

MR. MERCER considers Mr. Rois's publication against him in no other respect worthy of reply, than as it contains one continued title of misrepresentation of sentiment and mistatement of fact, it may possibly mislead those who have not been present when Mr. Mercer delivered his reply to those charges that were publicly made against him---To obviate such imposition which a publication, delayed till so late a period before the election, is evidently calculated to effect, Mr. Mercer was desirous of committing to the press an immediate reply, but was informed by the Printers that their paper was then full---He then requested a meeting of his fellow-citizens of Anne-Arundel county and Annapolis, (it being court-day) at the Stadt-house, where he read Mr. Rois's publication, and replied to it paragraph by paragraph; and if the warm and continued expressions of applause, which he received from his constituents, are evidence of approbation, he may safely infer, that if Mr. Rois's publication needed any other refutation than what its own innate and apparent demerit affords, the observations made on it, both with respect to its truth and argument, rendered it as contemptible to them in substance as it is defective in every other claim to the attention of the public; the substance of which observations, Mr. Mercer pledges himself to submit to his fellow-citizens as soon as they can be printed.

ANNAPOLIS, September 15, 1792.