion of being Gods strangely wrought upon them, and procured our Misery. This was the first Temptation of Satan, and is the first Itep to Idolatry, which of all his Works may justly be stiled his Chief Master-piece. It is true, the wisest of the Pagans afferted One God, and knew that there were not Different Deities, but the generality of them thought and believed otherwise; and the Philosophers themselves complied with these Vain Worshippers, and so confirmed the People in their Error. Nothing is more evident, than that the greater part of the World heretofore declared for a Multiplicity of

Gods. The Idea of God, like some great

Mirror

A Discourse on 1 John 3. 8.

Mirror, was broken in pieces by the Heathens, and in every one of these they saw a Deity, or fancied they did fo. Every Attribute of God, was a Distinct Ged. But this was more pardonable; they proceeded to worship the heavenly Bodies, and ascrib'd Life and Divinity to them. Nay, they ranfack'd for Gods and Goddesses below as well as above: they found them in the Earth, and in the Deep, as well as in the Heavens. Number of these Deities had reached to thirty thousand in * Hefiod's Time; and they * Teis 38 were almost as many more afterwards; for, when if Varro's Computation be right, there were above that Number of Gods and Goddesses and Sound and S worshipp'd by the Europeans alone. Nor Adduores would one way of worshipping them serve asairalou their turn. There were as many various qu'Aaxes Rites and different Sacrifices, as there were were Deities; the Solemnities which were per-arthante. formed to one, would not fuffice another. Nothing forfooth would please Circs but a Sow, nothing would ferve Affectapius but a Cock, and the most acceptable Offering to Neptone was a Bull. The Pagans funcied that one God delighted in this Oblation, and another in that; and therefore to be fure to please them they had Particular Services and Diversities of Worship for them. Egypt was the most fruitful Soil for this; it furnished the rest of the World with Gods. The Grecians (who afterwards ftock'd the Romans) took the Names of their Gods, their Temples, their Altars, their Images, and most of their Super-

Superstitions Ceremonies from that Coun-* In Eu- try, as * Herodotus testisseth. And with him

agrices - Ammianus Marcellinus, who positiveterpe. + Lib. 22. ly tells us, that all Idolatry came from Egypt.

| Lib. 17. And | Strabo and others acquaint us, that All forts of Living Creatures were worshipped by the Inhabitants of that place. Sheep, and fuch-like Animals, had divine Refpect paid to them, is attested by the most Ancient and Undoubted Annals of Holy Scripture: for it is faid, in Gen. 46. 34. that a Shepherd is an Abomination to an Egyptian, VIZ. because the Egyptians did not kill or eat Sheep, or fuch-like Animals, but look'd up,

on them as Sacred. Hence Moses saith, *Ex.8.26. * Shall we facrifice the Abomination of the Egyptians before their Eyes, and will they not stone us? i. e. If we facrifice Sheep or Oxen, Creatures that they worship, and abominably idolize, they will be incenfed against us. They abhorred the Jews, because they were generally Shepherds, and fed upon that fort of Animals, and used them in Sacrifice. And this, it is probable, was the reason why, when Joseph entertain'd his Brethren at Dinner, they did eat afunder, because it was an Abomination to the Egyptians to eat Bread with the Hebrews, Gen. 43. 32. For (as Onkelos here adds) the Hebrews eat those Animals which the Egyptians worship. And for this Cause the Israclites lived apart in the Land of Goshen. And besides, they were not permitted to Sacrifice all the time they were in Egypt, as appears from those words of God to Moses, Exod.

A Discourse on 1 John 3.8.

Exod. 9.13. Let the People go that they may ferve me; i. e. that they may Sacrifice unto me, as appears from ch. 10.25. which implies, that they were not permitted to Sacrifice before; and the Reason was, because the Egyptians would not let them kill, and offer in the Fire those Animals which they had so great a regard for, and even Deified. So besorted were these Gentile Adorers, that not only Irrational but Inanimate Creatures were deified by them. You might have feen the Greatest Men, to whom others bowed and did lowly obeisance, prostrate themselves to Stocks and Stones, to Wood, and Brass, and Iron, shaped into Gods; and by the Art of Masons, Carpenters and Smiths, made Deities. This was the Idolatry which obtain'd so much in the World, this was the Capital Enormity of Mankind, this was the Main Guilt which they were polluted with, as * Tertullian call'd * Principit. Our Learned Dr. Hammond thinks this is ale crimen meant by the Apostle in Rom & an Theorem meant by the Apostle in Rom. 8. 20. The crea- humani. ture was made subject to Vanity; for he takes summus unas for the Gentile World, and Vanity for Ido-feculi reslarry, as this is called very frequently in the tiss. De Old Testament. The Heathen World was cap. 1. every where enslaved, subjected to this Vile Sin, though not willingly, i. e. as this Author expounds it; the Devil forced them to it, otherwise he would not be appeased.

But this gross Folly and Madness was foon diffraced and difcountenanced by the introducing of Christianity, and even in Egypt, the Mother and Nurse of all Idolatry, where Garlicks

Y 2

Garlicks and Onions (no very fragrant Deities) had Veneration paid them, and Cats and Crocodiles were good fashionable Gods: where they worship'd all things in Nature, where every thing living or dead was a Deity, even here this Prodigious Idolatry was destroy'd by Christ's Coming; for upon St. Mark's preaching there, and at Alexandria especially, Images were soon demolished, the Counterfeit Gods were thrown away, and the only True God, Father, Son, and Holy Ghost were worshipp'd with an unanimous Veneration. At Rome it felf, and even in Nero's Palace, and in all the Territories belonging to the Roman Emperors. there were some to be found who discover'd their hatred and detellation of Idols, and adored the True God in Spirit and in Truth. But the Pagan Worship had got such deep rooting in the Hearts of men, and had by long Custom and Prescription so gained their good Will and Approbation, that almost four Centuries of Years had passed after Christ's Incarnation, before it could be univerfally extirpated, and the True Worship of God become the Religion of the Roman Empire. Constantine the Great made the first remarkable and generous Essay towards this Glorious Work; in his Reign it was

A Discourse on 1 John 3.8.

on: and he was fucceeded by many Wor-In Cod. thies that imitated him. Let all the Images 1. 16. Tit. be pluck'd up from their Scats, was * Honorius's Constitution; and by the Edicts of Theodo-ΣĮ,

that Idolatry received its greatest mortificati-

fius and Valentinian, the Idol Temples were all demolished, and Idolatry every where in their Dominions destroyed, and all False Gods discharged. Thus the Blessed Work went on with great Life and Vigour, being fet forward by Royal Hands, and those Hands being strengthened by the Son of God. Therefore the Pious Father faid well, * * Executive When the Madness of Idolatry and Impiety pos- & risunofeffed the World, and the Knowledge of the True wavis is God was banish'd, to whom did it belong to in- a stins frust the World wight concerning the true worship with of the Father, but to the Son? And in the same winv. &c. place he farther fets forth the vast spreading Lib. de of Idolatry over the World, and Christianity's Incarnati more powerful destroying of it. And you Verbi Dei shall find that this Glorious Atchievement of Christ in crushing Idolatry, which was grown fo prevalent every where, is made the great proof and Confirmation of the Truth of the Christian Religion, and is infifted upon with mighty Force and Reason by all the Antient Apologists for Christia. nity. And that this was one great Defign of our Saviour's manifesting himself in the World, is evident from many Promises and Prophecies in the Old Testament concerning the Messias and his Kingdom; as that of the Evangelical Prophets * They shall cast their Idols * Isa. 2-20 of Silver, and their Idols of Gold, to the Batts, and to the Moles, (to the Moles, fit company

you will say for them that have Eyes and see

not, as Idols are described by the Psalmist.)

The meaning of this Prophetick Writer is. that the enlightned and converted Gentiles shall renounce their Beloved Idolatry, and with indignation throw their Idols and Images into the dark Corners of the Earth. Whereas before they placed them in the Light, and fet them up to be feen, now they shall be ashamed of them, and being ashamed of them shall hide them, and cast them into those obscure Holes where Bats and Moles lie hid under Ground. To the same * Jer. 16. purpose is that of the Prophet * Jeremiah. The Gentiles shall come unto thee from the Ends 19, 20. of the Earth, and shall say, Our Fathers inherited Lies and Vanities, (which are terms in the *Isa.28.15. *Scripture-stile to express False Gods) but Jer. 13.25. we will reject them, for shill a Man make Hos. 7.1. Gods to himself that are no Gods? And in se-Am. 2.42 veral places, both Isaiah and this Prophet in-Rom. 1.25 fift upon this, that the Messias should bring the Gentiles out of the Darkness of Idolatry

to the Light of the Gospel, and the Acknowledgment of the true God. In Ezek. 37. 23. there is another Prediction of the like Nature, Ifraelshall not defile themselves any more with Idols, nor with their Detestable Things: Which latter Clause is but an Explication of the former, for Shikkutzim and BANJyuala (which are the words in the Original and the Septuagint) are frequently used to signify Idols, which are Detestable in the Eyes of God. And Zephaniab foretels, that * Zeph. 2. *the Lord will famish all the Gods of the Earth:

which

which is a very remarkable Passage, and alludes to the fond Conceipt of the Pagans, which is mention'd in Deut. 32.38. that their Gods did eat the Fat of their Sacrifices, and drank the Wine of their Drink-Offerings. To which it is probable God himself was pleased to allude in Pfal. 50. 13. Will I eat the Flesh of Bulls, or drink the Bloud of Goats? And in Ifa. 43. 24. Neither haft thou filled me with the Fat of thy Sacrifices. It was a Notion among the Heathen Worshippers, that the Gods were nourish'd with the Steam of the Sacrifices; and in relation to that, the Prophet's Prediction is, that those Gods shall be famished: they shall not, according to the fond Notion of the Gentile World, be any longer fed from the Altars, they shall be starved when Christianity takes place amongst Men, for the Pagan Sacrifices shall then be laid aside, and Idolatry cashier'd. All these Prophecies were plainly accomplished, when our Saviour came and commissioned his Apostles to preach the Gospel This threw down to the whole World. the Idolatrous Images, and in many places the very Idols themselves sell down prostrate on the Ground, and, as it were, wor-

ship'd the Holy Jesus. But as Christ gave a fatal Blow to Idolatrous Worship, to I am more particularly to prove (under this Head) that he did confound the Worshipping of Devils, which had been a thing very fashionable in the World before Christ came into it. I fay, the Wor-

Shipping

shipping of Devils, the highest Strain of Idolatry, was confounded by our Saviour's appearing: for it feems the Devil could not be content to cheat the World with his Oracles, to enter into Mens Bodies, and to make Leagues and Contracts with Persons, but, (as an effort above all these) he affected to be worshipped and adored as a God, This is confess'd by Porphyrius in his Book of Abfinence from Animals: And Eusebius and St. Augustin, and other Fathers make use of what he faith there against the Pagans, whom they undertake to confute. That the Gods whom the Gentiles sometimes worship'd, were Devils, is also the acknowledgment of Trismegistus in his Asclepius. And it is owned by all the Wife and Understanding Heads among them, that the Service paid to many of their Gods was a kind of Adoring the Devil. It is well known that these Worshippers could, by certain Magick Spells make these Infernal Fiends come to their Images when they pleafed: And when they had brought them thither, they worship'd these wicked Ghosts to appeale their Fury, and that they might do them no harm. Befides, the Particulars which I infifted on before, do fufficiently evince the present Point; for the confulting the Devil when he spake in Oracles, and dealing with him by practifing Witchcraft and Sorcery, were a palpable for Taking of the True God, and fetting up the Devil for a God. These were giving

Divine

A Discourse on 1 John 3. 8.

Divine Honour to the Prince of Darkness. And, which is most convincing, do not the infallible Oracles of Scripture expresly tell us, that the Idolatrous Jews sacrificed unto Devils, not to God? Deut. 32. 17. which (to confirm the Truth and Certainty of it) is repeated in Pfal. 106. 37. They facrificed their Sons and Daughters unto Devils. And if I be not mistaken, the Psalmist had before refer'd to this abominable Practice, ver. 28. They ate the Sacrifices of the Dead, i. e. (as I conceive) the Sacrifices that were offer'd to the Manes, and to the Stygian Jupiter or Pluto the God of the Dead: These are meant here by Zibche methim, the Sacrifices of the Dead. I know some Writers have lessen'd and minc'd this Idolatry, as well as that before spoken of, and tell us that the Pagans all along worship'd God, though under a false Reprefentation. It is partly true, that the best of the Heathens did fo, but others did not: and as for those that defend the contrary, they are confuted by downright Authority from Scripture, which acquaints us, that they worship'd even Devils: for sacrificing to them, is worshipping them. If they can evade this, let them. I could add, that the Hebrew Masters were of Opinion that some of the Jews worship'd the Devil in shape of a Goat in Woods and Deferts, because the word Sagnir is both Dæmon and Hircus, Lev. 17. 7. 2 Chron. 11. 15. Ifa. 13. 21. & 34. 14. And 'tis likely this worthipping of Sayrs was a piece of Devilish Idolatry which they

* Diodor they learnt and brought from * Egypt, where Sic. I. 1. 'twas practis'd. But this we are certain of from a more sure word, (as you heard) that the Devils themselves were adored by them. And concerning the Gentiles Worship, the Apostle is peremptory, 1 Cor 10. 20. The things which the Gentiles sacrificed, they sacrifixed to Devils, and not to God. Whereas the Greeks used to call the Victims or Beasts which they offer'd, Sidula; the Apostle on the contrary plainly stiles them, sidunisola, things sacrificed to Idols, I Cor. 8. 1, 4, 7. & 10. 19, 28. In which places Idols are no other than Diabolick Spirits which inhabited in those Idols.

But Christ by his coming soon put a Period to this Hellish Usage. When the Devil was so impudent as to make that offer to him, All these things will I give thee if thou wilt fall down and worship me, he presently rebuked the bold Spirit, by alledging what was nritten, not only in the Law, but in all Mens Hearts, that they should worship the Lord their God, and serve him only. And by the whole System of his Heavenly Doctrine he built up this Truth, and pull'd down the Contrary, and all the least Tendencies to it. After our Saviour left this Earth, his Ano-Itles and Followers managed the fame Work; and endeavour'd by all means to run down this Cursed Project of the Devil, viz. of his being worship'd. As a particular Effect of their Care to baffle this Delign, we read, that the Primitive Christians solemnly vowed

vowed at their Baptism to renounce the Devil and all his Works. By which, * faith Tertul- * De Spelian, is principally meant Idolatry, yea, and Rac. c. 4. the worst kind of Idolatry, a giving Divine Honour and Worship to those that are Devils, and not Gods, a Sin very common at Christ's coming into the World, the greatest part of it at that time living in this Vile Practice: therefore, faith this Father, this was made a chief part of the Baptismal Vow, that the Proselytes and Converts to Christianity, should in a folemn manner bid Desiance to that detestable Enormity of the Heathens. Conformably to this our Learned + Catcchift +Dr. Ham. in reply to that Question, What is meant by the Pract. Cat. Devil and all his Works? answers thus, Certain-1.6.Sed.3. ly the Principal thing here renounced, is the False p.375. Gods, i. e. Devils, which the Heathen World did worship so universally before Christ's Time, and against which the Catechists (who prepared all for Baptism) did first labour to fortify t'cir Disciples, and are for that cause called in the Ancient Church Exorcists, as those that cast out these Devils. Very near a-kin to This, is that which is added next in the Solemn Vow at Baptism, viz. The renouncing the Vain Pomp and Glory of the World: For that word Pempinits Original meaning, refers to the Idolatrous

Shews of the Heathens, it properly fignifying

sending of some thing, and carrying it up and

down to be feen and exposed: fo that here-

by are denoted those Splendid Sights and

Stately Processions in use of old among the

Heathens; at which Solemn Times the Ima-

A Discourse on 1 John 3.8.

ges, the Thrones, the Ornaments, and all the Habiliments, as also the Oblations and Sacrifices which were to be offered to their Gods, were carried openly through the Streets, and Honour was done to these false Deities. The Ancient Fathers of the Church very strictly caution'd their Flock against these Devilish Customs; and particularly when they admitted Converts into the Church by Baptism, they took care to fortify them against them. Hence may be observed the Antiquity of the Form and Office of Baptisin, which our Church makes use of at this Day. The folemn Renunciation of the Devil and his Works, and of the Pomp and Vanity of the World, is as old as Primitive Christianity. Yea, some Learned Men have thought, that the Apostle St. Peter alludes to it, in his I Epift. Chap. 3. v. 21, and that the Answer of a good Conscience there, refers to the manner of Interrogation and Answer used in Baptism. But this by the by. That which you are chiefly to take notice of is, that whereas the Wicked Ghosts and Dæmons were ambitious of Divine Adoration, it pleased God so to bless and succeed the Chri-Itian Religion, that in a short time this wicked Usage wore away, this curfed Work of the Devil came to nought, the Christian Faith being, by the Wonderful Providence of Heaven, propagated fo univerfally through the World.

Sixthly,

Sixthly, I conceive, that by the Werks of the Devil are meant here especially those Sins which have most of his Image upon them, and wherein men more immediately and directly resemble that Accursed Spirit; those Works which the Devil chiefly tempts men to, and which he himself is observed to prachife most. As first, Malice and Envy are the proper Guise and Character of Devils; for these lapsed Spirits being irrecoverably damned themselves, are fired with Revenge and Malice against all Mankind; being thrust down from Heaven, they endeavour to hinder us from coming thither. Wherefore Envy (which is a Grieving at the Good and Welfare of others) sporting with mens Infirmities, Expoling them to Obloquy and Difgrace, delighting in the miseries of those we affect not, rejoycing at the Evils which befall others; these have all an Impress of the Devilish Spirit on them. Our Saviour pronounced concerning the Pharifees, whom he found to be envious and malicious, that the Works of their Father they would do, plainly giving us to understand, that these Vices are more eminently Diabolical. But the Laws of Christianity strictly enjoin us, * to lay afide * 1 Pet.2. all Malice and Envy, and command us to - rejoice with them that rejoice, to weep with them + Rom. 12. that weep, and | to be kindly affectioned one to-u_ wards another, and to delight in the Welfare and Happiness of our Brothren. Again, Rage and Passion, Bitter Strife and Contention,

may

may be justly ranked among the Devils Works. Schism and Faction are from him, who was the first Mutineer and Rebel against Heaven. and ever fince delights in Wars and Tumults. and loves to ruffle and embroil the World, and raife Tempests and Storms in it. But Christ Jesus, our blessed Peace-maker and Reconciler, came to remove all Grounds of Difference, and to introduce Universal Love and Charity. And Christianity it self is furnish'd with peaceable and healing Principles, and offers all the Motives and Incentives to it imaginable. The Laws of Christ are fully set against all undue Passion and Choler, and there are in no Institution whatsoever so fevere Prohibitions of this Diabolical Tem-* Ephel 4. per; * Let all Bitternels, and Wrath, and An-

31. ger, be put away from you. + Let not the Sun †V.26,27. go down on your Wrath; neither give place to the Devil. Where we learn, that the Fire of Hell burns in Angry Breasts; the Brimstone of the Bottomless Pit may be smelt there. He that is overcome of Passion, gives place to the De-

I add in the next place, that Pride is perfectly Diabolical. It was this which first infected Lucifer and his Fellow-Angels, and thrust them down from their Heavenly Dignity, and made them (what they now are) Devils. But our Saviour on the contrary throws down Pride, and exalts Humility, and commends it to all his Followers from his own Example, Learn of me, for I am Meek and Lowly. This is a right Christian Temper,

A Discourse on 1 John 3. 8.

Temper, and is Heavenly and God-like; and whoso fosters it in his Breast is beloved of God, and all Good Men. Reproaching and Reviling are no less the Devil's Works, and thence he hath his Name given him in the Greek: A Devil and a Reviler are terms convertible. It is the Office and Employ of the Evil Spirit, first to raise, and then to keep up Slanders and Contumelious Reports. But behold, our blessed Master hath left us Rules diametrically opposite to these Practices. *We must put away from us all Evil-speak- * Eph. 4.

ing. We are not fuffer'd to revile, though 31. we be reviled: Yea, we are to be possessors of that Charity which + thinketh no Evil. + 1 Cor.

To proceed, Lying and Falfhood, Craft and 13. 5. Treachery, most fentibly discover the Devilish Nature. These Windings and Crooked Courses are the Goings of the Serpent. We are told, that the Devil put it into Judas's Heart to betray Christ, that we may thence infer, that Treachery and Perfidiousness are more immediately from that False Spirit: And for this reason chiefly our Saviour doth once and again call this Mock-Apostle || a | John 6. Devil. But certainly nothing is more oppo-70. & 8. fite to Christianity, than this base and for-44. did Vice. And Christ and his Apostles, both by Precept and Example, commend Fidelity, Truth and Sincerity, and disallow of all Guile and Hypocrify, and herein shew themfelves opposite to the Wicked Spirit, who is a Liar, and the Father of Lies, John &c 44.

Įn

A Discourse on 1 John 3. 8.

In the same place it is said, He was a Mur-

dever from the beginning; which suggests to us another Particular. He began betimes to exert his cruel Nature: in the first setting out of Mankind, he stir'd up Cain to kill his Brother; and ever fince this favage and bloody Spirit hath shew'd it felf in the World. It is the inseparable Property of the Roaring Lion to seek whom he may devour. He is the De-*Rev.9.11 stroyer, * Apollyon is his Name, and he acts agreeably to it. As for his Violence and Cruelty towards mens Bodies, in continual haunting and worrying the Possessed, I have faid something already in this Discourse; but it is another fort of Cruelty which I am now to speak of, of which I will propound these two notable Instances: First, The Humane Saerifices which were offered in most parts of the World. Secondly, The Bloody Prizes of the Gladiators, which prevail'd in great Cities, chiefly at Rome, the Miltress and Governess of the World: And I will briefly hint to you how the Christian Religion de-Aroy'd these Works of Satan.

First, I say, Humane Slaughter and Sacrifices were no unusual things in the World. The Evil Spirit taught Parents to make a Burnt-Offering of their Children unto Moloch, to Sacrifice them alive in the Valley of Hinnom; so that the Name of Hell, Gebenna, takes its denomination from that bloody Usage. This Moloch was the God of the Ammonites; but it appears from Jer. 7. 31. and Psal. 106.37. that even the Jews, God's Select

A Discourse on 1 John 3.8.

Select People, burnt their Sons and Daughters to this Idol; nay, although they had been particularly cautioned against it by an early Prohibition, Levit 18. 21. I know some of the *Rabbins hold, that the Children were not * Solomon burnt or killed, but that two Fires being Jarchi. D. made, the Priests drew them between both, Kimchi, and that was all. But this is a Rabbinical Maimoni-Fancy, for 'tis clear from that place in the des. tore-mentioned Psalm, that the Children who were offered to Moloch, were flain and burnt. They facrificed their Sons and Daughters: Jisbechu, Mactarunt, they killed them: And therefore it immediately follows, They shed Innocent Blood, even the Blood of their Sons, and of their Daughters; and the Land was polluted with Blood. Hereupon these Idolatrous Rites are called Threefires Therai, Wifd. 114. 23. i. e. Ceremonies that were accompanied with the Slaughter and Death of their Children. But I think I can guess at the Reason why some of the Rabbins conceited that this paffing through the Fire was not attended with the Death of the Persons, but was rather Purgative than Mortal. The Occasion of this Opinion might be this; There was a very old Custom of passing through the Fire without killing: For those that swore to clear themselves of a supposed Guilt, undertook likewise to purge themselves, and clear their Innocence, by going through, or over, or between certain Fires, or hot glowing Coals, or Irons; and if they came off unhurt, they were believ'd to be guiltless. The

†Conr.

Tulian.

l. ic.

c. 5.

* Virgil. Æneid. 2. Dio-

+ Euseb. Przp. Evang.

De Abstin. lib. 2.

dor. Sicul.

The Grecians of old used to swear and purge themselves from Crimes, by creeping on. their Hands through some Fire, or by holding a red hot Iron in their Hands: For thus one *'Hues in * Sophocles's Antigona offer'd to swear and trupper clear himself. St. & Cyril treats of this old undersai. Piece of Superstition: And our own Histogelin x igor, ries tell us, that our Ancestors in this Island were no strangers to such a kind of Ordeal. This perhaps might give some Jewish Wridisemely. ters occasion to imagine, that this kind of Fiery Tryal is spoken of, when the Scripture faith, The Children pass'd through the Fire to Moloch; and to think it was only a Confecrating or Initiating the Persons into the Pagan Rites, a Previous Purgation or Februation, as | Vossius calls it, (for he holds they || De Idowere not burnt, but only pass'd between two lolat. 1.2. Fires; though in another place he is of another opinion.) But this is a gross Mistake, for the fore-named Text informs us, that it

> trary Opinion. But who hath not read of the Barbarous Effusion of Humane Blood on the Altars of the Painims? The Jews, it is probable, had it from them, and particularly from the Canaanites, who (as we are ascertain'd from Deut. 12. 31.) were infected with this Cruelty: Their Sons and their Daughters they burnt in the Fire to their Gods. And an impious Ex-

was a Bloody Rite; and accordingly Philo, a

Learned and Sagacious Jew, as well as Eu-

febius and other Christian Fathers, assert it

to be of that Nature, and explode the con-

ample

ample of this we have in 2 Kings 3. 27. where we read, that the King of Moab offer'd up the King of Edom's Son (the Heir of the Kingdom) for a Burnt-Offering, Heathens were not content with Sacrificing of Beasts, but they must needs offer Humane Sacrifices to their Dæmons. And it is strange to see how this Vile Usage spread it self, and prevail'd among all Nations. That it did so among the old Grecians, is testi-

fied by feveral Authors * Prophane and - Ecclefiaftical: Particularly we are told by || Porphyrius, that the Lacedemonians used this Cruelty, and sacrifi-

ced a Living Man to Mars. The rest of the * Grecians did the same, or the like: Yea, * Suid. in this Practice was Authorized by the Oracle of the it felf, which ordered the Greeks to Sacrifico Agamemnon's Daughter to Diana, to appeafe her Goddesship. The Persons thus Sacrisiced, were called nasaquare, Purgatory or Expiatory Oblations; to which some Criticks have thought the Apostle alludes, in 1 Cor. 4. 13. where he faith, that himself, and the rest of the Christians of that Time, were weinadaeuala, Devoted, Accursed, Abominable in the Eyes of the World, and destined for Slaughter. Among the Trains likewife facrificing of humane Blood was in use, as we learn from Homer and Virgil. So among the Persians Men and Women were usually kill'd in Sacrifice to Mithra. How- Z_2 ever_

† J. Mart ever, great † Torments were to be under-Apol. 2. gone by all that were entred into the Rites Termi. de S. chair Worthing. No less than fourforce Præscript. of their Worship: No less than fourscore Suidas in kinds of Punishments they were to suffer first. That the Africans, and particularly the Carthagenians, facrificed Children to their

* Diodor, Siculus, Ju-Rin. Terrul. Apol. c. 4 Minutius Felix, Lattantius, lib. 1, c. 21. Euleb. Præp. Evang. 4

f In vita Pelopidæ. * Heliodor, 1.9.

+ Evag, Eccl. Hill. l.

6. c. 33.

* In Ne-

rone.

14.

| Mela l. 2. c. I. * Porphyr, de Abstin-† Curt. Hift. 1. 4.

Gods, is attested by sufficient *Writers: Nay, + Plutarch tells us, that those amongst them that had no Children, bought some of poor People to Sacrifice them. This was the Practice of the * Ethiopians, - Saracens, | Scythians, * Phoenicians and Tyrians. Our Neighbours, the Ancient Gauls and Germins (as Cæsar de Bello Gall. 1. 6. relates) were guilty of

this Bloody Folly. Nor were the Old Inhabitants of this Island, where we live free from this Wild and Extravagant Practice. The British Druids held, that the Wrath of their Gods could not be appealed in some Cases but with the Life of Man; and accordingly (as* Dio Callius and + Tacitus report) the People of this Isle, led on by their Priests, + Annal. frequently offer'd these Barbarous Sacrifices. Thus you may be fatisfied from divers Au-

* Vossius de Idololat. 1.2. c. 5. Selden de Dis Syr. Syntag. 1 . c.6. Grotius de Satisfact. And Deut. 18. IO. Dr. Stillingfleet of Christ's Satisfaction

thors, and many more than I have named, (for this I own to be a brief Collection made from them, as I fee * Others have done before me) that the Custom of Sacrificing Men A Discourse on 1 John 3. 8.

did almost universally obtain in the World. Nothing was more common with them, than to offer up an Innocent Person to the Gods in time of some Imminent Danger, to pacify their Wrath and remove the Publick Calamity. This Example of Devilish Cruelty was very frequent among the Pagans before our Saviour's Coming, and a while after: But as foon as Christianity had got any footing in the World, it began to disappear. After Christ had offer'd himself a Sacrifice on the Crofs, and his Holy Religion was propagated, this Custom of Sacrificing Men ceased in a great part of the World. It is true, this Cruel and Tyrannical Sway of the Evil Spirit is not yet wholly destroyed. The Sacrificing of Children to the * Devil, *Hackluit. was in use not long ago among the Americans. Purchas, We read of the Dreadful and Astonishing and others. Apparitions of Satan to the People of Florida and Brasil, and other Countries where Paganism is still in its Meridian. These Devil-Worshippers complain, that he cuts and tears their Flesh, and miserably torments their poor Carcasses. Indeed those that give an Account of the Americans and Indians. (as Acoffa and others) do constantly take notice, that flashing and cutting their Bodies are usual in their Worship. But it is to be hoped, that this and all other Acts of Cruelty will at last be abandoned, when the Christian Faith shall arrive among those Bloody Men. We are to bless God, that Z_3 thera

there are any Effects of it already in those parts of the World, and to pray that there may be yet a larger and more vigorous Influence of the Laws of Christianity, which are against nothing more than Cruelty and

Bloodshed.

Secondly, The Bloody Speltacles of the Gladisters were no small instance of the Devil's Empire in the Pagan World, which delighted in Slaughter, and made it one of their Publick Sports to fee Men kill one another upon the Theater. It was usual not only to expose Men to fight with Beasts, and at last to be torn by them, but they kept others to fight with their own Kind, and to dispatch one another. Of the for-

* In Spectaculis primum deliciarum genus est mori homines, impleri ferarum alvos humanis carnibus, cum circumstantium voluptate & conspicientium latitia. De Gubernar, lib. 6.

mer of these speaks that Pious Bishop Salvian; * " In those "Spectacles, faith he, the " main thing that pleased them "was, to feast their Eyes with "the flaughter of Men, and "to fee Wild Beafts gorge "themselves with Humane "Flesh, and that with an

"incredible Satisfaction and Delight. This was the Lot of fome Condemned Malefactors, and of fome that were taken Captive in War. These who had fought with Men, were now compell'd to fight with Eeasts, and so to lose their Lives. And some think that the Blessed St. Paul was put upon this sharp

Service

Service at Ephefus, for they take that to be the meaning of his words, when he faith, He fought with Beafts at Ephesus, 1 Cor. 15. 32. i. e. not with Wicked Men (as it is generally interpreted) who are Irrational and Savage Creatures, and Men only in Shape, but really with Wild Beasts, with whom he was condemned to fight for his Life, this being the Punishment inflicted on him. This is the Interpretation which St. Chryfostom and St. Ambrofe give of the Words: and it will not feem strange, if we duly consider these following things.

I. When there is a plain and express place of Scripture, 'tis not fafe to invade it by flying to Metaphors. Which is the Cafe here: St. Paul faith, he fought with Beafts; and we may very well understand it in the plain and obvious Sense of the Words; wherefore we are to chuse this Literal Sense before a Metaphorical one: Especially if we

confider.

2. That this was in those Times and afterwards an usual Punishment inflicted on the Christians. * Christianos ad Leones, was the * Tertul. Peoples Cry: Though, I grant, that meerly to Apol.c.40 be thrown to the Beafts was another thing; there was no fighting then, they were thrown to them to be torn in pieces presently, and to be devoured, as that Glorious Martyr + 1g- † Euseb. natius was. But Others were exposed to fight Eccl. Hist. with them, and that on the Publick Thea- 1.3.c.33. ters; and they were armed for that purpose,

and if they could get the mastery of the Beasts (of which the Instances are very rare) they were set free: which known Custom and Practice it is likely the Apostle's Ingio.

waxiv in the forenamed place, may be well applied.

A Discourse on 1 John 3.8.

3. It is remarkably faid [at Ephefus]; for in this Celebrated Place, that Bloody fort of Prizes was very usual, as we are informed by

Artemidorus and others.

|| Oneirocrit. l. 1. c. 9.

4. What you read to have been the Confequent of the great Uproar against St. Paul at Ephesius, the dragging his Companions into the Theater, Acts 19. 29, 31. seems to have a particular relation to this very thing.

- 5. Those words in 1 Cor. 4.9. We are made a Spectacle (362/gov) unto the World, may be thought to be an Allusion to this his fighting on the Publick Stage; especially if you mind the words immediately following, God bath set forth us as 'twere appointed to Death, designed by our Adversaries to that Fatal Combate
- 6. This is more than intimated to the Corinthians, 2 Cor. 1.8. where he acquaints them with his Trouble which came to him in Asia, (of which Ephesus was the Chief City) how he was press dout of measure above strength, in so much that he despaired even of Life: And in the next Verse he tells them, that he had the Sontence of Death in himself: And then in the next words he thankfully acknowledgeth God's Hand in delivering him

from so great a Death. All which Pallages feem to refer very plainly to this Deadly Encounter with the Beasts at Ephesus: Or at least it appears hence, (as the Learned Dr. Hammond and Dr. Lightfoot acknowledg) that St. Paul was defigned, appointed by the Multitude to this Punishment, although God disappointed their Bloody Designs. If it be objected, that the Apostle makes no mention of this among his Dangerous and Bloody Adventures which he particularly recounts in 2 Cor. 11. 23, &c. This Answer may suffice. that the Apostle underwent more than he particularly fets down; but in those general words [in Deaths oft] he comprehends all: Yea, these very words may particularly refer to his fighting with Wild Beasts, which certainly had been attended with Death, unless the Divine Providence had in an extraordinary manner interposed. So that this Objection is of no Force, and cannot hinder us from taking the words in their proper meaning. In brief, I will fay this, although perhaps none of these forementioned Texts fingly taken, may be thought sufficient to induce us to a belief of what I have propounded; yet if we consider them altogether, I think they will not fail to render it very probable. This is all I fuggest, leaving every one to determine as they please. Though St. Paul was deliver'd from fo great and imminent a Death, and that by no less than a Miracle perhaps, yet in these Bloody Com-

nantibus, nifi celeriter al-

ter ê duobus occifus est:

& tanquam liumanum fan-

guinem sitiant, oderunt

moras, alios illis compa-

res dari poscunt recenti.

ores, ut quam primum o-

bates the Beafts generally got the better: yea, sometimes one Lion was hard enough *Præclara for * two hundred Men; and when this ædilitas! happen'd, it was a Worthy and Noble unus Leo, Prize indeed, for they always esteemed bestiarii, these Games according to the Number of the Cic. Orat. Persons that were dispatched by the Beasts: pro Sell. when the most men were killed upon the Spot, the sport was at the highest, which shews how Devilish it was.

> But the latter fort of Prizes, viz. where Men fought with their own Kind, was the worst. In these Bloody and Inhumane Matches, they first brought Slaves on the Theater to combate one another, and afterwards others of better Quality. Besides, some were hired to undertake this Employment, and some were bred up and disciplined to it. It grew an Art to Diet, to Arm, to fit them for this Purpose.

genus erat quod omni frequentia atq; omni genere hominum celebratur. que multitudo maxime delectatur. Cic. Orat.pro Bloody Fencing. Seffio.

Nor can it be express'd how † Id antem spectaculi † the People were taken with this foort, and how they flock'd to it. No Entertainment pleas'd them like this Here they could kill Men at their pleafure; by turning up the Thumb

they could at any time adjudge the Combatants to continue the Fight, and they had power to keep them Fighting till they died on the Place: And when any of them did fo, others were presently fetched and placed

A Discourse en 1 John 3.8.

placed in their room, to fight with the Victor, till one of them fell Dead on the Spot. Lastantius hath well ex-|| Irascuntur etiam pug-

pressed it thus; " "They shew themselves very angry, and grow enraged, unless one of the Combatants be flain very speedily: and "as if they thirsted after Hu-

"mane Blood, they hate all "Delays, and call for other

"fresh Fighters that are not

"and thereby fatiate the Eyes of the Spe-

"weary and faint, but will briskly fall on, ctators.

culos suos satient. Lib. 6. From these Passages we may be informed how mad the World was upon Slaughter and Bloodshed: We see what strange Immoralities these Civilized People admitted among them, what Barbarous and Outragious Ufages were approved of by them. This I might well mention as one instance of the Devil's working among them. But the Chri-

flians continually declaimed against those Bloody Games, they preach'd and wrote against these Inhumane Combates; and at * Euseb, in last * the Christian Emperors strictly forbad vit. Conthem. You may read in the Ancient Apo-flant, M. logists, how these Unlawful and Mad Shews 1. 4. are struck at, and how the Christians are particularly warned against them. A Christian was not permitted to be a Spectator of them, but by his Profession and Character,

racter, he was obliged to declare against them, and draw off others (if he could) from being present at them. Hear the words of one of the most Learned Apologizers for the Christian Religion against the Pagans; "We, I faith he, abstain from, and are a-

† Athena "We, † faith he, abstain from, and are agoras, in "verse to these spectacles of the SwordChristian." Players, being persuaded that there is no
"great difference between being a spectator
"of these bloody Prizes and an Author of

vage and bloody.

"of these bloody Prizes, and an Author of "the Bloodshed there committed. Thus the Primitive Christians shew'd their Abhorrence of these Entertainments, and so at length they came to be wholly laid aside, and accounted utterly unlawful. Thus this Work of the Devil was destroyed: which we cannot but attribute to the manifestation of the Son of God upon the Earth, and to his Holy Institution, which promotes Tender-heartedness and Pity, and condemns whatever is sa-

But the Slaughter and Murder of Souls are the worst and highest Cruelty, the main Work and Business of that implacable Enemy of Mankind. Those cursed Spirits being fallen themselves from God, endeavour the Apostacy of all Mankind; and this they do, by drawing Men into Error, and by tempting them to Sin and Wickedness. They are as busy in debauching mens Minds by Erroneous Opinions and False Doctrines, as they are in any other Design; and they get as much by it: for by corrupting mens Understandings and Notions, they prepare the way for all

A Discourse on 1 John 3.8.

other mischiefs to ensue. Heresies are of the Devil, and lead to him; and therefore Polycarp knew what he faid, when he call'd Marcion (that Arch-Heretick) the First-born of Satan. But the inveigling to Practical Error, which is no other than Vice, gives him chiefly his Denomination of Tempter; and consequently those who allure others to Vice, those who entice them to Evil Courfes, are to be call'd by no fofter Terms, than those of Murderers and Devils; for Tempting, i. e. drawing others to Sin, is properly the Work of the Devil; this is that which he constantly practiseth, and takes so much delight in. But the bleffed Founder of Christianity is a Saviour and Lover of Souls, who thus expostulated when he was on Earth; What is a Man profited, if he shall gain the whole World, and lose his own Soul? or what (hall a Man give in exchange for his Soul? This Jefus was Loving and Kind-hearted, and fubmitted to a painful and ignominious Death, to give an undeniable Demonstration of his Compassion to the Souls of Men. cended the Cross, and shed his Blood there, to convince the World how Tender he was of their Immortal Concerns, and Everlasting Welfare: And let me speak a Great Word, He would even now leave all his Glories, and die once more for Man, if it were necessary for the procuring of his Happiness.

Lastly, Carnality, Lewdness and Uncleanness, may fitly be stilled the Devil's Works. It is well known, that these prevailed even in the most

folema

Bodin.

contr.

Wier.

folemn Rites and Devotions of the Pagans. As the Poets represent the Gods Lewd and Lascivious, so the most serious Authors tell us of the Impure and Filthy Sacrifices, the Villanous and Beastly Rites performed to them. They relate the Obscene Feasts of Bacchus and Priapus, of Flora and Venus, and acquaint us that they kept their Lupercalia, or Festivals of Pan, in running up and down naked, behaving themselves in that lewd manner which cannot be named without injuring chaste and modest Ears. Of the Eleusinian Solemnities, in Honour of Ceres and Proferpina, *Adv. Va. we have some Account from * Tertullian: and the Religious Ceremonies of Isis were † De Isid. as lewd and obscene, faith † Plutarch. It is &Ofir.' ... certain, that Lewdness and Wantonness were the very Ingredients of their Worship, and their very Temples were Stews. Arnobius makes it his Buliness in his fifth Book, to shew how obscene and unchaste, how immodest and shameless their Rites and Ceremonies. their Stories and Relations of their Gods were. In brief, Uncleanness hath been so eminently confirmed to be the Work of the Devil, that the Foul Fiends have fometimes assumed Bo-§ S. Augu- dies to act it in, as || fome have thought. But stinde civ. Christ coming in the Flesh, destroyed even Dei lib. 15 the Deeds of the Flesh: he chose to be born of a pure Virgin, that he might give a Pattern of spotless Purity in the World; and the Defign of his Holy Institution, was to fanctify mens Bodies and Souls, and to fit them for the unstained Pleasures of another Life. Thus

I have finish'd my Task, having largely and

parti-

particularly shew'd you what the Works of the Devil are, either fuch Works as are done by that Evil Spirit, or fuch Vices and Practices in Men, as more nearly approach to the Devilish Nature and Temper; and I have at the fame time proved that our Saviour and his Religion, do over-throw and destroy these

A Discourse on 1. John 2. 8.

Works of the Infernal Spirit.

I will only add a Critical Remark on that manner of Expression in the Text, [lea Aufer] that he may loose (our English Word, it is likely, coming from thence) or dissolve, &c. for that is the exact rendring of the Word. This supposes Bonds and Fetters: We were in Durance and Captivity, we were shut and lock'd up * in the Prison-house, we were un- * 162.42.7. der the power of Satan and dominion of Sin: but the merciful Jesus came to rescue and redeem Mankind, to knock off their Fetters, and to fet them at Liberty. For this purpose the Son of God was manifested, and for this only. He came not (as the Jews fondly imagined concerning their Messias) to be a great Earthly Monarch, to wage War, and to beat the Romans out of Judea, and to make his people Rich and Wealthy, and to promote them to great Honours. This alas was a poor Defign, and not worthy of the Messias: but he came to effect a thing of greater moment, even of Universal Concern, and that which is more Noble and Glorious than all Worldly Empire and Soveraignty. He came to free his people from the Tyranny of Satan; to vanquish the Prince of Darkness who had enflaved all Mankind. Or,

360

Or, this Word gives us a true Notion of our Saviour's Design, thus: The Devil had corrupted Man, had been the great Instrument at first of depraving his very Nature, and ever fince he hath made it his Work to debauch Mens Minds and Manners, and by all ways imaginable, to render them like unto himfelf. Hereupon the Son of God was fent, iva Augn, that he might dissolve, defeat, undo these Works of the Devil. This is the short and plain Account of the Grand End of Christ's being manifested in the World, of his Incarnation, Doctrine, Life, Sufferings, Death, and all his Undertakings what soever; it was no other than this, to undo, to annul all that the Devil had done in the World. Christ's task was to pull down what Satan had built up, to untie, to untwist all his Knots and Intrigues, to baffle all his Plots and Gontrivances, to unravel the Inchantments of the Evil Spirit, to break the Snares of Satan, and to destroy the Destroyer.

FINIS.

Books Printed for Richard Wilkin.

Note of the Truth of Christian Religion against the Objections of all Modern Opposers.

By James Abbasie, D. D. Oslavo.

A Discourse concerning the Authority, Stile, and Persection of the books of the Old and New Testament; with a continued Illustration of several difficult Texts of Scripture throughout the whole Work, by John Edwards, B.D. sometime Fellow of St. John's Colledge in Cambridge, Oslaup.

Starch the Scriptures. A Treatife shewing that all Christians ought to read the Holy Books; with Directions to them therein. By Sym. Patrick, D. D.

A Serious Proposal to the Ladies, for the Advancement of their true and greatest Interest, 12°.